Number of spikes in climbing fibers determines the direction of cerebellar learning.
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Cerebellar learning requires context information from mossy fibers and a teaching signal through the climbing fibers from the inferior olive. Although the inferior olive fires in bursts, virtually all studies have used a teaching signal consisting of a single pulse. Following a number of failed attempts to induce cerebellar learning in decerebrate ferrets with a nonburst signal, we tested the effect of varying the number of pulses in the climbing fiber teaching signal. The results show that training with a single pulse in a conditioning paradigm in vivo does not result in learning, but rather causes extinction of a previously learned response.

Introduction

The prevailing view of cerebellar learning is that climbing fiber (CF) impulses induce synaptic modification in the cerebellar cortex, such as long-term depression (LTD) of parallel fiber to Purkinje cell synapses. A much studied model of cerebellar learning is eyeblink conditioning, where repeated presentations of a neutral conditional stimulus (CS), often a tone, followed by a reflex-eliciting unconditional stimulus (US), such as an air puff to the cornea, causes acquisition of a conditioned blink response (CR) (Gormezano et al., 1983). Eyeblink conditioning relies on the cerebellum (McCormick and Thompson, 1984), and acquisition of adaptively timed CRs requires the cerebellar cortex (Yeo et al., 1984; Hesslow and Yeo, 2002). During conditioning, Purkinje cells develop adaptively timed pause responses, “Purkinje cell CRs,” thought to be the physiological basis of learned eyeblinks (Jirenhed et al., 2007; Jirenhed and Hesslow, 2011a). Results from microstimulation experiments suggest that Purkinje cells receive information about the CS via the mossy/parallel fiber pathway (Steinmetz et al., 1985; Hesslow et al., 1999), and information about the US via the CFs (Mauk et al., 1986; Jirenhed et al., 2007).

Purkinje cell CRs can disinhibit the cerebellar nuclei and, in extension, generate motor output (Hesslow and Yeo, 2002). In addition, disinhibition of the cerebellar nuclei causes increased inhibition of the inferior olive (IO), via the nucleo-olivary pathway (Bengtsson and Hesslow, 2006). Thus, the Purkinje cell CR can potentially inhibit the IO and the US signal (Rasmussen et al., 2008).

Cells in the IO fire in high-frequency bursts (>250 Hz), typically consisting of one to six spikes (Armstrong and Rawson, 1979; Maruta et al., 2007; Mathy et al., 2009). Each spike elicits a corresponding EPSP in the Purkinje cell dendrite, but only a single complex spike will appear in extracellular recordings. Thus, additional spikes do not result in extra complex spikes, although they alter the appearance and duration of the complex spike (Mathy et al., 2009).

The burst nature of the IO response was discovered decades ago (Eccles et al., 1966), but virtually all research on cerebellar learning, in which climbing fibers were stimulated, has used single pulses as teaching signals, assuming they are of an “all-or-none” nature (Ito, 2001). Recently, the nature of the CF signal has received renewed interest and the bursting nature of the IO response has been reaffirmed several times (Maruta et al., 2007; Mathy et al., 2009).

Here we tested the effect of varying the number of climbing fiber stimulus pulses on the acquisition and extinction of Purkinje cell CRs. A burst-like stimulus reliably results in acquisition of Purkinje cell CRs. Here we show that single climbing fiber impulses do not result in learning, but actually cause extinction of previously acquired Purkinje cell CRs.

Materials and Methods

Surgery. Thirteen male ferrets were anesthetized in a mixture of O2 and air with 1.5–2% isoflurane (Baxter Medical), which was subsequently replaced intravenously by propofol. During anesthesia, a tracheotomy was performed, and the gas was led directly into a tracheal tube. The end expiratory CO2 concentration, arterial blood pressure, and rectal temperature were monitored and kept within physiological limits throughout the experiment. During the whole experiment, infusion was given intravenously [50 mg/ml glucose and isotonic acetate Ringer’s solution (proportion, 1:1) with 0.004 mg/ml albumin fraction V (from bovine serum; Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mg/kg/h]. After fixation of the head in a stereotaxic frame, the skull was opened on the left side, and the caudal half of the left cerebral hemisphere, together with a substantial part of the...
thalamus on the left side, were removed by aspiration. The animals were decerebrated by sectioning the brainstem with a spatula 1–2 mm rostral to the superior colliculus. After decerebration, anesthesia was discontinued. With the cerebellum and colliculi exposed, a pool was constructed of cotton-reinforced agar and filled with warm high-density perfluorocarbon liquid (PC-40 Fluorinert; 3M). To ensure mechanical stability, animals were curarized, artificially ventilated, and kept hanging by the spine. A bilateral pneumothorax was performed to minimize chest movements and movements caused by changes in venous blood pressure. The dura covering the cerebellum was removed, and the surface was covered with agarose gel (15 mg/ml) to improve stability and prevent edema. This study was reviewed and approved by the local Swedish Ethical Committee.

