Surgical Site Infections in Dermatologic Surgery- Clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects

Saleh, Karim

2019

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Lund: Lund University, Faculty of Medicine

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Surgical Site Infections in Dermatologic Surgery
Clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects

KARIM SALEH
DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL SCIENCES, LUND | LUND UNIVERSITY

Karim Saleh was born in Prague in 1982. He studied medicine at Lund University and obtained his medical degree in 2011. He did a dermatology residency in the Department of Dermatology at Skåne University Hospital in Lund. He is currently based there. Both dermatologic surgery and research within that field are two of his main interests.
Surgical Site Infections in Dermatologic Surgery

Clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects

Karim Saleh
M.D.

DOCTORAL DISSERTATION

by due permission of the Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden.
To be defended at Segerfalksalen, Lund, 20190201, 13:00.

Faculty opponent
Professor Eduardo Nagore, Instituto Valenciano de Oncologia, Spain
Surgical Site Infections in Dermatologic Surgery

Clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects

Karim Saleh
M.D.

Abstract

Surgical site infections (SSIs) in dermatologic surgery contribute to unwanted healthcare costs and are complications that cause suffering in patients. The aim of this thesis was to explore clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects of SSIs in dermatologic surgery.

In study I, we examined bacterial dynamics during normal wound healing and SSIs. We found that quantifying bacteria from wounds was a relevant factor for assessing healing outcomes. Higher bacterial loads in wounds resulted in complicated postoperative healing outcomes.

In study II, we designed a randomized controlled trial exploring the effects of a novel antiseptic, polyhexanide biguanide (PHMB) on bacterial loads. PHMB added to tie-over dressings in full-thickness skin grafting did not decrease bacterial loads and paradoxically increased the incidence of SSIs in the intervention group.

In study III, we examined whether wound fluids obtained from dermatosurgical wounds could predict the occurrence of an SSI. Our results showed that the investigated biomarkers could indeed serve as diagnostics for assessing wound healing.

In study IV, the aim of the study was to assess inter-observer agreement when assessing wound healing in dermatologic surgery. There was a broad inter-observer variability in the diagnosis of an SSI illustrating the need for objective diagnostic methods that capture an actual SSI.

Ultimately, we provided new insights into SSIs in dermatologic surgery that can be useful in discovering methods to prevent these types of infections in the future.

Key words: Surgical site infections (SSIs), dermatologic surgery, full-thickness skin grafting, acute wounds, wound healing, microbiome, diagnostics, prevention, pathogenesis.
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Surgical site infections (SSIs) in dermatologic surgery contribute to unwanted healthcare costs and are complications that cause suffering in patients. The aim of this thesis was to explore clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects of SSIs in dermatologic surgery.

In study I, we examined bacterial dynamics during normal wound healing and SSIs. We found that quantifying bacteria from wounds was a relevant factor for assessing healing outcomes. Higher bacterial loads in wounds resulted in complicated postoperative healing outcomes.

In study II, we designed a randomized controlled trial exploring the effects of a novel antiseptic, polyhexanide biguanide (PHMB) on bacterial loads. PHMB added to tie-over dressings in full-thickness skin grafting did not decrease bacterial loads and paradoxically increased the incidence of SSIs in the intervention group.

In study III, we examined whether wound fluids obtained from dermatosurgical wounds could predict the occurrence of an SSI. Our results showed that the investigated biomarkers could indeed serve as diagnostics for assessing wound healing.

In study IV, the aim of the study was to assess inter-observer agreement when assessing wound healing in dermatologic surgery. There was a broad inter-observer variability in the diagnosis of an SSI illustrating the need for objective diagnostic methods that capture an actual SSI.

Ultimately, we provided new insights into SSIs in dermatologic surgery that can be useful in discovering methods to prevent these types of infections in the future.
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Varje gång en patient har genomgått ett kirurgiskt ingrepp löper han/hon en risk för att drabbas av en efterföljande infektion i operationssåret, inom medicin kallat en ”postoperativ sårinfektion” (postoperativ = efter operation). Dessa är potentiellt farliga komplikationer som, förutom att de kan leda till allvarliga blodförgiftningar och äventyra patienternas liv, onskar smärta, lidande, fördröjd sårläkning och slutligen en oestetisk ärrläkning. I tillägg kostar de sjukvården enorma summor, uppskattningsvis 500-1000 miljoner kronor årligen enbart i Sverige. Inom specialiteten dermatologi (läran om hudsjukdomar) opereras det allt mer. Mycket av hudkirurgin inom denna specialitet sker i ansiktet och där kan en postoperativ sårinfektion ge ett misspytande och psykiskt belastande ärr.

Varför vissa råkar ut för postoperativa sårinfektioner och andra inte är ej helt kartlagt.

Syftet med den här avhandlingen bestående av fyra delarbete var att studera postoperativa sårinfektioner inom dermatologin för att öka kunskapen inom området.

I delarbete I undersöktes sår efter hudtransplantationer i ansiktet. Vi konstaterade att sår med ett komplikert läkningsförlopp hade högre halter av bakterier en vecka postoperativt jämfört med sår som uppvisade normal infektionsfri läkning. Detta oberoende av vilken bakterieart som växte i såren.

I delarbete II testades ett nytt anti septiskt (=bakte rieavdödande) medel, Prontosan i en dubbelblind studie på 40 patienter som skulle genomgå hudkirurgi i ansiktet. 20 patienter fick medlet på sina sår och 20 patienter fick placebo. I en dubbelblind studie vet vare sig patienten eller läkaren vem som behandlas med aktiv substans och vem som får icke-aktiv substans. Prontosans potential att reducera halten bakterier i operationssåret analyserades, men dessvärre uppsvisades ingen minskning av infektionsfrekvensen, utan användningen till och med ökade risken för postoperativa sårinfektioner. Vi har ingen direkt förklaring till detta men spekulerar i om det anti septiska medlet rubber balansen mellan skadeliga och ofarliga bakterier på huden. Detta skulle kunna bidra till ett minskat riskskydd från hudens goda bakteriella normalflora, de elakatade bakterierna i normalfloran ett overtag och därmed öka infektionsrisken, men fler studier krävs för att kunna undersöka en sådan hypotes.

I delarbete III analyserades sårvätskorna från patienterna i delarbete II. Patienterna med sår som uppvisade en normal läkning hade lägre nivåer av olika inflammationsmarkörer jämfört med sår som uppvisade tecken till infektion. Med hjälp av analyser av dessa inflammationsmarkörer kommer vi förhoppningsvis kunna forutsäga vilka sår som kommer att bli infekterade.

