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Abstract

Introduction: Although Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is associated with early death, its life expectancy differs greatly between patients. A better understanding of this heterogeneity may reveal important disease mechanisms underlying the malignancy of AD. The aim of this study was to examine the relation between AD pathologies and early death in AD caused by dementia.

Methods: At a memory clinic, 247 referred consecutive patients with AD were monitored during 12.6 ± 1.6 years. Multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed with baseline measures of amyloid beta (Aβ) pathology (APOE genotype, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Aβ42) tau pathology (CSF phosphorylated tau and total tau), cerebrovascular pathology (white-matter lesions and CSF/serum albumin ratio), neuroinflammatory pathology (CSF soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, sVCAM-1), frontal, temporal, and central brain atrophies, global cognition, sex, and age. Comorbidities and medications also were analyzed. All continuous variables were transformed to z scores to compare hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results: At follow-up, 89% of the patients had died. The mean survival time was 6.4 ± 3.0 years. The AD pathology that independently predicted an early death caused by dementia was cerebral inflammation (sVCAM-1; HR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.07–1.64). Other independent predictors were lower global cognition (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.43–0.61), frontal atrophy (HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.12–1.70), and medial temporal atrophy (HR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.02–1.49). When examining death caused by dementia and related causes (vascular diseases and infections), age (HR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.04–1.46) and cerebrovascular pathology (white-matter lesions: HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.01–1.36; and CSF/serum albumin ratio: HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.001–1.34) were also significant risk factors in addition to the previous variables. No comorbidity or medication was significant in the specific-cause models.

Conclusions: This is the first study to link neuroinflammation independently to early death in AD and, hence, a rapidly progressing disease. Frontal and medial temporal atrophies and low cognition were also significant predictors. These are probably downstream biomarkers that reflect neuronal degeneration and late-stage disease. Our results suggest that inflammation, and not amyloid or tau pathology, is an independent underlying mechanism in the malignancy of AD.
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Introduction
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is strongly associated with an early death, compared with the nondemented elderly population [1-5]. Recent data from the United States showed that AD accounts for a population-attributable risk between 5% and 15% on 5-year mortality for ages 65 and older, and represents the fifth leading cause of death in the same age range [6]. Even when adjusting for other diseases that are commonly regarded as causes of death, such as cancer or cardiac diseases, AD remains an independent risk factor for early death [7,8]. The survival from the time of AD diagnosis varies between 3 and 8 years [9,10]. Pneumonia is the most common cause of death in AD in studies using death certificates [11], medical records from the institution where the patient died [12], and studies based on autopsy for the determination of cause of death [13]. However, pneumonia can be considered merely a “symptom” caused by deteriorated health status, dysphagia, malnutrition, or problems with clearing secretions, which is seen in end-stage dementia [11,13-15]. The question, which has been stated before [7], is what mechanisms or pathologies drive the disease rapidly to this end-stage to a greater extent in some patients than in others. A better understanding of the heterogeneity of the life expectancy in AD may highlight important pathologic mechanisms of the malignancy of AD and provide a more accurate prognosis for patients.

AD is associated with different brain pathologies, such as amyloid beta deposition, tau pathology, vascular lesions, and cerebral inflammation [16-18]. The combination of these pathologies differs among patients and can probably explain some of the heterogeneity observed within the spectrum of AD symptoms [19]. Our aim in the present study was to examine whether a certain combination of these pathologies, or the prominence of a specific pathology, can explain the huge difference in life expectancy observed in AD. Therefore, we investigated the predictive ability of AD pathologies and related factors regarding mortality.

Methods
Subjects
The patients originated from the Malmö Alzheimer Study [20]. This study consisted of consecutive patients who were referred to the Memory Clinic of the Skåne University Hospital in Malmö, Sweden, because of cognitive complaints. Patients who underwent a lumbar puncture (which was part of the clinical routine) and were diagnosed with probable or possible AD [21] between 1999 and 2003 were included. Physicians with experience in dementia disorders assessed the patients, who underwent computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain, lumbar puncture, cognitive tests, and blood analysis.

The Malmö Alzheimer Study consisted of 259 patients. Of these, 12 were excluded from the present study because of missing data; the current study population included 247 patients with a complete data set.

The patients gave their consent for research, and the study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee in Lund (2012/461 and 2010/401) and the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare (58744/2012).

