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Summary

During the 20th century a number of disastrous fires in warehouses storing chemicals, and in chemical production plants have occurred. This has increased the awareness of the problems connected with storing large amounts of chemicals. As a result of this, new legislation and guidelines have been implemented throughout Europe. One part of this is the SEVESO directive, which was introduced in Europe during 1987. Currently the SEVESO II directive is being introduced. A lack of knowledge was found concerning substances produced in fires involving chemical substances, especially organic substances containing heteroatoms, like nitrogen, sulphur, phosphorus and chlorine.

The project TOXFIRE [1], a part of the CEC ENVIRONMENT programme, has as its main objective to develop a basis for two sets of guidelines in relation to fires in chemical warehouses. These are guidelines for the fire safety engineers to be used in accident prevention [2] and guidelines for the fire brigade to be used if an accident occurs [3]. The guidelines will be the outcome of a detailed and systematic study of chemical fires supplemented by experiments based on model compounds to determine important properties of the substances involved and the source characteristics and assessment of other parameters of importance for fire scenarios.

In this report results from experiments in a medium scale combustion chamber are presented. The chamber was 0.66m³ and the amount of substance burned was between 0.3kg and 2.3kg. The walls and the ceiling of the combustion chamber can be heated electrically in order to apply external radiation. Experiments were carried out using a number of chemical substances of different nature as fuel. The tested substances were: chlorobenzene, dimethoate, heptane, nylon-6,6, tetramethylthiuram monosulfide (TMTM) and 4-chloro-3-nitrobenzoic acid (CNBA). During the experiments online measurements in the exhaust duct were made of combustion gases such as CO, CO₂, NOₓ, O₂ and unburned hydrocarbons. Results from the gas analysis are presented as yields in g component produced per g substance burned. Yields are given for CO, CO₂, NOₓ, HC and soot. Grab samples were taken intermittently and analysed by GC-MS with respect to content of organic combustion products. A qualitative analysis of organic substances found in the GC-MS analysis is presented. A large number of substances were detected, including varying amounts of the original substance being burned. The rate of heat released, RHR, during the experiments was measured using the oxygen consumption technique. The RHR ranged from 0.4kW to 47kW. Continuous measurements were also made of temperatures inside the combustion chamber, in the opening of the chamber and in the exhaust duct. Radiation inside the chamber and mass loss was also monitored continuously.

In all 38 experiments were conducted. The conditions during the experiments varied with respect to the degree of ventilation, measured as the phi-value. Phi-values ranging from 0.04 to 1.4 were detected. It was found impossible to achieve higher phi-values in the experimental set-up used here. The ventilation was varied by changing the opening height. The results indicate that the phi-value is a useful parameter when it comes to characterisation of enclosure fires. The opening width was kept constant at 0.45m in all experiments. A comparison between the measured and calculated phi-values show that these are in good agreement. Since some of the substances contain heteroatoms like chlorine and phosphorus they are difficult to
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ignite, in fact these elements are used in fire retardant applications. Thus it was necessary to apply external radiation in some of the experiments in order to achieve sustained burning of the tested substance.
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# Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>Area of the exhaust duct</td>
<td>([\text{m}^2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_d)</td>
<td>Discharge coefficient</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>Height of opening</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g)</td>
<td>Gravitational constant</td>
<td>([\text{m/s}^2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta H_{C,ox})</td>
<td>Heat release per unit mass oxygen consumed</td>
<td>[kJ/gO(_2)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta H_T)</td>
<td>Theoretical heat of combustion</td>
<td>[kJ/g]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>Light intensity during the test</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_o)</td>
<td>Light intensity without smoke</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K)</td>
<td>Extinction coefficient</td>
<td>([1/\text{m}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>Light beam length through the smoke</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>Mass concentration of the smoke aerosol</td>
<td>([\text{g/m}^3])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_{\text{fuel}})</td>
<td>Total mass of sample burned</td>
<td>[g]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_i)</td>
<td>Total mass of gas (i) produced</td>
<td>[g]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_{\text{soot}})</td>
<td>Amount of soot</td>
<td>[g]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\dot{m}_{\text{fuel}})</td>
<td>Amount of fuel burned</td>
<td>[g/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\dot{m}_{O_2})</td>
<td>Amount of oxygen consumed</td>
<td>[g/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_C)</td>
<td>Molecular weight of carbon</td>
<td>[g/mole]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_{CO})</td>
<td>Molecular weight of CO</td>
<td>[g/mole]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_{CO_2})</td>
<td>Molecular weight of CO(_2)</td>
<td>[g/mole]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_{\text{nylon}})</td>
<td>Molecular weight of nylon</td>
<td>[g/mole]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta p)</td>
<td>Pressure difference in the exhaust duct</td>
<td>[Pa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q)</td>
<td>Rate of heat release</td>
<td>[kW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r)</td>
<td>Stoichiometric fuel/air mass ratio</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_0)</td>
<td>Temperature of ambient air</td>
<td>[K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_e)</td>
<td>Temperature in the exhaust duct</td>
<td>[K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_u)</td>
<td>Mean temperature in the hot upper layer in the combustion chamber</td>
<td>[K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\dot{V})</td>
<td>Volume flow in the exhaust duct</td>
<td>([\text{m}^3/\text{s}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(w)</td>
<td>Width of opening</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_0)</td>
<td>Concentration of oxygen in the surrounding air</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_i)</td>
<td>Mole fraction of gas (i) in the exhaust duct</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_m)</td>
<td>Scale value on the oxygen meter</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_{O_2})</td>
<td>Molar fraction of oxygen in the oxygen meter with extra oxygen</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^0_{O_2})</td>
<td>Mole fraction of O(_2) in the incoming air</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Y_i)</td>
<td>Yield of product (i)</td>
<td>[g/g]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>Expansion factor</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\phi)</td>
<td>Equivalence ratio</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_0)</td>
<td>Density of air at ambient temperature</td>
<td>[kg/m(^3)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\[ \sigma_m \] Extinction area \( [m^2/g] \)

\[ \Psi_c \] Yield of C \( [g/g] \)

\[ \Psi_{CO} \] Yield of CO \( [g/g] \)

\[ \Psi_{CO_2} \] Yield of CO\(_2\) \( [g/g] \)

\[ \Psi_{NO_2} \] Yield of NO\(_2\) \( [g/g] \)
1 Introduction

This report constitutes a part of the CEC ENVIRONMENT project entitled "TOXFIRE - Guidelines for Management of Fires in Chemical Warehouses"[1].

The objective of the project is to develop a basis for two sets of guidelines in relation to fires in chemical warehouses; guidelines for the fire safety engineers to be used in accident prevention [2], and guidelines for the fire brigade to be used if an accident nevertheless occurs [3]. The guidelines will be the outcome of a detailed and systematic study of chemical fires supplemented by experiments based on model compounds to determine important properties of the substances involved and the source characteristics and assessment of other parameters of importance for fire scenarios. In addition, the consequences to humans and the environment of the fire will be included. A common introduction and approach to the guideline documents is presented in [4].

Based on a number of parameters the substances will be classified. The classification of substances requires studies and experiments of ignitability, heat release, burning rate, smoke evolution, combustion products and influence on the combustion products of the packaging materials. The characterisation of the source will use parameters obtained by carrying out combustion experiments of various scales and by studying the effects of scaling. In addition, the fire scenarios will be characterised by the degree of ventilation, the packaging materials, the stacking of the materials and the response of the building. In addition, the suppression is an important parameter, i.e. active and passive suppression and the fire brigade tactics. The consequences to humans as well as the environment will be assessed. Finally, existing modelling methods used for risk assessment will be studied, along with the handling and prevention of accidents. These investigations will lead to the development of the basis for guidelines document for the fire safety engineers and the fire brigades. In parallel also a quick decision system to be used by the fire chief in case of a chemical fire will be developed.

The project comprises the following workpackages:

- WP 1: Screening of substances and micro scale experiment
- WP 2: Small scale cone calorimeter experiments
- **WP 3: Medium scale (model scale) experiments**
- WP 4: Indoor large scale underventilated fire tests
- WP 5: Effects of storage configuration and fire spread models
- WP 6: Sampling and analysis of dioxins
- WP 7: Consequence models for health hazards
- WP 8: Consequence models for ecotoxicological effects
- WP 9: Quick decision system
- WP10: Guidelines for safety engineers
- WP11: Guidelines for fire brigades
- WP12: Project management
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The participants in the project are:

- Risø National Laboratory, Denmark
- South Bank University, UK
- VTT - The Technical Research Centre of Finland
- **Lund University, Sweden**
- SP - The Swedish National Testing and Research Institute
- DMU - The Danish National Environmental Research Institute

This report contains the results and conclusions from WP 3: Medium scale experiments.
2 Experimental method

The medium-scale combustion tests were performed in a 0.66m$^3$, combustion chamber. The amount of substance burned was between 0.3kg and 2.3kg. The combustion chamber is equipped with a hood to collect all smoke and combustion gases. The hood is connected to an exhaust duct where on-line measurements were made. A phi-meter was incorporated into the measuring system to determine the degree of ventilation during the experiments. Gas samples and soot samples were collected intermittently. The gas samples were analysed with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and with ion chromatography. Soot was collected intermittently on a filter in order to determine the mass of soot produced.

*Figure 1. Overall, configuration of the combustion chamber.*
2.1 The combustion chamber

The stainless steel combustion chamber used during these experiments is fitted inside a furnace constructed of ceramic, high temperature bricks. The internal dimensions of the steel chamber are 0.75m (width), 1.10m (depth) and 0.80m (height), giving a volume of 0.66m³. This is approximately 1/3 of the standard, ISO room corner test (ISO 9705). The internal dimensions of the oven are 0.80m (width), 1.10m (depth) and 1.09m (height). This gives a portion of air above the steel chamber and between the sidewalls of the chamber and the oven sidewalls. There is an opening in one wall. The opening width is 0.45m. The height of the opening is adjustable in order to change the ventilation conditions. Stainless steel covers were used to change the opening height. The walls and ceiling in the combustion chamber can be electrically heated in order to apply external radiation. The maximum temperature achievable in the chamber corresponds to a radiation towards the floor of approximately 50kW/m². The overall configuration of the combustion chamber is given in figure 1.

