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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAMTS9</td>
<td>A Disintegrin-like and Metalloproteinase with Thrombospondin Type 1 Motif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRB1</td>
<td>Adrenergic β-receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRB2</td>
<td>Adrenergic β-receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRB3</td>
<td>Adrenergic β-receptor 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMS</td>
<td>Amplification Refractory Mutation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>Area Under the Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>base pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMK1D</td>
<td>Calcium/Calmodulin-dependent Protein Kinase 1-delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPN10</td>
<td>Calpain 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKAL1</td>
<td>CDK5 Regulatory Subunit-Associated Protein 1-like 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>Cyclin-dependent Kinase Inhibitor 2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cM</td>
<td>Centimorgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNV</td>
<td>Copy Number Variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddNTP</td>
<td>Dideoxynucleotide Triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>Deoxynucleotide Triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>Fasting Plasma Glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET</td>
<td>Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTO</td>
<td>Fat Mass- and Obesity-Associated Gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCI</td>
<td>Genomics Collaborative, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCKR</td>
<td>Glucokinase Regulatory Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEE</td>
<td>Generalised Estimating Equation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>High Density Lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGP</td>
<td>Human Genome Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHEX</td>
<td>Hematopoetically Expressed Homeobox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-β</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment of β-cell function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Hazard Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>Identical by Descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS</td>
<td>Identical by State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF</td>
<td>International Diabetes Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFG</td>
<td>Impaired Fasting Glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF2BP2</td>
<td>Insulin-like Growth Factor 2 mRNA-Binding Protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>Impaired Glucose Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS1</td>
<td>Insulin Receptor Substrate 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAZF1</td>
<td>Juxtaposed with Another Zinc Finger Gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNJ11</td>
<td>Potassium Channel, Inwardly Rectifying, Subfamily J, Member 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LADA</td>
<td>Latent Autoimmune Diabetes in Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCR</td>
<td>Low Copy Repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>Linkage Disequilibrium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGR5</td>
<td>Leucine-rich Repeat-containing G Protein-coupled Receptor 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINE</td>
<td>Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>Logarithm of odds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPIN2</td>
<td>Lipin 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>Minor Allele Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALDI-TOF MS</td>
<td>Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionisation-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetS</td>
<td>Metabolic Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDD</td>
<td>Maternally Inherited Diabetes and Deafness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODY</td>
<td>Maturity Onset Diabetes of the Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPP</td>
<td>Malmö Preventive Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCEP-ATP III</td>
<td>National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGT</td>
<td>Normal Glucose Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH2</td>
<td>Notch Homolog 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGTT</td>
<td>Oral Glucose Tolerance Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARG</td>
<td>Peroxisome Proliferator-activated Receptor Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBE</td>
<td>Single Base Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINE</td>
<td>Short Interspersed Nuclear Elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC30A8</td>
<td>Solute Carrier Family 30 (zinc transporter) Member 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>Single Nucleotide Polymorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Short Tandem Repeats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUR1</td>
<td>Sulfonylurea Receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Type 1 Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2D</td>
<td>Type 2 Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCF7L2</td>
<td>Transcription Factor 7-like 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THADA</td>
<td>Thyroid Adenoma Associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSPAN8</td>
<td>Tetraspanin 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZD</td>
<td>Thiazolidinedione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFS1</td>
<td>Wolframin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>Waist-to-Hip Ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Genetics

Genetics studies heredity, or the transmission of traits between generations. The first groundbreaking study was conducted in 1865 by Gregor Mendel, who studied garden peas. The result of his work lead to the postulation of Mendel’s laws of inheritance, stating that traits (phenotypes) are transmitted independently and in equal frequencies from one generation to the next. The molecular basis for the transmission of traits is now known and the discovery of the molecule encoding the genetic information, the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), and characterization of the double helix structure of the DNA, are considered as major milestones in the field of molecular genetics.

Human Genome

The human genome consists of 3.1 billion base pairs (bp) organized in 22 pairs of autosomal and two sex specific chromosomes. The first draft of the sequenced genome was published in 2001 \(^1,2\) and the complete sequence, covering 99%, was published by the Human Genome Project (HGP) in 2004 \(^3\). It has been estimated that the human genome contains 20 000 – 25 000 protein coding genes \(^3\).

Genetic variation

Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

Approximately 0.1% of the genome differs between any two unrelated individuals. A position where two, or in rare circumstances more than two, alternative bases are present in different individuals in one nucleotide position of the genome is called a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and represent the most abundant variation in human genome \(^4\). The number of SNPs is estimated to be around 10 million, i.e. on average one SNP every 300 bp \(^5,6\). Depending on the location the SNP may or may not have functional consequences. If a polymorphism is located within a coding region of a protein, it can either alter the amino acid sequence (a non-synonymous SNP) which can in some cases change the structure or function of the protein; or the variant can be silent (synonymous SNP), i.e. it does not change the amino acid sequence of the protein. Most of the SNPs are located outside the coding regions of genes and are thus referred to as non-coding SNPs. These variants may be functional if they are located in functional elements, such as promoters, silencer or enhancers, in which case they may alter expression of a gene or several genes.
SNPs which are abundant in the genome and have high frequency in the population are commonly used as bi-allelic markers in association studies as they can relatively easily be detected using different genotyping methods (see page 16).

**Short Tandem Repeats**

Short tandem repeats (STR), also known as microsatellites, are 2-4 nucleotide sequences with variable number of repeats, the most common being a various number of CA repeats \((CA)_n\), ranging from below ten to over hundred repeats. Microsatellites have traditionally been used in genome-wide linkage studies in families because they are highly polymorphic and relatively stable.

**Structural variants**

Repetitive elements have been shown to compose > 50% of the human genome. Such elements can be divided into different subclasses. A majority of them are derived from transposable elements. The most common classes include long interspersed nuclear elements (LINE), short interspersed nuclear elements (SINE) and segmental duplications or low copy repeats (LCR). LINEs make up about 20% of the genome and are capable of autonomous mobilization via an RNA intermediate. The transposones are 6 kb long but many of the SINEs are truncated and the average length is 900 kb. SINEs are non-autonomous sequences of 100 – 400 bp and make up about 13% of the genome \(^1\textsuperscript{,}^7\). Segmental duplications are defined as DNA sequences of 1 kb and upward with at least 90% homology and present in the genome in at least two copies. These variation comprise ~5% of the genome \(^8\).

Copy number variation (CNV) is defined as a DNA segment 1 kb or larger that is present at a variable number of copies in the genome \(^9\).

**Linkage Disequilibrium**

Genetic markers located close to each other are often inherited together more frequently than would be expected by chance i.e. if they segregated independently in a population. This phenomenon is described as linkage disequilibrium (LD) or allelic association. Two statistical measures are typically used to describe LD. \(D'\) estimates the number of recombination events and ranges from zero to one \(^10\). Two markers are considered to be in complete LD if \(D'\) equals one, indicating that no recombination occurred in the studied population. Another measure, \(r^2\), denotes statistical correlation between the markers and is related to allele frequency and can range between zero and one. When two alleles of two SNPs are always observed together, the \(r^2\) equals one and the SNPs are in perfect LD. The measures of LD, specifically \(r^2\), are used to identify haplotype tagging SNPs (tag SNPs), that is SNPs that capture the genetic information at a nearby locus and therefore can reduce the amount of genotyping needed to identify the genetic variation.
**Haplotypes**

A haplotype is a linear order of alleles linked on a chromosome and inherited together. Haplotypes are defined by the LD structure of a specific DNA segment and can be utilized for genetic studies. Large portions of the genome are organized in haplotype blocks, i.e. regions of high LD interspersed by recombination hot-spots. The size of haplotype blocks varies between populations, the average size being 11 kb in populations with African ancestry and 22 kb in European and Asian populations.11

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of haplotypes. SNPs are highlighted in colour. Groups of three SNPs (SNPs 1-3 and SNPs 4-6) show high allelic association and each SNP in the group can therefore serve as tag SNP for the other two SNPs. Adapted from 12.](image)

**SNP genotyping technologies**

SNP genotyping methods have undergone rapid development in the last decades, increasing both in multiplexing capacity and accuracy. In the following section principles of the most commonly used methods are described; methods used in this thesis are described in more detail.

**Hybridization methods**

Hybridization methods are based on the strength of binding between short complementary strands of DNA and the ability of allele specific oligonucleotides to detect single base mismatches. Hybridization methods are used in large variety of genotyping methods including, homogenous hybridization methods, e.g. TaqMan™ (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as well as high density arrays, e.g. Affymetrix and Illumina. The chip based methods are used in genome wide association studies (GWAS) because their high throughput and possibility of high
multiplexing enable relatively easy genotyping of large number of SNPs in large sample sizes at reasonable costs.

Taqman
The TaqMan™ (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) allelic discrimination assay is based on 5’-exonuclease assay. The allele specific probes are labelled with two different fluorophores (FAM™ and VIC™) at the 3’-ends and with a quencher molecule at the 5’-ends. In the intact probe the close proximity of the quencher interacts with the reporter dye and reduces the fluorescence signal. The TaqMan™ probes have been modified by minor groove binder (MGB) molecule in order to improve their ability for allelic discrimination. During polymerase chain reaction (PCR) the perfect match probe hybridizes to the PCR products and during the extension phase the 5’-3’ exonuclease activity of the TaqDNA polymerase degrades the perfectly matched probes, separating the fluorophore from the quencher leading to increased fluorescence, taking advantage of the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). The mismatched probe is thus displaced without cleavage. As the probes can be distinguished by the different fluorophores, emission of only one of the signals indicates homozygosity while emission of both signals indicates heterozygosity. The TaqMan™ allelic discrimination assays are detected on an ABI 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Allele specific PCR
PCR primers complementary at the 3’-end to either nucleotide combined with a common reverse primer allow alleles to be selectively amplified in a technique called amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS). A commonly used method to detect the allele specific PCR products is by addition of fluorescent dye into the reaction mixture.