I delarbete IV studerades dermatologers förmåga att, oberoende av varandra, göra likvärdiga bedömningar av huruvida fotograferade operationssår var infekterade eller inte. Vi fann en stor oenighet bland svaren. Läkare som inte hade kirurgivana, kvinnliga läkare och läkare under utbildning var mer benägna att bedöma ett sår som infekterat än icke infekterat. Delarbetet belyste vikten av att vi i framtiden får bättre, mer objektiva och precisa metoder som beslutsunderlag i bedömningen av postoperativa sårinfektioner.

Tillsammans har dessa studier gett oss en tydligare bild av förekomst, gen och forutsägelse av postoperativa sårinfektioner. Förhoppningen är en framtida användning av resultaten både kliniskt och som underlag för vidare forskning inom dermatologin och andra kirurgiska specialiteter.
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACMS</td>
<td>American College of Mohs Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>Antimicrobial peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGC</td>
<td>Bacterial growth change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSDS</td>
<td>British Society for Dermatological Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFU</td>
<td>Colony-forming unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoNS</td>
<td>Coagulase-negative staphylococcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAMP</td>
<td>Damage-associated molecular pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMS</td>
<td>European Society for Micrographic Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1</td>
<td>Interleukin-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Interleukin-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>Interleukin-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td>Interleukin-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC</td>
<td>Major histocompatibility complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP</td>
<td>Metalloproteinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFKB</td>
<td>Nuclear factor kappa light chain enhancer of activated B cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMP</td>
<td>Pathogen-associated molecular pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHMB</td>
<td>Polyhexanide biguanide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR</td>
<td>Pattern recognition receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAP</td>
<td>Secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSDV</td>
<td>Swedish Society for Dermatology and Venerology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>Surgical site infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>Transforming growth factor β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>Todd-Hewitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR</td>
<td>Toll-like receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>Tumor Necrosis Factor α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

Human skin

Skin is one of the largest organs in the body with a surface area of 1.5 to 2.0 m² and a weight of 4 to 5 kg. The skin is divided into three layers: (1) epidermis, (2) dermis, and (3) subcutis (Figure 1). The epidermis is the relatively thin, tough, outer layer of the skin, and its most important function lies in the stratum corneum, a semipermeable laminated surface aggregate of differentiated squamous epithelial cells, which serves as a physiological barrier. The epidermis consists mainly of three different cell types: (1) keratinocytes; (2) melanocytes; and (3) Langerhans’ cells. Beneath the epidermis, a vascularized dermis provides structural and nutritional support. It is composed of a polysaccharide gel and a matrix with collagen and elastin fibers, which gives skin its flexibility and strength. Dermis also contains nerve endings, sweat- and sebaceous-glands, hair follicles, and blood vessels, all of which contribute to protecting the body, regulating body temperature, and provide sensation. Subcutis contains fat that helps insulate the body from heat and cold, provides protective padding, and serves as an energy reserve.

Figure 1. Skin anatomy. (Artwork: Hassan Hashemian)
Wounds

One of the skin’s main functions is to act as a barrier between body tissues and the external environment. The skin with its structures described above protects the human tissues from external potentially dangerous factors such as pathogenic microorganisms, chemical substances, warm or cold conditions, and trauma. When the skin integrity is compromised, a wound is created.

Wounds are classified as either acute or chronic. Acute wounds normally heal spontaneously in an orderly and timely process (see Wound healing) in contrast to chronic wounds. Chronic wounds have a tendency to heal slowly and require significant interventions. Acute wounds can be further divided into traumatic or surgical wounds. Traumatic wounds include abrasions, crush wounds, puncture wounds, lacerations, and cuts. Surgical wounds are incisions made purposefully by a health care professional.

Surgical wounds

Surgical wounds are usually classified into four different categories depending on their anatomic location and preoperative skin condition (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Wound description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I – Clean</td>
<td>Uninfected operative wound in which no inflammation is encountered and the respiratory, alimentary, genital, or uninfected urinary tract is not entered. In addition, clean wounds are primarily closed and, if necessary, drained with closed drainage. Operative incisional wounds that follow nonpenetrating (blunt) trauma should be included in this category if they meet the criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II – Clean-contaminated</td>
<td>An operative wound in which the respiratory, alimentary, genital, or urinary tracts are entered under controlled conditions and without unusual contamination. Specifically, operations involving the biliary tract, appendix, vagina, and oropharynx are included in this category, provided no evidence of infection or major break in technique is encountered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III – Contaminated</td>
<td>Open, fresh, accidental wounds. In addition, operations with major breaks in sterile technique (such as open cardiac massage) or gross spillage from the gastrointestinal tract, and incisions in which acute, nonpurulent inflammation is encountered are included in this category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV – Dirty-infected</td>
<td>Old traumatic wounds with retained devitalized tissue and those that involve existing clinical infection or perforated viscer. This definition suggests that the organisms causing postoperative infection were present in the operative field before the operation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dermatologic surgery

Dermatologic surgery is on the rise due to an increase in skin cancer incidence and increases in the number of excisions performed by dermatologists. It is estimated that 40% of all visits to a dermatologist result in a surgical procedure. In the United States (US), 42.2 million dermatological procedures were performed in 2007 according to a study by Ahn et al. The most common type of procedure was local excision of a lesion. Studies show that the number of dermatological procedures performed annually is increasing.

Wound healing

Under normal conditions, acute wounds heal in a sequenced and timely manner, characterized by four major overlapping phases (namely, hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, and remodelling) (Figure 2). This is a complex process involving chemokines, cytokines, proteases, and their respective counterregulatory molecules through the healing process. The primary goal of wound healing is to re-establish a functional skin barrier as quickly as possible. Ideally, wound healing would be a regenerative process, with complete restoration of original skin function and morphology as is the case in scarless fetal skin wound healing, but this type of healing does not actually occur. Wound healing in children and adults is a reparative process resulting in a loss of normal skin function and a certain degree of impaired morphology. Each of the wound healing phases (Figure 3) are critical to successful wound closure, and any deviations from the norm may be associated with an abnormal or delayed wound healing.
Hemostasis
Skin injury causes the disruption of blood vessels and exposure of the basal lamina, which result in extravasation of blood constituents and concurrent platelet activation. The formed blood clot composed of fibrin re-establishes hemostasis and provides a provisional extracellular matrix for cell migration. This stage also results in the release of growth factors involved in the deposition of extracellular matrix (transforming growth factor β), chemotaxis (platelet-derived growth factor), epithelialization (both fibroblast growth and epidermal growth factors), and angiogenesis (vascular endothelial growth factor).