**Stimulation.** Climbing fibers were stimulated in the ipsilateral inferior cerebellar peduncle by lowering an electrode 4.0–5.0 mm below the posterior cerebellar surface, at an angle of 45°, 4 mm lateral to the midline and 4 mm rostral to the caudal border of the vermis. Mossy fibers in the ipsilateral middle cerebellar peduncle were stimulated with an electrode lowered into the brainstem through the inferior colliculus at an angle of 80°, 1 mm medial to the lateral border of the inferior colliculus and at a depth of 4.0–5.0 mm. The superior colliculus was stimulated by an electrode lowered 100 μm below the surface of the middle of the superior colliculus at a 90° angle. While tracking for climbing fibers and mossy fibers, single stimulus pulses were applied, and field potentials were recorded in the C3 zone of hemispheral lobule VI (HVI), identified by previously established criteria (Hessler and Iverson, 1994; Hessler, 1994; Fig. 1B). Direct stimulation of cerebellar afferents was done with tungsten electrodes (diameter, 30 μm; deinsulated tip, 50 μm). The effectiveness of all stimulation sites and stimulus intensities were verified again and adjusted if necessary, when recording the activity of single Purkinje cells.

**Training protocol.** The CS consisted of a 300 ms, 50 Hz train of stimuli applied to the ipsilateral forelimb skin (1.5–2 mA, 0.5 ms), mossy fibers, or the superior colliculus (in both cases, 90–200 μA, 0.1 ms). Before the switch to a single pulse was made, the US consisted of two 10 ms stimulus trains delivered with a 20 ms interval, each consisting of five impulses at 500 Hz, applied to the ipsilateral climbing fibers (15–90 μA, 0.1 ms pulse duration). A burst-like stimulus was used because it better resembles the natural firing pattern of the IO (Maruta et al., 2007; Mathy et al., 2009), and because this type of stimulation reliably results in acquisition of Purkinje cell CRs (Jirenhed et al., 2007). When a Purkinje cell CR had been acquired, we switched to single-pulse climbing fiber stimulation, whereas other parameters remained constant. In some cells we switched back and forth between a US consisting of a single-pulse CF stimulus and a US consisting of two sets of five impulses. On one occasion, instead of switching back to two sets of five impulses, we switched to two impulses at 500 Hz and then to three impulses so that we could establish the threshold at which the climbing fiber signal induces learning. If at any point a cell was lost, we searched for another one. If another Purkinje cell that satisfied the inclusion criteria was found, we first determined whether the cell exhibited Purkinje cell CRs in response to the CS. If CRs were present, we continued training with the CS paired with a single-pulse CF stimulus. If Purkinje cell CRs were absent, we resumed training with the CS paired with a burst-like US. For intracellular recordings, which rarely lasted >60 s, the protocol consisted of one, two, or three stimulus pulses applied to the climbing fibers at 500 Hz, with 1 s between each burst.

**Purkinje cell recordings.** Extracellular single-unit recordings of Purkinje cells, identified by the presence of complex spikes, were performed using quartz glass-coated platinum–tungsten fiber microelectrodes with pulled and ground tips (30–40 μm metal core diameter; Thomas Recording). All Purkinje cells were located in a blink-controlling area of the C3 zone of the ipsilateral HVI. To be included in the data set, the Purkinje cell had to satisfy the following criteria: (1) confirmed periorbital climbing fiber input with a latency of <15 ms, (2) confirmed climbing fiber input from the inferior cerebellar peduncle with a latency of ~2 ms, and (3) sufficient recording stability to demonstrate a change in the response to CS during training. Intracellular Purkinje cell recordings (n = 3) were performed using pulled micropipettes filled with KNO₃ (impedance, 10–20 MΩ). Recorded electrical signals were passed unfiltered through an amplifier and a Power1401 data acquisition analog-to-digital converter unit (Cambridge Electronics Design). The sampling rate was 40 kHz.

