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Abstract
In this paper we describe present work on multimodal prosody by means of simultaneous recordings of articulation and head movements. Earlier work has explored patterning, usage and machine-learning based detection of focal pitch accents, head beats and eyebrow beats through audiovisual recordings. Kinematic data obtained through articulography allows for more comparable and accurate measurements, as well as three-dimensional data. Therefore, our current approach involves examining speech and body movements concurrently, using electromagnetic articulography (EMA). We have recorded large amounts of this kind of data previously, but for other purposes. In this paper, we present results from a preliminary study on the interplay between head movements and phrasing and find tendencies for upward movements occurring before and downward movements occurring after prosodic boundaries.

Introduction
This study is part of a project investigating levels of multimodal prosodic prominence, as resulting from an interplay of verbal prosody (pitch accents) and visual prosody (head and eyebrow beats). Facial beat gestures align with pitch accents in speech, functioning as visual prominence markers. However, it is not yet well understood whether and how gestures and pitch accents might be combined to create different types of multimodal prominence, and how specifically visual prominence cues are used in spoken communication.

In earlier work, Ambrazaitis & House (2017) explored the patterning and usage of focal pitch accents, head beats and eyebrow beats. The material consisted of Swedish television news broadcasts and comprised audiovisual recordings of five news readers (two female, three male). They found that head beats occur more frequently in the second than in the first part of a news reading, and also that the distribution of head beats might to some degree be governed by information structure, as the text-initial clause often defines a common ground or presents the theme of the news story. The choice between focal accent, head beat and a combination of them is subject to variation which might represent a degree of freedom for the speaker to use the markers expressively.

Based on the same, but extended data, Frid et al. (2017) developed a system for detection of speech-related head movements. The corpus was manually labelled for head movement, applying a simplistic annotation scheme consisting of a binary decision about absence/presence of a movement in relation to a word. They then used a video-based face detection procedure to extract the head positions and movements over time, and based on this they calculated velocity and acceleration features. Then a machine learning system was trained to predict absence or presence of head movement. The system achieved an F1 score of 0.69 (precision = 0.72, recall = 0.66) in 10-fold cross validation. Furthermore, the area under the ROC curve was 0.77, indicating that the system may be helpful for head movement labelling.

Kinematic vs audiovisual data
One difficulty in tackling the relationship between speech and the body gestures is that it requires simultaneously recorded kinematic and acoustic measurements. Previous studies have used audiovisual data to study this link, but with such data, it is not possible to compare synchronization of gestures directly. Kinematic data allow for more comparable and accurate measurements. Therefore, our current approach involves examining speech and body
movements concurrently, using electromagnetic articulography (EMA). This method allows for simultaneous recording of audio + 3D movements of the articulators: tongue, lips, and jaw, but markers can also be placed on the head. Head movements are typically used to normalise, but they can also be used as raw data and thereby give us the co-occurring position of the head. Compared to video this gives us 3D coordinates instead of 2D, and has better temporal resolution (video normally has a much lower frame rate) and better audio-video sync. A disadvantage is that it must be recorded on-line; it cannot be obtained by post-processing. In this study we also employ it as an example of data reuse (Pasqueto et al. 2017): we have a large amount of data which was recorded in other projects for other purposes, but we are able to use it here to study co-occurring properties of speech and head movements.

Data
The data was recorded as part of the VOKART project (Schötz et al. 2013). 27 native speakers (nine representing each dialect) of the Stockholm (3 female, 6 male, age: 21–63), Gothenburg (5 female, 4 male, age: 20–47), and Malmö (4 female, 5 male, age: 23–62) variants of Swedish were recorded by means of EMA using an AG500 (Carstens Medizinelektronik) with a sampling frequency of 200 Hz. Ten sensors were attached to the lips, jaw and tongue, along with two reference sensors on the nose ridge and behind the ear to correct for head movements, using Cyano Veneer Fast dental glue. Audio was recorded using a Sony ECM-T6 electret condenser microphone.