Mortality data
The follow-up consisted of a control whether the patient was alive or not in April 2013, and the follow-up time was defined as the duration from the CT/MRI scan to this control. If the patient was dead, the specific cause of death was obtained from the Swedish Causes of Death Register. The cause of death was determined mostly clinically by an attending responsible physician with knowledge of the patient’s medical history, and, in only a few cases, by autopsy. The causes were specified according to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) [22]. To examine better the pathologies of AD that increase mortality specifically related to AD, the causes of death were divided into three groups based on the diagnoses in the death register.

Dementia
These causes were not restricted to AD (although this was the initial diagnosis), but also included vascular dementia and unspecified dementia (ICD F01, F03, and G30), as some patients acquired other brain pathologies during the time of the study. In these cases, the patient had no other apparent diseases that could have caused death.

Dementia and related causes
In addition to the causes described, this group consisted of cerebrovascular diseases, heart disease, and other vascular diseases; ICD I2X, I4X–I7X), which are risk factors for AD and share the feature of vascular pathology. Infections (most commonly pneumonia, but also infections related to decubitus and unknown infections) were also included in this group (ICD A41, J18, N39), because aspiration, self-neglect, and sedentary lifestyle are very common consequences of late-AD symptoms.

All-cause mortality
This group consisted of all available causes of death including those not related to dementia, such as cancer, gastrointestinal diseases, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Risk factors for mortality: main analysis
The potential risk factors for mortality were chosen based on the known pathologies and biomarkers of AD, and constituted the main analysis. Only baseline variables were used in the study. They were as follows:
**Amyloid pathology**

The 42-amino-acid isoform of amyloid-β_{1-42} (Aβ42) in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was analyzed with Luminex xMAP technology to assess amyloid burden [23]. The procedures and analysis of the lumbar puncture and CSF analysis followed the Alzheimer’s Association Flow Chart [24]. Another variable that is associated with amyloid deposition is the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 allele, especially the presence of two alleles. APOE was therefore entered into the model as a dichotomous variable (that is, presence or absence of ε4/ε4).

**Tau pathology**

The levels of total tau and tau phosphorylated at Thr181 (P-tau) in the CSF were determined to examine tau pathology. They were analyzed by using the same method as that used to assess Aβ42.

**Cerebrovascular pathology**

White-matter lesions in the left and right frontal and parietal-occipital regions, as well as lesions in the left and right basal ganglia, were assessed by using the Age-Related White Matter Changes (ARWMC) scale [25]. The assessment was performed by S.P. by using CT or MRI scans. The specific procedures, as well as intra- and interrater reliability of this method were published previously [26]. The scores from the different regions were added to obtain a combined score ranging from 0 to 18 points. In addition to the ARWMC scale, the CSF/serum albumin ratio (albumin ratio), which is a marker of the integrity of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), also was calculated.

**Neuroinflammatory pathology**

The CSF levels of the soluble vascular cell-adhesion molecule 1 (sVCAM-1) were analyzed as a measure of neuroinflammatory pathology. The markers for the integrity of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), as that used to assess Aβ42.

**Regional atrophy**

Atrophy is, per se, not a pathologic process, but a biomarker of neuronal degeneration, which is a hallmark of AD. Different cerebral areas are affected in AD, depending on disease type and duration [27]. Atrophy was assessed by an experienced radiologist (Y.Z.) by using linear measurements on CT or MRI scans. To include the essential brain regions, the following measures were entered into a Cox regression model: (a) the temporal horn ratio, which measures medial temporal atrophy, (b) Evans ratio, which measures frontal atrophy, and (3) the cella media index, which measures central atrophy. The details of this procedure were published previously [28].

**Cognition, sex, and age**

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) was used to assess global cognitive function [29]. In addition, sex and age were entered in the models, as both of these characteristics might affect the other independent variables and mortality.

**Concomitant diseases and medications: subanalyses**

Concomitant diseases and medications are potentially influential risk factors for the prediction of mortality caused by AD pathology. These variables were not included in the main Cox regression analysis because of their high number. Instead, they were analyzed in separate Cox regression models. Data on current medications and comorbidities were collected from information provided by patients and their relatives and from documentation on diseases and drugs in the patients’ medical records. Several diseases were grouped in larger categories: ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, and arrhythmias were named “heart diseases”; all gastrointestinal diseases were grouped together, as well as all pulmonary diseases. Finally, liver and kidney diagnoses were grouped together in one category. Diagnoses with a frequency smaller than 5% were called “all other diseases.” Current medications were grouped together based on mechanism or treatment indication, except for the category cardiovascular drugs, which included all heart-disease indications and antihypertensive treatment, as these often co-occur, and could not be distinguished from each other.