**Data analysis.** All spike sorting was performed using Spike2, version 7 (Cambridge Electronics Design), and subsequent data analysis was performed in custom Matlab software (MathWorks). To separate simple and complex spikes, we used template matching in Spike2 followed by visual inspection. Purkinje cell background-firing rates vary over time. To avoid misclassifying naturally occurring decreases in simple spike activity as Purkinje cell CRs, all analysis was based on normalized simple spike firing during the CS period. To calculate the normalized simple spike firing, we divided the simple spike frequency within the CS period with the frequency in the 600 ms before CS onset. Since reextinction in general required fewer trials than extinction, we also normalized the number of paired trials that had been given; that is, instead of using the absolute number of paired presentations, we looked at the percentage of completed training of the training had been completed. To test whether the change in simple spike firing following training with a single climbing fiber impulse was statistically significant, we used a nonparametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test.

**Results**

The decerebrate ferret preparation (Fig. 1A) has several advantages when studying the mechanisms of eyelink conditioning. Though the cell recordings presented here are from paralyzed animals, decerebrate ferrets can acquire conditioned eyelinks (Hessler et al., 1999; Hessler and Yeo, 2002), meaning that the
A single-pulse CF stimulus results in extinction of the Purkinje cell CR. A, Extracellular Purkinje cell recording from a single cell showing responses to the conditional stimulus before and after training with a single stimulus pulse. B, Raster plot showing the gradual extinction of a Purkinje cell CR during training with a single stimulus pulse. The acquired pause response initially appears to last beyond the US, and as the pause response is extinguished it is replaced by a US-induced excitation. Each dot represents one simple spike in the extracellular record, and each line represents one trial. C, Composite raster plot merging raster plots from all cells (15 sessions from 13 cells), laid on top of each other. The y-axis was adjusted according to the length of the recording because the time it took before the Purkinje cell CR had been extinguished varied from cell to cell. D, Normalized raster plot based on all cells trained with a single stimulus pulse. The darkness of each square in the raster plot represents the average simple spike frequency in a 10 ms bin divided by the average background frequency in the same bin. E, Line diagram illustrating changes in simple spike firing in different parts of the CS–US interval during training. Whereas there is little change in simple spike firing in the first 100 ms of the CS, the latter part of the CS, and especially the last 100 ms of the CS, is associated with a substantial increase in simple spike firing during trained. Averaging the activity in the whole CS period also reveals an effect of training on simple spike activity. F, Box plot showing how much cells increased their firing in the whole CS during training with a single-pulse stimulus. The change in simple spike firing was calculated by subtracting the average simple spike firing in the last 5% trials of each cell with the activity in the first 5% trials of each cell.

Figure 2.

Motivated by the observation that two sets of five CF pulses at 500 Hz resulted in learning, whereas three pulses at 50 Hz did not, we designed an experiment to test how the number of CF pulses in the US affects the acquisition and extinction of Purkinje cell CRs. Animals were conditioned with a US consisting of two sets of five CF pulses at 500 Hz (200–700 μA), delivered to the CF, paired with a CS consisting of a 300 ms pulse train at 50 Hz, delivered to the ipsilateral forelimb (n = 8; 0.6–1.5 mA; 1 ms), mossy fibers (n = 3; 100 μA; 0.1 ms), or superior colliculus (n = 1; 150 μA; 0.1 ms). When a Purkinje cell CR had been acquired, we switched from a burst to a single-pulse climbing fiber stimulus. Other variables were kept constant.

Fifteen sessions from 13 cells were recorded using a single-pulse CF stimulus after Purkinje cell CRs had been acquired. On three occasions, the recording was sufficiently long lasting to allow us to later switch back to a burst US, and then to a single pulse again. In 12 of 15 sessions, training with a single pulse CF stimulus resulted in extinction of the Purkinje cell CR (an increase in simple spike firing during the CS; Fig. 2). In two sessions there was no change in simple spike activity, and in one session there was a decrease in simple spike activity. The median change in simple spike activity was 41%, ranging from −17 to 116% (Fig. 2F). A Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test confirmed that the simple spike frequency increased significantly during training with a single pulse (W = 104; p = 0.0015). Consistent with previous findings (Jirenhed et al., 2007; Jirenhed and Hesslow, 2011a,b), and with behavior (Gormezano et al., 1983), the de-
Occasionally, climbing fiber stimulation elicited simple spikes, possibly because of antidromic activation of mossy fiber collaterals. However, the rate of acquisition appears to be independent of this increase in simple spikes. Burst activation of climbing fibers or the inferior olive consistently results in Purkinje cell CRs, even if no simple spikes are elicited (Jirenheder et al., 2007). It is therefore unlikely that this increase in simple spike firing affected the present results.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that a US, or teaching signal, consisting of a single climbing fiber stimulus causes extinction, not acquisition, of a Purkinje cell CR. This was not because the stimulus failed to activate the climbing fibers since we always confirmed that the CF stimulus elicited a complex spike in the Purkinje cell. These results challenge the interpretation of research on cerebellar learning where an all-or-none teaching signal has been assumed (Ito, 2001).