2.2 On line measurements inside the combustion chamber

A number of measurements were made inside the combustion chamber. A load cell was positioned in the centre of the floor beneath the chamber. The exact position can be seen in figure 1. The load cell was connected to a platform inside the chamber via a ceramic rod. This arrangement was made to avoid thermal influence on the load cell as much as possible. Thus, the tested substances are placed 0.05m above the bottom of the combustion chamber. Two radiometers were mounted in the floor of the combustion chamber. They were of the Gunners’ type [5], and were positioned in front and behind the weight-measuring device. The exact positions of the radiometers were 0.43m from the opening and 0.20m from the rear wall. Both radiometers were positioned along the centre line of the chamber. The upper surfaces of the radiometers were 10mm above the bottom of the combustion chamber. The radiometers were calibrated in a spherical furnace according to the procedure described in [6]. An array of eight thermocouples was mounted vertically in one corner of the chamber, 0.05m from the adjacent walls. The thermocouples were mounted at the following distances from the ceiling: 5mm, 10mm, 15mm, 20mm, 30mm, 40mm, 50mm and 60mm. Three thermocouples were placed on the walls; each of these was mounted in the centre of the wall and three in the ceiling to measure surface temperatures. The thermocouples in the ceiling were mounted according to the following specification: One thermocouple was placed 0.30 m from the opening wall and 0.15m from the right-hand wall and two thermocouples were placed 0.30m from the rear wall and 0.15m from the right-hand wall and from the left-hand wall respectively. Specifications on the measuring equipment are presented in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Gunners’ radiometers</td>
<td>0 - 100kW/m²</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Load cell</td>
<td>0 - 10kg</td>
<td>± 1g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Thermocouple Chromel Alumel, d=0.25 mm</td>
<td>0 - 1300°C</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Measurements in the opening

The opening of the chamber had a width of 0.45m in all experiments. The height of the opening however was varied in order to change the ventilation conditions. This was achieved by placing stainless steel covers of different size in the opening. The design of the opening is presented in figure 2. In the centre of the opening an array of seven thermocouples was mounted in order to measure the gas temperature of the hot combustion gases and the air entering the chamber. The thermocouples were evenly distributed along the vertical centreline of the opening. See figure 3 for position of the thermocouples. Two probes were mounted in the opening for taking gas samples to the phi-meter and for gas analysis. Each of these probes had three 1.5mm holes. The positions of these holes are indicated with small arrows in figure 3. The gas samples were drawn to the phi-meter and in some tests, concentrations of CO, CO₂ and O₂ in the opening were measured. The probes were mounted diagonally in the upper part of the opening to ensure that the samples were taken in the hot gases leaving the chamber. The concentrations are a mean value of the concentrations in the hot upper layer in the chamber. The probes are made with three holes equally spaced along the probe. The phi probe was mounted with the holes facing the inside of the chamber in order to get samples containing all types of combustion products, including soot. The probe for gas sampling on the other hand was mounted with the holes facing the outside in order to avoid soot in the samples. The positions of the probes are given in figure 3.

Figure 2. Opening configurations used in the combustion chamber. The left one was used in test 001-021 and the right was used in test 022-038
When studying fires with varying degrees of ventilation a parameter phi, the combustion equivalence ratio has been introduced to describe the ventilation condition [7]. Equipment by which this parameter can be measured has been used in these experiments. The main principle for the phi-meter is to expose the combustion gases to a catalytic combustion in a reactor with a platinum wire catalyst. In the original layout for the phi-meter, the temperature in the reactor was 1000°C. A change of the catalyst, to a platinum catalyst in pellet form, made it possible to reduce the reactor temperature to 400°C. In the reactor, all combustion products are transformed to CO₂ and H₂O. Following the reactor, the gases go through a train of filters where CO₂ and H₂O are removed. The dry remaining nitrogen-oxygen mixture is then analysed with respect to oxygen content. In order to cover fuel rich conditions a known amount of pure oxygen is introduced to the reactor. An overall view of the phi-meter is given in figure 4. The conditions of the phi-measurements were the same throughout the experimental series. The total mass flow through the system was 0.6 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3/\text{min}, the flow of pure oxygen was 0.13 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3/\text{min} and the temperature in the reactor was 400°C.

Much effort has been put into calibrating and verifying the function of the phi-meter. In figure 5 a calibration diagram for the phi-meter is presented. The calibration was performed with propane. The time constant for the phi-meter was measured to 30 s. The phi-meter is sensitive to the amount of oxygen added to the system. This means that by changing the added amount of oxygen, the working range for the phi-meter is also changed. When a certain level of phi is anticipated the oxygen level should be chosen accordingly to suit this measuring range.
2.4 On line measurements in the exhaust duct

A hood, which collects all smoke and combustion gases from the combustion chamber, is located outside the opening. The hood is connected to an exhaust duct, including a flow stabilising section, where all sampling probes are located. The whole system is thermally insulated to avoid condensation problems. A 2.2kW radial fan exhausts the smoke. The flow in the duct can be regulated by means of introducing flow reducers of varying size.

The exhaust duct is equipped with a bi-directional pitot tube, a thermocouple, a light absorption measuring device and a probe for continuous measurements of concentrations of O₂, CO₂, CO, NOₓ and HC (unburned hydrocarbons). Specifications for the measuring equipment are presented in tables 2 and 3. The overall layouts are given in figure 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>Lamp with colour temperature 2900 ± 100K</td>
<td>0 - 100%</td>
<td>Lamp: ± 0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And photo cell detector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Detector: Linear within 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure drop</td>
<td>Pressure transducer connected to a</td>
<td>0 - 400Pa</td>
<td>± 0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bi-directional pitot tube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Thermocouple, Chromel Alumel, D= 0.25mm</td>
<td>0 - 1300°C</td>
<td>± 0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table 3. Gas analysis equipment for continuous analysis in the exhaust duct.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Range, accuracy</th>
<th>Calibration gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>Siemens Oxymat 5E Paramagnetic</td>
<td>10-21 vol% + 1% of full scale</td>
<td>21% 9.94 + 0.200%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Siemens Ultramat 22P, Non-dispersive IR-absorption</td>
<td>0-1 vol% + 1% of full scale</td>
<td>0.202 ± 0.0040ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202 ± 4.04ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Siemens Ultramat 22P, Non-dispersive IR-absorption</td>
<td>0-20 vol% + 1% of full scale</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.0998%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.502 ± 0.010%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>Analysis Automation Limited, Chemiluminiscens analysis, model 443</td>
<td>0-100ppm + 1% of full scale</td>
<td>93.6 ± 1.9ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Siemens Fidamat K FID (flame ionisation detector)</td>
<td>0-100ppm + 1% of full scale</td>
<td>50.9 ± 1.0ppm propane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mass flow in the exhaust duct was calculated according to NT FIRE 025 [8], using the values from the pitot tube and the thermocouple in the duct. The smoke extinction was also measured according to NT FIRE 025. The gas samples for continuous measurement of O₂, CO and CO₂ were cooled and dried and freed from particles before they entered the analysis instruments. The samples for NOₓ and HC measurements were taken through a sampling line heated to 200°C and a heated smoke filter. The gas analysis equipment was calibrated with zero and span gases of concentrations within the same area of magnitude as the expected test samples. All measurements were performed on-line and a Schlumberg data-logger was used to collect the data and transfer them to a PC. The scan interval was 5s.

![Figure 6. Layout of the measuring equipment for the on-line measurements in the exhaust duct.](image-url)
2.5 Intermittent gas samples

Gas samples were taken intermittently from the exhaust duct in order to determine the main components in the combustion gases. The samples were drawn iso-kinetically from the sample probe at intervals during which the combustion was deemed approximately constant. The sampling period was typically 5 minutes. The combustion products were adsorbed on Amberlite XAD-2 and on activated carbon. XAD-2 is a frequently used adsorbent for sampling of gaseous components. An extra XAD-2 tube was mounted directly after the first one to take care of any excess products. The first tube was filled with 600 mg XAD-2 and the second tube with 300 mg. After the XAD-2 tubes were two tubes with activated carbon in order to collect the substances that are not adsorbed on the XAD-2. The combustion gases collected on XAD-2 were extracted with diethyl ether and the products adsorbed on carbon were extracted with carbon disulphide. The extracts were subsequently analysed with GC-MSD and GC-MSD-FID. The column in the MSD was a non-polar column.

The identification of the substances in the combustion gases was made with the GC-MSD and subsequently a quantitative analysis was made with FID when this was feasible. Analysis was also made of the content of anions in the combustion gases. This was achieved by letting the gas flow through a train of two impinger bottles with a water solution of NaOH with pH 9. Analyses were made of Cl\(^-\) and SO\(^2-\) content when this was relevant. These analyses were made with ion chromatography.

Gas samples were also taken in order to study the soot content in the combustion gases. The soot particles were collected on filters that were dried and weighed before test and after test to determine the amount of soot produced during the test. The soot filters were made of Teflon and were of the type Millex-FG50 from Millipore. The optical measurements in the duct were transformed to yields and together with the amount of soot collected on the filter, this gave the smoke extinction area for the burned substance. This was done according to the principles described in section 3.5.

2.6 Selection and properties of tested substances

As mentioned earlier the TOXFIRE project comprises a number of different work packages. In WP1 to WP4, substances were tested in different scales and thus varying amounts of substances were tested. Depending on the tested amount the number of substances that could be tested varied between the testing methods. Different criteria had to be met in different testing situations. The largest number of tested substances could be dealt with in the small-scale tests. In the medium scale tests six substances were tested. The tested substances are presented in table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>State, form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heptane, C(<em>7)H(</em>{16})</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene, C(_6)H(_5)Cl</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetramethylthiuram monosulfide, TMTM, C(<em>6)H(</em>{12})N(_2)S(_3)</td>
<td>Extrusion granules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chloro-3-nitrobenzoic acid, CNBA, C(_7)H(_4)ClNO(_4)</td>
<td>Powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O,O-dimethyl-S-(N-methylcarbamoylmethyl)-Phosphorodithioate, Dimethoate, C(<em>4)H(</em>{12})NO(_3)PS(_2)</td>
<td>Formulation with cyclohexanon and xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon-6,6, C(<em>{12})H(</em>{22})N(_2)O(_2)</td>
<td>Solid polymer, pellets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The materials in table 4 were chosen from the list of materials common to the TOXFIRE project. They represent a number of different types of substances. Heptane is a simple hydrocarbon fuel, chlorobenzene is a chlorinated solvent, TMTM represents substances containing sulphur, CNBA contains chlorine and nitrogen, Dimethoate is a pesticide containing nitrogen, phosphor and sulphur and nylon-6,6 is a polymer which has been used as a reference material throughout the STEP [9] and the TOXFIRE projects. The selection of substances was made to give a wide spectrum of types of substances as regards content of heteroatoms such as chlorine, nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur. It was also required that the substances have controllable combustion behaviour and they could not be so highly toxic that the staff or nearby persons would be endangered.

