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Abstract

Climate change shocks and stresses are expected to increasingly affect urban areas in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). However, there remain gaps in understanding local precipitation extremes and influential factors that can enhance urban resilience. This study synthesized determinants of urban resilience, investigated historic and projected precipitation extremes up to the year 2050. Also assessed were factors perceived to enhance resilience. These factors informed the proposed Municipality Resilience Index (MRI) which was tested to assess the resilience index of Mbale municipality. The study employed cross-sectional survey and some elements of grounded theory and fixed qualitative research designs.

A compendium of methods was used to realize the formulated objectives. To synthesize determinants of urban resilience, a review of literature was undertaken and subjected to summative thematic content analysis. To investigate precipitation extremes, historic data for 32 years was obtained from Uganda National Meteorology Authority (UNMA) while for future climate, modelled data was used. Climate data was fitted in a Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) to compute precipitation extremes. To assess factors perceived to be influential in enhancing household resilience, 389 structured household interviews coupled with nine group interviews were undertaken. Household data was subjected to linear regression analysis to generate relationships while normalization, principle component analysis and summations were used to generate a resilience index. Group interview data was subjected to summative thematic content analysis to derive perceptions on priority resilience factors.

Findings revealed that access to basic services, social networks, employment and ownership of productive assets were the most reported determinants of resilience in cities of SSA, with climate related shocks and stresses being the most eminent. SPI results revealed more extremely wet and dry periods in 2004-2014 as compared to 1982-1992 and 1993-2003 with extremely wet periods concentrated in September to January. Household perceptions supported this finding, emphasizing that dry periods have become frequent, hotter and longer, in addition to heavy precipitation periods. Modelled data indicated a likelihood of more extremely wet periods during 2021-2030 and 2031-2040 as compared to 2041-2050. Furthermore, future predictions generally predicted more extremely dry periods during 2031-2040 and 2041-2050 as compared to 2021-2030. These threaten to pause risks to the community of Mbale. Regression results showed that the ability of a household to meet its daily expenditure, household size and networks with relatives and NGOs had significant effects on resilience capacities. Most importantly, knowledge that even the lowest income households were substantially more likely to prepare for and recover from climate induced hazards if they are able to meet their daily expenditure needs was valuable particularly in this context, as the poorest population is generally most vulnerable. Summative thematic content analysis...
revealed that education, healthcare, employment, peace and security were perceived as the most crucial resilience factors. Although the community perceived to have progressed in accessing credit, building productive farms and sustaining peace and security, but they assessed a lack of diverse income generating activities, access to insurance, food security, employment and quality health care. Moreover, more marginalized parts of the municipality were experiencing decreasing resilience while other divisions have increased in resilience. The most crucial resilience factors informed the proposed multidimensional MRI that constituted of 46 variables explaining the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions. When the MRI was tested, findings revealed that Mbale municipality has a low resilience index (0.2).

The study concluded that future work needs to continue utilizing a multidimensional approach to understand location-specific determinants of household resilience in municipalities given their growing role in the strong urban growth trajectory projected over the next decades. Practitioners and disaster risk reduction policies need to promote: small household size, activities that can boost household ability to meet their daily expenditure needs and social networks that shape household resilience to enhance preparedness, recovery and adaptation. Additionally, policies need to continue focusing on: access to insurance, food security, creating more employment opportunities and provision of quality health care to enhance household resilience. Furthermore, Mbale municipality local government needs to redirect more resources to parts of the municipality with the least resilience index to enhance household resilience.

**Key words:** Determinants; Precipitation extremes; Demographic; Social networks; Community perceptions; Index.
Sammanfattning


Ett multidimensionellt index (MRI) baserat på viktiga variabler för resiliens, inkluderande fysiska, sociala, ekonomiska och institutionella dimensioner, utvecklades. Indexet visade att Mbales resiliens var låg (0,2 på en skala från 0–1) och att den sociala dimensionen bidrar mindre än övriga dimensioner. Sålunda kompletterar resultaten tidigare studier om samhällens resiliens och är relevanta för beslutsfattares förståelse angående resurser och investeringar som kan bygga resiliens.

Studien konkluderar att 1) mer forskning krävs för att utveckla det multidimensionella angreppssättet att förstå lokala faktorer som styr resiliensen mot klimatextremer i mindre och mellanstora städer. Detta är av vikt p.g.a. den prognostiserade ökande urbaniseringen. Främjande av små hushåll kan positivt påverka deras resiliens genom att deras ekonomiska och sociala dimensioner stärks. En fortsatt fokusering på utbildning, hälsovård, arbetstillfällen, tillgång till försäkringar samt upprätthållande av fred och säkerhet är viktig för att skapa resiliens för hushåll och samhällen. Mer resurser bör allokeras till de minst resilenta delarna av Mbale samhälle. Slutligen rekommenderas en nationell studie av alla samhällen i Uganda för att skatta resiliensen.
Chapter One

Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Over the last decade resilience has emerged as a strategic approach in the global policy discussions as a way of counteracting the unpredictable risks of climate change shocks and stresses (IPCC, 2014; New Urban Agenda, 2016; UNISDR, 2015). However, limited attempts have been made to assess the resilience of urban areas to climate change shocks and stresses in the context of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Floater et al., 2014). Generally, considering the last four decades, the world has experienced several climate change induced shocks and stresses ranging from floods, droughts, heat and cold waves (IPCC, 2014). In the tropics, storms, heavy precipitation events, floods and prolonged droughts have become more frequent since 1970’s (Nsubuga & Rautenbach, 2018; Waithaka et al., 2013). In this study, climate change shocks are defined as sudden and rapid disturbances caused by extreme weather conditions (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2016), while climate change stresses refer to slow processes with cumulative effects felt after a long period of time (Joerin et al., 2014; The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2016). In the context of East Africa, climate change studies indicate an increase in climate change shocks and stresses since 1980s (Di Baldassarre et al., 2011; IPCC, 2014; Nicholson, 2017; Few et al., 2015). Climate change stresses in the East African region manifest in the form of prolonged droughts crossing over to the rainy season, decline in the long rainy season (Nicholson, 2017) and a significant increase in temperature ranging between 0.5 and 1.2 °C for the period 1951 to 2010 (Warner et al., 2015). While, climate change shocks manifest in the form of increase in seasonal rainfall deficits and overabundance (IPCC, 2014) and an increase in flash floods in areas lying in the lower slopes of high mountains (Lwasa, 2010). These climate induced shocks and stresses coupled with poor living conditions and under resourced socio-economic infrastructure in the tropics (Pharoah & Rose 2016; Thompson et al. 2010), are likely to severely affect urban areas in terms of deceleration of industrial production, fluctuation in hydroelectric power supply and deterioration of water quality. (Giglioni, 2015). This is because urban areas concentrate populations at the same time destroying the surrounding ecosystems that protect them from climate extreme events (Dobson et al., 2015; Dobson, 2017). Thus, urban areas need to improve
resilience strategies in order to prepare for the uncertain future. Unfortunately, location specific studies that can inform the enhancement of urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses are still limited necessitating more empirical investigations on the issue (ACCRA, 2013; Epule et al., 2017).