The speech material consisted of 15–20 repetitions by each speaker of target words in carrier sentences of the type “Det va inte hV1t utan hV2t ja sa” (It was not hV1t, but hV2t I said), where V1 and V2 were different vowels. The target words containing the vowels were stressed and produced with contrastive focus. The sentences were displayed in random order on a computer screen, and the speakers were instructed to read each sentence in their own dialect at a comfortable speech rate. In order to familiarise the speakers with the sensors and the experimental setup the actual test sentences were preceded by two phonetically rich and challenging sentences, which the speakers were asked to repeat three times each. The two sentences were:

1) Mobilefonen är nittio masta fluga, både bland företagare och privatpersoner. (The mobile phone is the big hit of the nineties, both among business people and private persons.)
2) Flyget, tåget och bilbranschen tävlar om lönsamhet och folkets gunst. (Airlines, train companies and the automobile industry are competing for profitability and people's appreciation.)

In addition, the speakers were also asked to describe a painting displayed on the computer screen, resulting in about half a minute of spontaneous speech, with several focused words and phrase-boundaries. A contour of the palate was obtained by the speakers moving their tongue tips several times back and forth along the midline of their palate.

Analysis: head movements and phrase boundaries
We analyze the data by looking at sentence-level patterns of head movements and comparing them word by word. Sentence 1 above consists of two phrases, with an intonational boundary between the words fluga and både. Sentence 2 is essentially one phrase, but starts with a list that may cause boundary signalling. We have examined parts of the material for possible head movement reflections of those boundaries. In order to get an annotation of the word boundaries of the sentences, we use the alignment method provided by the Praat program (Boersma & Weenink 2018), which speeds up the process but still requires manual post-checking. At the time of writing, we have analysed and checked the data from twenty-four of the speakers (originally three repetitions each). Utterances that 1) contained misreadings, 2) contained missing parts (because the recording stopped before the reader finished the sentence), and 3) have not yet had their alignment checked, were discarded. In the present study we have 61 examples of Sentence 1 and 44 examples of Sentence 2.

First we measured the velocity of the angle in the sagittal plane between 1) an imaginary line between the two reference sensors (behind the ear and on the nose ridge) and 2) a line running along the transverse plane (parallel to the ground). This effectively measures the head's movement as it is tilted along this plane. We then calculated the average angular velocity per
word for each sentence. Figures 1 and 2 show summaries of the data in the form of boxplots. For Sentence 1 (in Figure 1), we note that the boundary-preceding word fluga has a positive median, whereas the following word, både, has a negative median. A similar, but less prominent, pattern can be observed in Sentence 2 (Figure 2), where the first word Flyget has a positive median, whereas the following word täget, has a negative median.

Figure 1. Boxplots of mean angular velocity per word in sentence 1, n=61. Black horizontal lines are medians, hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, black dots are outliers.

Figure 2. Boxplots of mean angular velocity per word in sentence 2, n=44. Black horizontal lines are medians, hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, black dots are outliers.

A paired-samples t-test was conducted to compare the average angular velocity for the words. In Sentence 1, there was a significant difference in the scores for fluga (M=0.056,
SD=0.126) and både (M=-0.046, SD=0.076) conditions; t(60)=4.706, p < 0.05, and in Sentence 2, there was a significant difference in the scores for Flyget (M=0.01, SD=0.09) and tåget (M=0.04, SD=0.113) conditions; t(43)=2.0462, p < 0.05.

**Discussion/Conclusions**

Using EMA recordings to analyze head movement by comparing the kinematic patterns of the sensors with the audio signal is a promising method to provide us with information on the synchronization of head movements with for example prosodic signals for prominence such as FO excursions and syllable lengthening.

The results presented here show that there is a tendency for participants to tilt the head more upwards than downwards during the boundary-preceding words. The words which succeed the boundary, conversely show an opposite tendency indicating more downward movement.

Previously motion capture data has been used to investigate temporal coordination between head movement and the audio signal (Alexanderson et al. 2013) and between head movement and EMA articulation data (Krivokapić et al. 2017; Esteve-Gilbert et al. 2018). EMA methodology has also been used to analyze head movements alone, but the current data will enable us to investigate the temporal coordination of head movements, tongue and lip movements, and the audio signal in the same system. We plan to use this methodology to investigate the role of head movement, articulation and prosody in signaling prominence in the context of the newly initiated PROGEST project.
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