Inflammation
Hemostasis is followed by inflammatory cell influx. Neutrophils are the predominant cell type in this phase (48 h after injury) and begin to decline in numbers via apoptosis at the same time monocytes start arriving at the wound and mature into macrophages. Neutrophils also produce tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and interleukins (ILs) such as IL-1 and -6, which recruit fibroblasts and epithelial cells.

Macrophages are responsible for phagocytosing debris and bacteria, secreting additional cytokines such as TNF-α, transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), IL-1 and -6. IL-1 promotes angiogenesis, and TNF-α is a mitogen for fibroblasts. It is also believed that macrophages are responsible for clearing neutrophils that may otherwise result in a prolonged inflammatory phase. Macrophages also have a role in clearing fibrin from the wound. Lymphocytes are the last to infiltrate wounds. To avoid inflammation goes on for two weeks, and it is this stage that results in the traditional signs of inflammation: (1) dolor (pain); (2) rubor (redness); (3) calor (warmth); and (4) tumor (swelling).

Proliferation
Proliferation is composed of several steps occurring during this phase, namely epithelialization, angiogenesis, granulation tissue formation, and collage deposition and normally occurs 2–10 days after injury.

In this phase, epidermal cells migrate and proliferate after being stimulated by growth factors, metalloproteinases (MMP), and integrins. Within 24 h after injury, keratinocytes at the wound edge are activated and undergo phenotypic and functional changes in order to adhere to the newly formed provisional wound matrix and to be able to migrate laterally towards wound edges. The provisional wound matrix is synthesized by activated fibroblasts and is composed of fibronectin, vitronectin, and type 1 collagen interwoven in the fibrin clot.

Remodelling
This final stage, which starts after the formation of granulation tissue, granulation tissue is converted to scar tissue by remodelling of the extracellular matrix. Remodelling begins 2–3 weeks after injury and lasts for a year or more. This phase is characterized by a balance between the synthesis of new scar matrix components and their degradation by proteases. Myofibroblasts play the main part in wound contraction, resulting in decreased wound size. Wounds can reach 80% of the tensile strength of the original tissue.

Figure 3. An illustration of wound healing phases. (Artwork: Hassan Hashemian)
Skin’s immunity in wound healing

The skin not only functions as a mechanical barrier to microbial and physical insults but can also generate an immune response for protection. The skin’s immune system has elements of both the innate (nonspecific) and adaptive (specific) immune systems and both contribute to regulating the wound healing process. Innate immunity provides the first defence mechanism during wounding while adaptive immunity responds later.

Innate immunity relies on recognizing structural patterns of microorganisms called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) by a class of receptors known as pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). Known PAMPs include bacterial lipopolysaccharides and bacterial DNA, while known DAMPs are cytosolic and nuclear proteins. PAMPs and DAMPs are recognized by PRRs, known as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), which, once activated, ultimately lead to activation of the nuclear factor kappa (NF-kB) light chain enhancer of activated B cells and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. This process then results in the production of ILs-1, -6, -8, and -12 and TNF-α that leads to chemokine release and subsequent inflammatory cell migration to the site of wound injury. The secretion of inflammatory mediators via TLR-dependent activation also promotes dendritic cell maturation that is able to induce an adaptive immune response. Keratinocytes’ role in innate immunity, other than expressing TLR, is to produce antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). The best characterised AMPs are cathelicidins and defensins.

Another important part of innate immunity is the complement system that helps destroy invading microorganisms by attracting neutrophils to the wound.

The adaptive immune system provides a more delayed and specific response. It is unique in its capability to generate and retain memory. It consists of B and T cells that carry out humoral and cell-mediated responses. These effector cells are activated upon recognizing either free or bound antigen via professional antigen presenting cells. For T cells, the type of effector response depends on the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecule that is employed for antigen presentation. MHC class I complexed with endogenously produced antigens is recognized by CD8 cytotoxic T cells, while MHC class II expresses ingested exogenous antigen and is recognized by CD4 helper T lymphocytes. CD4 activation results in cytokine production, whereas CD8 cells are much more specific in cell targeting. Activated B cells produce antibodies, which serve to inactivate toxins, opsonize bacteria, flag pathogens for destruction, and activate complement among other functions.

Surgical site infections (SSIs)

Research in SSIs is mainly based on studies of general surgery. Results from these studies have then been extrapolated to dermatologic surgery.

Epidemiology

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are known to be the leading healthcare-related infection in developing countries and the second most common health-care-related infection in developed countries. It is estimated that 230 million surgical procedures are carried out globally on an annual basis, which result in more than seven million complications, of which SSIs represent the most common complication. In the US alone, SSIs incur $10 billion in annual costs. These include direct costs such as prolonged hospitalizations and readmission to hospitals, outpatient visits, visits to emergency departments, additional surgeries ranging from incision and drainage to staged reimplantation, prolonged antibiotic therapy, more use of ancillary services such as laboratory tests, drugs, and durable medical equipment, and professional fees. Indirect costs, harder to quantify, include lost productivity, decreased patient satisfaction, reduced referrals, and possibly litigation. SSIs are associated with a doubled increased relative risk for hospital mortality, and over a third of all postoperative deaths are due to an SSI.

Half of all antibiotics used in a hospital have been attributed to prophylaxis prior to surgery. This can lead to antibiotic resistance. Approximately each fifth visit to an emergency department is due to an antibiotic-induced side-effect.

Within the field of dermatologic surgery, the risk for an SSI occurrence is assumed to be low, varying from 5% to 10%, but depending on the procedure type and anatomical location, it can be as high as 28.5%. Skin grafting and complex reconstructions are procedures normally associated with a higher risk of SSI.

Regardless of the generally low SSI rates, it is of paramount concern to achieve acceptable cosmetic results and normal wound healing within dermatologic surgery, owing to the fact that many procedures involve critically aesthetic facial units.

Definition

SSIs are infections arising in wounds within 30 days of surgery according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). These infections are classified as either incisional or organ/space (Figure 4). Incisional SSIs are further divided into those involving only skin and subcutaneous tissue (superficial incisional SSI) and those involving deeper soft tissues (deep incisional SSI).
Superficial incisional SSI is the most common type of SSI, accounting for 2/3 of all SSIs, and the most relevant for dermatologic surgery. 44

According to the CDC, a superficial incisional SSI is defined as an infection involving skin and subcutaneous tissue occurring within 30 days after surgery and fulfilling one of the several criteria: 46

1. Purulent drainage, with or without laboratory confirmation from the superficial incision;
2. Organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained fluid or tissue culture from the superficial incision;
3. At least one of the following signs or symptoms of infection: (a) pain or tenderness; (b) localized swelling; (c) redness; (c) or heat and superficial incision is deliberately opened by surgeon unless incision is culture-negative;
4. Diagnosis of superficial incisional SSI by the surgeon or attending physician.