When it comes to Uganda, progress has been registered in assessing climate change shocks and stresses and reports indicate an increase in temperatures beyond regional figures i.e. 1.3 °C per decade, an increase in prolonged droughts and heavy precipitation events coupled with flash floods particularly in the second rain season (Nsubuga et al., 2014; Egeru, 2016; Kikoyo & Nobert, 2016). Despite the progress, little is known on the resilience of households to climate change shocks and stresses in Uganda’s urban areas. Isolated studies that exist include: first, a study by D’Errico and Di Giuseppe (2018) who assessed the resilience of households to food insecurity in rural and urban areas of Northern, Eastern and Central Uganda and reported that highly educated households are more resilient than low educated households while female headed households are less resilient as compared to male headed households. Second, a study by Dobson et al. (2015) which was a participatory approach that reported that active participation of the urban poor in improving their earning through the use of environmentally friendly mechanisms, government involvement, partnership with local leaders and non-government organisations are the most influential factors in enhancing resilience. Third, a study by Mugume and Butler (2017) which focused on the functional resilience of drainage systems to extreme rainfall events reported that building flood barriers is important in improving resilience to heavy precipitation events. In fact, the rest of the studies had a rural focus of resilience (Nakileza et al., 2017; Cooper & Wheeler, 2015). What is evident in these illustrated studies is that limited knowledge exists on the understanding of household resilience in Uganda’s urban areas against climate change shocks and stresses. Cognizant of these scientific gap and knowledgeable of the fact that Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda (see Figure 3.1 on page 14) has severally suffered from heavy precipitation events, recurring flash floods, prolonged droughts e.g., in 1980, 1994, 2013 and 2016/2017, coupled with vulnerability to climate related shocks (Dobson et al., 2015; NEMA, 2010; OXFAM, 2017). This study investigated the characteristics of wet and dry extreme periods and the factors perceived to be influential in enhancing resilience to these extreme events in Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda. This information is vital in informing policies and practitioners that can enhance the resilience of urban areas.

Although there is some disagreement in the definition and measurement of resilience by frameworks and indices, consensus exists that urban areas must become resilient to a wider range of shocks and stresses in order to prepare for future uncertainties (Boyd & Sirkku, 2014; Schipper & Langston, 2015). Thus, several indices have been developed to measure urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses in the last decade (e.g. Abdabo & Hassaan, 2015; Gonzales & Ajami, 2017; Kotzze & Reyers, 2016). Although this progress has been made, most indices
focus on mega cities (Suárez et al., 2016; Joerin et al., 2014) with limited attention on municipalities and townships of developing countries, yet these will play a role in the strong urban growth trajectory projected over the next decades (Floater et al., 2014). Furthermore, most indices employ objective approaches that require robust socioeconomic and biophysical data sets that are generally lacking in developing countries (e.g. Dhar & Khirfan, 2017; Kotzee & Reyers, 2016). Additionally, some indices focus on a specific sector such as energy (Lee & Kim, 2017), drainage (Mugume et al., 2015), while others focus on detailed investigation of one or two dimension of resilience (Chun, Chi, & Hwang, 2017; Bozza et al., 2015). However, urban areas are complex systems constituting but not limited to the natural, physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions. This poses a need for a multidimensional index that is focused on assessing resilience of households to multiple climate change shocks and stresses in municipalities of developing countries where robust socioeconomic and biophysical data are lacking.

Therefore, this study began by synthesizing determinants of urban resilience in Sub-Saharan Africa through a review of literature. This was followed by defining which climate change shocks and stresses affect Mbale municipality and thus, an investigation of extremes in wet and dry periods was conducted. The study then investigated sociodemographic factors that influence household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt when faced with climate change shocks and stresses. This was followed by a community participatory approach to understand the multidimensional components perceived by the community as important in enhancing their resilience when faced with climate change shocks and stresses. The components that the community highlighted informed the variables of the proposed multidimensional Municipality Resilience Index (MRI) which was finally tested to assess the resilience index of Mbale municipality to climate change shocks and stresses.

This dissertation was based on paper compilation and thus some repetition such as: (a) between the general literature review and the literature review of individual papers and (b) between the general methodology and the methodologies of individual papers could not be avoided.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

It is generally known that in the last four decades the world has experienced an increase in climate related shocks and stresses (IPCC, 2014). In the context of Africa, climate change shocks and stresses have become more frequent, severe and widespread since 1970’s and have costed an estimated loss of 847,143 lives and affected 362,225,799 people (EM-DAT, 2014). These climate change shocks and stresses are likely to become more severe in the future (Epule et al., 2017). These
coupled with poor living conditions and limited socio-economic infrastructure in developing countries are likely to severely affect urban households. In Uganda, climate change shocks and stresses such as wet extremes have often culminated into increasing flash floods, destruction of crops, houses and roads particularly in the urban areas lying in the lower slopes of high mountains while dry extremes have often resulted into water shortages, reduction of agricultural yields, rising food prices, deceleration of industrial production and reduction of hydroelectric power (Lwasa, 2010; Nsubuga & Rautenbach, 2018). Whereas studies on climate extremes in the region exist e.g. Ogwang, Haishan and Guirong (2012), Ogallo et al. (2013) and Awange et al. (2007), these studies were based on a regional scale at the same time used regional drought indices such that their results were generalized over the entire region and may not have captured in detail localized conditions. Thus, a need to use localized indices such as the SPI to understand localized characteristics of extremely wet and dry periods in an area like Mbale municipality that lies in a mountainous climate disaster stricken area (UNDP, 2014). Similarly, isolated studies on urban resilience in Uganda exist (Mugume & Butler, 2017; D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018; Dobson et al., 2015). Analysis of these studies shows that limited attempt was made to understand the multidimensional aspects of urban resilience against climate change shocks and stresses. Thus, a need to understand the factors that could be influential in determining urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses. In order to do this, a diversity of resilience indices exist and since resilience is still a growing concept developing a more applicable and context specific index for measuring urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses has been an ongoing task. This has created tension within scientific debates but also when transforming “resilience” to practical measures on the ground it becomes difficult to ever reach a common ground of “what resilience really is”. Within the multiplicity of indices, most of them utilize objective data which is scarce in developing countries, some focus on assessing resilience of mega cities and metropolitan areas, others focus on specific sectors while others focus on a single dimension of resilience, yet urban areas are complex systems constituted of multiple dimensions. Hence, a need to (a) use a community participatory approach to come up with factors that the community perceives as important towards their resilience, validate these factors through a synthesis of a jungle of indices to suggest a new index that is specifically constructed to fit the needs of developing countries but also accommodates for the variety of previous indices. (b) Explore alternative ways of collecting data and also assessing resilience (through perception/self-evaluation in surveys) that could compliment (not replace) the lack of objective data that could investigate the specific mechanisms for resilience in developing countries such as Uganda and therefore offer deeper explanations and thus solutions than currently possible.
1.3 The Aim

The aim of this study was to contribute knowledge on influential factors that can enhance urban resilience in response to climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale Municipality in Eastern Uganda.

