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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To identify environmentally safe, rapidly acting agents for killing spores of Clostridium difficile in the hospital environment.

DESIGN: Three classic disinfectants (2% glutaraldehyde, 1.6% peracetyl ions, and 70% isopropanol) and acidified nitrite were compared for activity against C. difficile spores. Four strains of C. difficile belonging to different serogroups were tested using a dilution–neutralization method according to preliminary European Standard prEN 14347. For peracetyl ions and acidified nitrite, the subjective cleaning effect and the sporidical activity was also tested in the presence of organic load.

RESULTS: Peracetyl ions were highly sporidical and yielded a minimum 4 log10 reduction of germinating spores already at short exposure times, independent of organic load conditions. Isopropanol 70% showed low or no inactivation at all exposure times, whereas glutaraldehyde and acidified nitrite each resulted in an increasing inactivation factor (IF) over time, from an IF greater than 1.4 at 5 minutes of exposure time to greater than 4.1 at 30 minutes. Soiling conditions did not influence the effect of acidified nitrite. There was no difference in the IF among the 4 strains tested for any of the investigated agents. Acidified nitrite demonstrated a good subjective cleaning effect and peracetyl ions demonstrated a satisfactory effect.

CONCLUSIONS: Cidal activity was shown against C. difficile spores by glutaraldehyde, peracetyl ions, and acidified nitrite. As acidified nitrite and peracetyl ions are considered to be environmentally safe chemicals, these agents seem well suited for the disinfection of C. difficile spores in the hospital environment (Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2003;24:765-768).
**METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains**

We tested one reference strain of *C. difficile* (CCUG 37779) from serogroup A, obtained from the Culture Collection at the University of Gothenburg, and three clinical strains originally isolated from patients at our hospital. The strain CI-382 had a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) ribotype pattern identical to that of the reference strain CCUG 9018, and strain CI-385 had the same PCR ribotype pattern as the serotype C and reference strain CCUG 37766. The PCR ribotype of strain CI-388 did not correspond to that of any reference strain in the library of the Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control, but is currently one of the most common types of *C. difficile* in Swedish hospitals.

**Growth of Bacteria and Preparation of Spores**

The strains were streaked on blood agar and grown anaerobically for 48 hours. Several colonies of each strain of *C. difficile* were then added to 5 mL of brain–heart infusion broth and incubated anaerobically for 48 hours. Thereafter, the culture was vortexed, mixed with 5 mL of 95% ethanol, vortexed again, and left at room temperature for 30 minutes. After centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 20 minutes, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet containing spores plus killed bacteria was suspended in 0.3 mL of water. Viable spore counts were performed by serial dilutions on blood agar. The spore counts in the resulting suspensions were 3 × 10^6 to 2 × 10^7 per milliliter and Gram staining verified that 85% to 90% of the bacterial structures were spores.

**Disinfectants and Acidified Nitrite**

The disinfectants tested were 70% isopropanol (vol/vol), Wavicide-200 (2% glutaraldehyde, Promagent AB, Malmö, Sweden), and Perasafe (1.6% peracetyl ions included as a negative control showed little or no measurable sporicidal activity after 30 minutes. Peracetyl ions showed a high IF after brief exposure times (1 and 5 minutes), independent of the amount of organic load (Table 2). The effect of acidified nitrite was not influenced by a low level or high level of dirt. However, the efficacy of acidified nitrite was decreased twofold (data not shown) when not prepared 24 hours before testing. No difference in the IF among the strains of *C. difficile* was observed. Validation of the test conditions showed a stable spore count suspension, no toxicity of the water, and no sporistatic activity of the neutralizers.

**Surface Cleaning Test**

The cleaning effect of peracetyl ions and acidified nitrite on surfaces was determined using a carrier test. Five milliliters of fresh human blood without any additives was placed on the 4 central steel plates of 16 in a square and dried. Twenty milliliters of the liquid disinfectant was poured onto the plates. After an initial contact time of 5 minutes, the surface of the test plates was subjected to cleaning for 2 minutes using a cloth attached to a mop. The mop was worked by hand 10 times to and fro with constant pressure. The cleaning effect is described as the subjective cleaning factor, which was the number of cleaning movements (to and fro) with the mop necessary before the spot was no longer seen by the naked eye.

**RESULTS**

Glutaraldehyde, peracetyl ions, and acidified nitrite each demonstrated satisfactory activity against *C. difficile* spores already after 15 minutes (99% to 99.9% reduction of viable counts) (Table 1). In contrast, 70% isopropanol included as a negative control showed little or no measurable sporidical activity after 30 minutes. Peracetyl ions showed a high IF after brief exposure times (1 and 5 minutes), independent of the amount of organic load (Table 2). The effect of acidified nitrite was not influenced by a low level or high level of dirt. However, the efficacy of acidified nitrite was decreased twofold (data not shown) when not prepared 24 hours before testing. No difference in the IF among the strains of *C. difficile* was observed. Validation of the test conditions showed a stable spore count suspension, no toxicity of the water, and no sporistatic activity of the neutralizers (data not shown).

