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HIF1α isoforms in benign and malignant prostate tissue and their correlation to neuroendocrine differentiation

Nastaran Monsef*1, Maria Soller2, Ioannis Panagopoulos2 and Per Anders Abrahamsson3

Abstract

Background: Neuroendocrine (NE) differentiation in prostate cancer has been correlated with a poor prognosis and hormone refractory disease. In a previous report, we demonstrated the presence of immunoreactive cytoplasmic hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF1α), in both benign and malignant NE prostate cells. HIF1α and HIF1β are two subunits of HIF1, a transcription factor important for angiogenesis. The aim of this study was to elucidate whether the cytoplasmic stabilization of HIF1α in androgen independent NE differentiated prostate cancer is due to the presence of certain HIF1α isoforms.

Methods: We studied the HIF1α isoforms present in 8 cases of benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and 43 cases of prostate cancer with and without NE differentiation using RT-PCR, sequencing analysis, immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization.

Results: We identified multiple isoforms in both benign and malignant prostate tissues. One of these isoforms, HIF1α1.2, which was previously reported to be testis specific, was found in 86% of NE-differentiated prostate tumors, 92% of HIF1α immunoreactive prostate tumors and 100% of cases of benign prostate hyperplasia. Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization results showed that this isoform corresponds to the cytoplasmic HIF1α present in androgen-independent NE cells of benign and malignant prostate tissue and co-localizes with immunoreactive cytoplasmic HIF1β.

Conclusion: Our results indicate that the cytoplasmic stabilization of HIF1α in NE-differentiated cells in benign and malignant prostate tissue is due to presence of an HIF1α isoform, HIF1α1.2. Co-localization of this isoform with HIF1β indicates that the HIF1α1.2 isoform might sequester HIF1β in the cytoplasm.

Background

Neuroendocrine (NE) cells in benign and malignant prostate tissue are characterized by expression of the NE marker chromogranin A and their specific morphology, including cytoplasmic extensions and dendritic processes. In addition, they lack androgen receptor and are therefore androgen-independent [1,2]. The majority of prostatic adenocarcinomas contain tumor cells with NE features which are present focally throughout the tumors. Several studies have shown that an increased number of NE cells in prostate cancer tissue and increased levels of circulating chromogranin A, an NE marker usually expressed in NE cells, are associated with a poor prognosis, tumor progression and androgen-independent disease [1,2]. It has been hypothesized that NE cells might affect the growth and proliferation of prostate tumors in an androgen receptor-independent way, probably through the secretion of NE peptides and autocrine/paracrine signaling pathways [1,3-6]. NE tumor cells in prostate cancer may also have a role in tumor angiogenesis. Increased expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in malignant NE cells and a higher density of microvessels around nests of such cells compared to areas of prostate cancer without these cells have been reported [7]. In a previous study, we demonstrated the presence of cytoplasmic hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) in a population of NE cells in benign and malignant prostate...
tissues [8]. HIFs are a family of transcription factors that are essential for angiogenesis and the adaptation of cells to hypoxia in both physiological and pathological processes [9,10]. The possible mechanisms behind HIF1α stabilization and the function of cytoplasmic HIF1α in NE cells of benign and malignant prostate tissues are not known. However, the complicated regulation of HIFs has been extensively studied in recent years. HIF1, which is composed of two subunits, HIF1α and β, binds to the HRE of promoter regions and activates many genes involved in angiogenesis, glycolysis and anaerobic metabolism. In normoxia, the HIF1α subunit is hydroxylated on specific proline residues (402 and 564) within the oxygen dependent domain (ODD) by specific oxygen-sensitive prolyl hydroxylases and, then ubiquitinated through the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) dependent pathway and degraded instantly in the proteasome [9,10]. However, in hypoxic conditions, HIF1α is stabilized by impaired function of these prolyl hydroxylases. After its interaction with a series of small proteins, such as P300 and CBP, the HIF1α and β complex translocates to the nucleus using its nuclear localizing signal (NLS) domains at C-and N-terminus and activates the cell’s adaptive response to hypoxia [9,10].