1.4 Specific Objectives and Research Questions

I. To synthesize the determinants of urban resilience to natural and human-induced shocks and stresses in SSA for the period 2000 to 2018. This objective explored how urban resilience is being understood and what determines urban resilience in the context of SSA (paper I).

II. To investigate the characteristics of extremely wet and dry periods in Mbale municipality during the years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050. The following research questions were formulated to answer this objective: what is the frequency of occurrence of extremely wet and dry periods in Mbale Municipality for the years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050? What is the intensity and duration of extremely wet and dry periods in Mbale Municipality for the years 1982-2014? (Paper II).

III. To assess the sociodemographic factors that influence household resilience capacities when faced with climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale municipality. This objective explores which demographic characteristics and social networks influence household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt when faced with climate related shocks and stresses in Mbale municipality? (Paper III).

IV. To determine the multidimensional components that the community of Mbale municipality perceives as important in enhancing their resilience to climate change shocks and stresses. To achieve this objective, the following research questions were formulated: What priority components enhance community resilience in Mbale municipality? To what extent has the community of Mbale municipality achieved these priority components during the climate crisis period and during the normal climate period? What is the trend of household and community resilience in Mbale municipality? And which interventions are perceived to be beneficial in resilience building in Mbale municipality? (Paper IV).

V. To propose and test the MRI in measuring household resilience to climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale municipality. To fulfil this objective, the following research questions were formulated: What dimensions and variables constitute the MRI? What is the resilience index of Mbale
municipality? What is the contribution of the dimensions of the proposed MRI towards the resilience of Mbale municipality? (Paper V).

1.5 Justification of the study

The study is in line with the call by the global community (the Sendai Framework for Action 2015-2030; the United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals 2015, goal number 11 (achieving sustainable cities); the Global Assessment Report on risk reduction (UNISDR, 2015) and the New Urban Agenda (2016)) that strive for immediate action and stronger commitment by member states to prioritize actions that enhance resilience. The study is also in tandem with the Government of Uganda (GoU) policy framework-the National Adaptation Plan of Action (NAPA, 2007) whose agenda is to enhance resilience and the GoU Vision 2040 (Uganda vision 2040, 2013).

Urban areas in Uganda play a significant role in driving economic growth. By the year 2016, 6.4 out of the 34.6 million Ugandans lived in urban areas, 70 percent of non-agricultural GDP in Uganda was generated in urban areas, more than 70 percent of non-agricultural economic activity and non-agricultural jobs were located in urban areas (UBOS, 2016; World Bank, 2016). However, Uganda’s urban areas are being threatened by climate change shocks and stresses which can significantly reverse the countries’ development trajectory (Dobson et al. (2015). Therefore, investigations on characteristics of climate induced extremes and factors contributing to household resilience in urban areas will help inform policies and practitioners that can operationalize actions to enhance urban resilience more so in Mbale municipality in Uganda that lies in a climate disaster prone area characterized with flash floods, landslides and droughts (Nakileza, Majaliwa & Wandera, 2017). In addition, Mbale municipality lies in Uganda’s region which is most vulnerable to climate change hazards (Dobson et al., 2015; Kansiime, Wambugu & Shisanya, 2013; Nakileza, Majaliwa & Wandera, 2017).

1.6 Significance of the study

First, this study contributes to urban resilience research by bringing together a pool of knowledge through a synthesis of literature on how urban resilience is understood and what determines urban resilience in the context of SSA. This knowledge may be useful for policy makers and practitioners interested in enhancing urban resilience. Urban resilience is also still a recent and a growing field (Ayyoob, 2016) and thus the synthesis done by this study can aid further understanding of what
constitutes urban resilience in the context of SSA, thus facilitating the formulation of relevant research questions by scholars.

Second, the study assessed multiple factors previously suggested as influential in determining household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt in the context of a developing country. Findings from this assessment provided knowledge that even the lowest income households are substantially more likely to prepare for and recover from climate induced hazards if they are able to meet daily expenditure needs. This is valuable particularly in the context of developing countries where the poorest population is generally most vulnerable to climate related shocks and stresses (Dobson, 2017).

Third, the study provided locally detailed scientific knowledge on the frequency of occurrence, intensity and duration of extremely wet and dry periods, priority factors that the community of Mbale municipality perceives as important in enhancing their resilience and the extent to which the community has achieved the priority factors. Thus, contributing to previous studies on community resilience and bearing relevance for policy makers and practitioners to understand where to invest more resources in order to build resilience.

Finally, the study used the factors perceived by the community as important in enhancing resilience in Mbale municipality to propose the MRI that was used to assess the resilience index of the municipality. The results of the MRI indicated areas of strength and areas of weakness in terms of the resilience of Mbale municipality. This knowledge can inform policy makers and urban planners in Mbale municipality in directing future planning that can build a resilient community.

1.7 The Scope of the Study

In scientific terms, objective one began by synthesizing the determinants of urban resilience to natural and human induced shocks and stresses in SSA through a review of literature. It went beyond the scope of the original study by capturing determinants of urban resilience to natural and human induced shocks and stresses. This was because a very limited number of studies were available on determinants of urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses. But in order to try satisfy the requirement of systematic reviews, this objective went ahead to synthesize all studies on urban resilience to natural and human induced shocks and stresses. Having found that urban areas in SSA suffer more from climate related shocks and stresses as compared to other shocks and stresses, objective two then investigated the frequency of occurrence, intensity and duration of extremely wet and dry periods in Mbale municipality. Objective three went ahead to single out social factors that may influence household resilience against climate related shocks and stresses in
Mbale municipality. Objective four proceeded to use a community based participatory approach to come up with several priority factors or components that the community of Mbale municipality perceived as important in enhancing their resilience to climate related shocks and stresses. The community priority components were validated with variables proposed by earlier indices informed the proposed multidimensional MRI in objective five. This index was finally tested to assess the resilience index of Mbale municipality against climate change shocks and stresses.

In spatial terms, Mbale municipality was chosen based on its location in the mountainous disaster prone area characterized with erratic rainfall that often manifests inform of heavy precipitation events causing flash floods and droughts (Lwasa, 2010; UNDP, 2013; UBOS, 2014). Additionally, studies on rainfall trends in Mbale region report an increase in both annual and seasonal rainfall which has often culminated into flash floods in low lying areas (Kansiime, Wambugu & Shisanya, 2013; Oratungye et al., 2017). Furthermore, reports indicate that several droughts have affected the region (NEMA, 2010; OXFAM, 2017). Moreover, Mbale municipality is located in one of the regions in Uganda characterized with low social economic status and vulnerability to climate related shocks and stresses (Dobson et al., 2015). These conditions are likely to reduce the resilience of the already vulnerable population and in the process may affect the value of the urban area in providing job opportunities and contributing GDP to the country.