Cleaning of the blood from the metal carriers by the standardized mop and the disinfectant was accomplished by three strokes to and fro for acidified nitrite and six strokes for peracetyl ions, as judged by the naked eyes. Thus, a subjective cleaning effect of 5 was demonstrated for acidified nitrite and 6 for peracetyl ions.

**DISCUSSION**

Nosocomial outbreaks of CDAD have been linked to the spread of *C. difficile* spores via floors and other sur-
faces in the rooms of symptomatic and asymptomatic patients. Thus, the choice of disinfectant may be important for the persistence of *C. difficile* spores in the hospital environment.

The impact of surface disinfection for reducing nosocomial CDAD is debatable. Most studies dealing with disinfectants have examined their impact on *C. difficile* spores for instrument disinfection, whereas few studies have examined their effect for surface disinfection. Mayfield et al. reported that the incidence of CDAD was reduced after the environmental disinfectant was changed from a quaternary ammonium agent to a 10% hypochlorite solution in the rooms of patients with CDAD in a bone marrow transplantation unit. In another study, during an outbreak of CDAD, surface contamination of *C. difficile* was decreased to 21% of the initial levels with the use of unbuffered hypochlorite, and the outbreak subsequently ended. The use of phosphate-buffered hypochlorite in that study was shown to be even more effective, as the limit of detection in our assay. Our test showed a greater than 4.1 log reduction in viable counts within 30 minutes or 120 minutes or with a maximum IF of more than 4 log<sub>10</sub> was seen in both low-level and high-level soiling conditions. These results are in accordance with other studies of peracetyl ions in suspension tests. Thus, Holton et al. (written communication, January 21, 1999) showed no growth of *C. difficile* after 5 minutes of exposure to peracetyl ions from an initial inoculum of 1.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> spores, even in the presence of organic load. Perasafe is harmless, according to its manufacturer (V. Croud, Technical Director, written communication, February 12, 2003) and peracetic acid has been reported as an environmentally safe agent by Rutala and Weber.

In concordance with other studies, 2% glutaraldehyde is a sporicidal disinfectant used in most hospitals for the disinfection of endoscopes. In our study, a greater than 4.1 log<sub>10</sub> reduction was noted within 30 minutes. However, exposure to glutaraldehyde is considered to be hazardous and has been known to cause asthma and dermatitis in healthcare workers.

The activity of alcohols is probably due to their ability to denature proteins. Although they are rapidly bactericidal, they have low activity against bacterial spores. As expected, 70% isopropanol showed no measurable sporicidal activity after 30 minutes in our study.

In this study, a dilution–neutralization method was used according to prEN 14347, which demands at least a reduction of 4 log<sub>10</sub> in viable counts within 120 minutes or less to be classified as a sporicidal disinfectant. In our test, an initial inoculum of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL was used, and we could demonstrate a maximal reduction of 4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU, which was the limit of detection in our assay. Our test showed good precision, and because glutaraldehyde, acidified nitrite, and peracetyl ions comply with prEN 14347, they can be considered as sporicidal agents.

Wilcox and Fawley showed that an epidemic strain of *C. difficile* produced significantly more spores than did the nonprevalent strains, and that sporulation was further enhanced when the strain was cultured in feces exposed to subinhibitory concentrations of cleaning agents with-

## Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Time (min)</th>
<th>Peracetyl Ions</th>
<th>Glutaraldehyde</th>
<th>Acidified Nitrite</th>
<th>Isopropanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.3 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.3 = NG (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation; NG = no growth.

*Mean values of 4 strains tested in triplicate.

## Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Time (min)</th>
<th>Peracetyl Ions</th>
<th>Glutaraldehyde</th>
<th>Acidified Nitrite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSA 0.3%</td>
<td>BSA 3%</td>
<td>BSA 0.3%</td>
<td>BSA 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.3 = NG (0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.3 = NG (0)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>&gt; 4.1 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.3 = NG (0)</td>
<td>&gt; 4.3 = NG (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation; NG = no growth; BSA = bovine serum albumin.

*Mean values of 4 strains tested in triplicate.
out chlorine as a base. In our study, we examined clinical toxigenic strains of *C. difficile* from different serotypes, of which one has been described to cause nosocomial outbreaks.²⁻³¹ No difference was found regarding susceptibility to the different chemicals among the spores of the tested strains.

To evaluate the subjective cleaning effect of acidified nitrite and peracetyl ions on organic matter, a modified surface cleaning test was used.¹⁴ In this test, a low subjective cleaning effect generally means that the substance has a satisfactory or good cleaning effect for blood on a metal surface. Water has a good subjective cleaning factor of 2, 45% isopropanol a satisfactory subjective cleaning factor of 6, and 70% ethanol a bad subjective cleaning factor of 10 or greater. In our study, a good subjective cleaning effect for acidified nitrite equal to water was found and the subjective cleaning factor for peracetyl ions was satisfactory.

Glutaraldehyde, peracetyl ions, and acidified nitrite showed cidal activity against *C. difficile* spores. Because acidified nitrite and peracetyl ions are considered to be environmentally safe chemicals and show a good or satisfactory cleaning effect, they seem well suited for the disinfection of *C. difficile* spores in the hospital environment.
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