The tested substances represent a wide spectrum as regards their burning behaviour. The chlorine containing substances are inherently fire retardant and thus rather difficult to ignite. Nylon 6,6 is a thermoplastic polymer and melts before it is possible to ignite the substance. Nylon 6,6 therefore needs external heat flux in order to ignite. CNBA was also found hard to ignite. In some of the tests polypropylene was added and acted as an ignition source. Selected properties of the tested substances are given in table 5.

Table 5. Molecular weight, theoretical heat of combustion and theoretical yields for the tested substances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Mw [g/mole]</th>
<th>ΔHc,ox [kJ/gO₂]</th>
<th>ΔH₂ [kJ/g]</th>
<th>ΨC [g/g]</th>
<th>ΨCO₂ [g/g]</th>
<th>ΨCO [g/g]</th>
<th>ΨSO₂ [g/g]</th>
<th>ΨHCl [g/g]</th>
<th>ΨSO₂ [g/g]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heptane</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>44.59</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>26.19</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>208.4</td>
<td>13.96</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA</td>
<td>201.6</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate*</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>42.76</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon-6,6</td>
<td>226.4</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dimethoate was tested as a formulation consisting of 41% Dimethoate, 45% cyclohexanon and 14% xylene.

The figures in the table refer to the formulation.

2.7 Experiments

The performance of each experiment followed a standard procedure. The measuring equipment was calibrated prior to start of the experiment. After the calibration the data logger was started. The substance was placed in a cylindrical container on the load cell, in the centre of the combustion chamber. Containers having an inner diameter from 0.10m to 0.40m were used. The tested substance was in most experiments ignited with a small flame. For some substances, more intense ignition sources were necessary. The sample was left to burn until self-extinction in most experiments. In a few experiments, extinction with CO₂ was necessary due to uncontrolled burning.

Apart from the variation in size of the sample, the ventilation and the external radiation to the sample was varied. The ventilation was changed by altering the height of the opening to the combustion chamber. The largest ventilation was with an opening of 0.2m, at the top of the chamber. For the other ventilation conditions, the opening at the top was 0.1m and in addition, a small opening was arranged at the bottom of the chamber. The size of the small opening varied from 0.01m to 0.05m. External radiation was achieved by electrically heating the walls
Experimental method

and the ceiling of the combustion chamber. Two levels of external radiation were used during the experiments, namely 4.2kW/m² and 22.4kW/m².

In all 38 experiments were performed within the project. The conditions for each experiment are given in tables 6 to 11.

Table 6. Experimental conditions for tests with heptane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount burned (kg)</th>
<th>Diameter of fire (m)</th>
<th>Opening height (m)</th>
<th>External radiation (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEP001</td>
<td>Heptane</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP002</td>
<td>Heptane</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Experimental conditions for tests with chlorobenzene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount burned (kg)</th>
<th>Diameter of fire (m)</th>
<th>Opening height (m)</th>
<th>External radiation (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB003</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB004</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB005</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB006</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB019</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB020</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB021</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB022</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1+0.05*</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB023</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1+0.02*</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB024</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1+0.02*</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB025</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1+0.02*</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB026</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1+0.02*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB027</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1+0.02*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB028</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB029</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB030</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The opening was divided into two parts, 0.1m at the top and 0.05m, 0.02m and 0.01m respectively at the bottom.
Table 8. Experimental conditions for experiments with TMTM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount burned (kg)</th>
<th>Diameter of fire (m)</th>
<th>Opening height (m)</th>
<th>External radiation (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMTM007</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM008</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM009</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM010</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM014</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM037</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM038</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The opening was divided into two parts, 0.1m at the top and 0.01m at the bottom.

Table 9. Experimental conditions for tests with CNBA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount burned (kg)</th>
<th>Diameter of fire (m)</th>
<th>Opening height (m)</th>
<th>External Radiation (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNBA016</td>
<td>CNBA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA017</td>
<td>CNBA</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA018</td>
<td>CNBA*</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Polypropylene was added as ignition source.

Table 10. Experimental conditions for tests with dimethoate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount burned (kg)</th>
<th>Diameter of fire (m)</th>
<th>Opening height (m)</th>
<th>External Radiation (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIM011</td>
<td>Cyclohexanone + xylene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM012</td>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM013</td>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM015</td>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Experimental conditions for tests with Nylon 6,6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount burned (kg)</th>
<th>Diameter of fire (m)</th>
<th>Opening height (m)</th>
<th>External Radiation (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NYL031</td>
<td>Nylon 6,6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL032</td>
<td>Nylon 6,6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL033</td>
<td>Nylon 6,6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL034</td>
<td>Nylon 6,6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL035</td>
<td>Nylon 6,6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1+0.01*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL036</td>
<td>Nylon 6,6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The opening was divided into two parts, 0.1m at the top and 0.01m at the bottom.
3 Calculations

A large amount of data was collected during each experiment. The data was recorded by means of a data logger. After the experiment the recorded data was processed and transformed to variables that could be interpreted and used as results from the performed tests. In the following sections, descriptions are given of the calculations performed during the evaluation of each experiment.

3.1 Mass loss and mass loss rate

The mass and the mass loss were measured with a load cell as described in section 2.2. The mass of the sample was registered every 5 s and thus the mass loss was also calculated every 5 s. This gives a very fluctuating curve. The turbulence in the room caused by the flow of air and combustion gases also influences the mass measurements. In order to make the influence of these fluctuations as small as possible the mass loss was calculated as the mean values over periods of various duration. In figure 7, examples are given from DIM013 with Dimethoate. Curve 1 is calculated with a mean value of the mass taken over 232 s and curve 2 is calculated with a mean value over 72 s. As can be seen the curve becomes much smoother when the period, over which the mean value is taken, is increased. As can be seen in figure 8, the mass loss is relatively constant, over a long period of the experiment. It is therefore possible to take the mean value over a long period, without making a large error in the calculation of the mass loss rate.

![Figure 7. Mass loss as a function of time for DIM013 with Dimethoate.](image)

![Figure 8. The mass of the sample, as a function of time for DIM013 with Dimethoate.](image)
3.2 Equivalence ratio

The ventilation condition during the experiment has a great influence on the development of the fire. One way of describing the ventilation is to use the equivalence ratio or as it is often called the phi-value. The theoretical phi-value can be written as in equation [3.1]:

\[
\phi = \left( \frac{\dot{m}_{fuel}}{\dot{m}_{O_2}} \right) / \left( \frac{\dot{m}_{fuel}}{\dot{m}_{O_2}} \right)_{stoich}
\]  

[3.1]

Where the ratio between \( \dot{m}_{fuel} \), the amount of fuel and \( \dot{m}_{O_2} \), the amount of oxygen available, in the actual case is compared to the same ratio at stoichiometric conditions. \( \dot{m}_{fuel} \) is determined by measuring the mass loss of the burned substance and massflow of oxygen entering the combustion chamber.

Experimentally the phi-value is measured with an apparatus called a phi meter. The principle of this equipment is described in section 2.3. The phi-value was determined from the phi meter reading by equation [3.2]:

\[
\phi = (X_{O_2} - X_m) / (X_0 \cdot (1 - X_m)) \\
\phi = 1 \text{ stoichiometric combustion} \\
\phi < 1 \text{ well - ventilated combustion} \\
\phi > 1 \text{ under - ventilated combustion}
\]

[3.2]

\( X_{O_2} \) is the molar fraction of oxygen in the oxygen meter with extra oxygen, \( X_m \) is the scale value on the oxygen meter and \( X_0 \) is the concentration of oxygen in the surrounding air, normally 20.9 %. This measured phi-value can then be compared to the theoretical value.

The stoichiometric fuel/air mass ratio, commonly known as r, is given in \( \text{kg fuel/ kg air} \). r can be calculated from the reaction formula for complete combustion of the burned substance. The reaction formula for complete combustion of heptane is given below, [3.3]:

\[
C_7H_{16} (l) + 11(O_2 (g) + 3.76N_2 (g)) \rightarrow 7CO_2 (g) + 8H_2O (g) + 41.36N_2 (g)
\]  

[3.3]

This gives a value of r for heptane of 0.0662\( \text{kg fuel/kg air} \). The value of r for the substances tested are given in Table 12.
Table 12. The stoichiometric fuel/air mass ratios for tested substances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>( r ) [kg fuel/kg air]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heptane, C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>0.0662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}Cl</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMPTM, C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0.1264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate, C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{12}NO\textsubscript{5}PS\textsubscript{2}, formulation*</td>
<td>0.1145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{10}O + xylene, C\textsubscript{8}H\textsubscript{11}**</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA, C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{4}ClNO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.2551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon 6.6, C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{22}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dimethoate was tested in a solution with 77% (by weight) cyclohexanone and 23% xylene.

** The formulation consisted of 41% dimethoate, 45% cyclohexanone and 14% xylene.

The \( r \)-values are calculated for complete combustion. This means that all carbon is transformed to carbon dioxide, \( \text{CO}_2 \) and all hydrogen is transformed to water, \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). Some of the tested substances contain other atoms as well. These are supposed to react as follows: Chlorine, Cl reacts to hydrogen chloride, HCl, nitrogen, N reacts to NO\textsubscript{2}, phosphor, P reacts to H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} and sulphur, S reacts to SO\textsubscript{2}.

The phi-value can also be calculated from measurements of fuel mass loss, opening size and temperature of the air entering the combustion chamber and temperature of the combustion gases leaving the combustion chamber. The following equation, [3.4] from reference [10] has been used in comparisons between measured and calculated phi-values:

\[
\phi = m_{\text{fuel}} \cdot 10^{-3} \left[ h \cdot w \cdot \sqrt{h} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot C_d \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot g \cdot \rho_0 \left( 1 - \frac{T}{T_0} \right) / \left( 1 + \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{1/3} \cdot r} \right]^{-1/2} \tag{3.4}
\]

Phi-values have been calculated using the above expression for all experiments with a single opening. For the experiments with two small openings, this expression is not applicable. \( m_{\text{fuel}} \) is the mass loss of the fuel during the experiment given in [g/s]. \( C_d \), the discharge coefficient is set to 0.68 in the calculations and \( \rho_0 \), the density of the surrounding air is taken as 1.2kg/m\textsuperscript{3}. An ambient temperature of 293K is used throughout the whole series of experiments and the temperature of the hot upper layer \( T_u \) is taken as a mean of the four upper thermocouples inside the combustion chamber. The factor 2/3 in the equation comes from the experience that the hot gases leaving the combustion chamber comes through the upper half to two thirds of the opening.

