



LUND UNIVERSITY

Bilateral Arthrodesis of the Ankle Joint

Self-Reported Outcomes in 35 Patients From the Swedish Ankle Registry

Henricson, Anders; Kamrad, Ilka; Rosengren, Björn; Carlsson, Åke

Published in:
Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery

DOI:
[10.1053/j.jfas.2016.07.014](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jfas.2016.07.014)

Published: 2016-11-01

Document Version
Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Henricson, A., Kamrad, I., Rosengren, B., & Carlsson, Å. (2016). Bilateral Arthrodesis of the Ankle Joint: Self-Reported Outcomes in 35 Patients From the Swedish Ankle Registry. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 55(6), 1195-1198. DOI: 10.1053/j.jfas.2016.07.014

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Title

Bilateral Arthrodesis of the Ankle Joint.

Self-reported Outcomes in 35 Patients from the Swedish Ankle Registry

Anders Henricson MD, PhD¹, Ilka Kamrad MD², Björn Rosengren MD, PhD², Åke Carlsson MD, PhD²

¹Department of Orthopedics, Falu Central Hospital, and Center of Clinical Research Dalarna, Falun, Sweden, ²Department of Orthopedics and Clinical Sciences, Lund University and Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

Level of clinical evidence: 3

Keywords:

ankle arthrodesis, ankle fusion, ankle osteoarthritis, patient reported outcome measures

Corresponding author: Anders Henricson, e-mail address:

anders.henricson@ltdalarna.se, telephone +46705236986

Financial disclosure: the Swedish Ankle Registry is partly maintained with funds from the Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions.

Conflict of interest: None reported.

Abstract

Bilateral ankle arthrodesis (AA) is seldom performed, and results concerning outcome and satisfaction are only sparsely found in the literature. We analyzed 35 patients with bilateral AA in the Swedish Ankle Registry with patient-reported generic and region specific outcome measures (PROM). Of 36 talocrural (TC) arthrodeses and 34 tibio-talar-calcaneal (TTC) arthrodeses, 6 ankles had undergone re-arthrodesis due to non-union. After mean 47 months follow-up time we found the following mean scores: SEFAS 33, EQ-5D 0.67, EQ-VAS 70, SF-36 physical 39 and mental 54. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) seemed to have similar SEFAS but possibly lower EQ-5D and SF-36 scores. TC arthrodeses scored higher than TTC arthrodeses in EQ5D and SF-36 ($p=0.03$ and $p=0.04$). In 64/70 cases the patients were satisfied or very satisfied with the outcome. In conclusion we consider bilateral AA to be a reasonable treatment, with high post-operative mid-term satisfaction and fairly good PROM scores, when no other treatment option is available.

Introduction

In Sweden with a population of about 10 million, 400 ankles or 4 in 100.000 inhabitants are either replaced or fused annually. 96 % of these procedures are reported to the Swedish Ankle Registry. A limited number of patients undergo bilateral but staged procedures. The knowledge concerning outcome and satisfaction in patients with bilateral ankle arthrodesis (AA) is very sparse. Recently, a report of a small number of bilateral AA showing high patient satisfaction was published (1). Our aim of this study was to analyze patient-reported function and outcome in AA patients

with a minimum follow-up of 1 year, using validated generic and ankle specific questionnaires.

Patients and Methods

Fifty-one patients with bilateral AA as a primary procedure were identified in the Swedish Ankle Registry. Of these one had died of unrelated reason and 6 had a too short follow-up (<12 months).

Minimum one year after the most recent arthrodesis the 44 patients were asked to report their general health status using the Short Form-36 (SF-36), the EuroQol-5D(EQ-5D) and the EuroQol Visual Analogue Scale (EQ-VAS) scores. EQ-5D scores from 0 to 1 and EQ-VAS from 0-100. The lower the scores the worse general health, Sf-36 physical and SF-36 mental both score from 0-100. A score of zero implies maximum disability and 100 no disability. For ankle function we used the validated Self-reported Foot and Ankle Score (SEFAS). SEFAS contains 12 items with 5 response options; each scoring from 0 to 4 where a sum of 0 points represents the most severe disability and 48 represents normal function (2). For each patient the average SEFAS score of the left and the right ankle was used to estimate overall foot and ankle function (Fig 1).

The patients also reported their satisfaction with the result of each ankle on a 5-grade Likert scale as: very satisfied, satisfied, neither satisfied or dissatisfied, dissatisfied, very dissatisfied (3). Very satisfied corresponds to 1 point and very dissatisfied to 5 points.