It should be noted that more than 30 different definitions of SSI have been published, but the definitions based on the CDC guidelines are the most commonly used. 44 This illustrates the limitations of the way in which SSIs are defined. The same wound can be defined as infected according to one definition but non-infected when using another one. 45

Even when using the CDC’s definition alone, there is a wide variation in wound assessments. 45, 46 Studies have shown both inter- and intra-observer variations. 47 Another limitation to the definition of SSIs according to CDC includes a 30-day postoperative evaluation timeframe, which imposes practical difficulties in monitoring all surgical wounds and is not likely to occur. CDC’s fourth criteria for an SSI was updated in 2018, allowing a physician’s designee to diagnose an SSI thus making SSI assessments perhaps even less accurate. 48 Clearly more objective definitions of SSIs are needed.

Pathogenesis of SSIs
In healthy skin, an equilibrium between skin microbiota and innate immunity exists. As soon as the skin is damaged due to surgery, this equilibrium is under the risk of being disrupted. When a surgical wound is created, a primed host immune-inflammatory process, as explained earlier, starts. This is beneficial to a certain degree and has to be balanced by homeostatic anti-inflammatory mechanisms. The increased non-specific inflammatory response at this stage limits the host’s ability to defend itself from microbes entering the wound. If a certain degree of microbial invasion occurs, causing an additional inflammatory response, the surgical wound is now too inflamed and an SSI has developed. 49, 50 Distinguishing an inflamed wound from an infected one in vivo and clinically is a major challenge taking into consideration that measurable parameters are lacking. According to CDC, the risk of an SSI to occur can be visualized by the following equation: 51

\[
\text{Risk of SSI} = \frac{\text{Dose of bacterial contamination} \times \text{virulence}}{\text{Resistance of the host patient}}
\]

Earlier studies felt that an SSI occurred when the wound’s microbial load exceeded 10^7 colony-forming units (CFU) per gram of tissue. 52 According to the CDC, the number of bacteria present in wounds is the most important factor associated with the development of SSIs. 44 On the other hand, growing evidence suggests that the pathogenesis for an SSI is far more complex than just being dependent on the bacterial load of a wound, and specific bacterial interactions and virulence factors need to be taken into account. 53

Skin microbiota
Skin microbiota contains bacteria, virus, and fungi and is classified as either ‘resident flora’, which is the most abundant microbes that are always present, or ‘transient flora’ which are micro-

bes not always present or are present for only a few days, weeks, or months before disappearing under various environmental and host conditions. Bacteria are the most-studied flora isolated from skin. These include those from the genera Staphylococcus, Corynebacterium, Propionibacterium, Streptococcus, and Pseudomonas. 54

The way in which these bacteria interact among themselves, with each other, and with skin in both a normal and pathological skin states has yet to be explored.

S. epidermidis, a coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (CoNS), is the most common clinical isolate from skin and is believed to represent greater than 90% of the aerobic resident flora. It has long been regarded as only a commensal bacterium, yet research has shown it has both a pathogenic role in nosocomial infections and acts a mutualistic organism that can enhance skin innate immunity by eliciting responses through TLRs. A microbe can therefore simply not be labelled as either a commensal or a pathogen in the context of SSIs. 55

S. aureus is the microbe that has received most attention in studies of SSIs, perhaps due to its high virulence. In fact, it was the most commonly isolated organism in surgical site infections between 1986 and 1996 according to the CDC. 56

In breast and gynaecological surgery, the most frequently isolated organisms included S. aureus (20%), CoNS (14%), Enterococci (12%), E. coli (8%), and P. aeruginosa (8%). 57 Similar studies examining dermatologic surgery are few with small sample sizes. However, it has been shown that reducing the nasal carriage of S. aureus reduces the risk for SSIs. A randomized controlled trial (RCT) published in 2018 showed that patients that received preoperative intranasal mupirocin had a reduced risk for SSIs. 58

Pathogens can be divided into either endogenous (patient-related) and exogenous. Most research within SSIs has focused on exogenous factors, but in recent years more focus has been placed on endogenous factors that could perhaps have a larger impact on SSIs. 59

Risk factors
SSI development is multifactorial and related factors can generally be divided into either endogenous (patient-related) and exogenous. Most research within SSIs has focused on exogenous factors, but in recent years more focus has been placed on endogenous factors that could perhaps have a larger impact on SSIs. 59

Examples of endogenous factors include age, comorbid diseases such as diabetes, immunosuppression, malnutrition, obesity, pulmonary disease, renal failure, smoking, and wound type. The latter has been a source of controversy within dermatologic surgery. As explained earlier, the surgical wound classification system (class I to IV) is based on general surgical procedures. An attempt to adapt it to dermatologic surgery was made in 1995 by adding examples of dermatologic surgery procedures to each wound category. 60 Most dermatological procedures were defined as ‘clean-contaminated’. This was later changed in 2003 after classifying dermato logic surgery procedures as ‘clean’. Some authors 61 agree with this while others do not. 62

Examples of exogenous factors are preoperative antiseptic, hair removal, intraoperative technique, type of surgical procedure, duration of surgery, use of antibiotic prophylaxis, use of surgical gloves, skin antiseptic, hand antiseptic, surgical face masks, and wound dressings. 63

Available evidence-based guidelines for preventing SSIs examining endogenous and exogenous factors.
are mainly based on general surgical procedures. The way in which these factors impact dermatologic surgery has not been fully explored since not so many RCTs exist. Table 2 lists all of the published RCTs involving dermatologic surgery to date.

Antibiotic prophylaxis has received the most attention as an SSI preventative method, yet it was only this year that the very first RCT supporting its use in dermatologic surgery was published. Previous antibiotic prophylaxis recommendations such as the advisory statement published in 2008 by Wright et al. or the guidelines proposed by Maragh et al. relied solely on retrospective and prospective observational studies. More RCTs are needed in order to evaluate if antibiotics should be used as prophylaxis in dermatologic surgery. Up to this date, only three meta-analyses examining SSIs in dermatologic surgery procedures have been published. In 2014, Nast et al. published a meta-analysis in which it was concluded that topical antibiotics should not be used to prevent SSIs in dermatologic surgery. The last available meta-analysis, published in 2016 by Brewer et al., showed that use of sterile gloves did not lower the risk for SSI in dermatologic surgery when compared to use of non-sterile gloves. However, only two out of the eight included studies were based on dermatologic surgery. Five were based on dental surgery, and the sixth on emergency surgery.