Kaspar assay
The KASPar® genotyping system (KBioscience, Hoddesdon, UK) utilizes competitive allele specific PCR with two allele specific forward primers and one common reverse primer different from conventional ARMS (patent pending) and taking advantage of FRET. The emitted fluorescence can be detected on ABI 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Single Base Extension
The single base extension (SBE) is a DNA polymerase assisted method. A primer that anneals adjacent to the SNP with its 3’ end is elongated by a single labelled deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) or dideoxynucleoside triphosphate (ddNTP) by a DNA polymerase. This method was originally called minisequencing and can be coupled with several detection steps. The SBE method is today implemented in many assays enabling multiplexing, including iPLEX™ and SNaPshot assays described in more detail below.
iPLEX™ assay

The iPLEX™ assay, based on SBE, is terminated after incorporation of only one base by ddNTPs. The primer extension products are separated by mass by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) on the MassARRAY platform (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) \(^{19-21}\). To increase the mass differences between alleles, specific mass-modified terminators are used. The assay can be multiplexed by adding non-template nucleotides to the 5'-end of the extension primer and up to 36 SNPs can be pooled.

SNaPshot assay

Another assay based on SBE is the SNaPshot assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), which utilizes four differentially fluorescently labelled ddNTPs terminating the extension reaction. The primer extension products are detected on DNA-sequencing instrument (in our case ABI3100, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), where the electrophoretic size separating step enables multiplexing. By addition of nucleotide tails of varying length to the 5'-end of the extension primer up to ten SNPs can be analyzed together.

Genetic analysis of multifactorial diseases

A combination of several genetic (i.e. polygenic) and environmental factors predispose to multifactorial, complex diseases. Multifactorial diseases lack clear inheritance patterns as many genes with often small effects may contribute to the development of the disease and environmental factors may modify the risk. Uncovering genes predisposing to complex diseases has proven difficult. Mainly two strategies have been used to search for genetic variation increasing the risk for complex diseases, linkage and association studies.

Heritability

The proportion of phenotypic variance that is explained by a genetic component is demarcated as heritability (\(h^2\)) for a specific trait. Monogenic traits have usually high heritability (often 100\%) while only a smaller fraction of complex diseases can be explained by genetic factors.

Linkage studies

Linkage is used to analyze the co-segregation of two or more loci (genes or traits) in a family to verify whether loci segregate independently or tend to be inherited together more often than expected by chance. Two loci are considered linked if they are transmitted from a parent to offspring more frequently than expected, i.e. if recombination occurs between them with the probability of significantly less than 50\%. Two main analysis methods are used, parametric and non-parametric linkage analysis \(^{22}\). Parametric methods are used to identify genetic loci co-segregating in
pedigrees and these methods rely on the recombination fraction \( \theta \), defined as probability of recombination between two loci during meiosis. Linkage is usually reported as a logarithm of odds (LOD) score, which is a function of \( \theta \) and the disease locus or map position measured in centimorgans (cM) \(^{24}\). A LOD score of 3 is considered as significant evidence for linkage while LOD score of -2 or less indicate evidence against linkage. Parametric linkage analysis requires prior knowledge about the inheritance model and has been successful in identifying genes predisposing to monogenic traits with Mendelian mode of inheritance. Non-parametric methods are used for complex traits and make no assumption about the inheritance model. These methods are based on the expectation that affected individuals share copies of chromosomal loci (haplotypes) identical by descent (IBD) in a region containing genetic variation predisposing to the disease more often than expected by chance, irrespective of the mode of inheritance. Assuming no linkage, two siblings have a 0.25 probability to not share any allele IBD, 0.5 probability to share one allele and 0.25 probability to share both alleles IBD at a certain locus. Linkage is indicated when affected siblings or other family members share significantly more alleles IBD than expected \(^{23}\). Genome wide linkage studies have been performed usually genotyping approximately 400 microsatellite markers distributed throughout the genome, ca 10 cM apart, in families to track recombination and identify putative disease predisposing loci. The threshold for significance for non-parametric genome wide linkage studies has been proposed at LOD score > 3.6 (p-value = 0.00002) to encounter false positive results at no more than 5% rate \(^{25}\). In contrast to monogenic disease, linkage studies for complex diseases have not been particularly successful. This might be due to the modest contribution of each gene and the lack of power to detect such small effects in the small sample sizes used \(^{26}\).

![Figure 2. Allele sharing in sibling pairs.](image)

The table indicates number of alleles shared IBD or IBS depending on the genotype of sibling two.
**Association studies**

Association analysis can be used to detect relationship between alleles and disease by comparing frequencies of alleles between affected individuals and matched healthy controls. Association can arise for several reasons. First, the investigated allele may be causative of the disease, leading to direct association. Second, the allele may be in LD with the causative allele and show indirect association. The third cause for association is population stratification where the frequency of the investigated allele is different in the affected group compared to the control group not because of the investigated trait but because they originate from different populations. Although association studies represent the most powerful tool to investigate genetic make-up of complex diseases, many inconsistent results have been published.

**Candidate gene studies**

Until recently, association studies were used to investigate genetic markers located in genes coding for proteins or other gene products with probable or known function for development of the disease. Candidate gene studies require at least some prior knowledge about pathogenesis of the disease and have been quite successful for some traits like lipids but less successful for T2D, obesity and hypertension.

**Genome wide association studies**

Genome wide association studies (GWAS) investigate SNPs distributed across the whole genome to uncover association in an unbiased way and require therefore no knowledge about the pathophysiology of the disease. It has been shown to be a powerful tool to discover new pathways and mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of complex diseases and might provide new insights into possible future treatments. Several requirements had to be met before GWAS became plausible; sequencing of the human genome, the knowledge of existing common genetic variation in the human genome, collection of large well powered cohorts and the technological platforms to genotype large set of markers in these large cohorts at reasonable costs as well as development of genetic statistical tools for analysis of the data. In recent years these prerequisites have been met with public SNP databases and chip-based genotyping strategies. In addition, collaborative efforts between several groups that have published GWAS have been crucial for lowering costs for replication studies as GWAS produce a vast number of false positive signals. Several GWAS have now been published and several novel susceptibility genes for complex diseases have already been discovered (Figure 3).

Typically, several hundred thousands to one million markers are genotyped, leading to many false positive results caused by chance. Therefore, a p-value of $5 \times 10^{-8}$, equivalent to p-value of 0.05 after a Bonferroni correction for 1 million independent tests, has been proposed and is commonly used to define a genome-wide significant result.\textsuperscript{27}
Figure 3. Novel genes for complex diseases. Associations between SNPs and traits detected by GWAS with p-values < 9.9 x 10^-7 are shown according to chromosomal location. Colour-coded boxes indicate similar diseases or traits. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL INVESTIGATION ONLINE. Copyright 2008 by American Society for Clinical Investigation. Reproduced with permission of American Society for Clinical Investigation in the format Dissertation via Copyright Clearance Center.
**Diabetes mellitus**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) describes a group of metabolic disorders with different aetiologies characterized by chronic hyperglycaemia. The definition and diagnosis, as defined by World Health Organization (WHO) is fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥ 7.0 mmol/l and/or 2-hour plasma glucose levels ≥ 11.1 mmol/l during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). DM is caused by defects in insulin secretion and insulin action (insulin sensitivity). Several sub-types of DM are recognized; Type 1 Diabetes (T1D), Latent Autoimmune Diabetes in Adults (LADA), Maturity Onset Diabetes of the Young (MODY), Maternally Inherited Diabetes and Deafness (MIDD) and Type 2 Diabetes (T2D). T1D is characterized by early onset, typically in childhood and autoimmune destruction of insulin secreting β-cells. T1D accounts for about 10% of all diabetes cases, although the prevalence varies greatly between different populations. Autoimmunity is also present in LADA which demonstrates slowly progressing destruction of β-cells, and is often pathophysiologically considered as a combination of T1D and T2D. MODY, which consists of several monogenic forms of DM accounts for ~ 5% of all diabetes. It manifests early in life with an age of onset typically below 25 years. Characteristical for MODY is β-cell dysfunction and a dominant mode of inheritance. It is caused by mutations in single genes and so far mutations in six different genes have been identified, each leading to a specific phenotype and a specific subtype of MODY, MODY 1-6. MIDD is caused by a mutation in mitochondrial DNA and this type of DM is often accompanied by hearing loss or other neurological symptoms.

**T2D**

T2D is the most prevalent type of DM, constituting 85 – 95% of all diabetes. It is usually characterized by late onset, typically above 45 years, and is caused by defects in both insulin secretion and insulin action. T2D patients do not require exogenous insulin for survival and are often treated with diet and glucose lowering oral antidiabetic agents or insulin to obtain metabolic control. The sibling relative risk for T2D is estimated to about 3, indicating a clear genetic component for T2D.

**Genetics of T2D**

T2D is a polygenic, multifactorial disease and the risk for T2D is thought to be influenced by many genes with minor effects together with environmental factors, such as physical inactivity and energy rich food. Until recently, genetics of T2D had limited success with only a few truly verified genes. Until 2007, the dissection of genetic predisposition to T2D was performed by linkage using microsatellites and candidate gene association studies. With GWAS and large meta-analyses several novel T2D genes have finally been identified and verified, the function of which still remains to be elucidated.
Linkage studies for T2D

Several genome-wide linkage studies searching for region conferring susceptibility to T2D have been published. However, no single region has been widely replicated and only a few have shown significant linkage with LOD score > 3.6 or been replicated in independent studies. Regions showing evidence for linkage in more than one study are located on chromosomes 1q25.3, 2q37.3, 3p24.1, 3q28, 10q26.13, 12q24.31 and 18p11. Although attempts to identify the genetic variation underlying these linkage peaks have been made, the success has been limited. So far, two genes located in the linked regions have been associated with T2D, the calpain 10 gene (CAPN10) on chromosome 2q and the transcription factor 7-like 2 gene (TCF7L2) on chromosome 10q.

One of the most widely replicated regions linked to T2D is located on chromosome 18p. It was first identified in Swedish and Finnish sibling pairs in the 20% most obese individuals and fine-mapping using microsatellites could, however, not further narrow the 15 Mb region. The linkage was not seen until the analysis was restricted to the quintile of patients with highest BMI indicating that different genes may contribute to susceptibility to obese T2D as compared to all cases of T2D. Subsequently, three other scans, two in Dutch and one in an Icelandic population replicated the linkage between obese T2D and chromosome 18p. Several related phenotypes show linkage to this region including fasting glucose levels, BMI, T2D, obesity and insulin resistance, abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol. Further investigations of genetic variation in this region in a Dutch population previously showing linkage to this 15 Mb region was undertaken. Altogether 26 evenly distributed SNPs were genotyped and nominal association for T2D was reported to one SNP (rs3745012) in the lipin 2 (LPIN2) gene (p = 0.03).