**Statistics**

Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate separately the effects of the previously mentioned risk factors on the relative risk of time to death (caused by dementia, dementia and related causes, and all-cause mortality). All continuous variables followed a normal distribution and were screened for collinearity by using Pearson correlation; the highest correlation coefficient was seen between tau and P-tau (r = 0.77). To facilitate the comparison of hazard ratios (HRs) in the Cox regression analysis, all continuous variables were converted to z scores in the main analyses. Thus, the HR corresponded to an increase of 1 standard deviation (SD). This conversion does not affect the model or the significance values of the covariates.

The dichotomous variables were entered into the model if their prevalence was at least 10%, to avoid unstable estimates and a diminished statistical power. This approach did not affect the main analysis, but excluded the following potential predictors from the subanalyses: diabetes, major depression, liver/kidney disease, and medication with antacids, NSAIDs, or hormone therapy. The duration from the date of CT/MRI scan to either death or follow-up (that is, controlling whether the patient was deceased)
was used as the time variable. The independent variables were entered by using the stepwise backward likelihood ratio (LR) method with an entry limit of $P = 0.05$ and a removal limit of $P = 0.051$, to avoid including nonsignificant variables in the final model. All analyses were performed with SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Demographics**

The demographics of, and variables analyzed in all 247 patients are shown in Table 1. The mean follow-up time (that is, from CT/MRI scan until the follow-up) was 12.6 years (SD, 1.6 years). At follow-up, 221 (89%) of the 247 patients had died. The mean time to death was 6.4 years (SD, 3.0 years). The causes of death were specified by 65 different ICD-10 codes. These were grouped into 11 different categories (Figure 1). The most common cause was dementia (55%), which was interpreted as an absence of other apparent diseases that could have caused death. Different cerebrovascular, cardiovascular, and other vascular causes of death were the second largest group (23.1%). Infections and cancer were the causes of death in 6.4% and 5.9% of the cases.

The concomitant diseases and medications are shown in Table 2. Folate or vitamin B12 deficiency was the most common diagnosis (41%), followed by hypertension (32%), gastrointestinal diseases (30%), and heart disease (26%). The most common drugs were antidepressants (43%), followed by cardiovascular drugs (34%) and antipsychotics/sedatives (31%).

**Prediction of mortality: main analyses**

The significant variables for predicting death caused by dementia were the MMSE score (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.35-0.77).

![Figure 1 Cause of death.](image)

Table 1 Characteristics (variables entered into the Cox Regression Analysis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (n = 247)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to death, n = 221 (years)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>75.2 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score (0 to 30 points)</td>
<td>21.3 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal horn ratio (medial temporal atrophy)</td>
<td>0.040 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans ratio (frontal atrophy)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cella media index (central atrophy)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF sVCAM-1 (neuroinflammatory pathology, μg/L)</td>
<td>11.7 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARWMC (cerebrovascular pathology, 0 to 18 points)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin ratio (BBB integrity)</td>
<td>0.0075 ± 0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF Tau (pg/ml)</td>
<td>619 ± 322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF P-tau (pg/ml)</td>
<td>76 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF Aβ42 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>410 ± 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE ε4/ε4</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Prevalence of concomitant diseases and medications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease category</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Folate or vitamin B12 deficiency</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other diseases</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal diseases</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid diseases</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary diseases</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depression or anxiety disorders</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver or kidney diseases</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medications**

- Antidepressants: 43%
- Cardiovascular drugs: 34%
- Platelet-aggregation inhibitors: 32%
- Antipsychotics/sedatives: 31%
- Analgesics (except aspirin and NSAIDs): 13%
- Thyroxine: 12%
- Serum lipid-reducing agents: 12%
- Antacids: 9%
- Estrogen therapy: 7%
- NSAIDs: 2%

NSAIDs, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs.
0.43–0.61), Evans ratio (HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.12–1.70), sVCAM-1 (HR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.07–1.64), and temporal horn ratio (HR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.02–1.49), which are shown in Table 3 (that is, the independent risk factors for death caused by AD were frontal and medial temporal atrophy, high levels of cerebral inflammation, and, most significantly, low cognitive status at baseline). Note that all HRs are based on z scores, which allows a head-to-head comparison of the HRs of the variables.