Studies with lower quality evidence examining various factors are summarized in Table 3, and a noticeable point indicates that these provide conflicting results, making it impossible to yield any conclusions. In summary, more RCTs specific to dermatologic surgery are needed in which each factor believed to contribute to the development of SSI is examined.

### Table 2: A list of all available RCTs examining SSI development in dermatologic surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author Year</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Authors conclusion (SSI rate in intervention group versus placebo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith⁵⁸ 2018</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>Preoperative intranasal mupirocin treatment versus placebo</td>
<td>Intranasal mupirocin reduced SSI incidence significantly (2% versus 4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosengren⁷⁹ 2018</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2g cephalexin before flap and graft surgery versus placebo</td>
<td>Cephalexin reduced SSI incidence significantly (1.4% versus 11.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saleh⁸⁰ 2016</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Soaking tie-over dressings with polyhexanide biguanide versus water</td>
<td>Polyhexanide biguanide increased SSI incidence significantly (40% versus 10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heal⁷⁷ 2015</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>Use of sterile gloves versus non-sterile gloves</td>
<td>No significant difference in SSI incidence (8.7% versus 9.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherian⁸¹ 2013</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>Intranasal mupirocin preoperatively versus pre-and postoperative per oral cephalexin</td>
<td>Intranasal mupirocin reduced SSI incidence significantly (0% versus 9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xia⁷⁸ 2011</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Sterile gloves versus non-sterile gloves</td>
<td>No significant difference in SSI incidence (3.3% versus 1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heal⁸² 2009</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>Topical use of chloramphenicol versus paraffin</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol use reduced SSI incidence significantly (6.6% versus 11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dowson⁸³ 2006</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>Mupirocin ointment versus petrolatum versus no ointment applied to wounds postoperatively</td>
<td>No significant difference in SSI incidence (2.3% vs 1.6% versus 1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell⁸⁴ 2005</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Topical gentamicin versus petrolatum</td>
<td>No significant difference in SSI incidence (4.76% versus 6.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huether⁸⁵ 2002</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>Intracranial local anesthetic with clindamycin versus local anesthetic without clindamycin</td>
<td>Intracranial clindamycin reduced SSI incidence significantly (0.3% versus 2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginega⁸⁶ 1998</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>Intracranial local anesthetic with nafillin versus local anesthetic</td>
<td>Intracranial nafillin reduced SSI incidence significantly (0.2% versus 2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smacik⁸⁷ 1996</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>White petrolatum versus bacitracin applied to wounds postoperatively</td>
<td>No significant difference in SSI incidence (2% versus 0.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Other studies published examining SSIs in dermatologic surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Authors’ conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belakirski⁸⁸</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Immunosuppression didn’t increase SSI risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bari⁸⁹</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>SSI rates were lower in patients that underwent Mohs compared to wide local excision below knee. Subcuticular or vertical mattress suturing reduced SSI rate when compared to other sutures. Antibiotic prophylaxis did not reduce SSI. Doxycycline prophylaxis did not reduce SSI rate when compared to cephalexin prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu⁸⁸</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Higher risk for SSI for location on ear, larger defects, closure with flaps, and secondary intention healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuzzi⁹⁰</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery performed in a strictly sterile operation room did not lower risk for SSI. Incidence of SSI did not vary according to antibiotic usage, surgeon, age, lesion size, type, or location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasseri⁹¹</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>Using a single set of instruments for both tumor extirpation and repair stages of Mohs did not increase risk for SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee⁹²</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Fusidic acid applied topically to wounds postoperatively had no effect on SSI rates when compared to petrolatum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohra⁹³</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>No difference in SSI rates between using sterile or non-sterile gloves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu⁹⁴</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Implementation of stricter sterilization guidelines did not lower risk for SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tai³⁹</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>Prospective randomized</td>
<td>Decolonization with intranasal mupirocin reduced risk for SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alam¹²</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>20821</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Mean patient age among registered SSIs was 70.8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulpchova²⁰</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Contaminated preoperative skin or ulcerated tumor and advanced age increased risk of SSI development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heor⁹⁴</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>Incidence of SSI was in direct proportion to the patient’s age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordreux³⁸</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>No association between anticoagulative therapy and SSIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers⁹⁵</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>Patients that didn’t receive antibiotic prophylaxis prior to Mohs surgery had an extremely low SSI rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordova³⁹</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>Preoperative methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) screening and subsequent decolonization in Mohs surgery reduced SSI in MRSA carriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixii²⁰</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4197</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>Diabetes was a risk factor for SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixio²⁰</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4197</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>Smoking was not a risk factor for SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogues²⁰</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>Prospective observational</td>
<td>SSI incidence was higher in patients that underwent reconstruction vs excision, male patients, patients on immunosuppressive therapy, and when surgeons didn’t use sterile gloves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lips, ears, perineum, inguinal area, and below knee were sites associated with a higher risk of SSIs. Flaps and graft reconstruction surgery were associated with a higher risk of SSIs compared with other types of surgery.
The overall aim of this thesis was to enhance our understanding of SSIs in dermatologic surgery by examining clinical, diagnostic, and pathogenic aspects.

Focus was on four main questions:

- How does bacteria behave in wounds during normal and pathological healing after dermatologic surgery?
- Can we prevent SSIs within one type of dermatologic surgery?
- Can we predict SSIs using biomarkers extracted from wound dressings?
- How well are SSIs defined in dermatologic surgery?
Materials and Methods

Study I

Patients
This study was designed as a prospective descriptive study. Eighteen patients scheduled for facial full-thickness skin grafting (Figure 5) at the Department of Dermatology at Skåne University Hospital were recruited. We limited inclusion to surgery localized to the face because bacterial loads are known to vary from one anatomical site to another.¹⁰³

Figure 5. Photograph of a full-thickness skin graft sutured to a nasal wound. Published with patient’s permission.
( Photo: Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Skåne University Hospital, Lund)
Sampling method
Levine et al. established one of the most accurate techniques for swabbing believed to correlate well with quantitative biopsies.¹⁰⁴,¹⁰⁵ It involves swabbing a wound area of 1 cm². In order to better conform to the wound’s anatomy in this study we modified Levine’s technique by swabbing a standard circular area. Copan® swabs were used (Figure 6).