Candidate genes for T2D

Many genes have been proposed as candidate genes for T2D but only few susceptibility genes have been convincingly associated in several studies, including PPARG, KCNJ11, TCF7L2 and WFS1. Many other genes have been associated with T2D in some studies but not in others, including IRS1, CAPN10, ADRB3, PPARG1A, ENPP1 and others. Many of these genes have been investigated as candidate genes because of their biological function. Common polymorphisms in MODY genes, such as TCF2, GCK, HNF1α and HNF4α have also been implicated in the development of T2D.

IRS1

Insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) is one of the proteins involved in signal transduction of the activated insulin receptor, when phosphorylated on tyrosine residues. A common polymorphism G972R (rs1801278), located close to the tyrosine phosphorylation motifs, has been associated with T2D in some but not in all studies. A meta-analysis of 27 studies shows modest association with T2D, but this association could not be confirmed by recent large study or GWAS for T2D.
same polymorphism has also been associated with insulin resistance in obese but not in lean individuals \(^{78}\). Also the expression of \(IRS1\) mRNA has been reported to be lower in skeletal muscle from insulin resistant non-diabetic subjects \(^{79}\). Mice lacking the \(IRS1\) display mild insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia but do not develop diabetes \(^{80}\). Tissue specific knock-out experiments indicate a role for \(IRS1\) in insulin signalling in skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells \(^{81}\).

**PPARG**

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma gene (\(PPARG\)) encodes a transcription factor highly expressed in adipose tissue and involved in adipocyte differentiation. \(PPARG\) is also a target of the anti-diabetic, insulin sensitizing drugs thiazolidinediones (TZD) \(^{82}\). The minor Ala-allele in in \(PPARG\), (Pro12Ala, rs1801282) has been associated with lower BMI, increase in insulin sensitivity and reduced risk of T2D \(^{83,84}\). This association has been confirmed by several recent GWAS for T2D \(^{85-89}\).

**KCNJ11**

A common, non-synonymous SNP (E23K, rs5219) in the potassium channel, inwardly rectifying, subfamily J, member 11 (\(KCNJ11\)) has been associated with T2D in several large studies \(^{90-95}\) and this finding was confirmed by several recent GWAS \(^{85-89}\). The gene encodes the Kir6.2 subunit of the inwardly rectifying \(K_{ATP}\)-channel. The \(K_{ATP}\)-channels in pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells consist of four pore forming Kir6.2 subunits surrounded by four regulatory sulfonylurea receptor 1 (SUR1) subunits and regulate insulin secretion by coupling metabolism to electrical activity \(^{96}\). The E23K polymorphism affects ATP sensitivity of the \(K_{ATP}\)-channel; homozygous KK-genotype carriers show two fold reduced sensitivity to ATP \(^{97}\). The \(K_{ATP}\)-channels are targets for sulfonylureas, drugs used to treat diabetes by closing the channel and triggering insulin secretion. Activating mutations in \(KCNJ11\) are known to cause neonatal diabetes and inactivating mutations neonatal hypoglycemia \(^{98}\).

**TCF7L2**

The association between polymorphisms in \(TCF7L2\) and T2D was first discovered in 2006 by Grant and colleagues when investigating a region linked to T2D on chromosome 10q25 \(^{55}\). This association did, however, not explain the linkage to this region. The association has thereafter been confirmed by several studies, including all GWAS and \(TCF7L2\) is therefore considered the strongest susceptibility gene for T2D \(^{85-89}\). \(TCF7L2\) encodes a transcription factor involved in the Wnt signalling pathway but the mechanism by which it contributes to the pathogenesis of T2D is poorly understood. Several studies have shown that an intronic SNP in \(TCF7L2\) is associated with impaired insulin secretion and \(\beta\)-cell function but not insulin action \(^{99-104}\). It has been suggested that the impaired insulin secretion can be mediated by an impaired incretin effect.
WFS1

WFS1 was identified as a candidate gene for T2D in a study of 83 candidate genes for β-cell function and T2D. Meta analysis of 11 studies confirmed rs10010131 as a susceptibility variant for T2D with genome-wide significant p-value ($p = 5.4 \times 10^{-11}$). WFS1 encodes wolframin, a membrane glycoprotein regulating calcium homeostasis in the endoplasmic reticulum. Mutations in WFS1 cause the Wolfram syndrome, characterised by diabetes insipidus, DM, optic atrophy and deafness.

GWAS for T2D

During 2007 six new gene regions involved in T2D were identified by GWAS (HHEX, SLC30A8, CDKAL1, CDKN2A, IGF2BP2, FTO). None of these regions contains previously known obvious candidate genes, thereby showing the ability of GWAS to uncover new pathophysiological pathways. Meta-analysis of the three large GWAS for T2D revealed additional six loci (JAZF1, CDC123/CAMK1D, TSPAN8/LGR5, THADA, ADAMTS9, NOTCH2) with genome wide significant association. Most of the identified signals have shown association with defects of insulin secretion and β-cell function.

One of the T2D susceptibility genes identified by the GWAS, the Fat mass- and obesity-associated gene (FTO), is associated with increase in BMI but also with increased risk of T2D, most likely by its effect on weight gain. Although the mechanisms by which FTO predisposes to obesity are mainly unknown, they could involve appetite regulation as FTO is strongly expressed in hypothalamus.
**Metabolic syndrome**

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of phenotypes, such as obesity, hypertension, hyperglycaemia and dyslipidaemia, increasing the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and T2D. The MetS was described in 1988 by Reaven \(^{120}\), and many different definitions of the syndrome exist. The most widely used definitions are WHO \(^{121}\), the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) \(^{122}\) and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) \(^{123}\) (Table 1). Prevalence of MetS varies between ethnic groups and between the different definitions, ranging from 8 – 46\% \(^{124}\). MetS clusters in families, indicating a genetic component and the heritability has been estimated to ~ 30\%. Heritability of components of MetS ranges between ~ 16\% for systolic blood pressure to ~ 60\% for HDL cholesterol levels \(^{125-129}\). The debate is still ongoing whether the components of MetS have a common pathogenic basis; therefore identification of genetic factors contributing to MetS could help to identify this common pathogenesis, if present.

**Table 1. Different classifications of the metabolic syndrome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, IGT, IFG or insulin resistance and at least two of:</td>
<td>Three or more of:</td>
<td>Central obesity (waist circumference ≥ 94/80 cm in Europid men/women) and at least two of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• High WHR (≥ 0.90/0.85 in men/women)</td>
<td>• High waist circumference (≥ 102/88 cm in men/women)</td>
<td>• Elevated blood pressure (≥ 130/85 mmHg) or treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypertriglyceridaemia</td>
<td>• Hypertriglyceridaemia</td>
<td>• Hypertriglyceridaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• (≥ 1.7 mmol/l) and/or low HDL-C (≤ 0.9/1.0 mmol/l, in men/women)</td>
<td>• (≥ 1.7 mmol/l)</td>
<td>• (≥ 1.7 mmol/l) and/or treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Elevated blood pressure (≥ 140/90 mmHg)</td>
<td>• Low HDL-C (≤ 1.0/1.3 mmol/l in men/women)</td>
<td>• and/or low HDL-C (≤ 0.9/1.1 mmol/l in men/women) or treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>• Elevated blood pressure (≥ 130/85 mmHg)</td>
<td>• Elevated FPG (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Elevated FPG (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Elevated FPG (≥ 5.6 mmol/l)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genetics of MetS

Genetics of MetS has been difficult to dissect because of the complexity of the phenotype, differences in definitions and the relatively low power of studies to detect the subtle effects of genetic variation. The search for genetic variation predisposing to MetS has been conducted by both genome wide linkage studies and investigation of candidate genes. The proposed candidate genes for MetS are involved in energy storage and often support the thrifty gene hypothesis \(^{130}\). Individuals living in an environment with unstable food supply, often present during evolution, may have had higher probability of survival if they could store surplus energy when the food was plentiful and use it in times of famine. Genetic predisposition to energy storing would therefore be exposed to positive selection during evolution and beneficial for the individual. When the energy storing genetic variants are exposed to the westernized environment with abundance of high caloric food and physical inactivity (low energy expenditure), they may have become detrimental and cause the phenotype with metabolic disturbances observed in MetS, including obesity and glucose intolerance.

To date, no unifying genetic factors predisposing to MetS have been identified. Several genes have however been associated with at least two features of MetS and are therefore considered as the most promising candidate genes. The adrenergic β-receptors (\(ADRB1, ADRB2\) and \(ADRB3\)) have been associated with obesity, hypertension and glucose intolerance and can therefore be considered as good candidates for predisposing to development of MetS \(^{131-136}\). Other genes, implicated in T2D and related disorders could also be considered as candidates for MetS, including \(APM1, CAPN10, PPARGC1A, ENPP1, UCP2, IRS1, PTPN1\) and \(PPARG\) \(^{69,70,129,137-141}\).

Several genome wide linkage studies for MetS or its components have been performed yielding few chromosomal regions displaying linkage to MetS or its components, but no MetS susceptibility genes in these regions have been identified \(^{66,62,82,142-147}\).
AIMS

The overall aim of the thesis was to identify genetic risk factors for T2D, particularly T2D associated with obesity and MetS. To achieve this goal, we followed-up a region linked to obese T2D in an earlier genome wide linkage study (I), studied IRS1 as a candidate gene for T2D and MetS (II, III and V) and studied the role of candidate or susceptibility genes for T2D, identified in candidate gene studies (IV) and GWAS (V), in MetS.

The specific aims were:

Study I To identify genetic variation explaining linkage between obese T2D (diabesity) and a region on chromosome 18p. To achieve this, we performed tag SNP mapping of the region and took advantage of publicly available results from GWAS for related phenotypes to choose SNPs for replication in a large Swedish case-control material.

Study II To investigate whether previously established association between the G972R polymorphism in the IRS1 gene could be replicated in a well powered study of 9,000 individuals.

Study III To investigate whether other common variants apart from the G972R polymorphism in the IRS1 gene would increase risk of T2D by investigating the haplotype structure of IRS1 and genotyping tag SNPs capturing common variation in the gene in several large cohorts of northern European ancestry.