When predicting dementia- and AD-pathology-related causes of death, the significant variables were Evans ratio (HR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.13–1.57), sVCAM-1 (HR, 1.22; 95% CI, 1.03–1.45), albumin ratio (HR, 1.16, 95% CI, 1.001–1.34), ARWMC score (HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.01–1.36); MMSE score (HR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.50–0.66), and age (HR, 1.23; 95% CI 1.04–1.46) (Table 3). In addition to frontal atrophy, cerebral inflammation, and cognitive impairment, this model found that cerebrovascular pathology and older age were significant independent predictors for death caused by dementia and related causes. This was probably caused by the vascular origin of most of the AD-related causes of death.

The model for all-cause mortality revealed the following significant variables: Evans ratio (HR, 1.31; 95% CI, 1.13–1.52), age (HR, 1.28; 95% CI, 1.10–1.49), MMSE score (HR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.52–0.67), and sVCAM-1 (HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.07–1.45) (Table 3).

### Table 3 Risk factors for AD mortality (multivariate Cox regression analyses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Significant variables</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.51 (0.43–0.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frontal atrophy</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.38 (1.12–1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.32 (1.07–1.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial temporal atrophy</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>1.23 (1.02–1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia and related causes</td>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.58 (0.50–0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frontal atrophy</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.33 (1.13–1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.23 (1.04–1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.22 (1.03–1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular lesions</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.17 (1.01–1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BBB integrity</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>1.16 (1.01–1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.59 (0.52–0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frontal atrophy</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.31 (1.13–1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.28 (1.10–1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.24 (1.07–1.45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All variables described in Risk factors of mortality (Methods) were entered into each of the three models. The continuous variables were converted to z scores. Only significant variables are shown in the table. The following variables were not significant in any of the models: CSF Aβ42 and APOE ε4/ε4 (amyloid pathology), CSF tau and P-tau (tau pathology), cella media index (central atrophy), and sex. BBB integrity, CSF/serum albumin ratio; cognition, MMSE score; CI, confidence interval; frontal atrophy, Evans ratio; HR, hazard ratio; inflammation, sVCAM-1; medial temporal atrophy, temporal horn ratio; vascular lesions, ARWMC (Age-related White Matter Changes scale).

The variables for amyloid (Aβ42 and APOE ε4/ε4) and tau (total tau and P-tau) pathology were not significant in any of the models (data not shown).

### The effect of comorbidity and medications: subanalyses

No concomitant disease was a risk factor for death caused by dementia (Table 4). Neither could a concomitant disease predict death caused by dementia and related causes; however, older age was a significant risk factor in this model (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 1.02–1.07). When predicting all-cause mortality, both older age (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 1.02–1.07) and hypertension (HR, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.01–1.78) were significant variables (Table 4).

Similarly, no medications were risk factors for death caused by dementia (Table 4), and older age was a significant risk factor for death caused by dementia and related causes (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 1.02–1.07). In the all-cause model, the following variables were significant (Table 4): older age (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 1.02–1.06), antipsychotics/sedatives (HR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.02–1.82), and cardiovascular drugs (HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.04–1.83).

### Discussion

In this 13-year longitudinal study of 247 patients with mild-to-moderate AD, we found that increased cerebral inflammation was the only AD-related pathology that was an independent risk factor for death caused specifically by dementia (Table 3). Other significant risk factors were low cognitive ability, frontal atrophy, and medial temporal lobe atrophy. Tau, amyloid, or cerebrovascular pathology were not significant variables in the Cox regression model for death caused by dementia.

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to show that cerebral inflammation is independently associated with early death in AD. Cerebral inflammation, as measured by CSF sVCAM-1 level, was a risk factor for early death in all mortality groups (Table 3). Previous studies reported that neuroinflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of AD [18,30-33]. It has been suggested that chronic neuroinflammation represents a risk factor for AD in the elderly through an acceleration of senescence in microglia, with a reduction in their neuroprotective function leading to the formation of senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles [34]. A recent neuropathologic study found that cerebral inflammation measured as glial response is an essential mechanism that determines which of the patients with a high load of tau and amyloid pathology were demented or nondemented [19]. Thus, inflammation might be a key component in the toxicity of β-amyloid, as many individuals have a high burden of amyloid and tau pathology without any clinical symptoms [19]. Furthermore, Forassi et al. [35] showed that systemic inflammation is a strong independent risk factor for death in an elderly population.
Table 4 Comorbidity and medication risk factors for mortality (multivariate Cox regression analyses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors (covariates)</th>
<th>Cause of death (dependent variable)</th>
<th>Significant variables</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>No significant variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dementia and related causes</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.31 (1.12–1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.30 (1.13–1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.34 (1.01–1.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>No significant variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dementia and related causes</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.30 (1.11–1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.27 (1.10–1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiovascular drugs</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.38 (1.04–1.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antipsychotics/sedatives</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.36 (1.02–1.81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age was converted to a z-score for comparison with the continuous variables shown in Table 3. All comorbidities and medications with a prevalence ≥10% (Table 2), as well as age and sex, were entered into the Cox regression analyses. Comorbidities and medications were entered separately. HR, hazard ratio.