Bacterial samples were collected from wounds at three intervals: (1) Before surgery (BS) prior to scrubbing with antiseptics; (2) End of surgery (ES) directly after suturing the graft; and (3) One week after surgery (1W) after removing the tie-over dressing.

Serial dilutions of the swab fluids were then plated onto blood agar, and the CFUs were counted. Qualitative analyses of all swabs were carried out according to standard methods and performed at the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory at Skåne University Hospital in Malmö.

Clinical assessment of postoperative course
All wounds were monitored by a single investigator one week after surgery. A wound was considered infected if three or four of the following features were present: (1) discharge; (2) pain; (3) induration; and (4) erythema.

The complete postoperative course until complete healing had been achieved was followed for all patients by reviewing their medical journals.

Study II

Patients
This was a prospective, double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02253069). Patients over age 18 scheduled for facial full-thickness skin grafting were asked to participate in this trial. Exclusion criteria included diabetes, treatment with antibiotics within the last four weeks prior to surgery, and planned antibiotic therapy. The latter criterion was important due to the findings in study I.

Power analysis
In a previous in vitro study, a reduction of >5 log₁₀ was achieved with a concentration of 0.02% polyhexanide biguanide (PHMB) against S. aureus.¹⁰⁶ We hypothesized that application of 0.1% PHMB as found in the commercially available Prontosan® Wound irrigation solution (B. Braun Medical, Switzerland) would at least reduce bacterial load in wounds by half versus placebo. In order to obtain 80% power with an α-value of 0.05, it was calculated that 16 patients were required in each group. By including 20 patients in each group in this trial to allow for dropouts, noticeable differences in bacterial reduction would be detected.

In vitro antibacterial assay
In vitro assays were carried out prior to the main RCT to verify the bactericidal effect of Prontosan® solution. Todd-Hewitt (TH) agar plates were streaked with S. aureus ATCC 29213 and S. epidermidis ATCC 14909. Polyurethane dressings soaked with Prontosan® solution or sterile water were applied on top to simulate an in vivo situation in which the dressing is applied to a wound. The zone of inhibition around the discs was measured.

Preparation of Mepilex® dressings
Prior to surgery, seven circular dressing templates with varying diameters ranging from 10 to 34 mm were cut from Mepilex®. The liquid volume required to achieve 70% wetting was calculated. Seventy percent wetting was chosen to avoid leakage after surgery. For each dressing template, 20 test tubes were prepared containing sterile water and 20 contained Prontosan® solution. These were marked with either A or B by an external investigator not involved in this trial and blinded to the nurse, surgeon, and principal investigator. Prontosan® solution is similar to water in that it is both colorless and odor-free. The dressing templates were used for proper determination of the volume of Prontosan® or sterile water required for wetting tie-over dressings used during surgery.

Intervention
At the end of each operation, once the skin graft had been sutured to the wound, a tie-over dressing (Figure 7) was cut from Mepilex®. It was then soaked with either Prontosan® solution or sterile water according to the randomization protocol.
Bacterial analysis
Bacterial samples were blindly collected from each patient using Eswabs (Copan, Brescia, Italy) by one principal investigator. This procedure was done during the same three different phases examined in study I. Before surgery (BS), at the end of surgery (ES), and 1W after removal of the tie-over dressing. An additional swab was rotated in the patient’s nares that was closest to the neoplasm planned for excision.

All swabs were analysed quantitatively by calculating CFU per cm² of area swabbed using the same methods as in study I. Bacterial species were determined via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry.

Clinical assessment
All patients were scheduled for a single follow-up seven days after surgery. Skin grafts were assessed in terms of redness, edema, discharge, graft take, and pain resulting in an overall assessment by the blinded principal investigator classifying a wound as infected or non-infected. No scoring system was used for this purpose. Digital photographs were taken of all wounds pre- and postoperatively.

Study III
Patients and biological samples
This was a descriptive in vitro study. Wound fluids in this study were extracted from tie-over dressings belonging to 20 patients that constituted the control group in study II. ⁸⁰

In vitro assays
Several assays were used in this study. First, sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed as described by Laemmli (1970) using a Novex® pre-cast gel system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) on Tricine gels using wound fluid samples containing 20 µg protein/sample (Figure 8).

Next zymography was carried out by mixing 5 µg protein from each sample with sample buffer and separating it on 10% polyacrylamide gels.

Total protease activity from each wound fluid was then determined by the azocasein method as described by Tomarelli. ¹⁰⁷ One-hundred micrograms from each sample were added to 50 µl azocasein substrate.

Thereafter, NF-κB was assessed in THP1-Xblue™-CD14 reporter cells (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Finally, IL-6 and TNF-α concentrations were assessed using a human IL-6 and TNF-α Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Clinical assessments of wounds
Each wound photograph was blindly assessed by two independent reviewers using a three-step scale (low, moderate, high) for degree of inflammation.

Microbiota
Quantitative and qualitative data concerning microbiota was retrieved from study II.

Study IV
Study design
An anonymous electronic survey was conducted using the REDCap software, ¹⁰⁸ and distributed randomly by email to physicians from the British Society for Dermatological Surgery (BSDS), the American College of Mohs Surgery (ACMS), the Swedish Society for Dermatology and Venereology (SSDV), the European Society for Micrographic Surgery (ESMS) and to personal contacts of the authors. Only board-certified dermatologists and dermatology residents that fully completed the survey were included in the data analysis.

The questionnaire consisted of two sections. The first section included demographics and basic characteristics of the respondents. In the second section, the respondents were presented with eight clinical photographs of as many patients taken one week after facial full-thickness skin grafting (Figure 9).
The cases were randomly selected from study II. In order to aid the respondents’ SSI assessments, all photographed wounds in this study were from patients who exhibited no pain/tenderness, heat, or purulent discharge during palpation at the time they were photographed. This information was provided to all respondents. For each photograph, the respondents had to classify the wound as infected or not. Subsequently, respondents were asked to suggest the most appropriate treatment through a multiple-choice questionnaire with several options: (1) no treatment; (2) soap and water; (3) topical antibiotics; (4) systemic antibiotics; or (5) other. Prior to sending the survey, three investigators blindly assessed the photographs in terms of visual criteria. A 4-step scale (none, mild, moderate, or severe) was used for assessing erythema, presence of black and yellow crusts, and epidermolysis. The graft take was described as 0%–25%, 26%–50%, 51%–75% or 76%–100%. Subsequently, an average of these assessments was agreed upon.