In temporal terms, the study determines both historic and future frequency of occurrence, intensity and duration of extreme precipitation periods in the years ranging from 1982-2014 and 2021-2050. The temporal focus was based on reports that in the last four decades, extreme climate events are on the increase globally (IPCC, 2014). These events are reported to have become more frequent since 1970’s in the tropics (Baharen et al., 2018; Epule et al., 2017; Waithaka et al., 2013). Thus a need to understand the factors that are important in preparedness, recovery and adaptation in order to build both household and community resilience (Lwasa, 2010).

1.8 Theoretical Framework

In the field of ecology, the concept of resilience resonates from the ecological stability theory by Holling (1973, p. 14) who in his seminal work “resilience and stability of ecological systems” defined resilience as “the measure of the persistence of systems and of their ability to absorb change and disturbance and still maintain the same relationships between populations or state variables”. The concept is also linked to the idea in the complex adaptive systems theory (CAS) which is premised
on the interplay between continuity and change in self-organizing systems subject to internal or external disturbances and the capacity of systems to be well prepared in advance, absorb and adapt to such disturbances (Martin & Sunley, 2013). Although the CAS theory does not use the term resilience, it focuses firstly, on the notion “robustness” which has recently been re-conceptualized as the ability of a system to resist internal and external disruptions in order to maintain or restore some of its core functions (Kitano, 2004; Martin & Sunley, 2013). Secondly, it focuses on the concept adaptation which is one of the core concepts studied under the resilience concept.

1.9 The Conceptual Framework

This section presents the development and definition of important concepts used in this study. It also identifies the variables measured to achieve the study objectives.

Figure 1.1: The conceptual framework of this study (self-constructed)
Referring to Figure 1.1, although the concept of resilience began receiving more attention in policy and academia in the 1990’s (Department for International Development, 2011), but it emerged from Psychology as early as 1940’s and 1950’s where it was defined as the ability of individuals to withstand the negative effects of adverse life circumstances such as trauma, exclusion and poverty (Glantz & Johnson, 1996 in Sudmeier-rieux, 2014). In psychology, resilience is generally taken to mean a positive outcome of successful adaptation and thus the ability to “bounce back” to pre-disaster state (Mastens, Labella & Naryan, 2016). In ecology, relating to ecosystem disturbances, resilience was defined as the capacity of a system to adapt to and either maintain its pre-disaster condition (“bouncing back”) or the ability of a system to adapt, innovate and transform to a different state as a consequence of shocks or stresses (“bouncing forward”) (Holling, 1973). Later, Holling (1996) in the field of engineering, defined resilience as the capacity of material objects and physical structures to withstand disturbances without undergoing structural change. In this conceptualization, resilience was taken to mean maintenance of pre-disaster status and hence maintenance of equilibrium state (“bouncing back”). In the fields of disaster risk reduction and climate change resilience studies, resilience is generally defined as the ability of a society or community to anticipate, respond and recover from shocks and stresses, innovate and change its functioning (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; Batica & Gourbesville, 2016; Kotzee & Reyers, 2016). In this conceptualization, resilience is generally taken to mean a process of “bouncing forward”. IPCC (2007) defined resilience as the ability of a social or ecological system to absorb disturbances while retaining the same basic structure and ways of functioning, the capacity to self-organize and adapt to shocks and stresses. In this conceptualization resilience is an outcome thus maintenance of the status quo (“bouncing back”). However, Kelman (2015) noted that if resilience involves maintenance of pre-disaster state then it means a return to pre-disaster conditions, including vulnerability which led to a disaster in the first place for example if post-earthquake Haiti rebuilt to its status prior to the 2010 disaster, then the country would be deliberately constructing the conditions which killed over 200,000 people in the first place. Notwithstanding, the variations inherent in these definitions are based on whether resilience should be taken to mean a process (“bounce forward”), or outcome (maintenance of pre-disaster state), the relation of resilience to vulnerability and the time scale (Sudmeier-rieux, 2014). This study borrows from disaster risk reduction and climate change resilience studies and will view resilience as a process of “bouncing forward”. This study defines resilience as the ability of a household, a community, or a socio-ecological system to absorb climate change shocks and stresses, self-organize, innovate, change its basic structure and ways of functioning and adapt to climate change shocks and stresses.

This study determined resilience at two levels i.e. household and Municipality (community) level. Household resilience has been defined differently. FAO (2012) defined household resilience as the ability of a household to prevent disaster and
crisis as well as anticipate, absorb, accommodate and recover in a timely, efficient and sustainable manner. While Jones and Tanner (2015) defined household resilience as the ability of a household to prepare (anticipate and reduce), recover (absorb and cope) and adapt (adjust, modify or change) to the impacts of climate change. This study borrowed from Jones and Tanner (2016) and will define household resilience as the ability of a household to prepare, absorb and recover and adapt or change its source of income or livelihood when faced with climate change shocks and stresses. Thus in assessing the resilience of households to climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale Municipality, this study adopts a subjective resilience framework developed by Jones and Tanner (2015). This is premised on a bottom-up process which relies on peoples self-evaluation of the factors that are most important in contributing to household resilience (Lindsey et al., 2018). In this perspective, demographic factors (gender, age, household size, education and daily expenditure) and social networks (i.e., networks with friends, relatives, NGO’s and government) were treated as independent variables while perceived capacities to prepare, recover and adapt or change were treated as dependent variables. The capacity to prepare describes the ability of households to anticipate and lessen the effects of climate change related shocks and stresses, the capacity to recover describes the ability to absorb and continue thriving, while the capacity to adapt or change describes the ability of a household to adjust and modify its sources of income or livelihood if needed and take advantage of new opportunities presented by climate change shocks and stresses (Aldrich, 2014; Jones & Tanner, 2015).

Similarly, urban resilience has been defined variously. First, ADB (2014) defined urban resilience as the ability of urban areas to continue functioning so that their inhabitant’s more so the vulnerable can be able to thrive in the midst of whatever stresses or shocks they face. Second, Abdrabo and Hassaan (2015 p.556) defined urban resilience as “the ability of an urban system in all its dynamism to support, in the face of a hazard or pressure, the provision and accessibility to services and functions essential for the wellbeing of all residents, especially those lacking the means to buffer stresses”. Third, Batica and Gourbesville (2016) defined urban resilience as the ability of a system to function during and after a shock. Fourth, the United Nations University and Centre for Policy Research (UNU-CPR) (2016, p. 6) defined urban resilience as “the ability to activate protective qualities and processes at individual, community, institutional and systems level to engage with hazards and stresses and cooperate with each other in order to maintain or recover functionality and prosper while adapting to a new equilibrium and minimizing the accumulation of pre-existing or additional risks and vulnerabilities”. Fifth, The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup (2016) defined urban resilience as the ability of an urban area to continue functioning such that its inhabitants can be able to survive and thrive in the midst of shocks and stresses. Several studies have thus defined the concept of urban resilience. This study draws from Abdrabo and Hassaan (2015), Batica and Gourbesville (2016); Joerin et al. (2014) and The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup (2016) and defines urban resilience as the capacity of an urban system and all its
constituent socio-ecological networks to absorb climate change shocks and stresses through preparedness and the capacity to recover, innovate and adapt to climate change shocks and stresses. In this essence resilience is taken to mean an ongoing process of change and transformation.

