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Agentivity, causation and passive participles in Finnish

Satu Manninen, Lund University, Sweden (satu.manninen@englund.lu.se)

In English, non-canonical passives with *get* (e.g. *Bill got killed*) are often analyzed as intransitive variants of causative sentences with *get* (e.g. *John got Bill killed*), where the subject of the passive is assumed to have raised from inside the participial phrase complement (i.e. [*PrtcP Bill killed*]). The same line of analysis is extended to many other uses of *get*, including the patterns *Bill got ready/John got Bill ready* and *Bill got into trouble/John got Bill into trouble* (e.g. Haegeman 1985).

In Finnish, agreeing passives with *tulla* ‘get/become’ and *joutua* ‘get/end up’ (1a) show a similar pattern in relation to causative sentences with *saada* ‘get/receive’ (1b). A corresponding relation exists even between many other uses of *tulla/joutua* and *saada*, as shown in (2) and (3):

1a. *Pekka*      *tul-i/joutu-i*    *tape-tu-ksi.*
    *Pekka.nom* get-past.3sg  kill-PassPrtc-translative
    ‘Pekka got killed’

1b. *Jukka*      *sa-i*        *Peka-n*    *tape-tu-ksi.*
    *Jukka.nom* get-past.3sg  *Pekka-accusative* kill-PassPrtc-translative
    ‘Jukka got Pekka killed’

2a. *Pekka*      *tul-i*       *valmii-ksi.*
    *Pekka.nom* get-past.3sg  ready-translative
    ‘Pekka got / became ready’

2b. *Jukka*      *sa-i*        *Peka-n*    *valmii-ksi.*
    *Jukka.nom* get-past.3sg  *Pekka-accusative* ready-translative
    ‘Jukka got Pekka ready’

3a. *Pekka*      *joutu-i*    *pula-an.*
    *Pekka.nom* get-past.3sg  trouble-illative
    ‘Pekka got into trouble’

3b. *Jukka*      *sa-i*        *Peka-n*    *pula-an.*
    *Jukka.nom* get-past.3sg  *Pekka-accusative* trouble-illative
    ‘Jukka got Pekka into trouble’

In the literature on Finnish, (1a) and (2a) are almost invariably treated as active sentences containing a copula (*tulla/joutu*a) and an adjectival phrase (i.e. a predicative adjective; *tapetuksi/valmiiksi*). (3a) is an active sentence containing a lexical verb (*joutua*) and a noun phrase (i.e. a locative adverbial; *pulaan*).

This talk has two goals: first, I will show that (1a) displays properties that strongly suggest for a passive analysis for such data. These properties are not present in the ‘copular’ or ‘lexical verb’ uses of *tulla/joutu*a. I will then show that many of these properties originate from inside the participial phrase, and they can therefore even be observed in the causative sentence in (1b). A case in point is the ambiguity between an agentic and a causative reading in both (1a) and (1b). As (2a-b) and (3a-b) do not contain participial phrases, they are also not ambiguous between these two readings. Secondly, I will assess the idea that the subject of the passive in (1a) has raised from inside the participial phrase complement; I will show that in Finnish, an alternative line of analysis – proposed in e.g. Huang (1999) and Butler & Tsoulas (2006) – where the data are treated as control constructions, is able to explain the behaviour of the data in a maximally simple and elegant way.