There have been several previous reports on HIF1α isoforms lacking several exons or displaying different exons than the wild type protein. Some of these isoforms encode cytoplasmic HIF1α protein or proteins with altered transcriptional activity compared to the wild type protein. Regarding the N-terminal domain, two HIF1α isoforms with different first exons have been identified: HIF1α1.2, a protein with a different first and second exon which is 59 amino acids shorter than wild type HIF1α and encodes a cytoplasmic protein specifically expressed in the human testis [11]; HIF1α1.3, which is present in activated T-lymphocytes and encodes a functional protein with weaker transcriptional activity that the wild type protein [12]. At the C-terminal domain, isoforms lacking either exon 12 [13] or exons 11 and 12 [14] have been reported. Both isoforms have been shown to be stable cytoplasmic proteins and inhibit the function of full-length HIF1α. Another shorter HIF1α isoform lacking exon 14 has also been reported [15]. This isoform was shown to be 3-fold less active than full-length HIF1α.

The aim of this study was to elucidate the mechanism behind the cytoplasmic stabilization of HIF1α in NE cells of benign and malignant prostate tissues. We have investigated whether the cytoplasmic HIF1α corresponds to any specific known isoform. Based on the sequences published by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), we designed primers to amplify known HIF1α isoforms and determined their presence in benign prostate hyperplasia and prostate cancer with and without NE differentiation.

**Methods**

**Study cases**

The present study included tissue obtained from 51 patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP); From 8 patients with obstructive voiding symptoms due to benign hyperplasia of the prostate (BPH), and from 43 patients with prostate cancer. The majority of the patients in the latter group had previously received therapy and displayed hormone-refractory disease (Table 1). All tissue specimens were examined by a National Board certified pathologist (NM). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lund University, and the Helsinki Declaration regarding the use of human tissue was followed.

**RNA preparation, RT-PCR and sequence analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from prostate tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and cDNA synthesis was conducted as described previously. To detect isoforms of HIF1α, PCR was performed with the primer sets shown in Table 2. The 50-μl reaction volume contained 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM

### Table 1: Characteristics of patients whose samples were used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Nr. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign prostate hyperplasia</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason Score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non - determined</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non - determined</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone refractory adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma with non determined hormonal state</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1 unit Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), 10 μM of each of the forward and reverse primers and 1 μl of cDNA. After an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 56°C and 1 min at 72°C were run using a Master cycler gradient (Eppendorf-Netheler Hinz GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) thermocycler. The size of the amplified PCR product was determined on an agarose gel with 1 kb DNA ladder (cat. no. 15615-024, Invitrogen) and. For sequence analysis, the amplified fragments were purified using the Qiagen PCR purification kit (Qiagen), sequenced using the dideoxy procedure with an ABI Prism Big Dye terminator v1.1 cycle sequencing kit (PE Applied Biosystems) and the same primers as for PCR on an Applied Biosystems Model 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer.

**In situ hybridization**

Biotinylated anti sense and sense oligonucleotide probes were designed and purchased from Invitrogen (Table 2). Tissues were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, 4-μm-thick sections were cut and mounted on silanized slides (DAKO S3003), dewaxed with xylene for 10 min and rehydrated stepwise with 100% ethanol for 3 min and 70% ethanol for 3 min. Endogenous peroxidase and biotin were blocked with peroxidase blocking solution (DAKO REAL, S2023) and biotin blocking system (DAKO, X0590) for 15 min each. The sections were then permeabilized with Proteinase K (DAKO S3020) and washed with Tris-buffered saline three times. Biotinylated probes designed for HIF1α1.2 (Table 2, Invitrogen) were mixed in hybridization buffer (50% formamide) at a concentration of 200 ng/ml. Probes were added to the sections, which were then covered with coverslips and heated at 92°C for 5 min. Hybridization was carried out in a humid chamber at 37°C overnight. The sections were then washed in 2 × SSC for 10 min followed by three washes in Tris-buffered saline. For detection of hybridization signals, the Gen Point Tyramid Signal Amplification System (Dako, K0620) with chromogenic substrate (3,3’dianinobenzidine) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue samples were fixed in 4% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. One appropriate block from each specimen was selected and serial 4-μm-thick sections of tissue measuring at least 0.5 × 0.5 cm was cut from the paraffin lock. The sections were used for immunohistochemical analysis and histological examination after hematoxylin and eosin staining. After deparaffinization and rehydration, the sections were heated in citrate buffer solution (pH 6) for 14 min in a microwave oven for antigen retrieval. The characteristics and working concentrations of the antibodies used in this study are summarized in Table 3. Three primary HIF1α antibodies with different epitopes were chosen; polyclonal antibody (AB1, Y-15, santa cruz) recognizing an epitope near the N-terminus of wild type HIF1α, monoclonal HIF1α (Ab2, Novus Biological, NB 100-123), which recognizes an epitope in the middle of wild type HIF1α (residues 432-528, corresponding to exon 10 and 11 of HIF1α protein) and polyclonal HIF1α (Ab3, Bethyl Laboratories), which recognizes a region between residues 775 and the C-terminus (residue 826), corresponding to the exon 14 of HIF1α protein. Chromogranin A was chosen as NE markers, and a polyclonal anti-chromogranin A antibody (DAKO, Denmark) was used. Immunohistochemical staining was carried out with LSAB+ System (HRP, K0670, DAKO, Denmark), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sections were incubated with primary antibody at room temperature for 60 min. Counterstaining was done with Mayer hematoxylin. Tumors with chromogranin A immunopositivity were considered NE-differentiated. The smallest chromogranin A immunopositive tumor area, detected in our study cases, contained 10 immunostained cells.