Furthermore, several indices have been developed to measure urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; Gonzales & Ajami, 2017; Kotzee & Reyers, 2016). This study used community perception which were validated by expert knowledge drawn from previous indices to propose a MRI. The MRI was used to assess the resilience of Mbale municipality to climate change shocks and stresses. Obtaining community perceptions on what is considered important in enhancing their resilience was facilitated by employing a qualitative Community Based Resilience Analysis approach (CoBRA) (UNDP Drylands Development Centre, 2013) which is premised on the fact that it is the communities themselves that understand those factors which are important in enhancing their resilience. The existing urban resilience indices that were used to validate community perceptions include: the climate disaster resilience index (CDRI) (Joerin et al., 2014), the spatial disaster assessment model of social resilience (SDAMSR) (Chun, Chi, & Hwang, 2017), the flood disaster resilience index (FDRI) (Kamh et al., 2016), the climate hazard resilience indicator for localities (CHRIL) (Hung, Yang, Chien, & Liu, 2016), the flood resilience index (FRI) (Batica & Gourbesville, 2016), the socio-ecological index (SI) (Kotzee & Reyers, 2016) and the integrated resilience index (IRI) (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015).

Furthermore, in proposing the MRI, the study employed the methodology put forward by Nardo et al. (2008) and OECD (2008). The proposed MRI contains 46 variables and 14 indicators explaining the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions as explained below.

- **Dimension 1-physical:** indicators and variables of interest (in parenthesis); Water (access, safety, alternative capacity). Electricity (access, reliability, alternative supply). Sanitation (toilets, diversity of solid waste management, connected to reliable sewage network). Housing (ownership, type, ability to withstand shocks). Transportation (access to roads, status of interruption after heavy rain, road side covered drains). Physical assets (land ownership, crops and livestock ownership, television, radio, mobile phone and motorized vehicle).

- **Dimension 2-social:** indicators and variables of interest (in parenthesis); Education (level, quality, climate change training programs). Health (access, stockpiles for emergencies, good health). Social safety networks (with NGO’s, relatives, friends, government).

- **Dimension 3-economic:** indicators and variables of interest (in parenthesis); Finance (monthly income, alternative income sources,
savings, access to credit, employment). Economic assets (pensions, insurance, remittances).

- Dimension 4-Institutional: indicators and variables of interest (in parenthesis); Good governance (vulnerability assessment, ecosystems protection, stakeholder’s involvement in decision making, implementation of climate change management plans, disaster preparedness plans, representation of marginalized groups). Population awareness (early warnings, climate change awareness programs). Crisis management (Authority dealing in climate change, trained emergency teams, emergency centres).

In this study, the terms “climate change shocks and stresses” as defined in sub section 1.1 are used interchangeably with “climate extreme events” or “extremely wet and dry periods” or precipitation extremes. Extreme events are generally defined as exceeding above or below pre-existing threshold (UN Secretariat General, 1994; Giglioni, 2015). Climatic variable of interest in this study were wet and dry extreme events.

1.10 The Dissertation Structure

This dissertation consists of five chapters and the appended papers as follows:

Chapter one gives the introduction of the study which provides the background of the study, the problem statement, objectives and research questions, justification and significance of the study, the study scope, theoretical and the conceptual framework and organisation of the thesis.

Chapter two synthesizes relevant literature on: urban resilience to natural and human-induced shocks and stresses, climate change extreme events, sociodemographic factors that influence household resilience to climate change shocks and stresses and the multidimensional components that enhance community resilience to climate change shocks and stresses.

Chapter three focuses on the general methodology constituting of the background information of the study area, the philosophical position that the study leans on, the research design employed to undertake the study and the methods used in data collection and analysis.

Chapter four presents the study results and discussion.
Chapter five provides the summary of key findings, conclusion and recommendations of the study.
Chapter Two:
Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, relevant literature to the issues which the study addresses are synthesized and presented. It covers literature on: (a) Urban resilience to natural and human-induced shocks and stresses (b) climate change extreme events (c) sociodemographic factors influencing urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses (d) components that enhance community resilience to climate change shocks and stresses and (e) proposing the municipality resilience index.

2.2 Urban Resilience to Natural and Human-Induced Shocks and Stresses

Urban resilience to natural and human-induced shocks and stresses has been raised as an important objective in the global agenda (the Sendai Framework for Action 2015-2030; UNISDR, 2015). This is because more than half of the 7.7 billion of the world’s population now lives in urban areas, making the globe to experience the largest urban growth in its history (Saghir & Santoro 2018; Pharoah et al., 2016). It is projected that by 2020, the number of people that live in urban areas will increase to approximately five billion with a greater proportion of the increase experienced in Africa and Asia (Pharoah et al., 2016). However, natural and human-induced shocks and stresses more so climate induced extremes threaten to pose risks to urban areas due to concentrations of population and socio-economic infrastructure (Bozza et al., 2015; Boyd & Juhola 2014; NCEA, 2015). Therefore, understanding the resilience of urban areas is imperative in informing policy makers and practitioners that can help enhance the resilience of urban areas. This would also help to maintain the significant role that urban areas play in driving economic growth and poverty reduction (Saghir & Santoro, 2018). For the case of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), natural and human-induced shocks and stresses are increasingly affecting urban areas (NCEA, 2015). This is because urban areas in SSA tend to be ill prepared, have limited ability to accommodate and recover, have low adaptation capabilities coupled with under-resourced socio-economic infrastructure (Pharoah & Rose
2016; Thompson et al., 2010). These often make urban areas in SSA hotspots to risks posed by natural and human-induced shocks and stresses (Greenwalt & Raasakka, 2018; Pharaoh & Rose, 2016; Solecki, 2015). Much as isolated reports on the determinants of urban resilience in SSA exist e.g., (Dobson, 2017; Kotzee & Reyers, 2016; Mugume & Butler, 2017), scarcity of studies that bring together a pool of several findings on what determines urban resilience in SSA exists. Thus, the first task of this study was to review and synthesize both peer-reviewed and grey literature with the purpose of coming up with a pool of findings on the determinants of urban resilience to natural and human induced shocks and stresses in SSA.

Although some reviews have been undertaken on the subject of urban resilience (Meerow et al., 2016; Ilmola, 2016; Juan-García et al., 2017; Ayyoob, 2016), but analysis of these reviews showed that there was a limited focus on the determinants of urban resilience in the context of sub-Saharan Africa. The need to integrate findings on determinants of urban resilience to create a pool of knowledge thus existed. This can be beneficial for urban planners and civil society organisations in the selection of the most effective combination of short- and long-term resilience strategies that can be relevant in enhancing the resilience of urban areas (FAO-UNICEF-WPF, 2014). Additionally, urban resilience is also still a recent and a growing field (Ayyoob, 2016), thus integrating a pool of knowledge on urban resilience can aid further understanding of what constitutes urban resilience in the context of SSA and thus facilitating future studies in this field.

