Quantitative sensory testing of temperature thresholds: Possible biomarkers for persistent pain?
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Objective: To investigate the reproducibility of thermal thresholds, as measured by repeated quantitative sensory testing (QST) in healthy controls, and to assess if temperature sensitivity differs between healthy controls and a cohort of patients with persistent pain.

Subjects: A total of 54 healthy controls were compared with 25 consecutive patients selected for pain rehabilitation by multidisciplinary assessment teams.

Methods: Heat and cold detection and pain thresholds in the forearm and neck were determined by QST. Reproducibility was evaluated by 2 consecutive tests 6–9 months apart.

Results: Thermal detection and pain thresholds were reproducible in a subgroup of 20 healthy controls. The patients had slightly increased heat and cold detection thresholds, but significantly lower thresholds for cold and heat pain. The most clear-cut differences between patients and healthy controls were observed for cold pain thresholds. Calculation of the differences between thermal detection and pain thresholds (delta values) further strengthened the differences between patients and healthy controls.

Conclusion: Thermal detection and pain thresholds are reproducible over time, allowing longitudinal assessment of sensory function using QST. Although increased sensitivity to cold pain was the most prominent finding in this cohort of patients with persistent pain, calculation of the differences between thermal detection and pain thresholds may prove superior in detecting sensory alterations.
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INTRODUCTION

Persistent pain is a complex mixture of nociceptive input, and cognitive and emotional experiences with wide variation between individuals (1). This variation can occur in the same individual at different time-points and in different situations due to factors such as biomechanical demands and constraints. Although persistent pain is a major health problem in European society (2), there are a limited number of clinical biomarkers (3). The task of finding and establishing clinically useful biomarkers is important for both patients and caregivers in order to find objective diagnostic tools and methods for monitoring treatment responses (4, 5).

The Department for Pain Rehabilitation in Lund, Sweden, assesses approximately 1,000 patients with persistent nonmalignant pain every year. Patients with persistent pain living in Scandinavia in general report worsening of their pain symptoms during the, often cold and damp, winters. This led us to speculate that increased sensitivity to cold might be a common trait in persistent pain. Interestingly, most patients with fibromyalgia are sensitive to cold (6), indicating that cold hypersensitivity may serve as a biomarker in fibromyalgia (7). Although many patients with persistent pain satisfy the criteria for fibromyalgia, several patients experience persistent pain without fulfilling the fibromyalgia criteria (8, 9).

As suggested by Sterling et al., increased cold sensitivity may serve as a prognostic factor for poor recovery (10, 11), development of post-traumatic stress (12) and increased Neck Disability Index (13) in patients with pain and disability after whiplash trauma. Wallin et al. (14) also found a correlation between temperature sensitivity and psychological distress in patients with neck pain after whiplash trauma. Other studies have demonstrated different patterns in temperature sensitivity between healthy controls and patients with chronic back pain or fibromyalgia (15) and no sensory differences between poststroke patients with or without shoulder pain (16).

Quantitative sensory testing (QST) is the most frequently used method to assess thermal detection and pain thresholds (i.e. hyper/hyposensitivity) (17). This method has been used extensively by the German Research Network on Neuropathic Pain (18). Several phenotype patterns and subgroups have been identified in healthy volunteers (19, 20). One major advantage of QST is that it allows the quantification, not only of sensory loss, but also of increased sensitivity (21, 22). A review article by Cruz-Almeida & Fillingim (23) summarizes the potential clinical usefulness of QST and emphasizes the need for clinically manageable protocols for QST assessment in defined subgroups of patients before and after treatment.

This pilot study explores the potential usefulness of temperature sensitivity, measured using QST in the forearm and neck, as a biomarker for monitoring persistent pain. Firstly, the reproduc-
ibility of temperature threshold recordings in healthy controls were assessed. To our knowledge, the variations in thermal detection and pain thresholds have not been investigated over longer time periods (24–25). Secondly, thresholds for thermal detection and pain in healthy controls were compared with those for a group of patients with persistent pain. There are many studies investigating temperature sensitivity in relation to specific pain disorders, but to our knowledge no studies in patients selected for treatment by multiprofessional rehabilitation teams.