**Statistics**

**Study I**

Statistical analyses were carried out using SigmaStat (Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA). Median CFU/swab sampled BS and ES were compared using a Mann-Whitney test. Bacterial growth change (BGC) from BS to 1W was calculated using the equation:

\[
BGC = \frac{\text{CFU sampled at } 1W - \text{CFU sampled } BS}{\text{CFU sampled } BS}
\]

BGC values were compared using a Mann-Whitney test. A \( P \) value \( \leq 0.05 \) was considered significant.

**Study II**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v.22 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Linear regression models adjusted for other characteristics were used to determine differences. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Respondent characteristics were presented using frequencies and descriptive statistics. Inter-observer agreement for all assessments was measured using Fleiss kappa.

**Study IV**

For each respondent, an SSI score was calculated. This was measured as the percentage of wounds assessed as infected. Similarly, topical antibiotic and systemic antibiotic scores were also calculated for each respondent measured as the percentage of wounds treated by topical and systemic antibiotics, respectively. All scores were compared using SPSS v.22 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Linear regression models adjusted for other characteristics were used to determine differences. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Respondent characteristics were presented using frequencies and descriptive statistics. Inter-observer agreement for all assessments was measured using Fleiss kappa.

**Ethics**

Study I Ethical approval for this study was granted by the ethical committee in Malmö/Lund, registration number (2008/646). Written consent was obtained from all patients.

Studies II, III, and IV These studies were approved by the ethical committee in Malmö/Lund, registration number (2013/762). Written consent was obtained from all patients.
Results

Study I


Sixteen out of 18 patients were colonized with bacteria intraoperatively. Bacterial loads measured at the end of surgery were significantly lower than preoperative levels in all patients \((P<0.001)\). Figure 10 illustrates bacterial loads from one patient.

There was a statistically significant difference when comparing bacterial growth changes between patients that received antibiotic treatment and patients that did not \((P=0.02)\). Patients that received antibiotics had lower postoperative bacterial loads compared to preoperative loads, and patients that received no antibiotics had higher bacterial loads postoperatively compared to preoperative ones.

Anticoagulative therapy, diabetes, smoking, tumor ulceration, and gender were not associated with any statistical difference in bacterial growth change. Postoperative bacterial loads correlated well with the occurrence of a complicated postoperative outcome \((P<0.001)\).

Figure 10. Bacteria growing on blood agar plates from swabs taken from a patient’s wound at three different intervals. From left to right: Before surgery, at the end of surgery, and one week after surgery. (Photo: Karim Saleh)
Study II

In vitro trials
Our preliminary in vitro trials showed *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* growth inhibition when testing Mepilex® dressings containing PHMB. Figure 11 illustrates an example of one of the trials. The primary aim of this RCT was to determine if adding PHMB to tie-over dressings reduced postoperative bacterial loads.

Figure 11. Six 8 mm dressings placed on TH plates growing *S. aureus*. Upper and middle row dressings were saturated with different concentrations of PHMB. Bottom row dressings were saturated with distilled water and served as controls. (Photo: Karim Saleh)

Intervention
Patients belonging to the intervention group had a higher incidence of SSIs compared to the placebo group (chi-squared 4.8, \( P = 0.028 \)).

Bacterial dynamics
Bacterial loads measured one week after surgery were significantly higher in patients with an SSI (\( P = 0.1 \)). The presence of *S. aureus* in wounds after one postoperative week also resulted in higher bacterial loads (\( P = 0.03 \)). The absence of intranasal *S. aureus* before surgery resulted in significantly lower postoperative bacterial loads (\( P = 0.1 \)).

CoNS and *S. aureus* were the predominant species in all swabs in this study. Six out of 10 infected wounds contained species other than *S. aureus*.

Study III

Wound fluids
Wound fluids were successfully extracted from wound dressings collected from study II. These fluids were then analysed by SDS-PAGE and showed bands ranging from 10 kDa up to higher molecular weight proteins of 100 to 200 kDa. Proteases from all wound fluids were visualized using zymography.

Wound inflammation
Wounds exhibited variable degrees of inflammation (Figure 12). Wounds with a clinically higher degree of inflammation had statistically higher levels of NF-κB activation and IL-6 and TNF-α concentrations (\( P < 0.05 \)). Wounds with a higher degree of inflammation also had higher levels of MMP activity and total protease activity (\( P < 0.05 \)).
Study IV


Diagnostic agreement

Three-hundred ninety-three physicians that completed the survey had only a slight inter-observer agreement when all wounds were assessed in terms of SSI presence or absence (Fleiss kappa coefficient = 0.19).

The majority of respondents were board certified dermatologists, involved in assessment of surgical wounds with a surgical experience involving full-thickness skin grafting.

SSI scores

Male physicians had lower SSI scores than female physicians ($P = 0.03$).

Board-certified dermatologists had lower SSI scores than residents ($P = 0.001$). Significantly lower SSI scores were also observed for physicians who regularly assessed SSIs ($P = 0.03$) and physicians performing full-thickness skin grafting ($P < 0.001$).

Wound treatment

All wounds were treated differently by all respondents (Figure 13).

Teledermatology

A third of all respondents were already involved in teledermatology, and more than half believed that they would be involved in teledermatology within the next five years. There was no difference in SSI scores between physicians involved in teledermatology and those who were not ($P = 0.8$).

SDSW54937 Figure 12. Photographs of all wounds. Wound inflammation was assessed as mild (green arrow), moderate (orange arrow), or high (red arrow). Published with the patients permissions. (Photo: Karim Saleh)

SDSW54938 Figure 13. Illustrates the way in which each wound was treated by all respondents. (Artwork: Karim Saleh)
Discussion

Study I

Study I was a descriptive study in which no prior power analysis had been performed. No similar studies within dermatologic surgery had been published. We aimed to study 20 patients but ended after 18 patients when we had interesting results. Our aim was to investigate bacterial quantities and species during different time intervals in one type of dermatologic surgery and to see if these variables could be diagnostic of an SSI. The reasons for choosing full-thickness skin grafting was because this type of surgery has higher SSI rates compared to other types of surgery. We also wanted to analyse different patient-related factors. A major limitation of this study was the presence of several confounding factors that could have affected SSI development. These factors included age, gender, diabetes, smoking, anticoagulative therapy, immunosuppression, and antibiotic prophylaxis. Regardless, we were able to establish a trend in the bacterial dynamics of a graft wound and noticed that postoperative bacterial loads were higher than starting preoperative levels in patients not treated with antibiotics and suppressed postoperative bacterial loads in patients given antibiotics. This trend was not seen when other patient-related factors were examined. A larger study with a multivariate analysis would have been able to confirm or reject our observed trend. The most significant finding in this study was the correlation between high postoperative bacterial loads and clinical outcome. This is in line with the earliest studies of SSIs illustrating the importance of wound bacterial load. This has, on the other hand, been questioned recently as it is hypothesized that the pathogenesis of SSIs is far more complicated than bacterial quantities. Nevertheless, results from study II confirmed the positive correlation between bacterial quantities and SSI development.