2.3 Climate Change Extreme Events

A considerable increase in climate extreme events has been reported globally in the last four decades (Awange et al., 2007; Katsanos et al., 2018; Mishra & Singh, 2010). Some of these extreme events were attributed to El-Nino-South Oscillation (ENSO), increase in the tropical Atlantic sea surface temperatures and Asian monsoons (Dai, 2011; Marengo & Espinoza, 2016). These extreme events are likely to become more severe in the future (Spinoni et al., 2018). Moreover, the effects of these extreme events will be much felt in the urban areas of developing countries due to high population concentrations and inadequate socio-economic infrastructure that would help manage the effects (Pharoah & Ross, 2016). In the context of Africa, extreme precipitation and droughts have become more frequent, severe and widespread, often resulting into several challenges (Awange et al., 2007; Epule et al., 2017; Mishra & Singh, 2010). Available estimates on droughts indicate that during the period 1900-2013, Africa has been faced with 291 droughts (EM-DAT, 2014). These droughts have resulted into reduction of agricultural yields, deceleration of industrial production, reduction of hydroelectric power, deterioration of water quality and general ecosystem degeneration (Baharen et al., 2018; Giglioni, 2015). Mishra and Singh (2010) indicated that the unprecedented
severity of droughts that has often been experienced in SSA was the major reason for the institutionalization of the United Nations Convention on combating desertification and droughts. On the other hand, wet extreme events in SSA have often culminated into flash floods, destruction of crops, houses, roads and worsening health challenges in communities (Dobson, 2017; Patz et al., 2000). Although the effects of climate extreme are a global concern facing humanity and terrestrial ecosystems in the twenty first century, but the greatest risks will be manifested locally (Bengal, Palchaudhuri, & Biswas, 2013; Katsanos et al., 2018; Schöll et al., 2007). Therefore, the understanding of the past, present and future characteristics of climate extremes such as frequency of occurrence, intensity, magnitude and duration at the local scale is imperative not only for community preparedness but also for the development of adaptation strategies that would guarantee the safety of the population, ensure adequate water quality and supply for domestic and industrial use, support agricultural production and ecosystem protection (Baharen et al., 2018; Res et al., 2007). However, what is evident is limited location specific knowledge regarding the past, present and future characteristics of precipitation extremes in the context of Sub Saharan Africa.

For the case of Uganda, climate extreme events have become a common phenomenon (Nsibuga & Rautenbach, 2018; Ogwang, Haishan, & Guirong, 2012). Reports indicate that wet extreme events in Uganda often occur in times of El-Nino events while dry extreme events are likely to be experienced during La-Nina events (Nicholson, 2017; Nsibuga et al., 2014). Wet extreme events in Uganda have often resulted into flash floods particularly in the urban areas lying in the lower slopes of high mountains (Lwasa, 2010) while extreme dry periods have often led to problems such as water shortages and rising food prices. Researchers such as Egeru, (2016); Hepworth (2010); Nimusiima, Basalirwa, & Majaliwa (2013); Nsibuga et al. (2014) and others have made a good attempt in assessing trends in climate variability. Furthermore, researchers such as Ogwang, Haishan and Guirong (2012) investigated drought events and associated circulation anomalies over Uganda for the period 1962-2007, Ogallo et al. (2013) assessed daily rainfall and temperature extremes over ten countries in the great horn of Africa for the period 1971-2006 while Awange et al. (2007) assessed droughts in the Lake Victoria region of East Africa. These previous studies focusing on the regional scale did a great deal of work in assessing climate extremes such that their results were generalized over the entire region. In addition, they used regional drought indices thus may not have captured in detail localized climate extremes. The second objective of this study deviated from the previous studies by focusing on a localized scale while investigating the frequency of occurrence, intensity and duration of wet and dry extreme periods. The study also deviated in terms of methodology by employing the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) put forward by McKee et al. (1993). The time scales also varied (1982-2014 and 2021-2050).
An evaluation of projected changes in precipitation extremes is inconclusive and gives mixed results. Many studies report an increase in extremely wet periods globally (Masih et al., 2014; Prein et al., 2017) while others indicate a reduction of extremely wet periods (Davis, Hoffman & Roberts, 2016). For the case of dry extremes, Dai (2011), Masih et al. (2014) and Spinoni et al. (2018) reported an increase over most of Africa, Southern Europe, Middle East, most of America, Australia and South Asia while, Shongwe et al. (2011) projects a near future of likely far less dry extreme events over the East African region. Climate model results are not consistent and tend to vary from region to region or even in the same region. This is due to model limitations and complexities in the natural processes (Masih et al., 2014; Prein et al., 2017). However, historic evidence suggests that the African continent is likely to face widespread extremely dry periods in the future (Masih et al., 2014). It is thus apparent that expected changes in extremely wet and dry periods are spatially constrained, particularly in Africa where a large natural variability exists necessitating more localized studies to understand future characteristics of wet and dry extremes so as to guide planning. In terms of trends in future projections in wet periods for Uganda, most studies consistently indicate an increase in the proportion of precipitation that falls in heavy events and the December to February dry season becoming wetter (Lindsey et al., 2012; Nimusiima et al., 2014). This increase in Uganda’s future precipitation shows much to be desired on the resilience of Uganda’s urban areas. While projections in extreme climate events are useful in informing future preparedness plans, knowledge on historic and present climate events are important in informing current policy decisions in different planning processes.

2.4 Sociodemographic Factors that Influence Household Resilience to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses

Several studies have indicated the relevance of sociodemographic factors in determining household resilience when faced with shocks and stresses (Aldrich & Meyer, 2014; D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018; IPCC, 2015). To illustrate this, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in its fifth assessment report highlighted that demographic characteristics such as age, gender, income and the health status influence the resilience of urban population (IPCC, 2015). Furthermore, the relevance of demographic factors such as type of household head, household size and level of education in influencing resilience to food insecurity were assessed by D’Errico and Di Giuseppe (2018) and their finding indicated that female headed households were less resilient as compared to male headed households, while highly educated households were more resilient than low educated households. In addition, Jones and Samman (2016) reported that age and
advance knowledge of previous floods were more crucial than the level of education, gender and occupation in determining household resilience.

Additionally, the importance of social networks in enhancing community and household resilience has also been reported severally (Aldrich, 2014; Sadri et al., 2017; Tawodzera, 2012; Tippens, 2016). This is because social networks such as networks with relatives, friends, NGOs and government often act as first responders during times of shocks and stresses and are helpful in providing emotional support, gifts and early warnings (Aldrich & Meyer, 2014; Tippens, 2016). For example, Tawodzera (2012) reported that networks with friends and relatives from the rural communities in Harare in Zimbabwe were so important in enhancing the resilience of urban household against food insecurity. Further still, Tippens (2016) reported that the resilience of urban refugees in Nairobi, Kenya was enhanced by establishing networks with NGO’s particularly religious organisations. A synthesis of the above studies give important insights on which factors are significant in resilience enhancement. However, the above studies focused on different types of shocks and stresses, e.g. food insecurity (D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018; Tawodzera, 2012), psychological stress (Tippens, 2016) and floods (Jones & Samman, 2016). Furthermore, the above studies generally restricted predictors to either more objective demographic and economic factors (D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018), or focused solely on the role of social networks (Aldrich, 2014; Sadri et al., 2017; Tawodzera, 2012; Tippens, 2016). Therefore, the third objective of this study adds on to the previous studies by using a broader subjective approach that includes multiple demographic, economic and social factors at the same time capturing a wider range of climate related shocks and stresses.