8 did not return the questionnaires and the answers of one patient were not applicable because of a paraplegic condition meaning that the different scores were not specific for the ankles. Thus 35 patients (70 ankles) were available for analysis.

There were 15 women and 20 men with a mean age of 63 (range 38-80) years. The reason for surgery was primary osteoarthritis in 10 patients, rheumatoid arthritis in 14, posttraumatic arthritis in 5, diabetic arthropathy in 4, psoriatic arthritis in one and secondary osteoarthritis (pes cavo-varus) in one. Thirty-six ankles had a talo-crural (TC) arthrodesis and the other 34 a tibio-talo-calcaneal (TTC) arthrodesis. Three patients had a TC arthrodesis in one side and a TTC arthrodesis in the other side.

Six ankles in 5 patients (8 %) had undergone re-arthrodesis because of non-union. No re-re-arthrodeses were reported to the registry.

Follow-up time was mean 47 months (range 12-194). Seventeen ankles in 13 patients had a follow-up time longer than 5 years. The mean time between the first and second arthrodesis was 27 months (5-94). In rheumatic patients the interval was 28 months (5-94) and in the remaining patients 27 months (10-111).

For comparison between groups the Wilcoxon sign rank test was used. We refrained from extensive sub group analyses due to small numbers in groups and only analyzed differences between TC and TTC arthrodesis patients.

Results

Previous subtalar fusion was performed in one patient with rheumatoid arthritis. No secondary subtalar fusions were reported in the TC group.

All patients answered the questionnaires but one who did not answer the SF-36 properly.

The PROM (SEFAS, SF-36 physical and mental component summary scales, EQ-5D, EQ-VAS) values are presented in Table 1. The mean follow-up SEFAS score was 33 out of 48. The score was about the same irrespective of diagnosis, but somewhat lower in the 15 patients with bilateral TTC-fusions. The difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.10$). Also the SF-36 physical component summary scale and EQ-5D were lower in TTC-fusions and these differences were statistically significant ($p=0.04$ and 0.03 respectively). The 7 patients with bilateral TTC-fusions and rheumatoid arthritis had a mean SEFAS score of 31 (22-40).

Ten patients were very satisfied with both their ankles and 19 were either very satisfied or satisfied with both ankles. The satisfaction grades are listed in Table 2.

Discussion

This study shows a very high degree of satisfaction (89% very satisfied or satisfied) in patients with bilateral AA. This is consistent with the findings of Vaughan et al. (1), who found that 7 out of 8 patients (88%) were very satisfied or satisfied.

There are so far no normative data of the SEFAS score. However, the mean SEFAS score in our study of 33 out of a possible maximum of 48 corresponds well with values in earlier reports. Cöster et al. (4) found a mean SEFAS score of 29 after surgery for hindfoot and ankle disorders. In patients operated for adult acquired flatfoot Cöster et al. (5) found a mean SEFAS score 2 years postoperatively of 33. The only SEFAS score of primary ankle arthrodeses in the literature is from a small series by Henricson et al. (6). In patients with a total ankle replacement and a contralateral ankle arthrodesis they found a mean SEFAS score of 27 for the

arthrodesis side. In salvage ankle arthrodesis after failed total ankle prostheses Kamrad et al. (7) found a mean SEFAS score of 22.

In the present study patients with rheumatoid arthritis had about the same SEFAS score as patients with other diagnoses, although SF-36 scores and the EQ-5D score were lower. This most probably reflects that patients with rheumatoid arthritis frequently have other problems apart from those in the foot and the ankle.

We also found that the SEFAS score, the SF-36 physical summary scale score, and the EQ-5D score of patients in the TTC group was lower than in the TC group. The difference was only statistically significant for the two latter scores. However, in the TTC group 8 patients (53 %) belong to the group of rheumatoid patients, which at least to some extent may explain their lower scores.

The physical component summary scale of SF-36 was somewhat lower than the score of 43 reported by Hendrickx et al. (8) in a follow-up study of unilateral AA. The mental component score of 54 was however the same in our study and in the study by Hendrickx et al. (8).

Few studies address patients with bilateral ankle arthritis. Bilateral total ankle replacement has previously been found to result in a high degree of patient satisfaction (9, 10). Results from bilateral AA is only reported in few patients in studies concerning unilateral ankle arthrodesis (11, 12). In these studies patients with bilateral AA are noted to have difficulty with stairs, inclines and walking in uneven terrain. In a small series of patients with a total ankle replacement in one side and a contralateral ankle arthrodesis the majority were satisfied with their ankles (6).