Study IV To investigate whether common polymorphisms in seven genes previously associated with T2D or features of the MetS (PPARG, APMI, ADRB1, ADRB2, ADRB3 and PPARGC1A) would predispose to MetS in non-diabetic individuals from the Botnia prospective study.

Study V To investigate whether 27 polymorphisms in genes previously associated with T2D or features of the MetS would predict development of MetS or components thereof in a large prospective study including 16,000 Swedish individuals followed-up for 24 years.
SUBJECTS

Study I

Botnia 2 families

The Botnia study is a large family based study initiated in 1990 in the Botnia region in the Western part of Finland to investigate genetic determinants and metabolic consequences of T2D \(^{148}\). Later on, the Botnia study was extended to include families from other parts of Finland and Sweden. Today the Botnia study comprises over 11,000 individuals from more than 1,400 families. Two genome wide linkage studies using microsatellites have been performed within the Botnia study a) Botnia 1 in 58 families from the original Botnia region \(^{44,149}\) and b) Botnia 2 in 480 sibling pairs from Finland and Sweden. The families included in the Botnia 2 linkage study had at least two family members affected by T2D (mainly two affected siblings) \(^{47,150}\). Altogether 3,064 individuals from 555 families agreed to participate in the Botnia 2 scan \(^{47}\).

In study I, 752 individuals from 164 families with a family mean BMI > 30 kg/m\(^2\) were included (males/females 322/420, age 58 ± 13 years, BMI 28.8 ± 4.8 kg/m\(^2\), 60% with T2D and 44% of T2D patients with BMI > 30 kg/m\(^2\)).

Swedish case-control replication sample

A Swedish case-control cohort consisting of 2,830 T2D patients and 3,550 normoglycemic control subjects was used to test for association with T2D. T2D patients were chosen from the Malmö diabetes registry (Diabetes 2000) comprising of more than 7,000 patients with T1D and T2D \(^{151}\). Patients of Scandinavian origin with an age of onset of diabetes > 35 years, C-peptide ≥ 0.3 nmol/l and without GAD antibodies were selected as T2D cases. Controls were selected from the Malmö Diet and Cancer study (MDC), which includes 30,000 individuals \(^{152}\), including ~6,000 for whom a more extensive metabolic data concerning cardiovascular risk factors have been collected (MDC-cardiovascular cohort; MDC-CC). The control individuals were of Scandinavian origin, had no known 1st degree family history of diabetes and had fasting blood glucose < 5.5 mmol/l (Table 2).

As our primary interest was in T2D in combination with obesity (diabesity), a subgroup of obese T2D patients with BMI > 30 kg/m\(^2\) (n = 1,098) and lean nondiabetic controls with BMI < 25 kg/m\(^2\) (n = 1,927) were used in study I to identify genetic variants associated with diabesity (Table 2).
Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the Swedish T2D case control cohort, as well as of the subgroup of obese T2D patients and lean controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T2D All</th>
<th>T2D Obese</th>
<th>Controls All</th>
<th>Controls Lean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (m/f)</td>
<td>2,830 (1,667/1,163)</td>
<td>1,098 (595/503)</td>
<td>3,550 (1,340/2,210)</td>
<td>1,927 (633/1,294)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>63 ± 11</td>
<td>60 ± 11</td>
<td>57 ± 6</td>
<td>57 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>34.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>25.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>22.5 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>11.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>12.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.41</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obesity was defined as BMI > 30 kg/m² and leanness as BMI < 25 kg/m².

**Study II and III**

In studies II and III, altogether nine different cohorts were included to analyze association between genetic variation in *IRS1* and T2D. In study II, cases were defined as individuals with T2D or severe impaired glucose tolerance (IGT). In study III, only individuals with manifest T2D from the same cohorts were included as cases. A detailed description of the different cohorts is given in Table 3.

The Scandinavian subjects underwent an OGTT and insulinogenic index (insulin at 30 min – insulin at 0 min)/glucose at 30 min, insulin sensitivity index (ISI) (10,000/√([fasting glucose x fasting insulin] x [mean glucose x mean insulin during OGTT])) and insulin area under the curve (AUC) (insulin at 30 min x 30) + (insulin at 60 min x 45) + (insulin at 120 min x 30) – (insulin at 0 min x 105) were calculated. Disposition index, i.e. a measure of β-cell function corrected for insulin resistance, was calculated both as (insulinogenic index/HOMA-IR) and (insulinogenic index x ISI/100). Percent homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-β) was estimated as (20 x fasting serum insulin/[fasting plasma glucose – 3.5]) and estimate of insulin resistance was derived by HOMA of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), calculated as (fasting serum insulin x fasting plasma glucose/22.5).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample set</th>
<th>Study II N (m/f)</th>
<th>Study III N (m/f)</th>
<th>Age1 (years)</th>
<th>BMI1 (kg/m²)</th>
<th>FPG1 (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sibships</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases²</td>
<td>280/329</td>
<td>212/247</td>
<td>65 ± 10</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>275/305</td>
<td>167/235</td>
<td>62 ± 10</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scandinavia CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases²</td>
<td>252/219</td>
<td>220/182</td>
<td>60 ± 10</td>
<td>28 ± 5</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>254/217</td>
<td>187/166</td>
<td>60 ± 10</td>
<td>27 ± 4</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweden CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases²</td>
<td>267/247</td>
<td>252/228</td>
<td>66 ± 12</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>8.5 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>267/247</td>
<td>244/224</td>
<td>66 ± 12</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Botnia CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases²</td>
<td>425/507</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>68 ± 12</td>
<td>27 ± 8</td>
<td>9.1 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>60/125</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>48 ± 11</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canada CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>70/57</td>
<td>70/57</td>
<td>53 ± 8</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>70/57</td>
<td>70/57</td>
<td>52 ± 8</td>
<td>29 ± 4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USA CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>644/582</td>
<td>644/582</td>
<td>63 ± 11</td>
<td>33 ± 7</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>644/582</td>
<td>644/582</td>
<td>61 ± 10</td>
<td>27 ± 5</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poland CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>422/587</td>
<td>422/587</td>
<td>62 ± 10</td>
<td>30 ± 5</td>
<td>8.9 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>422/587</td>
<td>422/587</td>
<td>59 ± 7</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Botnia trios</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proband</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>99/112</td>
<td>40 ± 9</td>
<td>28 ± 5</td>
<td>7.5 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweden replication CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>1 008/991</td>
<td>61 ± 11</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>12.5 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>1 009/1251</td>
<td>59 ± 5</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD; CC – case control material; NI – not included ¹The clinical characteristics are given for the larger sample included in study II, except for Botnia trios and Sweden replication CC; ²Cases include subjects with T2D and severe IGT in study II but only subjects with T2D in study III.

### Study IV

#### Botnia prospective study

Within the Botnia study, non-diabetic subjects, either family members of T2D patients or spouses without known first or second degree family history of T2D aged 18 -70 years were invited to prospective visits with OGTT every 2 -3 years. In total 2293 individuals participated in at least two OGTTs with a median follow-up time of 6 years (range 2-12 years). Carriers of known mutations causing MODY (n = 20) were excluded from the study.

MetS was defined according to NCEP-ATP III criteria and 1 937 individuals (M/F 873/1064) were free of MetS at baseline (Table 4).
Table 4. Clinical characteristics of subjects without MetS according to NCEP-ATPIII definition at baseline from the Botnia prospective study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Data Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (m/f)</td>
<td>1937 (873/1064)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>43.7 ± 13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>84.9 ± 10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>77 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL–cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (%)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low HDL (%)</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia (%)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia (%)</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study V

Malmö Preventive Project

The Malmö Preventive Project (MPP) is a large population based prospective study from Malmö, Sweden, initiated in 1974 as a population based health screening. In MPP, 33,346 subjects (22,444 men and 10,902 women) with mean age of 49 years underwent a physical examination and measurements of fasting blood glucose and triglyceride concentrations were performed. In addition, 18,900 consecutive individuals underwent OGTT. Information on lifestyle factors and medical history was obtained from a questionnaire. Of individuals participating in the initial screening, 4,931 had died and 551 were lost from the follow-up for other reasons. 25,000 of the eligible individuals were invited to a re-screening visit during 2002-2006, including a physical examination and fasting blood samples for measurements of glucose, triglycerides and HDL-cholesterol concentrations. Of the invited individuals, 17,284 participated in the re-screening and of them 1,141 were excluded from the present study because of lack of DNA or crucial clinical information, or due to T2D at baseline. Diagnosis of diabetes was confirmed from patient records or was based upon a fasting plasma glucose concentration greater than 7.0 mmol/l. Thereby, 16,143 non-diabetic subjects, 2,063 of whom developed T2D during the mean follow-up time of 24 years, were included in the study (Table 5).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Data Available (N)</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Data Available (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males/Females</td>
<td>10 455/5 688</td>
<td>Males/Females</td>
<td>10 455/5 688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>45.5 ± 6.9</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68.4 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.1 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>78.6 ± 9.8</td>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>94.8 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>127.2 ± 14.3</td>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>144.9 ± 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>83.9 ± 8.9</td>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>83.6 ± 10.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.56</td>
<td>FPG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.77</td>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.37</td>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-hypertensive medication (%)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Anti-hypertensive medication (%)</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid lowering medication (%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Lipid lowering medication (%)</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2D (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>T2D (%)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (%)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Obesity (%)</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertriglyceridaemia (%)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Hypertriglyceridaemia (%)</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycaemia (%)</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>Hyperglycaemia (%)</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; FPG = fasting plasma glucose; NA = not available; Obesity is defined as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²; Hypertension is defined as untreated blood pressure of 140/90 mmHg or treatment for hypertension.

In study V, a person with MetS was defined as an individual having at least three of the following features: (1) obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²); (2) dyslipidaemia (triglycerides ≥ 1.7 mmol/l and/or lipid-lowering treatment); (3) hypertension (blood pressure ≥ 140/90 mmHg and/or antihypertensive treatment); and (4) hyperglycaemia (fasting plasma glucose ≥ 5.6 mmol/l and/or overt diabetes). The lack of data for waist and HDL cholesterol at baseline prevented the definition of MetS according to the established definitions (NCEP-ATP III, WHO, IDF) \(^{121-123}\).
METHODS

Genotyping

The TaqMan™ allelic discrimination assays on the ABI 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were performed in all five studies. The iPLEX™ genotyping by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) on the MassARRAY platform (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) was used in studies I, II, III and V. The SNPshot assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) genotyping using ABI3100 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was performed in study IV. The KASPar® genotyping assay was used to genotype rs13266634 in study V.