### 3.3 Combustion gas yields: \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{NO}_x \) and HC

The measured concentrations of \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{NO}_x \) and HC (unburned hydrocarbons) are presented as gas yields, \( Y_i \) [g/g]. The combustion gas yields were calculated using measurements of the total gas production, \( m_i \) [g] and the total mass of the sample burned, \( m_{\text{fuel}} \) [g], according to equation [3.5].
The carbon content of the fuel, $\Psi_C [g/g]$ is known and thus the maximum yields, $\Psi_i [g/g]$ of $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$ can be calculated. These values are given in Table 5. The maximum yields were calculated according to equations [3.6] and [3.7] using the molecular weights, $M_{\text{CO}_2} = 44.01 \text{g/mole}$, $M_{\text{CO}} = 28.01 \text{g/mole}$ and $M_C = 12.01 \text{g/mole}$ respectively. The assumption is made that all carbon is converted to $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$.

$$\Psi_{\text{CO}_2} = \Psi_C \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CO}_2}}{M_C} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\Psi_{\text{CO}} = \Psi_C \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CO}}}{M_C} \tag{3.7}$$

The theoretical yields of $\text{NO}_2$ for TMTM, dimethoate, CNBA and nylon are calculated accordingly assuming all nitrogen in the fuel to be converted to $\text{NO}_2$. The calculation for nylon is given below as an example, equation [3.8].

$$\Psi_{\text{NO}_2} = 2 \cdot \frac{M_{\text{NO}_2}}{M_{\text{nylon}}} \tag{3.8}$$

$M_{\text{NO}_2} = 46.01 \text{g/mole}$ and $M_{\text{nylon}} = 226.4 \text{g/mole}$.

The theoretical yields of $\text{HCl}$ for chlorobenzene and CNBA and of $\text{SO}_2$ for TMTM and dimethoate are calculated following the same procedure.

The gas analysis equipment measures unburned hydrocarbons with a boiling point below 200°C. The hydrocarbons are calculated as propane equivalents. Hydrocarbons with a boiling point over 200°C, soot particles etc., are defined as the survival fraction and are not included in the unburned hydrocarbons. The amount of soot produced is also calculated. This procedure is described in section 3.5.

### 3.4 Rate of heat release and total heat release

The heat released during the experiments was calculated using the standard, oxygen consumption, calorimetry method [7, 10]. Concentrations of $\text{O}_2$, $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$ were used for the calculations.

The volume flow $\dot{V}$ [m$^3$/s], at STP, in the duct, equation [3.9] was calculated using the exhaust duct area $A$ [m$^2$], the pressure difference in the exhaust duct $\Delta p$ [Pa] and the gas temperature in the duct $T_e$ [K]. The ratio between the average mass flow per unit area and the
mass flow per unit area in the centre of the exhaust duct is 0.9. The calibration constant for the bi-directional Pitot tube is 1.08.

\[ V = \frac{22.4 \cdot A \cdot 0.9}{1.08 \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{T_e}} \]  

[3.9]

The rate of heat release \( q \) [kW] was calculated using the following expression, equation [3.10]:

\[ q = \Delta H_{C,ox} \cdot 1.31 \cdot 1000 \cdot \frac{\Phi}{1 + \Phi(\alpha - 1)} \cdot V \cdot X_{O_2}^0 \]  

[3.10]

Where \( \Delta H_{C,ox} \) [kJ/gO₂] is the heat released per unit mass oxygen consumed. The values of the various constants can be found in Table 5. The density of oxygen is 1.31kg/m³. The expansion factor \( \alpha \) is set to 1.1. \( \Phi \) is the oxygen depletion factor, i.e. the fraction of the incoming air that is fully depleted of its oxygen. \( \Phi \) can be calculated using the expression in equation [3.11]:

\[ \Phi = \frac{X_{O_2}^0 (1 - X_{CO_2}) - X_{O_2} (1 - X_{CO_2}^0)}{X_{O_2}^0 (1 - X_{O_2} - X_{CO_2})} \]  

[3.11]

Where \( X_i^0 \) is the mole fraction of gas \( i \) in the incoming air and \( X_i \) the mole fraction of gas \( i \) in the exhaust duct. \( X_{O_2}^0 \) was set to 0.209.

The total heat release is taken, as the integrated value of the rate of heat release, during the entire experiment. This gives the energy in [kJ].

### 3.5 Smoke production

The smoke production can be presented in a number of ways. In this report, the extinction coefficient \( K \) [1/m] is defined as in [3.12] from reference [11]:

\[ K = \sigma_m \cdot m \]  

[3.12]

Where \( \sigma_m \) is the extinction area per unit mass [m²/g] and \( m \) is the mass concentration of the smoke aerosol [g/m³]. From the measurements in the duct, \( K \) is calculated as given by equation [3.13] below:
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\[
K = \left( \frac{L}{I} \right) \cdot \ln\left( \frac{I_0}{I} \right) \quad [3.13]
\]

Where \( L \) [m] is the beam length of the light through smoke, \( I_0 \) [-] is the light intensity without smoke and \( I \) [-] is the light intensity during the test. \( \sigma_m \) is also determined from the measurements in the duct and from the samples of soot collected during the experiments, as given in equation [3.14].

\[
\sigma_m = K \cdot \left( V \cdot \left( \frac{273 + T_{d}}{273} \right) / m_{soot} \right) \quad [3.14]
\]

Where \( V \) is the volume of gas [m\(^3\)] that has flown through the filter, \( T_{d} \) is the temperature in the duct and \( m_{soot} \) is the amount of soot in [g] which has been collected on the filter during the experiment. \( \sigma_m \) is determined for the tested substances and the values are given in table 21.

The smoke production is also given as a yield in [g/g]. This means gram soot produced per gram substance burned.

The smoke production given as [g/s] can be calculated in the following way, equation [3.15]:

\[
K \cdot V \cdot \left( \frac{T_p + 273}{273} \right) \cdot \left( 1 / \sigma_m \right) \quad [3.15]
\]

The smoke production in [g/s] is divided by the substance mass loss also given in [g/s]. This gives the smoke yield in g soot produced per gram substance burned.
4 Results

The work within WP3 of the TOXFIRE project comprises 38 medium scale experiments with 6 different substances, 3 levels of external radiation, 5 fire diameters and 3 different opening heights. In this section, the results will be given in tables and for selected experiments as diagrams. A comprehensive report of the results, from all experiments in the form of diagrams is given as an appendix to the report.

One important variable for characterising the results from combustion experiments in enclosures is the degree of ventilation here given as the equivalence ratio or the phi-value. The phi-value varies between the experiments and during the course of a single experiment. This has been dealt with in the following way: During each experiment, periods with relatively constant phi-value have been chosen and the other measured variables have been evaluated during these periods.

4.1 Phi-values

As mentioned earlier the phi-value gives a measure of the degree of ventilation, during the course of the fire. One objective with the experiments was to study how a variation in ventilation influences the burning conditions and hence the production of combustion gases. The phi-value varies between the experiments, and during the course of a single experiment since it is difficult to achieve long periods with steady state burning, in the type of experimental set up that has been used in the reported experiments. The measured phi-value varied, between 0.04 and 1.4 in the conducted experiments. A phi-value over 1.0 indicates poorly ventilated conditions. During the performance of the experimental series, it was found that the conditions and the design of the experimental apparatus made it difficult to reach high phi-values, at least for the low-energy content substances tested. Efforts were made to force the fire into low ventilation conditions by increasing the exposed area of the substance burned. The result of this was a pulsating fire and no stable conditions.

Comparisons were made between the measured and the theoretical phi-values when this was feasible. For experiments where the opening was divided into one upper and one lower part the theoretical phi-value has not been calculated. The methods of calculation for measured and theoretical phi-values are given in section 3.2. In figures, 9 and 10 examples are given of comparisons between measured and theoretical phi-values. Results for all experiments are given in tables 13 to 18.
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Figure 9. Comparisons between measured and theoretical phi-value for experiment CB019 with chlorobenzene.

Figure 10. Comparisons between measured and theoretical phi-value for experiment TMTM007 with TMTM.

4.2 Temperatures inside the combustion chamber

The temperature was measured on a great number of locations inside the combustion chamber as described in section 2.2. Surface temperatures on the walls and in the ceiling as well as gas temperatures were measured. The mean upper temperature for the periods with constant phi-value is given in tables 13 to 18 and in the appendix. In figures 11 and 12 examples are given of surface temperatures and gas temperatures for an experiment with nylon6, 6.

Figure 11. Surface temperature inside the combustion chamber for NYL035 with nylon6, 6.
4.3 Rate of heat release and total heat release.

The amount of heat produced was measured during all experiments. The oxygen consumption technique was used to monitor the heat output. The rate of heat release for all experiments is given in tables 13 to 18 and in the appendix. An example of a heat release curve is also given in figure 13 for an experiment with TMTM. In figure 14 the total energy released during experiment TMTM010 is given as a function of time.

![Graph of gas temperature inside the combustion chamber for NYL035 with nylon6, 6.](image1)

*Figure 12. Gas temperature inside the combustion chamber for NYL035 with nylon6, 6.*

![Graph of RHR for experiment TMTM010 with TMTM.](image2)

*Figure 13. The RHR for experiment TMTM010 with TMTM.*

![Graph of total energy released during experiment TMTM010 with TMTM.](image3)

*Figure 14. Total energy released during experiment TMTM010 with TMTM.*
4.4 Yields of CO₂, CO, HC, NOₓ and smoke

During the experiments the concentrations of CO₂, CO, HC, NOₓ and smoke were measured continuously in the exhaust duct. From these levels of concentration the yields of the species could be determined by dividing the produced amounts with the mass burning rate thus giving the yield in grams of the species produced per gram burned substance. The yields are given in tables 13 to 18 and in the appendix, the yields are presented as a function of time. The yields of CO₂ and CO were determined in all experiments. The yield of HC was difficult to measure in the experiments with TMTM since hardly any soot or unburned hydrocarbons could be detected during the experiments. NOₓ was mainly detected in the experiments with nitrogen containing substances such as CNBA, TMTM, dimethoate and nylon 6,6. For the other substances the amounts of NOₓ were very low.