Long-term studies of unilateral AA have shown multiple problems. Coester et al. (13) found in a 22 years follow-up of 23 patients difficulties with climbing stairs and

standing upright, the patients also had swelling and pain, altogether leading to increased foot disability. However, 67 percent of their patients were satisfied with the procedure. Fuchs et al. (14) in another long-term study of unilateral AA in 17 patients (one with bilateral AA) found that all their patients were happy with their ankles. In a 9-year follow-up study of unilateral AA Hendrickx et al. (8) found good functional outcome with 91 % of the patients satisfied although many experienced some pain in the ankle. Their SF-36 scores were in accordance with the SF-36 scores in our study.

Limitations of this study include the concern of incomplete reporting to the registry. However, the procedure-based coverage of reporting AA is about 96 %. Also, this is a registry study and we have no information regarding immobilization time, and indeed no radiological reports. We also lack reports of return to job and sports activities. The non-union rate of 8 % in the present study is similar to other reports (8, 15) although there might be asymptomatic non-unions.

The strength of our study is the nationwide inclusion of cases and surgeries performed by different surgeons in different hospitals. This gives the study an objective evaluation of real clinical results of the procedures but not necessarily best possible results. There are few studies on bilateral AA and our study includes relatively many cases with mid-term follow-up time.

In conclusion we found that patients with bilateral AA have a high degree of satisfaction in a mid-term perspective. The SEFAS and SF-36 scores are at reasonably good levels. Usually, the condition of bilateral AA wants to be avoided but our results show that, when no alternative options are available, bilateral AA might be reasonable option with satisfying outcome. However, no long-term outcome data are available.

References

1. Vaughan P, Gordon D, Goldberg A, Cullen n, Singh D. Patient satisfaction and function after bilateral ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Surg* 21:160-163, 2015.
2. Cöster M, Karlsson M, Nilsson J-Å, Carlsson Å. Validity, reliability, and responsiveness of a self-reported foot and ankle score (SEFAS). *Acta Orthop* 83:197-203, 2012.
3. Likert R. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Arch Psychol* 140:44-53, 1932.
4. Cöster MC, Bremander A, Rosengren BE, Magnusson H, Carlsson Å, Karlsson MK. Validity, reliability, and responsiveness of the Self-reported Foot and Ankle Score (SEFAS) in forefoot, hindfoot, and ankle disorders. *Acta Orthop* 85:187-194, 2014.
5. Cöster MC, Rosengren BE, Bremander A, Karlsson MK. Surgery for adult acquired flatfoot due to posterior tibial tendon dysfunction reduces pain, improves function and health related quality of life. *Foot Ankle Surg* 21:286-289, 2015.
6. Henricson A, Fredriksson M, Carlsson Å. Total ankle replacement and contralateral arthrodesis in 16 patients from the Swedish Ankle Registry: Self-reported function and satisfaction. *Foot Ankle Surg* 22:32-34, 2016.

7. Kamrad I, Henricson A, Magnusson H, Carlsson Å, Rosengren BE. Outcome after salvage arthrodesis for failed total ankle replacement. *Foot Ankle Int* 37:255-261, 2016.
8. Hendrickx RPM, Stufkens SAS, de Bruijn EE, Sierevelt IN, van Dijk CN, Kerkhoffs MMJ. Medium- to long-term outcome of ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int* 32:940-947, 2011.
9. Karantana A, Geoghegan JM, Shandil M, Dhar S. Simultaneous bilateral total ankle replacement using the S.T.A.R.: a case series. *Foot Ankle Int* 31:86-89, 2010.
10. Barg A, Henninger HB, Knupp M, Hintermann B. Simultaneous bilateral total ankle replacement using a 3-component prosthesis. Outcome of 26 patients followed for 2-10 years. *Acta Orthop* 82:704-710, 2011.
11. Felix NA, Kitaoka HB. Ankle arthrodesis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 349:58-64, 1998.
12. Mann RA, Rongstad KM. Arthrodesis of the ankle: a critical analysis. *Foot Ankle Int* 19:3-9, 1998.
13. Coester LM, Saltzman CL, Leupold J, Pontarelli W. Long-term results following ankle arthrodesis for post-traumatic arthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 83-A:219-228, 2001.
14. Fuchs S, Sandmann C, Skwara A, Chylarecki C. Quality of life 20 years after arthrodesis of the ankle: a study of adjacent joints. *J Bone Joint Surg (Br)* 85-B:994-998, 2003.
15. Nihal A, Gellman RE, Embil JM, Trepman E. Ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Surg* 14:1-10, 2008.