Statistical analysis

The association with dichotomized phenotypes in family based studies was tested using the transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) 160 (studies I and III) or discordant allele test in the sib-pairs 161 (studies II and III).

Association between the SNPs and BMI was tested by using the method for family based association analysis implemented in the computer program Merlin. All analyses in study I were adjusted for age and sex whereas all T2D association analyses were adjusted for age, gender and BMI.

Association to dichotomous phenotypes in population based studies was analysed by logistic regression (studies I and V) or $\chi^2$ test (studies II and III). Risk was described by odds ratio (OR) which gives an estimate of the increased risk per risk allele carried. In study I, all analyses were adjusted for age and gender (T2D and obese T2D). In study V, the analyses of risk of MetS or components thereof were adjusted for gender, age at inclusion to the study and follow-up time.

Results from the different cohorts in studies II and III were combined by Mantel-Haenszel meta-analysis of the ORs 162. Homogeneity among study samples was tested by a Pearson $\chi^2$ goodness of fit test in study II or by asymptotic Breslow-Day statistics in study III 162,163.

Power calculations were performed with the genetic power calculator at http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/gpc/cc2.html 164 (studies II, III and V).

Survival analyses were used to estimate the effect of genetic variants (risk and non-risk genotypes) on the risk of developing MetS by defining age at onset (the proportion of individuals developing MetS at certain age) in the Botnia prospective study. The
risk of developing MetS was expressed as a hazard function using an age-adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression model. The relative effect was presented as the ratio between the hazard functions (hazard ratio [HR]) of the two groups (carriers versus non-carriers). All survival analyses were stratified for gender and performed with a robust variance estimate to adjust for within family dependence extended to large pedigrees. In using a robust variance estimate we treated each pedigree (instead of each individual) as an independent entity for calculating the variance of the estimates (study IV).

A generalised estimating equation (GEE) procedure was used to test differences in individual MetS components over time between risk and non-risk genotype carriers, treating the components as continuous variables. The analyses were adjusted for age, sex and smoking. GEE corrects for the potential problem that one person with more visits contributed more to the change over time than a person with fewer visits. In the GEE the standard errors were adjusted for repeated measurements in the same person with a robust variance estimator (study IV).

Multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed adjusting for age at baseline, follow-up time and sex and including 17 polymorphisms identified by GWAS in the model. In addition, we conducted backward elimination of SNPs with a retention threshold of p<0.05 (study V).
RESULTS

Study I: Genetic dissection of chromosome 18p11, a region linked to obese T2D and HDL-cholesterol

Several strategies were used to investigate genetic variation with potential contribution to the linkage on chromosome 18p. First, tag SNPs were identified by Tagger program implemented in Haploview (MAF > 0.05, $r^2 \geq 0.8$) in 11 positional and functional candidate genes located in the 15 Mb region identified by earlier studies performed in our laboratory \(^{47}\) (LPIN2, EPB41L3, LAMA1, NDUFV2, ANKRD12, VAPA, NAPG, CIDEA, PTPN2 and MC2R/MC5R). Due to the more recent results of a collaborative study between our and Leena Peltonen’s laboratories showing linkage to HDL cholesterol in a meta analysis of four genome wide linkage studies \(^{66}\), tag SNPs were selected in all known and hypothetical genes in the 1 Mb region centred around 5.3 Mb and thus fully overlapping with the T2D linked region (LOC388458, LOC284215, PPIAP14, LOC642597, LOC339290, ZFP161, TTMA-LOC388459, LOC645355, TTMA, LOC729309, L3MBTL4 and LOC645387). In addition, we also identified 58 tag SNPs in four genes (PTPRM, APCDD1, FAM38B and C18orf58) located around 10 Mb based on association results for T2D and obese T2D from DGI GWAS (Figure 4A). Altogether 501 tag SNPs were genotyped in Botnia 2 families in this step.

Second, we utilized the publicly available GWAS data from DGI and other published GWAS \(^{89,167}\). In the 12 Mb region on chromosome 18p between 2 – 14 Mb linked to obese T2D, 2017 SNPs were successfully genotyped in the DGI GWAS. We plotted all nominally significant p-values for association with T2D, obese T2D (T2D and BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ compared with all controls) and BMI in T2D patients (Figure 4A). Three SNPs with the most significant results from DGI GWAS on chromosome 18 were selected for replication in the Botnia 2 families and the Swedish case control material (Figure 4A). First, the most significant SNPs for T2D and obese T2D (rs7237226 in C18orf58, $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$) and second, two most significant SNPs for BMI in T2D patients (rs644399 and rs4121619 in PTPRM, $p = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and $p = 0.00028$, respectively) were selected for replication. We also evaluated SNPs associated with fatmass in DGI and the most significant result (rs20659 in APCDD1, $p = 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$) was further investigated. In addition, SNPs showing the most significant association on chromosome 18p in the WTCCC (rs543759 in PTPRM, $p = 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$) and in Mexican Americans (rs1941011 in EPB41L3, $p = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$) were selected for replication in both the Botnia 2 families and the Swedish case-control material \(^{67,89,167}\). Also, a SNP in the LPIN2 gene showing association with T2D in a Dutch population (rs3745012, $p = 0.03$) was pursued further in the same cohorts.
Figure 4. Association signals on chromosome 18p. A) Significant association results from DGI GWAS for T2D, obese T2D and BMI in T2D patients. The –log of p-values for all three traits are plotted in the graph. SNPs marked with green circle were chosen for replication in the Swedish T2D case-control material. Several association signals in intron one of the \textit{PTPRM} gene were associated with BMI in T2D patients in the DGI GWAS; therefore rs4121619 (p = 0.00028) was chosen as the best proxy as it was highly correlated with the other significantly associated SNPs in this region. B) Significant association results from the Botnia 2 families for T2D, obese T2D and BMI in T2D patients. The –log of p-values for all three traits are plotted in the graph. SNPs selected for replication in the Swedish case-control material are marked with green circles.
In total 508 SNPs were successfully genotyped in 752 individuals from the Botnia 2 families and analysed for three phenotypes; obese T2D, T2D and BMI in T2D patients and the results are summarized in Figure 4B. As an exploratory analysis, BMI in all individuals from Botnia 2 families was also investigated. The strongest association with obese T2D was observed for rs1537422 in the *LAMA1* gene (\( p = 0.0026 \)) and the same SNP was also associated with T2D (\( p = 0.040 \)). For T2D the strongest associated SNP was rs1985 in the *LPIN2* gene (\( p = 0.0008 \)). The rs9303948 in *LOC388458* showed the strongest association to BMI in T2D patients (\( p = 7.2 \times 10^{-5} \)) and the same SNPs was also associated with BMI in all individuals (\( p = 0.0032 \)). The second strongest association with BMI in T2D patients was observed for rs564991 in the *APCDD1* gene (\( p = 0.00064 \)); the same SNP was also associated to BMI in T2D patients (\( p = 0.00019 \)). All of these most significant results in the Botnia 2 families (rs1537422, rs1985, rs9303948 and rs564991) were selected for replication in the Swedish case-control material (Figure 4B).

Altogether twelve SNPs were selected for replication (Table 6). Based on the results from three GWAS, including DGI, WTCCC and Mexican American population 

\(^{85,89,167}\), six SNPs were selected. In addition, rs3745012 in *LPIN2*, previously nominally associated with T2D the Dutch population (\( p = 0.03 \)) \(^{67}\), was included in the replication study. Finally, five SNPs most significantly associated with T2D, obese T2D and BMI in T2D patients in Botnia 2 families were included in the replication. All twelve SNPs were analyzed for association with obese T2D, T2D and BMI in T2D patients. However, no significant association was observed between the selected SNPs and any of the investigated phenotypes in the case control material (Table 6).

Our results from extensive fine mapping of the chromosome 18p region by tag SNPs and replication of association signals from this fine mapping, published GWAS and rs3745012 in *LPIN2* show no association with T2D, obese T2D or BMI in T2D patients in a large Swedish case control material. These findings argue against common variation contributing to observed linkage on chromosome 18p.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>T2D</th>
<th>OBET2D</th>
<th>BMI in T2D</th>
<th>Previous association</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs7237226</td>
<td>C18orf58</td>
<td>1.01 [0.94-1.09] 0.76</td>
<td>1.06 [0.89-1.27] 0.51</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>DGI OBET2D + T2D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00019/1.1 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs644399</td>
<td>PTPRM</td>
<td>1.00 [0.93-1.08] 0.93</td>
<td>1.04 [0.93-1.16] 0.50</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>DGI BMI in T2D patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.97 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4121619</td>
<td>PTPRM</td>
<td>0.97 [0.90-1.05] 0.46</td>
<td>1.01 [0.90-1.13] 0.85</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>DGI BMI in T2D patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs206539</td>
<td>APCDD1</td>
<td>1.06 [0.96-1.16] 0.28</td>
<td>1.10 [0.96-1.27] 0.18</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>DGI Fatmass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs543759</td>
<td>PTPRM</td>
<td>0.94 [0.86-1.03] 0.17</td>
<td>0.94 [0.83-1.08] 0.38</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>WTCCC T2D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1941011</td>
<td>EPB41L3</td>
<td>0.98 [0.90-1.07] 0.68</td>
<td>0.96 [0.85-1.09] 0.55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Mexican Americans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3745012</td>
<td>LPIN2</td>
<td>1.05 [0.96-1.15] 0.24</td>
<td>0.97 [0.85-1.10] 0.63</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Aulchenko et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1537422</td>
<td>LAMA1</td>
<td>1.02 [0.94-1.10] 0.66</td>
<td>0.95 [0.85-1.07] 0.42</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Botnia 2 families</td>
<td></td>
<td>OBET2D</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11081094</td>
<td>LOC388458</td>
<td>1.03 [0.95-1.12] 0.45</td>
<td>1.04 [0.92-1.18] 0.50</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>Botnia 2 families BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9303948</td>
<td>LOC388458</td>
<td>1.01 [0.94-1.10] 0.73</td>
<td>1.11 [0.99-1.25] 0.071</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Botnia 2 families BMI in T2D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs564991</td>
<td>APCDD1</td>
<td>0.96 [0.88-1.03] 0.26</td>
<td>0.92 [0.82-1.03] 0.15</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>Botnia 2 families BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1985</td>
<td>LPIN2</td>
<td>1.04 [0.96-1.13] 0.40</td>
<td>1.09 [0.96-1.23] 0.18</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Botnia 2 families T2D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Study II: Association testing in 9000 people fails to confirm the association of the insulin receptor substrate-1 G972R polymorphism with type 2 diabetes**

The aim of study II was to investigate whether the results from a meta-analysis by Jellema et al. indicating that G972R (rs1801278) in IRS1 is associated with T2D could be replicated in an adequately powered collection of several family based and case-control cohorts of northern European ancestry.