The other significant risk factors identified (lower cognitive status, frontal atrophy, and medial temporal lobe atrophy) should probably be interpreted as downstream biomarkers, which reflect the disease duration and disease stage. Other studies have also found that low cognitive function and atrophy at baseline are significant risk factors for mortality [36-39]. Frontal atrophy was a stronger predictor than medial temporal atrophy (Table 3), possibly because medial temporal atrophy is an earlier step in the disease process, whereas frontal atrophy occurs later, as an indicator of end-stage dementia [27]. Another explanation could be that AD patients with frontal lobe degeneration constitute a subset of AD with a more rapid disease progression. This assumption is supported by previous results, that revealed that tests of frontal cognitive function, but not those of parietal and temporal function, independently predicted a more rapid AD progression [40].

The results of the subanalyses showed that AD-related factors, but not concomitant diseases or medications, caused early death in AD (Table 4). This was further supported by the fact that neither older age nor male sex predicted specific-cause death (Table 4), even though they are regarded as traditional predictors of death among elderly individuals.

Neuroleptic/sedative drugs were associated with early death in the all-cause model, which was in line with previous studies that showed that psychotic symptoms and/or neuroleptic drugs increase mortality rates [4,41,42]. The mean survival time of 6.4 years found here was in agreement with a large review of 42 studies on AD mortality [43]. Large differences are found in the prevalence of death causes, but overall, pneumonia and dementia have been reported most commonly [3,11,13,44], which differs somewhat from the results of the present study (Figure 1). We believe this can be explained by the manner in which the cause of death was entered on the death certificate, rather than by an actual difference in death causes. If no other specific diagnosis was apparent at the time of death, the attending physician most likely regarded dementia as the cause of death.

One of the strengths of this study was that it constitutes the world’s longest longitudinal study with CSF biomarkers of AD, which ensures a high diagnostic accuracy and a low number of censored cases (patients still alive at follow-up). We also examined predictors of specific-cause death due to dementia, and not only all-cause death, which is essential when trying to map key mechanisms of mortality in AD. Other advantages of our study included the multivariate design of the analysis, which allowed us to examine independent effects of the most common pathologies and hallmarks of AD, and the inclusion of patients from a routine clinical setting.

We chose to measure cerebral inflammation by using sVCAM-1 levels in the CSF, based on previous validating studies [45-50]. sVCAM-1 is a cell-surface molecule that regulates leukocyte migration and is activated by proinflammatory factors such as cytokines and interleukins [49]. Moreover, it has been associated with glial activation (expressed on astrocytes) [47]. Numerous studies have associated increased levels of sVCAM-1 with several neuroinflammatory conditions, and it has been significantly correlated with inflammatory cerebral lesions assessed by using contrast MRI [45-50]. sVCAM-1 is also a well-proven marker of endothelial dysfunction and has been associated with atherosclerosis [51] and small-vessel disease [52]. However, based on our results, we believe that sVCAM-1 is related more directly to neuroinflammation, rather than to cerebrovascular pathology, as sVCAM-1, not vascular lesions and BBB integrity, was an independent significant variable in the main analysis performed to predict death caused by dementia (Table 3). Vascular lesions and BBB integrity were, however, separately significant when examining death also caused by cerebrovascular disorders, which validates them as adequate markers of vascular pathology in this data set.

To warrant our conclusions, the results of this exploratory study should be tested in larger longitudinal cohorts.
including additional factors that are associated with shorter survival (for example, diabetes) and using other markers of inflammation such as IL-1 on either the protein or mRNA level.

Conclusions
We found that cerebral inflammation measured by using sVCAM-1 levels in the CSF was an independent risk factor for early death in AD. A more-advanced disease stage was also a risk factor, as assessed by using the significantly independent variables of global cognition and medial temporal and frontal atrophy. The biomarkers of tau or amyloid were not significant risk factors, and cerebrovascular pathology was only a significant risk factor when including death caused by vascular disorders and infections. This is the first study of its kind, and further research is needed to confirm these findings. As early death is linked to a rapid disease progression [53], our results could have implications for estimating the malignancy or toxicity of the AD process, and for selecting correct targets in future clinical trials of disease-modifying therapies.
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