**METHODS**

Thermal thresholds were investigated with QST in healthy controls and in patients with persistent pain. A follow-up test was performed among the healthy controls after 6–9 months. Detection and pain thresholds for cold and heat were established on the volar side of the left lower arm and, paraspinally, in the neck, just below the hairline. The neck was chosen for assessment because most patients with persistent pain report pain in the neck and shoulders, and the volar side of the lower arm was chosen because few patients report pain from the flexor side of the arm. The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee in Lund, Sweden (476/2007 and 513/2008).

**Subjects**

Participants comprised 54 healthy controls recruited from employees and students at a specialist pain rehabilitation unit (13 males, 41 females; median age 42 years). None of the healthy controls had a history of persistent pain or continuous pain medication. Twenty of the 54 healthy controls were re-tested 6–9 months after the first test in order to evaluate the variability of the thermal thresholds over time. The Department for Pain Rehabilitation, Lund, Sweden, receives approximately 1,000 new referrals a year, mainly from primary care physicians in a catchment area of approximately 1 million inhabitants. Approximately 25% of all referred patients are selected for a pain rehabilitation programme. The patient group in this study comprised 25 consecutive patients (2 males, 23 females; median age 36 years). All the patients had a history of persistent pain, with a duration of at least 6 months (and often much longer) and were subjected to a 1-day evaluation by a multidisciplinary pain rehabilitation team, comprising a physician, physiotherapist, psychologist and social worker, all sub-specialized in algology. This assessment includes surveying pain generators (muscles, nerves and joints), central nervous system (CNS) reactions to pain (e.g. sensitization, sleep disorders and cognitive dysfunction), psychological factors (e.g. depression and anxiety) and social factors (e.g. economy, insurance, family and work situation). The patients included in the study were all selected for treatment in a cognitive behaviour therapy-based pain management programme. The majority of patients (n = 23) reported pain from more than 1 area of the body. Ten patients reported pain from all 4 body quadrants, 22 reported pain from the neck and shoulders, and 4 reported pain on the volar side of the lower arm. All subjects received written information about the study, gave oral and written consent to participate, and were informed that they could stop the test at any time and for any reason.

**Equipment**

A Somedic Thermostat® device (Somedic AB, Hörby, Sweden) with a 25 × 50-mm Peltier-type probe was used for thermal stimulation. The baseline temperature of the probe was set at 32°C, and the rate of temperature change was 1°C/s. For safety reasons the maximum probe temperature was set at 52°C and the minimum at 10°C.

**Testing procedures**

All testing procedures were standardized, and instructions were read aloud to the subjects from a written protocol. All tests were run by 2 personnel trained by the same biomedical analyst. Subjects lay supine in a secluded environment at standard room temperature 20–22°C (23). Guiding sounds from the QST device were foreclosed, and subjects were unable to see the equipment display. They were informed of the temperature limits of the probe, in order to avoid fear of burns or frostbite. When testing in the arm, the probe was placed on the couch under the volar side of the patient’s forearm. In the neck, the probe was unilaterally close to the spine, just under the hairline (left arm and left side of the neck in all tests). The subjects had a reset button in their contralateral hand, and were instructed to press the button as soon as they perceived the requested sensation (detection or discomfort/pain). At reset, the deviation from baseline was registered and the probe temperature returned to neutral skin temperature (32°C). All the tests were run without interruption, starting with detection thresholds followed by pain thresholds, first on the arm and then on the neck. Tests for detection thresholds were repeated 10 times. Tests for pain thresholds were repeated 5 times. Subjects were given the opportunity to discontinue the session between each new test. When testing pain thresholds, the subjects were instructed to press the reset button as soon as the thermal stimulus caused discomfort or pain. The investigation time for each subject was approximately 30 min.

**Labels and units**

In the following, C stands for cold, H for heat or warmth, DT for detection threshold, PT for pain threshold, “a” for the arm, and “n” for the neck. The variables are presented in the tables in the same order as they were tested. The delta value is the difference between detection and pain thresholds.

**Statistical analyses**

Ten separate registrations for cold and heat detection thresholds and 5 separate registrations for cold and heat pain thresholds were performed in each test, and each individual was represented by their own mean value for each test. Eight tests were performed for each investigation: cold detection threshold in the arm (CDTa), heat detection threshold in the arm (HDTa), cold detection threshold in the neck (CDTn), heat detection threshold in the neck (HDTn), heat pain threshold in the arm (CPTa), heat pain threshold in the neck (HPn), cold pain threshold in the arm (CPta), cold pain threshold in the neck (CPtn), and heat pain threshold in the neck (HPtn). An independent samples t-test was used to compare the patients and controls, with guidance of Levene’s test for equality of variances. A paired samples t-test was used for test–retest and calculations of stability over time in healthy controls, with 95% confidence intervals of the difference reported.