Our study had 98.9% power to reject the null hypothesis of no association between G972R in IRS1 and T2D at p < 0.05 under a dominant model of inheritance, assuming MAF of 10% and OR of 1.25 (as reported by Jellema et al.) and prevalence of T2D of 10%.

No association between G972R in IRS1 and T2D was observed in any of the cohorts nor in the combined meta-analysis. In the Scandinavian/Canadian samples (2 044 T2D cases, 1 297 controls and 1 189 siblings discordant for T2D) and the Polish sample (1 009 case control pairs) no association was observed (OR = 1.01, p = 0.91 and 1.13, p = 0.39, respectively). In the North American Caucasians (1 226 case control pairs) the association trended in the opposite direction (OR = 0.81, p = 0.07). When combining our data together with diabetic trios reported by Altshuler et al. and all the studies in Jellema et al. the association between G972R in IRS1 was not significant (OR = 1.07 [95% CI 0.97 – 1.18], p = 0.17).

Neither did we observe association to age of onset, measures of insulin secretion (insulinogenic index, percent HOMA-β and disposition index) nor to measures of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR and ISI) in the Scandinavian samples.

**Study III: Association testing of common variants in the insulin receptor substrate-1 gene (IRS1) with type 2 diabetes**

To follow-up study II, where no association between G972R and T2D was observed, study III was designed to investigate the haplotype structure of IRS1 gene region and to assess whether common variation in IRS1, other than G972R, could contribute to increased risk of T2D in several family based and case control cohorts of northern European ancestry (same as in study II).

The haplotype structure of IRS1 was obtained from the CEU samples using phase 1 data of the HapMap project. Using this information we identified a region spanning 183 kb consisting of 130 polymorphic SNPs and ranging from 105 kb upstream and 13 kb downstream of the IRS1 gene. A decay in LD was observed at 105 kb upstream and 13 kb downstream and defined the ends of the haplotype blocks. Using the Tagger
program, 20 tag SNP were selected, capturing 85% of all common variation (MAF ≥ 0.05) with \( r^2 \geq 0.8 \) in that region.

All 20 tag SNPs were genotyped in samples originating from USA and Poland. After combined meta-analysis of these two samples only one SNP, rs934167 showed nominally significant association to T2D (OR 1.25 [1.03 – 1.51], \( p = 0.03 \)). This SNP was then genotyped in the Scandinavian and Canadian sample sets. The combined analysis of all samples resulted in an OR of 1.20 [1.05 – 1.37], \( p = 0.008 \). After adjustment for multiple testing by 10 000 permutations, these results did not remain significant (\( p = 0.086 \)). No heterogeneity was observed among the sub-samples (\( p = 0.29 \)).

When investigating quantitative phenotypes that may influence the risk of T2D, no significant differences in fasting and 2-h insulin levels, insulinogenic index, insulin AUC, HOMA-IR or ISI were observed between the different genotype carriers of rs934167.

From these results we conclude that common variation in the \( IRS1 \) gene region does not have a major impact on the development of T2D. It is, however, possible that yet uncaptured variation in \( IRS1 \) might increase risk of T2D.

**Study IV: Genetic prediction of the metabolic syndrome**

The aim of study IV was to investigate whether common variants in genes previously associated with T2D or features of MetS (\( PPARG, ADRB1, ADRB2, ADRB3 \) and \( APM1 \)) could predict development of MetS in non-diabetic individuals from the Botnia prospective study. MetS was defined according to the NCEP-ATP III definition 122. In addition, the G487S polymorphism in the \( PPARGC1A \) gene was included as this gene is considered a master regulator in energy, fat and glucose metabolism 169,170, thereby making it a good candidate for MetS.

Out of 1937 individuals free of MetS at baseline 267 (13.8%) developed MetS during a mean follow-up period of 6 years. At baseline, 729 (37.6%) individuals did not have any of the components of MetS while 735 (38.0%) individuals had one and 473 (24.4%) had two components of MetS.

The risk to develop MetS was increased by polymorphisms in \( PPARG \) (P12A (rs1801282), \( HR = 1.49 \) [1.10 – 3.01], \( p = 0.011 \)) and \( ADRB1 \) (G398R (rs1801253), \( HR = 1.43 \) [1.14 – 1.80], \( p = 0.0022 \)) in the univariate analysis as well as the multivariate analysis (\( p = 0.040 \) and 0.0020 respectively).

Additionally, we investigated if changes in components of the MetS over time of were associated with the studied genetic variation, using a GEE model. Carriers of the PP genotype in \( PPARG \) P12A showed increase in triglyceride concentration over time.
(coefficient = 0.016 [0.0002 – 0.0317], p = 0.047). The GG/GR genotype carriers of the ADRB1 G398R polymorphism showed increase in both triglyceride (0.0162 [0.0017 – 0.0307], p = 0.028) and fasting plasma glucose (0.013 [0.0009 – 0.0255], p = 0.036) concentration over time.

There are two other commonly used definitions for MetS in addition to NCEP-ATP III, the WHO 121 and IDF 123 definitions. We investigated whether the same polymorphism would predict development of MetS if defined by WHO and IDF criteria. Out of 1759 individuals without MetS according to WHO criteria (MetS WHO) at baseline 361 (20.5%) developed MetS during the follow-up. Polymorphisms in ADRB1 (G398R (rs18012539), HR = 1.32 [1.08 – 1.62], p = 0.008) and APM1 (I2019D (rs59618226) HR = 1.36 [1.02 – 1.82], p = 0.035) genes increased the risk for MetS WHO. Totally, 1609 individuals were free from MetS according to IDF criteria (MetS IDF) at baseline. Out of these 380 (23.6%) developed MetS IDF during the 6-year follow-up and none of the studied polymorphisms significantly increased the risk of MetS IDF. However, if the IDF criteria were modified and the FPG cut-off was increased to 6.1 mmol/l (instead of 5.6 mmol/l), the polymorphism in ADRB1 (G398R (rs18012539), HR = 1.76 [1.20 – 2.58], p = 0.004) increased the risk to develop MetS IDF.

In conclusion, polymorphisms in PPARG and ADRB1 predicted development of MetS according to NCEP-ATP III criteria. The G398R variant in ADRB1 also predicted development of MetS according to all three criteria, indicating a role of altered free fatty acid metabolism in the pathogenesis of MetS.

**Study V: The search for putative unifying genetic factors for components of the metabolic syndrome**

The aim of this study was to investigate whether polymorphisms in genes previously associated with T2D (TCF7L2, PPARG, KCNJ11, WFS1, SLC30A8, HHEX, IGF2BP2, CDKAL1, CDKN2A, FTO, JAZF1, CDC123/CAMK1D, TSPAN8/LGR5, THADA, ADAMTS9 and NOTCH2) or at least two features of the MetS (ADRB1, ADRB2, ADRB3, CAPN10, IRS1, UCP2, PPARGC1A, PTPN1, ENPP1 and GCKR) would predict MetS or it’s components in a large prospective study of 16 000 individuals from MPP.

Polymorphisms in TCF7L2 (rs7903146, OR 1.10 [1.04-1.17], p=0.00097), FTO (rs9939609, OR 1.08 [1.02-1.14], p=0.0065), WFS1 (rs10010131, OR 1.07 [1.02-1.13], p=0 .0078) and IGF2BP2 (rs4402960, OR 1.07 [1.01-1.13], p=0.021) predicted MetS in MPP using univariate analysis adjusted for age at baseline, sex and follow-up time (Table 7). After correction for multiple testing (Bonferroni correction for analysis of 27 SNPs included in the study) only the association with TCF7L2 SNP remained significant (p<0.026). Multivariate analysis was conducted and included the 16 variants previously associated with T2D and GCKR. In multivariate analysis rs7903146 in TCF7L2 (p=0.0012), rs111875 in HHEX (p=0.0052) and rs9939609 in
FTO (p=0.018) were retained as significant predictors of MetS in backward elimination model.

When studying the specific components of MetS, polymorphisms in TCF7L2 (rs7903146, OR 1.17 [1.09-1.25], p<0.00001), IGF2BP2 (rs4402960, OR 1.10 [1.03-1.18], p=0.0033), SLC30A8 (rs13266634, OR 1.12 [1.05-1.19], p=0.0061), CDKAL1 (rs7754840, OR 1.12 [1.04-1.20], p=0.0017), WFSI (rs10010131, OR 1.07 [1.01-1.14], p=0.027) and ENPP1 (rs1044498, OR 1.10 [1.01-1.19], p=0.037) nominally predicted hyperglycaemia in univariate analysis. The same genes predicted hyperglycaemia also in multivariate analysis (rs7903146, p<0.0001; rs4402960, p=0.025; rs7754840, p=0.0019; and rs13266634, p=0.0037).
Development of obesity was predicted by polymorphisms in \textit{FTO} (rs9939609, OR 1.09 [1.03-1.16], \(p=0.004\)) and \textit{ADRB3} (rs4994, OR 1.13 [1.01–1.26], \(p=0.034\)); none of the SNPs remained significant after correction after multiple testing and none of the polymorphisms were retained as significant predictors of obesity in multivariate analysis.

The risk to develop dyslipidaemia was increased in carriers of T allele in \textit{GCKR} both in univariate (OR 1.15 [1.09-1.22], \(p<0.00001\), \(p_c=0.000053\)) and multivariate analysis (\(p<0.00001\) for \textit{GCKR}).