Further evidence also suggests that although some studies on resilience have been conducted in Uganda’s urban areas (Mugume & Butler, 2017; Dobson et al., 2015; D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018), they did not focus on assessing demographic characteristics and social networks in influencing resilience capacities of urban households to climate change shocks and stresses. Thus, the third objective of this study was focused on investigating demographic characteristics and social networks that may influence household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt or change their source of income or livelihood if needed in the face of prolonged droughts or erratic rainfall events in Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda. This knowledge is important since it may present a potential policy impact given the fact that Uganda’s level of resilience to climate change shocks and stresses is low (Warner et al., 2015). The task of assessing multidimensional components that influence urban resilience was left for the fourth and fifth objectives of this study.

To achieve the third objective of this study, several frameworks developed to measure urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses were synthesized (Bozza, Asprone and Manfredi, 2015; Gonzales & Ajami, 2017; Chun et al, 2017). Most of these frameworks measure common capacities although not limited
to the capacity to prepare, recover and adapt or change (Lindsey et al., 2018). However, most of these frameworks employ objective approaches that make use of secondary socio-economic and bio-physical data sets as proxies for understanding resilience factors (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; Chun et al., 2017). Moreover, such data is often lacking in developing countries (Jones & Tanner, 2015). This makes the use of objective resilience approaches in many less developed countries limited. Additionally, objective resilience approaches miss out the ability of people to self-evaluate their resilience capacities. To reduce these challenges, Jones and Tanner (2015) proposed a subjective resilience approach which was adopted to achieve the third objective of this study. This approach was also used by Lindsey et al. (2018) to assess household resilience to floods in Tanzania. This approach was chosen due to its ability to capture people’s cognitive self-evaluation of their resilience capacities to prepare, recover and adapt which were difficult to achieve by objective frameworks. At the same time focusing on context specific sociodemographic issues (e.g., networks with friends, relatives, NGO’s, gender, age, household size and type) which are significant in determining resilience of households (Aldrich, 2014; Lindsey et al., 2018).

2.5 Measuring the Multidimensional Components that Enhance Community Resilience to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses

Although the resilience of communities has been taken as one of the major objectives within the disaster risk reduction and Sustainable Livelihood Frameworks (SLF) (UNISDR, 2015; Klein, Nicholls & Thomalla, 2003; Conway & Chambers, 1991), it has remained difficult to translate these global objectives into actual location specific improvements of resilience. Research by Hollis (2017) shows how important local contexts are for disaster risk reduction and why international organizations fail with their projects if they do not close the gap between community understanding and global frameworks. Similarly, studies by Ostrom, 1992; Feeny, Berkes, Bonnie and McCay (1990); and Smith and Frankenberger (2018), argue that it is the communities themselves that have the best understanding of what increases their resilience. The need to understand how communities perceive resilience and assess the multidimensional components that contribute to increased resilience thus existed. More so in Mbale municipality located in one of the regions in Uganda characterized with high poverty levels and vulnerability to climate related shocks and stresses such as erratic rainfall events and droughts (Dobson et al., 2015; UNDP, 2012). Therefore, the fourth objective of this study focused on determining the multidimensional components that the community of Mbale municipality perceives as important in enhancing their
resilience to climate change shocks and stresses. The objective borrows insights and the methodology of the CoBRA approach (UNDP Drylands Development Centre, 2013) in framing the research questions i.e., (a) what does the community perceive as priority components that enhance resilience?, (b) to what extent has the community achieved the priority components of resilience during the normal climate period and during the last significant shock or stress in climate?, (c) what is the trend of household resilience? And (d) which interventions have been beneficial in resilience building in Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda? The CoBRA approach categorizes resilience components into the physical, human, financial, natural and social. The physical elements include the basic infrastructure such as roads, railways, telecommunication, markets, sanitation, shelter and water. The human elements include education, food security and health care. The financial elements include access to credit, productive farms, employment and diversified income generating activities (DIGA) and livestock, while peace and security constitutes the social element. The CoBRA approach explains that more access to the physical, human, financial, natural and social elements will enhance community resilience. The CoBRA approach uses the Sustainable Livelihood Framework (SLF) by Chambers and Conway (1991) to classify resilience components. This is because the SLF forms the building block of resilience and also eases the grouping of components identified by the community (UNDP, 2014a). The CoBRA approach is chosen based on its premise that the communities themselves are better placed to evaluate the most important components in resilience building. The study deviates from the traditional top-bottom approaches of objective indicators that assume that experts have a better understanding of factors that drive peoples resilience using predefined frameworks (Jones & Tanner, 2015; Jones & Samman, 2018). While objective approaches have numerous strengths (Suárez et al., 2016; Chun, Chi, & Hwang, 2017), subjective resilience assessments such as the CoBRA, can be useful in informing objective approaches on what defines community resilience, thus providing valuable insights for building objective resilience indicators.

These components highlighted by the community as important in enhancing their resilience were used to inform objective five of this study which proposed and tested MRI to determine the resilience index of Mbale municipality and assess the contribution of the resilience dimensions towards household resilience against climate change shocks and stresses. This knowledge is important as it can inform policy-makers and practitioners on community priority components that can enhance resilience as well as informing objective indices on the key indicators of resilience.
2.6 Proposing the MRI for Measuring Household Resilience to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses

Several indices have been developed in several fields to measure urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses (e.g., Chun, Chi, & Hwang, 2017; Batica & Gourbesville, 2016; Bozza et al., 2015; Dhar & Khirfan, 2017). But because of the complex nature of resilience as a concept, defining and developing a more applicable and context specific index for measuring urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses is still an ongoing task (Chun, Chi, & Hwang, 2017). Indices such as: the Climate Disaster Resilience Index (Joerin et al., 2014), The Integrated Resilience Index (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015), The socio-ecological index (Kotzee & Reyers, 2016) and the Urban Resilience Index (Suárez, Gómez-Baggethun, Benayas, & Tilbury, 2016) have been developed and proposed to be used to measure urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses. Despite the progress, most indices focus on mega cities and metropolitan areas in developed countries (Kamh & El-Bahrawy, 2016; Gonzales & Ajami, 2017; Sharifi & Boland, 2017; Miguez & Verol, 2016). Thereby giving little attention on municipalities and townships. Additionally, most indices employ objective approaches that require robust socioeconomic and biophysical data sets that are generally lacking in developing countries (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; Chun et al., 2017; Gonzales & Ajami, 2017; Forino, MacKee & von Meding, 2016a). Furthermore, some indices focus on specific sectors such as energy (Elena et al., 2017), drainage (Lee & Kim 2017; Mugume et al., 2015), cultural heritage (Forino et al., 2016) with limited mention of the principles of resilience that the variables address. While others focus on one dimension of resilience (Chun et al., 2017; Bozza et al., 2015). Thus, a need for a multidimensional, subjective approach which focuses on municipalities and townships of developing countries, at the same time considering the qualities of resilience that variables measure exists.