**RESULTS**

**Reproducibility of quantitative sensory testing over time in healthy controls**

No significant deviations in heat and cold detection or pain thresholds were detected between the first and second QST assessments in the arm or neck (Table I).

**Comparison of measurements between the forearm and neck in patients and healthy controls**

No significant differences were found in thermal thresholds between the arm and neck in patients or healthy controls.

**Comparison of quantitative sensory testing between patients and healthy controls**

Except for CDTa, thermal detection thresholds were significantly higher in the patients than in healthy controls (Table
II). Thermal pain thresholds were significantly lower in both the arm and neck for the patient group (Table II). The most clear-cut differences between patients and healthy controls were observed for CPT. Calculation of the differences between thermal detection and pain thresholds (delta values) further strengthened the differences between patients and healthy controls (Table III).

### DISCUSSION

Repeated QST measurements of temperature detection and pain thresholds in the arm and neck did not reveal any statistically significant deviations over time in 20 healthy controls. The fairly large variability in CPT values in the arm and neck reduced the power of the comparison. However, the variability of the CPT values was much smaller in the patient group than in healthy controls. In spite of these shortcomings, there were statistically significant differences in CPT in both arm and neck between patients and healthy controls, indicating a higher sensitivity to cold pain in the patient group. Differences, although smaller, between patients and healthy controls were also seen in heat pain threshold (HPT), indicating a higher sensitivity to heat pain in the patient group. In contrast to these findings, cold and heat perception, as measured by thermal detection thresholds, was weaker in patients than in healthy controls. These differences between patients and healthy controls in thermal detection and pain thresholds are also reflected in the delta values obtained by calculating the difference between detection and pain thresholds in each individual.

All subjects completed all investigations. However, when testing CPT in the arm, 9 out of 54 (17%) healthy controls and 1 out of 25 (4%) patients reached cut-off at 10°C. No patient...
or healthy control reached the heat cut-off at 52°C. Each studied individual was represented by the mean value of all registrations in the tests (10 for detection, 5 for pain). Newer versions of the QST device introduced a software solution, in which the first 2 registrations are excluded in each test, but re-analysis of the data using this software did not influence the findings of the study. Although most differences were statistically clear-cut, the findings must be interpreted with caution, as the number of patients examined was relatively small, and patients and healthy controls were not matched with regard to, for example, gender and age. However, exclusion of male subjects from the analysis did not affect any of the results in this study. Still, the highly significant differences observed between patients and healthy controls, especially in the delta values, may indicate a common trait in this selected group of patients with persistent pain.

The detection of thermal stimuli by primary afferents, the transmission of this information to the brain and the subsequent generation of the actual experience of temperature and pain in the individual involves interplay of central and peripheral sensory mechanisms that is not fully understood. It is, however, known that innocuous cold and heat sensation and cold and heat pain are mediated by different peripheral receptors and modulated by several mechanisms, including those driven by comorbidity in the CNS (26–30). It remains to be determined whether the characteristic QST profile observed in this cohort of patients reflects a common phenotype over-represented in patients with persistent pain or a common pathophysiological process that might be responsive to multimodal pain treatment. Future longitudinal QST studies in this cohort of patients before and after treatment for persistent pain may help to resolve this issue.

Many QST studies have been performed on volunteers (20, 31) with the objective of identifying phenotypic patterns, or on patients who are believed to share a common pathophysiology (16, 32, 33). The evaluation of QST data quickly becomes very complex and difficult to interpret when many factors are included in the analysis (19), as demonstrated in, for example, neuropathic pain (34). A review article by Cruz-Almeida & Fillingim (23) discusses the challenge of developing shorter and clinically manageable QST protocols in order to identify various “pain subtypes” and to select suitable clinical treatment strategies in individual patients. The results of this study indicate that a time-effective, non-invasive assessment of thermal detection and pain thresholds in the neck and forearm, using a limited QST protocol, can identify deviations in patients with persistent pain. It remains to be demonstrated whether such neurophysiological changes, particularly the difference between cold detection and pain threshold, are of clinical value as biomarkers for defining subgroups of patients with persistent pain and for assessment, monitoring and possibly prediction of treatment outcomes.
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