Double immunostaining was performed with combinations of primary antibodies for androgen receptor/chro-
mogranin A and HIF1β/HIF1αA3. Double immunostaining kit (PicTure™ Invitrogen, catalog nr. 87-9999) was used, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Goat anti mouse IgG HRP (horseradish peroxidase) conjugate used with DAB (3,3’ Diaminobenzidine) produced a brown stain. Goat anti Rabbit IgG-AP polymer conjugate used with Fast red produced a red stain.

Results

A broad range of HIF1α isoforms exists in benign and malignant prostate tissues

HIF1α1.1 isoform was present in both benign and malignant prostate tissues (Table 4, Fig.1a). HIF1α1.2 was detected in 25 out of 43 cases of prostate cancer and in all cases of benign prostate hyperplasia (Table 4, Fig.1b). This isoform was expressed variably, although the signals from benign prostate tissues were very weak (Fig. 1b). We also found a correlation between this isoform and NE differentiation in prostate tumors. Eighty sex percent of prostate tumors with NE (compared to 28% of prostate tumors without NE) expressed this isoform (Table 4). Comparing the presence of this isoform with HIF1α immunostaining showed that 92% of cases with this isoform also showed HIF1α immunostaining (Table 4). Therefore, we suspect that the HIF1α1.2 isoform represents the cytoplasmic HIF1α in NE cells. The weak signals from benign prostate tissue can be explained by the fact that NE cells are rare in benign prostate tissue, making up only about 10% of the cells in the prostate gland. In addition, we used non-NE-differentiated LNCaP cells as a control, and these cells did not express this isoform, further indicating that it represents cytoplasmic HIF1α (Fig. 1g, lane 2).

HIF1α 1.3 was not detected in any of our study cases (Table 4, Fig.1c). Since inflammation was rare in our specimen, this further verifies a report showing the presence of this isoform of HIF 1α in activated lymphocytes. However, we lacked positive control for HIF1α1.3.

To investigate various isoforms with alternative splicing of exons 11 to 13, we used forward primers located in the middle of exon 10 and reverse primers located in the middle of exon 13. We noted one strong band of 220 bp and a weaker band of 340 bp (Fig. 1d). Sequence analysis of the shorter band showed only part of exons 10 and 13, indicating the presence of an HIF1α isoform without exons 11 and 12. Sequence analysis of the weaker band of 340 bp was not possible, but the size of the band corresponds to an isoform lacking exon12. These two isoforms were found in both benign and malignant cases. In one case of benign prostate hyperplasia and three cases of malignant prostate tissue, we also detected a band at 720 bp, which might correspond to HIF1α containing exons 11 and 12. As a control, we analyzed LNCaP cells, which showed a strong band of 720bp (Fig. 1g, lane 5). Sequence analysis verified that the band corresponds to exons 10, 11 and 12 as well as a part of exon 13.

To further investigate the presence of exon 12 in benign and malignant prostate tissues, we designed primers located in the beginning and end of exon 12 (Table 2). We identified exon 12 in all study cases (Fig. 1e). We conclude that the first experiment using primers located in exons 10 and 13 was not optimal to detect the longer HIF1α isoform containing exon 12. We also conclude that in most cases of benign prostate hyperplasia and prostate cancers, the HIF1α isoform containing exon 12 is expressed at very low levels since LNCaP cells had high signals with the same primers (Fig. 1g, lane 5).

