Attitudes and use of cognitive aids during anaesthetic crises in Sweden: an electronic survey

Thomas, Owain; Schott, Ulf; Tallhage, Anton

Published: 2016-01-01

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Creative Commons License:
CC BY-ND

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Atitudes and use of cognitive aids during anaesthetic crises in Sweden: an electronic survey

Tallhage A.1, Thomas O.2, Schött U.1

1University of Lund, Dept of Anaesthesiology & Intensive Care Lund, Sweden
2SUS Lund University Hospital, Paediatric Intensive Care Unit, Dept of Anaesthesiology & Intensive Care, Lund, Sweden.

Background and Goal: Written or computerized resources designed to reduce human errors when tasks are being performed are called “cognitive aids” (CA’s). CA’s can consist of checklists or algorithms presented in various formats. International associations have produced and published collections of CA’s for use during anaesthetic crises but there is at present no such Swedish equivalent. Despite CA’s for anaesthetic emergencies being intuitively attractive, there is an absence of large clinical trials evaluating their effectiveness: the only hard evidence supporting their use is from simulation-based studies. The aim of the study was to describe attitudes towards, the distribution and use of CA’s for anaesthetic crises in Sweden. This study is part of a bigger project within the Swedish Society of Anesthesia and Intensive Care (SPA) with the ultimate goal of creating and implementing a national Swedish collection of CA’s.

Method: Following ethical approval, an electronic survey was constructed using Google Forms®. The survey was sent by e-mail to all members of SPAI. Among other questions we asked if the respondents had been exposed to any of three given crises during the last year so as to specifically ask whether they had used CA’s and how subjectively successful the medical outcome had been. The crises we enquired about were anaphylaxis, massive bleeding and malignant hyperthermia. These conditions were chosen since they vary in incidence and therefore familiarity. Pearson’s Chi-squared test was conducted to test categorical data and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyse continuous data that were collected in order to investigate attitudes towards CA’s.

Results and discussion: A total of 279 anaesthetists responded to the survey. 168 were men, 99 were women and 1 was X. Swedish anaesthetists generally have a positive attitude towards CA’s. The mean attitude-score of all of the respondents towards CA’s for anaesthetic crises was 4.2 (where 1 was ‘very negative’, 5 was ‘very positive’). Around 2/3 of departments have common collections of CA’s for anaesthetic crises, most commonly provided on the intranet of hospitals. These are workstation computer based CA’s and are thus not portable and accessible. For a full specification of all of the CA’s used by the respondents, see Table 1.

Among the respondents who had encountered one or more of our three different crises, departmental or ‘own’ CA’s were used in 70% (256/365) of the cases. This is more than we had expected and it points towards a cultural acceptance of CA’s in Sweden. The respondents who had used CA’s in treating our three crises reported significantly more successful medical outcomes than respondents who had treated the conditions without the assistance of CA’s (p<0.001). Figure 1 displays the distribution of reported medical outcomes. Respondents from hospitals that had departmental CA’s available for our three specific conditions reported significantly more successful medical outcomes than respondents from hospitals where departmental CA’s were not available (p<0.001), see Figure 2.

Conclusions: In Sweden, anaesthetists’ attitudes towards CA’s are generally positive and we have shown that CA’s have the potential to subjectively improve medical outcomes. It indicates that CA’s do have the capability of improving patient care. This should be of clear interest to departmental managers who are able to make CA’s available to their employees.

It is interesting that both the respondents who had used CA’s, and that those who worked at an institution where a departmental cognitive aid was available, reported significantly more successful medical outcomes. It indicates that CA’s do have the capability of improving patient care. This should be of clear interest to departmental managers who are able to make CA’s available to their employees.