4.5 Recovery of carbon

The amount of carbon showing up among the totality of the combustion products should be equal to the amount of carbon leaving the fuel. The carbon in the products can show up as CO₂, CO, unburned hydrocarbons or as soot. In figure 15 an example of carbon recovery from experiment CB023 with chlorobenzene is presented. As can be seen, the main part of carbon is found in the smoke and as unburned hydrocarbons.

![Graph showing carbon recovery](image)

**Figure 15. Recovered carbon for experiment CB023 with chlorobenzene.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEPTANE</th>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Phi-value, measured</th>
<th>Phi-value, theoretical</th>
<th>Upper layer temp (°C)</th>
<th>Mass loss (g/s)</th>
<th>RHR (KW)</th>
<th>Yield CO₂* (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield NOₓ (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield CO**</th>
<th>Yield HC (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield soot (g/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEP001</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEP002</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
Table 14. Results from experiments with chlorobenzene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Phi-value, measured</th>
<th>Phi-value, theoretical</th>
<th>Upper layer temp (°C)</th>
<th>Mass loss (g/s)</th>
<th>RHR (kW)</th>
<th>Yield CO₂* (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield CO** (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield NOx (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield HC (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield soot (g/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB004</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB005</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB019</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB020</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB021</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB023</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB024</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB025</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB026</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB027</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
Table 14 continued. Results from experiments with chlorobenzene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Phi-value, measured</th>
<th>Phi-value, theoretical</th>
<th>Upper layer temp (°C)</th>
<th>Mass loss (g/s)</th>
<th>RHR (kW)</th>
<th>Yield CO₂* (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield CO** (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield NOx*** (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield HC (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield soot (g/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB028</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.08 30%</td>
<td>0.089 6.0%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.30 8.0%</td>
<td>0.035 2.3%</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB029</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.66 45%</td>
<td>0.112 7.5%</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1.55 42%</td>
<td>0.098 6.6%</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.88 51%</td>
<td>0.11 7.3%</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB030</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1.31 36%</td>
<td>0.064 4.3%</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.73 47%</td>
<td>0.11 7.4%</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
*** The yield of NOₓ is given in g NOₓ/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

Table 15. Results from experiments with TMTM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Phi-value, measured</th>
<th>Phi-value, theoretical</th>
<th>Upper layer temp (°C)</th>
<th>Mass loss (g/s)</th>
<th>RHR (kW)</th>
<th>Yield CO₂* (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield CO** (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield NOx*** (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield HC (g/g)</th>
<th>Yield soot (g/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMTM007</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001 0.12%</td>
<td>0.0363</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM008</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.52 41%</td>
<td>0.001 0.12%</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM009</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.75 59%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM010</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0.91 71%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM014</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.78 61%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0.89 70%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM037</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>1.11 87%</td>
<td>0.095 12%</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>1.18 92%</td>
<td>0.132 16%</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM038</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.90 70%</td>
<td>0.176 21%</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
*** The yield of NOₓ is given in g NOₓ/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
Table 16. Results from experiments with CNBA

| Test number | Phi-value, measured | Phi-value, theoretical | Upper layer temp (°C) | Mass loss (g/s) | RHR (kW) | Yield CO₂* (g/g) | Yield CO** (g/g) | Yield NOx*** (g/g) | Yield HC (g/g) | Yield soot (g/g) |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| CNBA016 0.04 | 0.06                | 78                     | 0.3                   | -               | 0.30     | 19%             | 0.689           | 0.0856           | 0.006          | 0.127          |
| CNBA017 0.13 | 0.16                | 177                    | 1.2                   | 0.9             | 0.47     | 31%             | 0.071           | 0.0971           | 0.024          | 0.150          |
| CNBA018 0.24 | 0.25                | 310                    | 2.0                   | 24.3            | 2.21     | >100%           | 0.114           | 0.0551           | 0.044          | 0.165          |
| CNBA019 0.73 | 0.55                | 429                    | 1.3                   | 21.5            | 1.7      | 46%             | 0.023           | 0.0066           | 0.006          | 0.013          |

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

*** The yield of NOx is given in g NOx/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

Table 17. Results from experiments with dimethoate.

| Test number | Phi-value, measured | Phi-value, theoretical | Upper layer temp (°C) | Mass loss (g/s) | RHR (kW) | Yield CO₂* (g/g) | Yield CO** (g/g) | Yield NOx*** (g/g) | Yield HC (g/g) | Yield soot (g/g) |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| DIM011 0.22 | -                   | 192                    | 0.4                   | 6.8             | 0.48     | 13%             | 0.002           | 0.0008           | 0.012          | 0.070          |
| DIM012 0.06 | 0.16                | 191                    | 0.3                   | 7.6             | 0.18     | 4.9%            | 0.027           | 0.0010           | 0.014          | 0.081          |
| DIM013 0.55 | 0.42                | 351                    | 1.0                   | 14.3            | 1.20     | 33%             | 0.022           | 0.0012           | 0.010          | -              | 0.046          |
| DIM015 0.48 | 0.37                | 309                    | 0.8                   | 12.9            | 1.68     | 46%             | 0.022           | 0.0006           | 0.018          | 0.055          |
| DIM016 0.73 | 0.55                | 429                    | 1.3                   | 21.5            | 1.7      | 46%             | 0.023           | 0.0006           | 0.006          | 0.013          |

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

*** The yield of NOx is given in g NOx/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
Table 18. Results from experiments with Nylon 6,6

| Test number | Phi-value, measured | Phi-value, theoretical | Upper layer temp (°C) | Mass loss (g/s) | RHR (kW) | Yield CO₂* (g/g) | Yield CO** (g/g) | Yield NOx*** (g/g) | Yield HC (g/g) | Yield soot (g/g) |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| NYL031      | 0.09                | -                      | 460                   | 0.2            | 5.2     | 3.387 >100%    | 0.004 0.27%     | 0.2904 71%        | 0.004 0.043    |                  |
| "           | 0.05                | -                      | 454                   | 0.1            | 1.6     | 1.309 56%     | 0.001 0.07%     | 0.3046 75%        | 0.009 0.114    |                  |
| NYL032      | 0.04                | -                      | 459                   | 0.1            | 0.2     | -              | -              | 0.2137 53%        | 0.007 0.025    |                  |
| "           | 0.04                | -                      | 465                   | 0.1            | 4.4     | 2.856 >100%   | 0.002 0.13%     | 0.2309 57%        | 0.010 0.049    |                  |
| NYL033      | 0.70                | -                      | 519                   | 0.9            | 28.9    | 2.955 >100%   | 0.159 11%       | 0.0289 7.1%       | 0.075 0.022     |                  |
| NYL034      | 0.16                | -                      | 498                   | 0.5            | 13.6    | 2.306 98%     | 0.077 5.2%      | -                 | 0.012 0.082    |                  |
| "           | 0.16                | -                      | 507                   | 0.5            | 14.1    | 2.488 >100%   | 0.069 4.6%      | -                 | 0.017 0.091    |                  |
| NYL035      | 0.91                | -                      | 587                   | 1.4            | 38.3    | 2.349 >100%   | 0.120 8.1%      | 0.0166 4.1%       | 0.135 0.021    |                  |
| "           | 0.77                | -                      | 603                   | 1.5            | 42.8    | 2.493 >100%   | 0.151 10%       | 0.0214 5.3%       | 0.280 0.012    |                  |
| "           | 0.86                | -                      | 613                   | 1.4            | 37.3    | 2.375 >100%   | 0.125 8.4%      | 0.0190 4.7%       | 0.214 0.018    |                  |

* The yield of CO₂ is given in g CO₂ produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
** The yield of CO is given in g CO produced/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.
*** The yield of NOx is given in g NOx/g substance burned and in % of the amount theoretically possible.

4.6 Gas analysis

As reported above a number of common combustion gases have been detected on line. A large number of other substances are also produced during the combustion process. One important task in this project has been to try to identify these substances and to be able to compare the substances produced in one scale with substances produced in the other studied scales. The comparisons with other scales are reported elsewhere [13]. Only the results from the studies in medium scale are reported here. In table 23 most of the substances that were found in the GC-MS analysis are reported and in table 19 the ten most frequently appearing substances are given. It was possible to make quantitative analysis of a few of the gas samples. The results of the quantitative GC-MS analysis are given in table 20. In figure 16 an example of a spectrum from GC-MS is given. As can be seen the main component is tetra methyl thiourea, this is a compound very similar to TMTM.
Figure 16. Spectrum from GC-MS analysis of sample from an experiment with TMTM.

Table 19. Compounds, most frequently detected in GC-MS analysis of gas samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detected compound</th>
<th>Compound found in number of samples/samples analysed</th>
<th>Compound detected in samples from experiments with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene, C_{10}H_{8}</td>
<td>17 of 21</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, TMTM, dimethoate, CNBA, Nylon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene, C_{6}H_{6}</td>
<td>16 of 21</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, TMTM, dimethoate, CNBA, Nylon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene, C_{7}H_{8}</td>
<td>14 of 21</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, TMTM, dimethoate, CNBA, Nylon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptadecane, C_{17}H_{36}</td>
<td>10 of 21</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, TMTM, CNBA, Nylon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetramethyl-thiourea, C_{4}H_{12}N_{2}S</td>
<td>10 of 21</td>
<td>TMTM, dimethoate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiram, C_{6}H_{12}N_{2}S_{2}</td>
<td>8 of 21</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene, C_{6}H_{5}Cl</td>
<td>7 of 21</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, CNBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol, C_{6}H_{6}O</td>
<td>7 of 21</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, TMTM, CNBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclooctatetraene, C_{8}H_{8}</td>
<td>7 of 21</td>
<td>TMTM, dimethoate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanethioamide, CH_{3}NS</td>
<td>7 of 21</td>
<td>TMTM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 20. Amounts of compounds detected in GC-MS analysis of gas samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detected compound</th>
<th>Sample description, Yield of detected compound [mg/g burned]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CB 005 019 023 TMTM 014 TMTM 038 DIM 011 DIM 013 CNBA 013 CNBA 018 NYL 032 NYL 034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.8 0.7 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>0.6 20 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>30 10 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophenol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-chloro-benzonitrile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>0.9 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6,11-trimethyl-dodecane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-(1,1-dimethyl)propanoic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosane</td>
<td>0.7 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzonitrile</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-ester thiocyanic acid</td>
<td>4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetramethyl-thiourea</td>
<td>75 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiram</td>
<td>0.9 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>50 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>32 39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples of soot were also collected during the experiments, these soot samples were weighed, and from these measurements, the smoke extinction areas have been determined according to the procedure described in section 3.5. The values of the smoke extinction area for the tested substances are given in table 21. The values presented in table 21 are mean values for all experiments performed with each substance.