HIF1α variants with and without exon 14 (HIF1α14+ and 14−) were detected in all cases of benign prostate hyperplasia and prostate cancer. With primers located in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies</th>
<th>Clone/Code</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIF1α, Ab1</td>
<td>Goat polyclonal Y-15</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIF1α, Ab2</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal, NB 100-123</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Novus biological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIF1α, Ab3</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Bethyl laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIF1β</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal, ab465-100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgen receptor, AR</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal AR441</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogranin A</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal IHC00460</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Antibodies used for immunostaining
Figure 1 RT-PCR analysis of HIF1α mRNA isoforms in benign prostate hyperplasia (B, Fig. 1a-f), NE differentiated prostate cancer (M1, Fig. 1a-f) and non NE differentiated prostate cancer (M2, Fig. 1a-f). K (Fig. 1a-f) and T (Fig. 1b) correspond to control and testis tissue, respectively. HIF1α isoforms in LNCaP cells (Fig. 1g), Lane 1 represents HIF1α1.1, lane 2 represents HIF1α1.2, and lane 3 represents HIF1α1.3. Lane 4: bands detected with primers sets located in exons 10 and 13, lane 5 includes bands from primers located in exon 12 and lane 6 corresponds to bands detected with primers located in exons 13 and 15.
Table 4: The frequency of HIF1α isoforms in benign prostate hyperplasia and prostate cancer with and without NE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total nr.</th>
<th>HIF1α 1.1</th>
<th>HIF1α 1.2</th>
<th>HIF1α 1.3</th>
<th>HIF1α 12+</th>
<th>HIF1α 12-</th>
<th>HIF1α 11-&amp;12-</th>
<th>HIF1α 14+</th>
<th>HIF1α 14-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43 (100%)</td>
<td>25 (58%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43 (100%)</td>
<td>43 (100%)</td>
<td>43 (100%)</td>
<td>43 (100%)</td>
<td>43 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma immunopositive for HIF1α</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
<td>24 (92%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma immunonegative for HIF1α</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma with NE</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>19 (86%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma Without NE</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (28%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (100%)</td>
<td>21 (100%)</td>
<td>21 (100%)</td>
<td>21 (100%)</td>
<td>21 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNCaP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LNCaP cells were used as a control.
exons 13 and 15, we detected two bands corresponding to these two isoforms (Fig. 1f).

LNCaP cells were used as control for analysis of HIF1α1, HIF1α12+/−, and HIF1α14+/−. HIF1α 1.2 and 1.3 were not detected in these cells (Fig. 1g, lanes 1,2,3 and 6).

Cytoplasmic HIF1α in NE cells from prostate tumors has an different N-terminus than the wild type protein

To differentiate the isoforms of HIF1α, we used three different antibodies that recognize the N-terminus of wild type protein (Y-10 Santa Cruz, Ab1, corresponding to exons 1-2, Figs. 2a and 2c), the middle section of wild type protein (NB 100-123, Ab2, corresponding to exons 10-11, Figs. 2a,b,d) and the C-terminus (Bethyl Laboratories Ab3, corresponding to exon 14, Figs. 2a,b,e). Immunostaining was performed on serial sections of benign prostate hyperplasia and HIF1α prostate cancer tissues. The antibody recognizing the N-terminus of wild type HIF1α failed to detect cytoplasmic HIF1α in malignant cells of prostate tissue (Fig.2c), whereas positive immunostaining was seen with the other two antibodies (Figs. 2d and 2e). The same results were shown with benign prostate tissue (11). These results indicate that the cytoplasmic HIF1α detected in the NE cells of benign and malignant cells contains an N-terminal portion different from the wild type protein.

Co-localization of HIF1α and HIF1β in androgen receptor-negative benign and malignant NE-like cells of the prostate

Immunostaining for HIF1β showed cytoplasmic staining in NE-like cells of benign prostate tissue (Fig. 2i). In order to investigate whether the immunoreactive HIF1β co-localizes with HIF1α in benign prostate tissue, we selected two cases of benign prostate hyperplasia for double immunostaining. We observed co-localization of cytoplasmic HIF1α with cytoplasmic HIF1β in all NE cells of the benign prostate tissue (53 cells counted) (Fig. 2j). We also investigated the localization of HIF1α and HIF1β using immunostaining of serial sections of two cases with NE and non-NE prostate tumors. HIF1β was seen in approximately 80%-100% of HIF1α-positive NE-differentiated tumor cells (Figs. 2e and 2f). In addition, double staining in malignant HIF1α-immunopositive cells showed that these cells were immunonegative for androgen receptor (Fig. 2g). In non-NE prostate tumors we found no detectable cytoplasmic HIF1β immunostaining. Only weak nuclear HIF1β staining was observed in these tumors (data not shown).