Analysis of intraoperative bacterial species was not predictive of SSI development. In some patients, the bacterial species causative of postsurgical complications differed from the species isolated intraoperatively. Previous studies trying to predict SSIs by analysis of intraoperative bacterial species have shown conflicting results.

In this study, we collected bacteria using swabs. A limitation of this study was the use of a predetermined area of swabbing that was set to an area of
4.9 cm² corresponding to the area within a plastic circle that we had used at our labs when applying Kligman’s technique for quantifying bacteria. This area covered graft wound areas in most of the cases, but in a few cases the graft wound area was larger than 4.9 cm² and resulted in swabbing only part of the wound. Another limitation in this study was the lack of donor transplant skin swabbing. The skin microbiota from the donor skin was probably different from the graft wound. The implication of this requires exploration in further studies swabbing pre, intra, and postoperative samples from both donor skin and graft wounds in order to observe any differences among these groups.

**Study II**

The main aim of this study was to assess if PHMB, a novel antiseptic, could reduce postoperative bacterial loads in a full-thickness skin grafting wound, and thus reduce SSI occurrence. Our preliminary in vitro trials showed PHMB inhibited growth of both *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* in different assays. We chose to use PHMB because another study had shown numerous advantages of its use, including broad antibacterial activity, good cell and tissue tolerability, low risk of contact sensitization, promotion of wound healing, and no development of bacterial resistance.

In this RCT, we used the same method of bacteria sampling as in study I, except that each graft wound was fully swabbed regardless of its area. The area was roughly measured using a prepared size template in order to calculate bacterial load per cm². This provided a more standardized bacterial load measurement when comparing all wounds. In this study, we excluded diabetic patients and those that were recently treated or scheduled for antibiotic therapy since these factors have shown a strong association with SSIs. We were not able to exclude factors such as immunosuppression and anti-coagulative therapy due to a limited timeframe for patient collection. On the other hand, studies available have not been able to establish a correlation between immunosuppression or anti-coagulative therapy and SSIs in dermatologic surgery.

The main finding of this study was that PHMB surprisingly caused an increase in the risk of SSI. We hypothesized, in concordance with previous studies, that PHMB might have suppressed growth of commensals that might have caused an overgrowth of pathogenic species, which might have contributed to SSI development. Due to the limited number of patients in this study, we were not able to draw any conclusions on the protective role of commensals and how PHMB affects them.

The most frequently isolated species from wounds in this study were *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*, which reinforced our findings in study I. An interesting observation in this study was the extensive variety of preoperative bacterial species. A recent study showed that neoplasms had a high bacterial variety, which could explain our findings since preoperative swabbing always involved a neoplasm.

**Study III**

In this study, our aim was to extract wound fluids from tie-over dressings obtained from study II and analyse these in vitro in terms of protein composition, proteinase activity, levels of pro-inflammatory factors, and how this correlated to clinical degree of inflammation. Ultimately, we wanted to see if analysed biomarkers could aid diagnosis of SSIs. To the best of our knowledge, no similar studies on full-thickness skin grafting wounds exist.

Protein composition was similar to previous results involving collected wound fluids from chronic wounds, thus validating our methodology in using SDS-PAGE. We chose to use zymography to analyse proteinase activity since this is a widely used method in studies of acute and non-healing ulcers.

Since this study was an observational descriptive study, we analysed total activity of the wound fluids semi-quantitatively by examining total band intensity on our zymograms.

Our results illustrating elevated proteinase activity in highly inflamed wounds was in agreement with studies demonstrating that high levels of proteinases are a marker of poor wound healing.

**Study IV**

Study IV was a reliability study in which an electronic survey of eight surgical wounds was sent to dermatologists from different countries. The aim was to assess the variability in the subjective diagnosis of SSIs using the most common SSI definition. No previous studies examining photographic SSI assessments in dermatologic surgery have been published. We found a broad inter-rater variability in SSI diagnosis. Assessments varied based on country of practice, gender, and clinical and surgical experience. However, no multivariate analysis was possible due to the small respondent sample. Another interesting finding was how many of the respondents were already involved in tele-dermatology. Our results also suggested a degree of correlation between in-person clinical evaluations and photography assessments. The wounds with the highest suspicion of SSI as determined by the respondents were wounds that were clinically assessed as infected. However, further studies are needed to evaluate this correlation. Assessing wounds after full-thickness skin grafting proved to be hard not only clinically but using only photographs. This led to different treatment choices for each case. When respondents agreed in terms of an infected or non-infected wound, their treatment still differed. Figure 14 below illustrates a selection of cases and the way in which these were treated based on a wound being assessed as an SSI in cases 1, 5, and 8 and a wound being assessed as non-infected in case 2.
Conclusions

Study I
Quantifying bacteria from wounds following full-thickness skin grafting can be used as an important parameter in assessing wound healing.

Study II
Soaking tie-over dressings with PHMB in full-thickness skin grafting had no effect on postoperative bacterial loads and increased the risk of SSI development.

Study III
Biomarkers obtained from tie-over dressings can serve as diagnostics for assessment of wound healing.

Study IV
There was a broad inter-rater variability in the diagnosis of SSI among dermatologists when assessing photographs of full-thickness skin grafting wounds.
RCT studies of SSIs within dermatologic surgery are few and more should be initiated. Before this process, we need a better and more objective definition of an SSI in dermatologic surgery. Perhaps biomarkers similar to the ones we have examined could prove to be beneficial. Once we have a clear definition of an SSI, future studies testing different preventative measures believed to lower risk of an SSI will be more accurate. Many preventative guidelines used within our field of surgery are extrapolated from studies involving other surgery types. The vast majority lack strong evidence and are yet still used routinely in the belief that they lower the risk for SSI, accounting for unnecessary costs for our healthcare systems. We need to start questioning every practice in a surgical room in addition to all routines applied once we discharge our patients after surgery. Novel drugs are needed to combat SSIs prophylactically since antibiotics have not been so promising so far. Excess wound inflammation needs to be reduced. Microbiota during wound healing deserves further studies, especially the dynamics at different time intervals and the way in which all species interact. In the future, we hope that we can predict which wounds will become infected and have an effective prophylaxis that will eradicate the risk for a wound to become infected because that imposes a risk for the patient and causes pain and unnecessary costs to the healthcare systems, resulting in poor wound cosmesis.
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