Table 21. Smoke extinction area for tested substances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Smoke extinction area (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM</td>
<td>0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon-6,6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This value is not to be taken as the smoke extinction coefficient for TMTM it only indicates that very small amounts of smoke are formed in the experiments with TMTM.

The solutions in the impinger bottles were analysed with ion chromatography and for the tests with chlorobenzene and with CNBA, they were analysed with respect to content of HCl. When comparing the amount of HCl formed during combustion with the maximum amount of HCl that can be produced from chlorobenzene, given in table 22, it is found that the majority of Cl in chlorobenzene goes into formation of HCl. In addition, for CNBA a large portion of the Cl is transformed to HCl during the combustion. For both chlorobenzene and CNBA the main part of the remaining Cl is to be found in chlorobenzene that either survives the combustion or is formed during combustion. In table 23, the compounds that were found in the GC-MS analysis are presented. For chlorobenzene and CNBA, it can be seen that other complex chlorine containing compounds are formed as well but these account for minor parts of the total Cl content.
Table 22. Detected amount of HCl in combustion gases from experiments with CNBA and chlorobenzene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Detected amount HCl Mg HCl/g burned substance</th>
<th>Theoretical amount HCl mg HCl/g burned substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNBA018</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>180.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB022</td>
<td>279.1</td>
<td>325.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB023</td>
<td>293.8</td>
<td>325.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB025</td>
<td>378.0</td>
<td>325.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type.
5 Discussion

The results indicate that the equivalence ratio or as it is often referred to the phi-value is a useful variable when it comes to means of characterising enclosure fires. In the series of experiments presented here, it has been shown that the experimentally measured and the calculated phi-values are in good agreement. The phi-values vary between 0.04 to 1.4 in the experiments. Attempts were made to reach higher phi-values. These attempts were however not successful. This was found to depend mainly on the design of the experimental set up. When the fire was forced into lower ventilation conditions the fire quickly reached unstable and uncontrollable conditions. One typical result was a pulsating fire with long flames coming out of the opening.

The measurements of the phi-values were made with equipment suggested by Babrauskas et al [7]. In order to make good measurements in a repeatable way some parts of the equipment had to be redesigned. The reactor was originally made of quarts glass but this material was too brittle to withstand a long series of experiments and was replaced by a stainless steel reactor. The suggested catalyst had a working temperature of about 1000°C, which is a very high temperature to have in an environment where pure oxygen and combustible gases of various compositions are mixed. The original catalyst was exchanged for a catalyst working at around 400°C.

The rate of heat release was measured in all experiments using the oxygen consumption technique. Measured RHR ranged from 0.4kW to 47kW, depending on the substance burned and whether external radiation was imposed or not.

The yields of CO₂, CO, NOₓ, HC and soot were determined in all experiments where possible. For CO₂, CO and NOₓ a comparison was also made between the measured yield and the highest yield theoretically possible. For heptane the yield of CO₂ was over 40% and the yield of CO under 10% of the theoretical maximum yields. The yield of NOₓ was very low as can be expected for a non-nitrogen compound. The yields of HC and soot were low and this is in agreement with the general observations during the experiments.

Results are presented from 13 experiments with chlorobenzene. For chlorobenzene, the CO₂ yield covers a wide range, from 1% to 65% of the theoretically possible. The yield of CO goes up to 31% of the maximum theoretical value. It has not been possible to establish any relationships between the produced amounts of CO₂ and the phi-values or the RHR during the experiments. The yield of unburned hydrocarbons was less than 0.5g/g substance burned during all tests with chlorobenzene.

Thirteen experiments were performed with TMTM. The CO₂ production varied between 41% and 92% of the theoretical values in all experiments. The production of CO was extremely low. In fact, no CO was detected except for the experiments with external radiation where 12% to 21% of the theoretically possible amount of CO was detected. TMTM is a nitrogen containing substance and hence a production of NOₓ could be anticipated during the combustion. NOₓ was also detected during the experiments but the yield of NOₓ compared to the theoretically possible yield was still rather low. It ranged from 2.2% to 8.2%. The combustion of TMTM differs from the normal combustion of organic compounds. The
combustion of TMTM gave hardly any unburned hydrocarbons that could be detected with the flame ionisation detector. Only when external radiation was applied some unburned hydrocarbons were detected. In line with the low content of unburned hydrocarbons, no soot could be found on the filters used for collecting soot during the experiments with TMTM.

Results are presented from three experiments with CNBA. All experiments were performed without external radiation. The phi-value was very low, below 0.25, in all experiments and the upper layer temperature reached 310°C at the most. The yields of NOx were considerable, reaching values from 10% to 43% of the theoretically possible amount. Some unburned hydrocarbons were detected but the yields were rather low, with a highest value of 0.075g HC/g substance burned. Soot was also collected during the experiments giving yields in the area of 0.1g soot/g burned substance.

Four experiments were performed with dimethoate and in no case external radiation were applied. Dimethoate is a nitrogen containing substance and hence rather high yields of NOx were expected. However only low concentrations were detected. The yields correspond to below 1% of the yield theoretically possible. The amounts of soot collected on the sampling filters were very low. Below 0.1g soot/g burned substance was found during all the experiments with dimethoate.

Results are reported from five experiments with nylon 6,6. It was found that nylon 6,6 is rather hard to ignite with small ignition sources. As a sustained burning was needed in the experiments presented here, external radiation had to be applied. An external radiation of 22.4 kW/m² was used in all experiments with nylon 6,6. The external radiation gives upper layer temperatures in the area from 450°C to 600°C. A problem arose concerning the detection of CO2 during the experiments. In most of the experiments, a concentration of CO2 corresponding to a yield of 100% or more was detected. The reason for this has not been found in the equipment. A remaining cause might be the way in which the highest possible yield of CO2 is calculated. One monomer unit is used when calculating the theoretical yield but it is possible that this could be done in a more relevant way.

All substances included in this work are organic substances and thus they all contain coal. After combustion, the coal can be found as soot, CO2, CO and as unburned hydrocarbons. Other compounds can also be formed but these account for minor parts of the carbon balance. An attempt has been made to make a balance after combustion for the carbon present in the original substance. The result of these calculations are presented in table 24, where the amount of carbon lost during the experiment is compared to the amount of carbon found in the various analyses of the combustion products. As can be seen in table 24 the results vary considerably between the different substances and between different experiments with the same substance. Some conclusions can however be drawn.

For heptane, which is a simple hydrocarbon with no heteroatoms, the yield is approximately 70%.

Chlorobenzene is a substance with one chlorine atom per unit. Chlorine is or rather has often been used in chemicals with fire retardant qualities. This makes chlorobenzene a substance that is rather difficult to ignite and in the experiments without external radiation, the chlorobenzene is very close to extinction during the course of the experiment. For the experiments without external radiation, the carbon yield varies from58 to 84%. When external
radiation was applied, the yields rise to 100% or more. The highest values were reached with high external radiation. Most of the carbon is found in CO$_2$ but the amount found as unburned hydrocarbons seems to increase when the external radiation is increased. There is an analytical problem in analysing unburned hydrocarbons containing heteroatoms since the flame ionisation meter used in the analysis is calibrated with propane. Calibration with other substances has not been made and it is not fully understood how the flame ionisation reacts when atoms like e.g. chlorine and sulphur are introduced.

TMTM is a substance containing nitrogen and sulphur. This substance behaves differently compared to heptane and chlorobenzene. It produces very little smoke. In fact, no smoke at all could be detected on the sampling filter. Instead, a yellow sulphur containing substance was found. Without external radiation, hardly any unburned hydrocarbons could be found and most of the recovered carbon was found as CO$_2$. When external radiation was applied the yield of unburned hydrocarbons increased drastically, giving total carbon yields over 100%. The problem here as with chlorobenzene is the heteroatoms giving unreliable results in the FID.

Dimethoate apart from carbon and hydrogen contains nitrogen, oxygen, phosphorus and sulphur. Most recovered carbon is found as CO$_2$ and only extremely small amounts of soot were detected. In one experiment, the carbon yield reaches more than 100%. The reason for this is not found.

CNBA is a hydrocarbon containing chlorine, nitrogen and oxygen. The carbon yield in the experiments with CNBA is close to 100%. Most of the carbon in the combustion gases is found in CO$_2$ and as soot.

Nylon 6,6 is a nitrogen-containing polymer. Since polymers react somewhat different, from other substances, when heated, it is not evident what base substance to use when calculating yields and other substance depending variables. In this report the monomer, C$_{12}$H$_{22}$N$_2$O$_2$ is used as the smallest unit taking part in the combustion process. This might be a simplification of the reactions involved in the combustion of nylon 6,6 and might be one reason to why the carbon yields are high, over 100%, in the main part of the experiments.

Samples of combustion gases from experiments with chlorobenzene and CNBA were analysed with ion chromatography with respect to content of HCl. GC-MS analysis was also performed in order to determine the main compounds formed during combustion of these substances. It was found that for both chlorobenzene and CNBA, a large part of the chlorine available goes into formation of HCl. 67% or more of the theoretically possible amount of HCl is formed. The dominating chlorine containing organic compound found in the GC-MS analysis is chlorobenzene. This is true both for chlorobenzene and for CNBA. In the analysis of samples from experiments with CNBA some other chlorine containing compounds were also found in so large amounts that it was possible to quantify them.

