Revision hip arthroplasty using impacted allograft bone and cement. Studies on prosthetic stability and outcome.

Zampelis, Vasileios

2017

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Zampelis, V. (2017). Revision hip arthroplasty using impacted allograft bone and cement. Studies on prosthetic stability and outcome. Lund: Lund University, Faculty of Medicine

Creative Commons License:
CC BY

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Revision hip arthroplasty using impacted allograft bone and cement

Studies on prosthetic stability and outcome

Vasileios Zampelis

DOCTORAL DISSERTATION
By due permission of the Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden.
To be defended at lecture hall “Belfrangesalen” in BMC, Skåne University Hospital Lund.
Date: Friday March 24, 2017 at 09:00 am.

Faculty opponent
Professor André Stark
Department of Orthopedic Surgery
Karolinska Institutet
Danderyd University Hospital
Abstract
The clinical success of primary hip arthroplasty is paramount. Even so, in Sweden almost 10% of all primary hip arthroplasties will undergo revision surgery, mostly due to aseptic loosening. During the process of implant loosening, some of the bone in the acetabulum and/or femur is lost. One method of handling bone loss has been impaction bone grafting (IBG), in which allograft bone is morselized and impacted into the defects before the prosthetic components are inserted. Mechanically, the allograft bone immediately contributes to prosthetic stability. Biologically, the graft triggers a response that eventually leads to at least partial replacement of the allograft bone graft with new living bone.

The hypothesis in paper I was that long-term continuous migration of the Exeter stem in hip revision with IBG and a cemented Exeter stem would eventually result in prosthetic loosening. Seventeen patients with Exeter stems were followed with radiostereometric examinations (RSA) annually for 9 years. The findings showed that migration of the stem continued over 9 years without clinical deterioration or radiological loosening.

The hypothesis in paper II was that the use of a bone substitute would increase tissue integration and thus achieve more stable prosthetic fixation. In an experimental tibia prosthesis rabbit model, 16 rabbits were used. The tibia prostheses were implanted bilaterally, one side with the prosthesis alone and the other side with the prosthesis and bone substitute. We found that early prosthesis-bone interface strength was not influenced by the bone substitute. However, during remodeling, the bone substitute might provide improved mechanical support for the prosthesis.

The hypothesis in paper III was that a disease-specific patient-reported outcome measure would perform better than generic measures in patients undergoing revision hip arthroplasty. The study compared the responsiveness of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), SF-36, EQ-5D, and a pain visual analog scale (VAS) in 45 patients who completed the measures at baseline and 2 years after revision. The results showed equally high responsiveness for the WOMAC and the simple VAS for pain, with the EQ-5D showing the lowest responsiveness.

The hypothesis in paper IV was that by adding a bisphosphonate (clodronate) into the allograft bone, resorption of the allograft would be delayed, resulting in increased prosthetic stability and bone density. Eighteen patients with aseptic cup loosening underwent revision with IBG and a cemented Exeter cup and were randomized and double-blinded to either clodronate or saline (control group) as local adjunct to the morselized bone. The patients were evaluated with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) during the first year regarding periacetabular bone density, and with RSA for up to 2 years regarding cup migration. Less proximal cup migration was found in the clodronate group up to 2 years. There was no significant difference in bone mineral density measured by DEXA. Thus, local treatment of the allograft bone with clodronate reduced proximal migration of the cup, but without any measurable difference in periacetabular bone density.

Overall, in this thesis we found that hip revisions with IBG and cement resulted in good clinical, radiological, and patient-assessed outcomes. We found an effect of the bisphosphonate clodronate on implant micromotion but not on bone density, and that use of a bone graft substitute in a rabbit knee model had a possible effect on the prosthesis-bone interface without having any adverse effects.
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Ithaca

“When you set out on your journey to Ithaca,
pray that the road is long,
full of adventure, full of knowledge.
The Lestrygonians and the Cyclops,
the angry Poseidon -- do not fear them:
You will never find such as these on your path,
if your thoughts remain lofty, if a fine
emotion touches your spirit and your body.
The Lestrygonians and the Cyclops,
the fierce Poseidon you will never encounter,
if you do not carry them within your soul,
if your soul does not set them up before you.
Pray that the road is long.
That the summer mornings are many, when,
with such pleasure, with such joy
you will enter ports seen for the first time;
stop at Phoenician markets,
and purchase fine merchandise,
mother-of-pearl and coral, amber and ebony,
and sensual perfumes of all kinds,
as many sensual perfumes as you can;
visit many Egyptian cities,
to learn and learn from scholars.
Always keep Ithaca in your mind.
To arrive there is your ultimate goal.
But do not hurry the voyage at all.
It is better to let it last for many years;
and to anchor at the island when you are old,
rich with all you have gained on the way,
not expecting that Ithaca will offer you riches.
Ithaca has given you the beautiful voyage.
Without her you would have never set out on the road.
She has nothing more to give you.
And if you find her poor, Ithaca has not deceived you.
Wise as you have become, with so much experience,
you must already have understood what Ithaca means.

Constantine P. Cavafy (1911)