In situ hybridization shows the presence of HIF1a.2α in NE-differentiated benign and malignant prostate cells

In situ hybridization was performed on serially cut paraffin sections from two cases of benign and four cases of malignant prostate cancer. Two cases of adenocarcinomas were previously shown to contain cells with cytoplasmic HIF1α and NE marker, chromogranin. The other two cases were negative for cytoplasmic HIF1α and NE marker. In adjacent sections, the majority of HIF1α-positive cells in both benign and malignant cells (93% versus 89%) also displayed increased HIF1a.2 specific mRNA levels (Figs. 3a,c, g, i, j). Fifty cells were counted in the benign cases, and 300 cells were counted in the malignant tissue. The HIF1α-negative cells had low or no detectable mRNA levels of HIF1α (Figs. 3e and 3f). These findings suggest that HIF1α.2 corresponds to the isoform encoding cytoplasmic HIF1α in both benign and malignant prostate tissues.

Discussion

HIF1α, a major transcription factor essential in tumorigenesis, has been shown to be over-expressed in many types of tumors, including prostate cancer [16-18]. Neo-
angiogenesis occurs in an uncoordinated fashion in malignant tumors, leading to hypoxia, inactivation of prolyl hydroxylases and inhibition of HIF1α degradation, which, in turn, activates HIF1α [19]. HIF1α protein has also been shown to be stabilized under normoxic conditions by growth factor stimulation or due to mutations in the VHL protein [20]. The accumulation of HIF1α protein has been reported to be an early event in prostate cancer and high-grade prostate intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) [21,22]. The accumulation of wild type HIF1α should lead to its nuclear transport, unless regulatory factors intervene. The functional implications of cytoplasmic HIF1α and its relationship to VEGF remain to be elucidated. HIF1α is a key regulatory transcription factor of VEGF, but VEGF has also been shown to be regulated by other transcription factors. For example, RAII upregulates VEGF-C in prostate cancer cells during androgen ablation [28] and STAT3 binds to the VEGF promoter and directly regulates VEGF [29]. If cytoplasmic HIF1α in NE cells exerts an inhibitory effect on full-length HIF1α, this might indicate that VEGF is up-regulated in NE cells by other transcription factors.

Malignant NE cells have been correlated with increased microvessel density in prostate tumors and display increased expression of VEGF, an important angiogenic regulatory factor. The functional implication of cytoplasmic HIF1α and its relationship to VEGF remain to be elucidated. HIF1α is a key regulatory transcription factor of VEGF, but VEGF has also been shown to be regulated by other transcription factors. For example, RAII upregulates VEGF-C in prostate cancer cells during androgen ablation [28] and STAT3 binds to the VEGF promoter and directly regulates VEGF [29]. If cytoplasmic HIF1α in NE cells exerts an inhibitory effect on full-length HIF1α, this might indicate that VEGF is up-regulated in NE cells by other transcription factors.

Figure 3 Results of in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry on thin adjacent section to detect expression of HIF-1α 1.2 mRNA and HIF1α protein in malignant and benign prostate tissue. In situ hybridization (antisense probe, Fig. 3a) and immunostaining with HIF1α Ab2 (Fig. 3b) on thin adjacent sections of NE-differentiated prostate adenocarcinoma showed co-localization of HIF1α 1.2 transcript and HIF1α protein. Incubation with sense probe did not generate any detectable hybridization signals (Fig. 3b). Both in situ hybridization (Fig. 3d antisense) and HIF1α Ab2 immunostaining (Fig. 3f) were negative in non-NE-differentiated prostate adenocarcinoma. In situ hybridization with sense probe performed on non-NE-differentiated prostate cancer (Fig. 3e) was negative. In situ hybridization on benign prostate tissue showed HIF1α 1.2 transcript in NE-like cells of benign prostate tissue (Fig. 3g, h). The sense probe on thin adjacent section generated no signals (Fig. 3h). Furthermore, co-localization of HIF1α 1.2 transcript (Fig. 3i, j, k, l) and HIF1α protein, detected with HIF1αAb3 (Fig. 3j) was also shown in NE-like cells of benign prostate tissue. Panels a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, j: 40× objective.
Conclusion
In summary, our results suggest that cytoplasmic HIF1α in androgen independent NE cells of benign prostate tissue and non NE differentiated prostate tumors, corresponds to HIF1αL2 isoform. Co-localization of this isoform with immunoreactive cytoplasmic HIF1β indicates that the HIF1α 1.2 isoform, which lacks one of the protein's nuclear translocation signals, may sequester HIF1β in the cytoplasm.
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