As could be expected an immense number of substances could be identified in the GC-MS analysis. Most of them could however only be identified qualitatively but for those, where it was possible a quantitative analysis was also made. The results are presented in table 20 but in short, it was found that from chlorobenzene the main compounds were unburned chlorobenzene, benzene and naphthalene. In the analysis of samples from experiments with TMTM, substances of almost the same composition as TMTM were the main compounds
found. The combustion gases from dimethoate were found to contain large amounts of the solvents xylene and cyclohexanone which were used in the tested formulation. Apart from these solvents, toluene was also found in rather large quantities. The main components in the combustion gases from CNBA were chlorobenzene, naphthalene and 2-chlorobenzonitrile. Nylon 6,6 produces benzene, toluene and naphthalene as the main combustion products in the series of experiments presented here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Carbon mass loss (g)</th>
<th>Carbon recovered (g)</th>
<th>Carbon Recovered (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEP001</td>
<td>803.5</td>
<td>552.5</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP002</td>
<td>1255.9</td>
<td>852.6</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB004</td>
<td>725.8</td>
<td>424.0</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB005</td>
<td>643.3</td>
<td>429.8</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB019</td>
<td>1309.0</td>
<td>947.6</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB020</td>
<td>743.4</td>
<td>626.9</td>
<td>84**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB021</td>
<td>1411.7</td>
<td>1414.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB023</td>
<td>228.7</td>
<td>227.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB025</td>
<td>862.1</td>
<td>963.6</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB026</td>
<td>362.2</td>
<td>466.7</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB027</td>
<td>466.3</td>
<td>508.7</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB029</td>
<td>207.1</td>
<td>258.3</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB030</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td>206.1</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM008</td>
<td>406.0</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM009</td>
<td>419.1</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM010</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>65*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM014</td>
<td>684.4</td>
<td>502.8</td>
<td>74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM037</td>
<td>234.4</td>
<td>284.2</td>
<td>121*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM038</td>
<td>370.5</td>
<td>462.0</td>
<td>125*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM011</td>
<td>786.5</td>
<td>291.6</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM012</td>
<td>252.7</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM013</td>
<td>219.2</td>
<td>182.8</td>
<td>83**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM015</td>
<td>499.6</td>
<td>590.2</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA017</td>
<td>940.9</td>
<td>912.5</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBA018</td>
<td>663.8</td>
<td>609.8</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL031</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL032</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL033</td>
<td>280.6</td>
<td>380.1</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL034</td>
<td>390.8</td>
<td>505.1</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL035</td>
<td>611.4</td>
<td>804.9</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The production of soot has not been included in the calculation of the carbon recovered in the gas analyses.

** The content of carbon found as unburned hydrocarbons has not been included in the calculation of carbon recovered in the gas analyses.
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Appendix A: Detailed information from the experiments
**HEPT001**
Date 960401
Substance Heptane
Mass 1050 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm
14.33 Log start time
14.40 Ignition time
14.45 Start chem. sample.
    Flow 1.0 l/min
14.55 Stop chem. sample.
15.03 Log end time

**HEPT002**
Date 960410
Substance Heptane
Mass 2218 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm
14.33 Log start time
14.40 Ignition time
14.45 Start chem. sample.
    Flow 1.0 l/min
14.55 Stop chem. sample.
15.03 Log end time

**CB003**
Date 9604010
- - Test failed - -

**CB004**
Date 960412
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 1169 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm
11.38 FID range changed from 500 to 1000
11.39 FID range changed from 1000 to 2000
11.41 FID range changed from 2000 to 5000
11.45 Several changes in FID range
    Test aborted due to explosion hazard
    Log end time
12.01.33 FID range changed from 500 to 1000
12.02.16 Start soot sample. 3.1 l/min
12.07.16 Stop soot sample
12.25.28 Stop chem. sample
12.40.30 Log end time

The furnace was covered by a 1 cm thick soot layer after the test. Ranges possibly changed in channel 6 (NOx). Out of calibration gas M3.

**CB005**
Date 960412
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 1026 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm
14.30 (appr.) Log start time
14.36.14 Ignition time
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type

**CB006**
- Date: 960415
- Substance: Chlorobenzene
- Mass
- Pan diameter 40 cm
- Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
- Duct diameter 70 mm
- appr. 14.30 Log start time
- 15.06.15 Ignition time
- 15.11 Re-ignited
- 15.13 Re-ignited
- 15.12 FID range changed from 1000 to 5000
- 15.27 Log end time

FID range 1000 from start. The test was terminated due to self-choking.

**TMTM008**
- Date: 960418
- Substance: TMTM
- Mass: 1000 g
- Pan diameter 30 cm
- Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
- Duct diameter 70 mm
- 11.23 Log start time
- 11.26.26 Start propane burner for ignition
- 11.29 Ignition
- 11.29.20 Stop propane burner
- 11.31 NOx range changed from 100 to 250
- 11.44.00 Start chem. sample
- 11.44.05 Start soot sample
- 11.59.40 Stop soot sample
- 11.59.40 Stop chem. sample
- 11.59 Log end time

FID range 1000 from start.

**TMTM009**
- Date: 960418
- Substance: TMTM
- Mass: 1500 g
- Pan diameter 40 cm
- Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
- Duct diameter 70 mm
- 14.31.50 Log start time
- 15.32.30 Start soot sample
- 15.39.30 Stop soot sample
- 15.40.00 Stop chem. sample
- 16.21.38 Log end time

FID range 1000 from start.
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type

14.31.50 Start propane burner for ignition
15.34.50 Stop propane burner
15.04.35 Start chem. sample
15.25.00 Stop chem. sample. Note: 21 min
15.19.30 Log end time

Slow spread of fire in pan. FID range 1000 from start.

**TMTM010**

Date 960419
Substance TMTM
Mass 2164 g
Pan diameter 40 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

14.30.41 Log start time
14.36.22 Start propane burner for ignition
14.39.15 Stop propane burner
14.46.08 Start chem. sample
14.53.46 Start chem. sample. Note: 21 min
15.11.57 Log end time

Ring burner output 15 kW. Bluish-white flames at 14.53. All yellow flames at 15.04.

**DIM012**

Date 960429
Substance Cyclohexanone 442.5 g, Xylene 132.5 g, Demethoate, 44.6 g, Emulgator 5.4 g,
Mass 511 g solver from previous test + 114 g dimethoate solution = 625 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

20.37.07 Log start time
20.42.17 Start chem. sample
20.42.28 Start soot sample
20.47.17 Stop chem. sample
20.47.28 Stop soot sample
21.06.47 Log end time

**DIM013**

Date 960430
Substance Cyclohexanone 442.5 g, Xylene 132.5 g, Demethoate, 44.6 g, Emulgator 5.4 g,
Mass 511 g solver from test DIM011 + 114 g dimethoate solution = 625 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

09.43.07 Log start time
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type

TMTM014
Date 960514
Substance TMTM
Mass 2000 g
Pan diameter 40 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

09.45.24 Ignition
09.50.14 Start soot sample
09.55.26 Start chem. sample
09.55.06 Stop soot sample
09.55.54 Stop chem. sample
09.56.57 Start extra chem. sample
10.00.15 Stop chem. sample. (After extinction)
10.01.31 Log end time

DIM015
Date 960514
Substance Cyclohexanone 858 g, Xylene 282 g, Dimethoate, 98 g, Emuligator 12 g
Mass 750 g cyclohexanone + 250 g xylene + 250 g dimethoate solution = 1250 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

09.29.29 Log start time
09.33.28 Start propane burner for ignition
09.35.05 Stop propane burner
09.35.53 Start propane burner
09.37.23 Stop propane burner
09.39.43 Start propane burner
09.41.41 Stop propane burner
09.42.04 Start propane burner

CNBA016
Date 960515
Substance CNBA
Mass 1087 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

09.30.29 Log start time
09.33.28 Start propane burner for ignition
09.35.05 Stop propane burner
09.35.53 Start propane burner
09.37.23 Stop propane burner
09.39.43 Start propane burner
09.41.41 Stop propane burner
09.42.04 Start propane burner

About 2-3 ml of a very evil smelling liquid left in pan after the test.
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09.45.12 Stop propane burner
09.47.00 Log end time
Test terminated. Didn’t burn

**CNBA017**
Date 960515
Substance CNBA
Mass 2460 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

11.01.56 Log start time
11.06.26 Start propane burner for ignition
11.12.10 Stop propane burner
11.12.40 Ignition hatch closed
11.18.20 Start wet chem. sample
11.18.33 Start soot sample. Failed
appr. 11.21 Self extinction
11.21.58 Stop wet chem. sample. Failed.
11.26.24 Start propane burner
11.28.37 Start propane burner
11.32.45 Start chem. sample
11.36.38 Stop chem. sample
11.36.50 Self extinction
11.39.50 Start propane burner
11.46 Log end time
Test terminated.

**CNBA018**
Date 960515
Substance CNBA and Polypropylene (non woven)
Mass 2478 g CNBA + 222 g PP
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

13.56.40 Log start time
14.07.20 Ignition
14.10.00 FID range changed from 500 to 2000
14.17.42 Start wet chem. sample
14.17.53 Start soot sample
14.20.19 Stop soot sample

CB019
Date 960521
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 2041 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 70 mm

13.56.40 Log start time
14.07.20 Ignition
14.10.00 FID range changed from 500 to 2000
14.17.42 Start wet chem. sample
14.17.53 Start soot sample
14.20.19 Stop soot sample

Check did not give any clue.
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type

CB020
Date 960603
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 2514 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Duct diameter 30 mm
appr. 15.35 Log start time
15.41.40 Ignition
15.42.30 FID range changed from 500 to 5000
15.43.15 Duct changed from 30 mm to 50 mm
16.03.19 Duct changed from 50 mm to 60 mm
16.11.16 Log end time
No NOx measurements. Runtime error in computer during the test. A couple of minutes delay, then restart of computer. Computer files .020 and .020B.