A composite genetic risk score was constructed calculating the number of risk alleles carried by each individual in the 17 SNPs identified and/or verified in GWAS (\textit{TCF7L2, PPARG, KCNJ11, WFS1, SLC30A8, HHEX, IGF2BP2, CDKAL1, CDKN2A, FTO, JAZF1, CDC123/CAMKID, TSPAN8/LGR5, THADA, ADAMTS9, NOTCH2} and \textit{GCKR}). Complete data for all 17 SNPs were available for 9740 individuals. Carriers of \(\geq 19\) risk alleles (9.7\% of individuals) had 51\% increased risk of developing at least three components of MetS (OR 1.51 [1.26–1.82], \(p=0.000012\)) compared with individuals carrying \(\leq 12\) risk alleles (15\% of individuals) (Figure 5), translating into 4\% increased risk per carried risk allele (OR 1.04 [1.02–1.06], \(p<0.00001\)).

![Figure 5. Composite genetic score of 17 SNPs identified by GWAS.](image)

Individuals that did not develop MetS during the follow-up time of 24 years are indicated in yellow and individuals that developed MetS are indicated in purple.
DISCUSSION

In this thesis, several aspects of genetics of T2D, obese T2D and MetS have been studied including follow-up investigations of a microsatellite genome wide linkage scan for T2D and candidate gene studies for T2D and MetS. Also, novel candidate genes identified in GWAS for T2D have been explored for their role in MetS.

Identification of genetic variants associated with increased risk of T2D has been cumbersome during the last two decades. The attempts to uncover T2D susceptibility genes have involved candidate gene studies, genome wide linkage studies and fine mapping of the regions that provided linkage signals. Although a number of regions have indicated linkage to T2D in several studies, identification of genes contributing to these signals has been very limited.

As chromosome 18p is the most widely replicated region linked to obese T2D and related phenotypes, identification of susceptibility gene or genes in this region might provide new insights into pathogenesis of T2D and obesity. In our Botnia 2 families from Finland and Sweden, linkage was observed only in the sample stratified for obesity, indicating that genes, partially different from those increasing the risk of T2D, might predispose to obese T2D and that the pathogenesis of obese T2D could differ from non-obese T2D. For this purpose we carried out extensive fine mapping of the region on chromosome 18p11. In a previous attempt in Dutch families, 26 evenly distributed SNPs across the 15 Mb linked region on chromosome 18p11 were studied and a weak association between a polymorphism in LPIN2 gene and T2D was identified (rs3745012, p = 0.03) 67. We could, however, not replicate this association in our large Swedish case control material (p = 0.24 for T2D and p = 0.63 for obese T2D). In our study, we genotyped a total of 508 common SNPs (MAF > 0.05) and found nominal association in the family based material for 74 SNPs (Botnia 2 families), but none of the best association signals (n = 5) could be replicated. In addition, more than 2000 SNPs in the region were analyzed for association with T2D, obese T2D, BMI in T2D patients and fat mass in the DGI GWAS data. From these analyses, four SNPs with best results were selected for replication analysis. However, none of these results could be replicated either. This would argue against common variation contributing to the observed linkage signal.

Results of this extensive study would indicate that common variation in the chromosome 18p11 region may not contribute to a larger extent to the observed linkage result, which supports the current hypothesis that rare variants aggregating in families may contribute to linkage but might be difficult to follow-up using association studies of common variants and difficult to capture by common (MAF > 5%) SNPs. Resequencing of candidate regions may therefore identify novel genes contributing to complex phenotypes. Even though rare variants may be more specific and may contribute to disease in only smaller subgroups of patients, they can provide new insights and mechanism for common diseases. Whether rare variants in any of the
genes on chromosome 18p will explain the observed linkage remains to be answered in future studies.

In studies II and III, we investigated the role of polymorphisms in \textit{IRS1} in T2D. \textit{IRS1} is a good biological candidate gene for T2D as it is a central component in the signal transduction from insulin receptor. Mice with disrupted IRS1 gene develop insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia but do not become diabetic. This could indicate a compensatory effect from other molecules, i.e. the other IRS molecules (\textit{IRS2}-4). As knocking out \textit{IRS1} in mice causes insulin resistance, \textit{IRS1} makes an obvious candidate gene even for MetS. The G972R polymorphism in \textit{IRS1} has been associated with T2D in some but not all studies and the evidence for its involvement in the pathogenesis of T2D has been unclear. In our studies we did not observe any association between the G972R in \textit{IRS1} and T2D comprising more than 9,000 individuals or MetS. To further investigate the putative association between \textit{IRS1} and T2D 20 tag SNP, capturing 85% of the common variation in \textit{IRS1}, were genotyped in several family and population based materials. However, as no convincing association could be observed, our studies indicate that common genetic variation in \textit{IRS1} does not have a major role in the pathogenesis of T2D. In very recent and still unpublished studies, an intergenic SNP identified by GWAS and located around 400 kb upstream of \textit{IRS1} (rs2943641) was found associated with T2D (p = 9.3 x 10^{-12}), increased HOMA-IR, elevated triglyceride levels as well as fasting insulin levels. This would make \textit{IRS1} the first gene identified by GWAS predisposing to T2D via effects on insulin resistance. If this SNP would be located in a regulatory element, it could be affecting expression of \textit{IRS1} or other genes in the vicinity. Even though this SNP is located relatively far from the original association of G972R, the inconsistent association observed between G972R and T2D could theoretically depend on population specific allelic association between these two SNPs. It is also possible that genetic variation in \textit{IRS1} predisposes to insulin resistance but not to T2D as such, proposed by animal models. Also, not even all individuals with severe insulin resistance due to mutations in the insulin receptor gene develop diabetes, indicating that insulin resistance alone without some accompanying defect in insulin secretion is not sufficient to cause diabetes. We did not identify association between MetS and G972R in \textit{IRS1} in MPP. In MPP, no measure of insulin resistance is available for testing the hypothesis that \textit{IRS1} associates with insulin resistance. In MPP, measures of abdominal obesity, often associated with insulin resistance, were not available at baseline and were therefore not used in the definition of MetS. In addition, the rs2943641 has not yet been studied in MPP and the association signal from this polymorphism is most likely distinct from G972R.

The role of candidate genes or confirmed susceptibility genes for T2D or components of MetS in the development of MetS were investigated in studies IV and V. In the family based Botnia prospective study, polymorphisms in genes earlier identified as candidate genes for insulin resistance, \textit{PPARG} and \textit{ADRB1}, were found to be associated with increased risk to develop MetS. On the other hand, in the population based larger MPP study with longer follow-up time, mainly polymorphisms in genes affecting hyperglycaemia predicted development of MetS.
Our aim was to search for a unifying genetic factors predisposing to the development of the MetS by investigating polymorphism in genes previously associated with T2D or features of the MetS. Although some of these SNPs were associated with increased risk of the MetS, the risk to develop MetS seemed to be driven by their association with the previously reported phenotype. The results argue against the view that any of these genes would be a common unifying genetic factor predisposing to MetS.

Several genes were investigated in both studies (PPARG, ADRB1, ADRB2, ADRB3 and PPARGC1A) and the results for some of these differed between the two studies. In the Botnia prospective study, polymorphisms in PPARG and ADRB1 were associated with development of MetS. These polymorphisms were not associated with prediction of MetS in MPP. There are some differences between the studies that could contribute to the observed differences. First, Botnia prospective study is a family based study enriched for T2D. It is well established that insulin resistance is common among relatives of T2D patients, increasing the probability to identify genes acting through insulin resistance to influence development of MetS. Second, the definitions of MetS in Botnia prospective study and MPP differed. While the NCEP-ATP III definition could be used in the Botnia prospective study, measures of abdominal obesity (waist) and HDL cholesterol levels were not available for a majority of subjects in MPP at baseline and therefore a modified definition of MetS was used (see the methods section for study V). The inconsistencies between the results in the two studies highlight the complexity of the phenotype, the importance of how the phenotypes are defined in association studies as well as the putative power problems even in larger cohorts.

Several definitions of MetS exist and are widely used, emphasizing different aspects of the syndrome. While the NCEP-ATP III definition assigns equal weight to all components, the WHO definition uses insulin resistance and IDF definition uses abdominal obesity as common denominators. This leads to different individuals being diagnosed as having the MetS with the different definitions and also the prevalence varies depending on which definition is used. Thus, genetic factors influencing the risk to develop MetS syndrome could differ depending on how MetS is defined. The discussion whether common pathogenic pathways are involved in MetS is ongoing and the question has been difficult to answer. Identification of gene or genes predisposing to MetS or several of it’s components could provide arguments for the common pathogenesis. So far no such genes have been identified. Therefore, an unbiased approach to MetS, such as GWAS could provide novel genes indicating new pathways involved in the pathogenesis of MetS. To date, no GWAS for MetS have been conducted leaving the question of common pathogenic pathway unanswered.

The genetic studies of MetS have focused on candidate gene and linkage studies. Very few, if any genes have been convincingly associated with MetS, although genes associating with more than one of the subcomponents have been reported. Genetic studies of MetS have to deal with heterogeneity in the definition of the phenotype in addition to the other pitfalls of genetic association studies. The genes so far reported as candidate genes for MetS have often been found associated with one or two
components of the syndrome but not to associate with more than two or all of the components. This does not exclude the possibility of a unifying genetic factor for MetS but rather highlights the fact that the unknown pathophysiology of the syndrome makes prediction about a unifying candidate gene difficult. Liver fat content is correlated with all components of MetS, indicating a central role for non-alcoholic fatty liver in the pathogenesis of MetS \(^{172}\). Recently, a polymorphism in the adiponutrin gene \((PNPLA3)\) has been associated with hepatic fat content \(^{173}\), making adiponutrin a novel candidate gene for MetS for future studies.

T2D is defined by chronic hyperglycaemia and relatively late onset (typically above 45 years). The prevalence of T2D increases with age but T2D appears with increasing frequencies even in younger age groups and children. T2D thus covers a broad spectrum of patients, with large span in age of onset, duration and severity of the disease. While most patients with long duration of the disease develop micro- and/or macrovascular complications there are patients with long duration of the disease but without any complications. Obesity is a common feature of T2D but far from all patients suffering from T2D are obese. Neither are all obese individuals insulin resistant nor develop T2D. It has therefore been discussed whether T2D indeed can be defined as a single disease or rather a cluster of diseases presenting with chronic hyperglycaemia. The heterogeneity of the disease is a potential problem in genetic association studies, as accurate phenotype definition increases the power of the studies. On the other hand, information about genetic factors influencing the risk of the disease can be used to differentiate between the potentially different T2D subgroups and can lead not only to better diagnostic criteria and better treatment for the patients but also improved possibilities for prediction and prevention of the disease.
Recent progress and future of genetics of complex diseases

The recent progress in genetics of complex diseases has been greatly aided by the development of high-throughput genotyping technologies as well as the rapidly increasing knowledge about the variation in the human genome aided HGP and HapMap projects. Many publicly available databases of human genetic variation exist today, augmenting genetic research. Validation of the information in the public databases and information about the population specific variations has improved dramatically in the last ten years.