For example, several studies have suggested that LTD, which is induced by pairing parallel fiber stimulation with CF stimulation, is the synaptic mechanism responsible for classical conditioning (Ito, 2001; Koekkoek et al., 2003). However, a single CF impulse, which has been shown to be sufficient for LTD induction (Ito et al., 1982; Ekerot and Kano, 1985; Ito, 2001), actually causes extinction of Purkinje cell CRs. These results, together with additional physiological evidence (Hesslow et al., 2013) as well as evidence from transgenic mice (Schonewille et al., 2011), suggest that LTD is not the critical mechanism for conditioning, although it is still possible that LTP plays an important role (Schonewille et al., 2010). In contrast to the LTD studies cited above, Mathy et al. (2009) observed potentiation of the parallel fiber–Purkinje cell synapse when pairing parallel fiber stimulation with a single CF impulse. Only when a CF burst was used did they get LTD. These recent discoveries, and the results presented in the present paper, raise some intriguing questions concerning the plastic changes underlying cerebellar learning. To what extent are depression and potentiation of the parallel fiber–Purkinje cell synapses responsible for the acquisition of Purkinje cell CRs, and for cerebellar learning in general? If depression and potentiation, which are induced within minutes of applying the stimulation protocol, are the underlying mechanisms of cerebellar learning, then why does acquisition of conditioned eyeblinks and Purkinje cell CRs take several hours? How exactly does the number of spikes in the climbing fiber signal influence the type of plasticity induced in the cerebellar cortex? These important questions should be addressed in future studies.

The reason that single CF impulses are insufficient to induce cerebellar learning may have to do with the fact that the IO serves multiple purposes. One function of the IO, besides relaying the teaching signal, is to regulate background activity in the cerebellar circuit (Cerminara and Rawson, 2004; Bengtsson and Hesslow, 2013). Interfering with the IO’s normal firing pattern has striking effects on cortical activity and, consequently, on cerebellar output (Bengtsson et al., 2004; Zbarska et al., 2007). Thus, to maintain normal functioning of the cerebellar network, it may be advantageous if modulation of learning only requires slight alterations of IO activity.

The US threshold for induction of a Purkinje cell CR was defined in one Purkinje cell initially trained with a forelimb CS (50 Hz, 1 mA), paired with two sets of five 500 Hz CF pulses (230 μA), until a Purkinje cell CR had been acquired. As expected, a single-pulse US caused extinction of the Purkinje cell CR. Increasing the number of pulses to two (500 Hz) did not result in reacquisition. However, three pulses (500 Hz) did result in reacquisition, and switching back to one pulse caused reextinction of the response (Fig. 3). This suggests that the equilibrium level is close to two spikes.

Figure 3. Raster plot depicting simple spike firing in a single cell throughout several cycles in which different numbers of stimulus pulses were used as teaching signals. When found, the cell had already received 450 CS and US presentations, which explains why the acquisition of the Purkinje cell CR was seen after < 50 trials. Switching from a burst-like teaching signal (two sets of five pulses at 500 Hz) to a single pulse resulted in a typical extinction process; i.e., the simple spike pause response during the CS disappeared. Going up to two pulses had no effect on the Purkinje cell CR, but going up to three pulses resulted in swift reacquisition of the Purkinje cell CR. Finally, going back to one pulse again resulted in extinction.
al., 2008) may be able to push the strength of the US signal below the learning threshold. This would allow the cerebellar circuit to reach an equilibrium where the negative feedback from the Purkinje cell CR matches the strength of the teaching signal, thus preventing runaway synaptic plasticity in the cortex (Lepora et al., 2010). It would also explain why lowering the US intensity can lead to extinction of overt CRs (Kehoe and White, 2002). As long as the negative feedback generated by the Purkinje cell CR matches the strength of the US signal, neither acquisition nor extinction ought to occur. However, if the US intensity is reduced, the Purkinje cell CR could suppress the US signal below the learning threshold, causing extinction.

Placing our results in a broader context invites comparisons with learning in the hippocampus, where it has been known for a long time that opposite forms of plasticity can be induced by slight alterations of stimulus parameters (Malenka and Bear, 2004). In a similar manner, and consistent with the nonlinear relationship between cerebellar plasticity and calcium release in Purkinje cells (Vogt and Canepari, 2010), our results demonstrate that the exact stimulus parameters used determines whether you get acquisition or extinction of the Purkinje cell CR.
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