CB021
Date 960607
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 2312 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 20 cm
Furnace temp. appr. 250 °C
Duct diameter 70 mm
14.18.18 Log start time
Ignition

CB022
Date 960612
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 678 g
Pan diameter 10 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height: see below
Furnace temp. appr. 250 °C
Duct diameter 70 mm
10.45.18 Log start time
10.48.40 Ignition
10.55.08 Start wet chem. sample
10.56.02 Start soot sample
11.00.02 Stop wet chem. sample
11.00.02 Stop soot sample
11.40.18 Log end time
Opening modified:
- 6 cm upper opening
- 10 cm lower opening
- 5 cm Phi probe
No NOx measurements. FID range 5000.
CB023
Date 960612
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 356
Pan diameter 10 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height: see below
Furnace temp. appr. 250 °C
Duct diameter 30 mm
14.06.30 Log start time
14.10.21 Ignition
14.24.29 Start chem. sample
14.25.40 Start soot sample. 0.9 l/min
14.29.29 Stop chem. sample
14.25.41 Stop soot sample
14.27-14.31 Phi probe cleaned by back blowing.
14.45.20 Log end time
Opening modified:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper Opening</th>
<th>Lower Opening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 cm</td>
<td>-2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phi probe</td>
<td>-3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>2 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Furnace temp. appr. 250 °C
Duct diameter 30 mm
15.47.26 Log start time
15.49.08 Ignition
15.57.54 Phi = 40
16.09.59 Log end time
No NOx measurements. FID range 5000.
No calibration made after test.

CB024
Date 960612
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 463
Pan diameter 15 cm
Air inlet as in CB023

CB025
Date 960614
Substance Chlorobenzene
Mass 1426 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm
Furnace temp. appr. 260 °C
Duct diameter 40 mm
14.58.00 Log start time
15.00.37 Ignition
15.03.10 Duct changed from 40 mm to 60 mm
15.10.43 Start wet chem. sample
15.11.33 Start soot sample
15.15.39 Stop wet chem. sample
15.15.50 Stop soot sample
15.15.55 Duct changed from 60 mm to 70 mm
15.16.30 Duct changed from 70 mm to 90 mm
15.28.30 Log end time
No NOx measurements. FID range 5000.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Mass (g)</th>
<th>Pan diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Air inlet width (cm) + height (cm)</th>
<th>Furnace temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Duct diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CB026</strong></td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45 + 10 (upper) + 2 (lower)</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>09.24.30 Log start time, 09.31.04 Ignition, 09.35.57 Duct changed from 50 mm to 70 mm, 09.44.53 Log end time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CB027</strong></td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45 + 10 (upper) + 2 (lower)</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11.36.50 Log start time, 11.42.36 Ignition, 11.47.17 Duct changed from 70 mm to 90 mm, 11.56.00 Log end time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CB028</strong></td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45 + 10 (upper) + 1 (lower)</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14.13.00 Log start time, 14.15.25 Ignition, 14.19.22 Duct flow reducer removed, Extinction soon after, 14.27 Log end time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CB029</strong></td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45 + 10 (upper) + 1 (lower)</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14.35.05 Log start time, 14.36.35 Ignition, 14.48.50 Log end time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **CB030**    | Chlorobenzene | 289     | 15                | 45 + 10 (upper) + 1 (lower)       | 520               | 70                | 14.13.00 Log start time, 14.15.25 Ignition, 14.19.22 Duct flow reducer removed, Extinction soon after, 14.27 Log end time |}

No NOx measurements. FID range 5000.
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type

14.53.00 Log start time
14.55.20 Ignition
15.03.25 Log end time
FID range 5000. Calibration: see CB028

**NY031**
Date 960620
Substance Nylon
Mass 434 g
Pan diameter 10 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. appr. 500 °C
Duct diameter 70 mm
10.42.00 Log start time
10.43.30 Material placed on load cell
10.54 Ignition
11.02.52 Duct changed from 70 mm to 30 mm
11.51 Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000. Test terminated at 11.51: gave no more data of interest.

**NY032**
Date 960620
Substance Nylon
Mass 494 g
Pan diameter 15 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. appr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 30 mm
11.57.30 Log start time
11.58.30 Material placed on load cell
12.02.45 Duct changed from 30 mm to 40 mm

12.05.30 Start propane burner for ignition
12.06.30 Ignition. Stop propane burner
12.19.00-12.21.30 Cleaning the O2-CO2-CO meter
12.23.23 Start wet chem. sample
12.24.20 Start soot sample
12.30.10 Stop wet chem. sample
12.30.20 Stop soot sample
12.31.53 Start chem. sample
12.37.10 Stop chem. sample
12.42.30-12.44.00 Cleaning the O2-CO2-CO meter
12.49 Self extinction
12.56.14 Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000.

**NY033**
Date 960624
Substance Nylon
Mass 560 g
Pan diameter 20 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. appr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 40 mm
14.36.30 Log start time
14.39.30 Material placed on load cell
14.42.20 Duct changed from 40 mm to 60 mm
appr. 14.45 Boiled-over material almost burnt up.
14.48.38 Duct changed from 60 mm to 40 mm
14.55.10 Start soot sample
14.57.45 Stop soot sample. Fire out
Simulated Fires in Substances of Pesticide Type

The material melted and boiled over the pan, thus making the burning diameter larger.

NOx range 1000. FID range 500. Load cell may be out of function, due to lack of cooling water during the weekend.

NY034
Date 960625
Substance Nylon
Mass 700 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. appr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 40 mm
appr. 10.40 Material placed on load cell for melting
11.05.30 Log start time
11.11.08 Ignition
11.16.28 Start soot sample
11.21.19 Stop soot sample
11.21.42 Start wet chem. sample
11.23.42 Stop wet chem. sample
11.24.25 Start chem. sample
11.26.24 Stop chem. sample
11.42 Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000.

NY035
Date 960625
Substance Nylon
Mass 1000 g
Pan diameter 40 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. appr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 50 mm
10.54.00 Material placed on load cell for melting
appr. 11.09.30 Spontaneous ignition
appr. 11.09.30 Log start time
11.18.48 Start wet chem. sample
11.20.48 Stop wet chem. sample
11.23.13 Start chem. sample
15.23.04 - 15.23.24 NOx meter measuring only NO.
15.29.20 Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000.

NY036
Date 960627
Substance Nylon
Mass 1300 g
Pan diameter 40 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 0 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. appr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 40 mm
14.53 Material placed on load cell for melting
15.09.00 Log start time
15.10.20 Ignition
15.20.12 Start soot sample
15.25.00 Stop soot sample
15.17.35 Start wet chem. sample
15.18.20 Duct changed from 40 mm to 60 mm
15.20.00 Stop wet chem. sample
15.21.50 NOx meter tested with calibration gas. Conclusion: out of order.
15.23.04 - 15.23.24 NOx meter measuring only NO.
11.27.13  Stop chem. sample
11.22.50  Duct changed from 50 mm to 60 mm
11.40.00  Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000. NOx meter OK?

**TMTM037**

Date  960627
Substance  TMTM
Mass  700 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. aprr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 60 mm
13.48.40  Log start time
appr. 13.50  Material placed on load cell for melting
appr. 13.52  Spontaneous ignition
13.55  Bluish white flames
13.58  Brown flames
13.59.42  Start wet chem. sample
14.00  Yellow flames
14.01.42  Stop wet chem. sample
14.03.25  Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000. Test similar to TMTM037 but having larger mass.

**TMTM038**

Date  960627
Substance  TMTM
Mass  1100 g
Pan diameter 30 cm
Air inlet width 45 cm, height 10 cm (upper) + 1 cm (lower)
Furnace temp. aprr. 520 °C
Duct diameter 60 mm
14.32.21  Log start time
14.32.45  Pan placed on load cell
14.35  Not possible to ignite with propane burner
14.36.20  Spontaneous ignition
14.43.02  Start wet chem. sample
14.43.50  Duct changed from 60 mm to 70 mm
14.47.30  Stop wet chem. sample
14.03.25  Log end time.
NOx range 1000. FID range 1000. Test similar to TMTM037 but having larger mass.
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<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 031</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 032</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 033</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 034</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 035</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 036</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM 037</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTM 038</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 035</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYL 036</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix: Heptane
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Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

There has been a problem with the CO2 measurement in CB004.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

The NOx production was not measured during these tests.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

![Graph showing NOx yield (g/g) vs. Time (s) for CB006 and CB019]
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

The NOx production was not measured during these tests.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

The measurement of RHR malfunctioned during CB022.

The dip in CB023 is due to cleaning of the probe.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

The NOx production was not measured during these tests.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

- Mass [g]
- Energy release rate [kW]
- Mass loss [g]
- Ph [L]
- Mean core apex temperature [°C]
- Duct temperature [°C]

Note: The decrease in duct temperature is due to an increase in the duct flow in test CB024 at 520 s and in test CB025 at 180 s and at 950 s.

![Graphs showing data for Chlorobenzene](image-url)
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

There was a problem with the CO2-meter in test CB024.

There was a problem with the CO-meter in test CB024.

The NOx production was not measured during these tests.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

Note: The decrease in duct temperature is due to an increase in the duct flow in test CB024 at 520 s and in test CB025 at 180 s and at 950 s.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

![Graphs showing mass, energy release rate, mass loss, and mean corner upper temperature for CB026 and CB027.]

Note: The decrease in duct temperature is due to an increase in the duct flow in test CB026 at 270 s and in test CB027 at 260 s.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

The NOx production was not measured during this test.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene

The NOx production was not measured during these tests.
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: Chlorobenzene
Appendix: TMTM
The production of THC was very low and because of this the yield of THC was not calculated.

The smoke production was very low and because of this the yield of smoke was not calculated.

There has been some problem with the CO2 measurement in TMTM007.
Appendix: TMTM
Appendix: TMTM
Appendix: TMTM

The production of THC was very low and because of this the yield of THC was not calculated.

The smoke production was very low and because of this the yield of smoke was not calculated.

There has been some problem with the CO measurement in tests TMTM009 and TMTM010.
Appendix: TMTM
The production of THC was very low and because of this the yield of THC was not calculated.

The smoke production was very low and because of this the yield of smoke was not calculated.

There has been a problem with the CO measurement in test TMTM014.
Appendix: TMTM

The decrease in duct temperature for test TMTM038 is due to an increase in the duct flow at 450s.
The smoke production was very low and because of this the yield of smoke was not calculated.
Note: The decrease in duct temperature for test TMTM038 is due to an increase in the duct flow at 450s.
Appendix: Dimethoate
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Appendix: CNBA
Appendix: CNBA
Appendix: Nylon

The decrease in NYL031 and the low energy release at the beginning of NYL032 is due to clogging of the O2 meter.

The increase in duct temperature is due to an decrease in the duct flow in test NYL031 at 595 s.
Appendix: Nylon
Appendix: Nylon

The temperature changes at 80s and 560s in NYL033 are due to changes in the duct flow.
Appendix: Nylon

![Graphs showing various yields over time with NYL033 and NYL034 comparisons.](image-url)
Appendix: Nylon