Table 1					
PROM values					
	SEFAS	SF-36, phys	SF-36, ment	EQ-5D	EQ-VAS
	mean (range)	mean (range)	mean (range)	mean (range)	mean (range)
All patients (n=35)	33 (11-48)	39 (16-58) (n=34)	54 (17-71) (n=34)	0.67 (-0.07-1)	70 (20-95)
RA (n=14)	32 (11-43)	36(17-59) (n=13)	48 (17-71) (n=13)	0.59 (-0.11-1)	70 (30-90)
Other diagnoses (n=21)	34 (10-48)	40 (16-58)	54 (31-66)	0.75 (-0.07-1)	70 (20-95)
TC (n= 17)*	36 (11-45)	43 (21-58)	53 (22-64)	0.73 (-0.11-1)	68 (30-95)
TTC (n= 15)*	30 (10-40)	33 (16-44) (n=14)	57 (17-71) (n=14)	0.62 (-0.07-1)	67 (20-90)
FU> 5 years (n= 13)	31 (10-48)	39 (27-58)	49 (23-66)	0.73 (-0.11-1)	66 (20-95)
* The numbers refer to cases with bilateral TC- and TTC-fusions respectively					

	Table 2					
	Grade of satisfaction					
		Number of ankles	Very satisfied/Satisfied	Neither/nor	Dissatisfied/Very dissatisfied	
	All patients	70	64	5	1	
	RA	28	23	5	1	
	Other diagnoses	42	41	1		
	TC	36	34	2		
	TTC	34	29	4	1	
	FU> 5 years	17	15	2		

217

Figure 1

SELF-REPORTED FOOT & ANKLE QUESTIONNAIRE (SEFAS)

We would like you to answer the 12 questions below. Each question is graded from 0- 4
4 = the mildest or least troublesome and 0 = the most severe or most troublesome.

Please cross the box that best describes your condition during the last 4 weeks

<p>1. How would you describe the pain you usually have from the foot/ankle in question?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> None 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Very mild 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Mild 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Moderate 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Severe</p>	<p>5. How much has the pain from the foot/ankle in question interfered with your usual work including housework and hobbies?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Not at all 3 <input type="checkbox"/> A bit 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Moderately 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Greatly 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Totally</p>
<p>2. For how long have you been able to walk before severe pain arises from the foot/ ankle in question?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> No pain up 30 min. 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 16-30 minutes 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 5-15 minutes 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Around the house only 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Unable to walk at all because of severe pain</p>	<p>6. Have you been limping when walking because of the foot/ankle in question?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> No days 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Only one or two days 2 <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Some days</i> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Most days 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Every day</p>
<p>3. Have you been able to walk on uneven ground?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, easily 3 <input type="checkbox"/> With little_difficulty 2 <input type="checkbox"/> With moderate difficulty 1 <input type="checkbox"/> With extreme_difficulty 0 <input type="checkbox"/> No impossible</p>	<p>7. Have you been able to climb <i>a flight of stairs</i>?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, easily 3 <input type="checkbox"/> With little_difficulty 2 <input type="checkbox"/> With moderate difficulty 1 <input type="checkbox"/> With extreme trouble 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Impossible</p>
<p>4. Have you had to use an orthotic (shoe insert), heel lift or special shoes?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Never 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Occasionally 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Often 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Most of the time 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Always</p>	<p>8. Have you been troubled by pain from the foot/ ankle in question in bed at night?)</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> No night) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Only one or two nights 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Some nights 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Most nights 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Every night</p>
<p>9. How much has pain from the foot/ankle in question affected your usual recreational activities?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Not at all 3 <input type="checkbox"/> A bit 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Moderately 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Greatly 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Totally</p>	<p>11. After a meal (sat at a table) how painful has it been for you to stand up from a chair because of the foot/ankle in question?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Not at all painful 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Slightly painful 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Moderately painful 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Very painful 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Unbearable</p>
<p>10. Have you had swelling of your foot?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> None at all 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Occasionally 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Often 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Most of the time 0 <input type="checkbox"/> All the time</p>	<p>12. Have you had a severe sudden pain shooting, stabbing or spasms from the foot/ankle in question?</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> No days 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Only one or two days 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Some day 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Most days 0 <input type="checkbox"/> Every day</p>