The discovery of TCF7L2 demonstrated that identification of new genes with functions previously not implicated in the pathogenesis of T2D was possible and lead to increased optimism in the field. The hope that GWAS could identify new genes and provide new insights into the aetiology of T2D proved true in 2007 when several GWAS for T2D were published. To date, up to 20 new genes have been associated with T2D. Most of the identified genes have not been previously implied in T2D and studies trying to identify mechanisms by which these genes could affect the pathophysiological processes are ongoing. So far, most of the identified genes were found associated with measures of insulin secretion rather than those of insulin action.

To date (September 2008), over 180 GWASs for complex phenotypes have been published\textsuperscript{174}, including cancer, psychiatric diseases and metabolic traits. Many novel genes influencing complex traits, like T2D, lipid traits, myocardial infarction and blood pressure have been identified. The GWAS for lipid traits have identified several new genes and confirmed previously known susceptibility genes and all to all 30 loci influencing lipid traits have now been identified.

The recent success in genetics of polygenic diseases still leaves many questions unanswered. Many of the identified loci contain many genes in LD with each other and a more thorough investigation of association signals together with functional studies will be needed to identify the susceptibility genes. The first attempts for genetic prediction show promising, although yet modest results. As new genes and pathways are discovered it is probable that genetic prediction measures will be improved which can lead to possibilities to identify individuals at high risk to develop diseases such as T2D, MetS, dyslipideamia and CVD in addition to teaching us new insights about biological processes operating in these common diseases. Although many novel genes for complex diseases have been identified, known variants explain only a small part of the familial aggregation\textsuperscript{175,176}. The future challenges to identify genetic factors contributing to complex diseases will most certainly include investigation of the role of rare variants by sequencing large segments of the genome; enabled by the new emerging sequencing technologies. Also the much less understood role of CNV in the development of complex diseases has lately come into focus.
SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

Study I  Investigation of common genetic variation on chromosome 18p, a region linked to obese T2D, by tag SNP mapping in our T2D family material showed several nominal association signals. These results and publicly available results from GWAS for related phenotypes could not be replicated in a large Swedish case-control material. These results would argue against common variation contributing to observed linkage on chromosome 18p.

Study II  The well powered study of 9,000 individuals could not confirm association between G972R in the IRS1 gene and T2D. This would indicate that G972R does not have a major role in development of T2D.

Study III  No association between common variation in IRS1, captured by 20 tag SNPs, and T2D was observed in patients of northern European ancestry. Based on our findings, we conclude that common variation in the IRS1 gene region does not have a major impact on the development of T2D. It is, however, possible that yet uncaptured variation in IRS1 might increase risk of T2D.

Study IV  Genetic variation in PPARG and ADRB1 predicted development of MetS using the NCEP-ATP III definition and the G389R polymorphism in ADRB1 predicted MetS using all three definitions (NCEP-ATP III, WHO and IDF) in the Botnia prospective study. These findings emphasize the role of free fatty acid metabolism in the pathogenesis of MetS.

Study V  In MPP, polymorphisms in candidate genes for T2D (TCF7L2, WFS1, IGF2BP2) and obesity (FTO) predicted development of MetS by increasing risk of development of the component of the syndrome to which the original association was reported. These data do not support the view that the different components of MetS share a common genetic background.
POPULÄRVETENSKAPLIG SAMMANFATTNING


Metabolt syndrom definieras som en grupp av ämnesomsättningsstörningar som tillsammans ökar risken för både typ 2 diabetes och kardiovaskulära sjukdomar. Dessa störningar inkluderar fetma, högt blodtryck, dåliga blodfetter och höga blodsockerhalter. Dessa störningar förekommer ofta tillsammans och man har därför konstaterat att det skulle kunna finnas en gemensam sjukdomsalstrande mekanism bakom dessa, även om orsaken är okänd.

Både typ 2 diabetes och metabolt syndrom är s.k. komplexa sjukdomar med en ärfilig komponent. Dessa sjukdomar orsakas av många gener där var och en bidrar endast lite till sjukdomsbilden och vars effekt modifieras av miljöfaktorer så som fysisk inaktivitet och högt näringsintag. Detta är bidragande faktorer till varför identifiering av gener som medverkar i utvecklingen av komplexa sjukdomar har varit svår. Först under senare år har man kunnat identifiera ett flertal gener av betydelse för komplexa sjukdomar genom studier där ett stort antal genetiska markörer fördelades över hela genomet undersöks. Dessa studier har bl. a. bidragit till identifiering av 12 tidigare okända typ 2 diabetes gener. Många av dessa gener har ingen känd funktion inom typ 2 diabetes och identifierar nya möjliga mekanismer för sjukdomsutvecklingen.

Ett sätt att söka efter orsaker till varför typ 2 diabetes och metabolt syndrom utvecklas är att leta efter genetiska variabler som ökar risken för typ 2 diabetes. Målet med denna avhandling var att undersöka genetiska variabler och dess roll i utvecklingen av typ 2 diabetes och metabolt syndrom och flera olika strategier har använts i sökandet.

Den korta armen på kromosom 18 visar en koppling till typ 2 diabetes och fetma i flera obberoende studier och är därför en region som skulle kunna innehålla en eller flera gener som ökar risken för att utveckla typ 2 diabetes kombinerat med fetma. I den första studien undersökte vi 508 olika varianter lokaliserade i och kring gener i den intressanta regionen på kromosom 18 i familjematerial från Sverige och Finland. Vi fann ett samband mellan flera av varianterna och typ 2 diabetes samt typ 2 diabetes tillsammans med fetma. De varianter med starkast samband till sjukdomen försökte vi bekräfta i en studie där vi jämförde frekvensen av varianten mellan sjuka och friska...
individer, en s.k. associationsstudie. Då vi inte kunde bekräfta en koppling mellan dessa varianter och typ 2 diabetes tillsammans med fetma, drar vi slutsatsen att vanlig genetisk variation på kromosom 18 inte bidrar avsevärt till den noterade kopplingen.

I studie II och III undersöktes den genetiska variationen i IRS1 genen och dess typ 2 diabetes. I studie II undersöktes mer än 9 000 individer med hänsyn till en variant som sedan tidigare är associerad med typ 2 diabetes. I vår studie kunde vi inte se någon skillnad i frekvensen av denna variant mellan friska och sjuka individer och vi drog därmed slutsatsen att denna variant inte har någon roll i utvecklande av typ 2 diabetes i den studerade populationen population.

Eftersom IRS1 genen kodar för ett protein som överför signaler från insulinreceptorn in i cellen och därför är en bra kandidatgen för typ 2 diabetes, ville vi i studie III undersöka om någon annan genetisk variation kan bidra till ökad risk av typ 2 diabetes. Vi valde därför 20 varianter på ett sätt så att de skulle täcka mer än 85% av den vanliga variationen och jämförde frekvenserna av dessa varianter mellan friska och sjuka individer. Då vi inte såg någon skillnad i frekvensen av de studerade varianterna drog vi slutsatsen att vanlig genetisk variation i IRS1 genen inte bidrar nämnvärt till risken att utveckla typ 2 diabetes.

I studie IV och V undersöktes sambandet mellan varianter i olika kandidatgener för det metabola syndromet. Detta gjordes i s.k. prospektiva studier, där friska individer följts under en tid och frekvensen av varianter jämförs sedan mellan individer som utvecklat sjukdomen, i vårt fallet metabola syndromet, och individer som förblivit friska. I studie IV undersöktes åtta varianter i sex gener som tidigare visat på ett samband med de ämnesomsättningsstörningar som utgör en del av metabola syndromet. Vi såg ett samband mellan varianter i PPARG och ADRB1 gener och metabola syndromet. Dessa gener har en funktion i omsättningen av fetter och vi såg att dessa varianter också hade en påverkan på hur halterna av blodfetter förändrades under den tid individerna följdes.

I studie V undersöktes 27 varianter i gener som antingen visat ett vältablcerat samband till typ 2 diabetes eller en mindre etablerad samband med åtminstone två ämnesomsättningsstörningar som utgör en del av metabola syndromet, hos 16 000 individer som följts i 24 år. Av dessa gener kunde man se ett samband mellan metabola syndromet och TCF7L2, FTO och WFS1 generna. Dessa gener bidrog till ökad risk av metabola syndromet genom att påverka specifika ämnesomsättningsstörningar; FTO medförde en ökad risk för fetma och TCF7L2 och WFS1 medförde ökad risk för höga blodsockerhalter. Ingen av de undersökta varianterna visade samband till mer än två av de ämnesomsättningsstörningar som ingår i metabola syndromet. Genom att kombinera riskvarianter av de 17 vältablcerade generna i varje individ, undersökte vi om kombinationen av dessa varianter ökar risken att utveckla metabola syndromet. Vi noterade att individer med ett högt antal riskvarianter hade 50% högre risk att utveckla metabola syndromet jämfört med individer med ett lågt antal riskvarianter. Sammanfattningsvis tyder våra resultat från studierna IV och V på att det inte finns en sammanlänkade gen som ökar risken för att utveckla metabola syndromet. Eftersom vi endast undersökt gener med känd funktion
eller med ett känt samband i utvecklingen av en eller flera av de ämnesomsättningsstörningar som är en del av metabola syndromet, kan vi inte utesluta att andra gener med ännu ej känd funktion i ämnesomsättningsstörningar kan vara sammanlänkade för metabola syndromet.

De framsteg som nyligen gjorts inom genetiken av komplexa sjukdomar har lett till identifiering av gener med okänd funktion i sjukdomsbilden och kommer sannolikt leda till upptäckten av nya mekanismer för sjukdomens utvecklande, vilket i sin tur kan leda till förbättrad behandling och förebyggande av dessa sjukdomar. Vidare undersökning av dessa gener, så som vi har gjort i dessa studier, bidrar till ökad kunskap om eventuella mekanismer.
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