Indices that measure urban resilience try to refine urban resilience into generally related dimensions which may include but not limited to the physical, natural, social, economic and institutional (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; Batica & Gourbesville, 2016; Hung et al., 2016). The physical component refers to the basic infrastructure (roads, telecommunication, markets, sanitation, shelter, water, electricity and housing) that facilitates the well-functioning of the community, enhances people’s ability to respond, absorb and recover easily when faced with shocks and stresses (Joerin et al., 2014; The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2016). The natural component is where other resource base activities depend on i.e. land, forests and wet lands (UNDP Drylands Development Centre, 2013). The social dimension such as education sector can promote human development and enables people to easily understand early warnings which creates awareness about potential shocks and stresses while inclusive health sector can support citizens to recover during shocks and stresses. The social networks among residents shows how people are connected
in supporting each other to manage challenges (Joerin et al., 2014). The economic dimension shows the ability of people to get income which is important in accessing basic needs of life and enhances the ability to thrive during shocks and stresses. It also shows the ability to save which provides the spare capacity that can be used during shocks and stresses. Elements of the economic dimension include income, savings, access to credit and employment (Joerin, 2014). The institution dimension includes good governance, population awareness and crisis management. It looks at the local and national government functioning to be able to manage shocks and stresses. It enables protection of important ecosystems which are essential in enabling the urban area to thrive during climate change shocks and stresses (The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2016).

The variables of the proposed MRI were informed by the priority factors perceived by the community of Mbale municipality as important in enhancing their resilience. The study also synthesized eight indices that have been used to measure urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses to validate what was derived from the community. The indices selected have dimensions, indicators and variables related to the physical, social, economic and institutional factors. The study followed the methodology employed by Nardo et al. (2008) and OECD (2008) in formulating the proposed MRI index. The indices selected include: the CDRI developed by Joerin, Shaw, Takeuchi, & Krishnamurthy (2014) consisting of the physical, social, economic, institutional and natural dimensions. The IFUR developed by Abdrabo and Hassaan (2015) consisting of the socio-economic, physical, environmental, institutional and climate change hazards. The SI developed by Kotzee and Reyers (2016) composed of the social, ecological, infrastructural, economic and institutional dimensions. The FDRI developed by Kamh, Khalifa and El-Bahrawy (2016) composed of governance, economic, natural, physical and social dimensions. The FRI developed by Batica and Gourbesville (2016) composed of the social, economic, physical, natural and institutional dimensions. The CHRIL developed by Hung et al. (2016) constituting of the biophysical, socioeconomic, institutional, infrastructural and adaptive capacity and learning dimensions. The SDAMSR developed by Chun, Chi and Hwang (2017) having the human, community, economic and organizational dimensions and the CRI developed by The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup (2014) which has four dimensions (i.e. health and wellbeing, economy and society, infrastructure and ecosystem and leadership and strategy).

Further still, most urban resilience indices either focus on the physical assets viewpoint while disregarding the intangible assets such as the social capital and safety nets (Jones & Tanner, 2015), while others focus on adaptive capacity which views an urban area as a system encapsulating the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015). Similarly, this study views an urban area as a complex system comprising of the social, economic, physical and institutional dimensions and thus, the complex adaptive systems theory is one of the
theories that were used to anchor this piece of work (Ilmola, 2016; Meerow et al., 2016; Cole et al., 2013). Furthermore, household resilience capacities are process-oriented, context specific and do not only constitute the tangible objective livelihood assets but also such things like community cohesion, social networks and perceived capabilities which are also significant in determining resilience of households (Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015; Jones & Samman, 2016; Wong-Parodi et al., 2015). Therefore attempts to measure resilience of households need to recognize people’s intangible assets and their perceptions of resilience in the face of shocks and stresses (Aldunce et al., 2015; Jones & Tanner, 2015). Current assessments of urban resilience rarely consider subjective aspects and yet this facilitates comprehensive understanding of resilience indicators that are difficult to be assessed by objective assessments (Jones & Tanner, 2015; Walker et al., 2017). It is cognizant that subjective approaches can complement objective resilience approaches by allowing individuals to self-evaluate their own households on factors that influence their resilience capacities. Thus, this study draws from the community perceptions attained from the study objective four and validated using the eight frameworks reviewed to propose a MRI which was piloted to assess resilience in Mbale municipality in Uganda where robust socioeconomic and biophysical data sets are generally lacking at the same time observing the qualities that resilience indicators should measure.
Chapter Three: Methodology

3.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the background information of the study area, the philosophical position that the study leans on, the research design employed to undertake the study and the methods used in data collection and analysis are presented.

3.2 Description of the Study Area

3.2.1 Location

The study was conducted in Mbale Municipality in eastern Uganda (Figure 3.1). Mbale Municipality is bordered by Nakaloke town Council to the North West, Namanyonyi Sub County to the north east, Bungokho-Mutoto Sub County to the south east and Bukasakya Sub County to the south west (Mbale District Local Government Statistical Abstract, 2012). The municipality lies in an altitude ranging between 1100m and 2438m above sea level and has a total land area of 518 square kilometres (UNDP, 2010). The municipality was selected based on its location on the lower slopes of mount Elgon which is prone to climate disasters and characterized with heavy precipitation events, recurring flash floods and droughts (UNDP, 2010; Mbale District Local Government Statistical Abstract, 2012). The municipality was also selected due to its location in one of the regions in Uganda characterized with low social economic status and high level of vulnerability to climate related shocks and stresses (Dobson et al., 2015). These conditions are likely to reduce the resilience of the already vulnerable population and in the process may affect the value of the urban area to contribute towards the development of the region.
Figure 3.1:
Location of Mbale Municipality in Eastern Uganda based on UBOS shape files, 2014
3.2.2 Climate

Mbale Municipality experiences humid tropical type of climate (UNDP, 2010). It experiences bimodal type of rainfall during March to June and September to November while the dry season extends from December to February and in July (UNDP, 2012) (Figure 3.2). The average total annual rainfall received was 1500 mm and the average annual temperature was 23°C for the period 1960-2009 (Mbale District Local Government Statistical Abstract, 2012). Climatic changes are manifesting mainly through increasingly erratic rainfall regime and increasing temperatures which is expected to increase the frequency and intensity of extreme events such as droughts, flash floods, landslides and heat waves (UNDP, 2012).

![Average monthly rainfall (mm) for Mbale municipality for the period ranging from 1986-2014. Source: Self constructed using data from Uganda National Meteorology Authority](image)

**Figure 3.2:**
Monthly average rainfall (mm) for Mbale municipality for the period ranging from 1986-2014. Source: Self constructed using data from Uganda National Meteorology Authority
3.2.3. Population of Mbale Municipality

The population of Mbale Municipality is illustrated in the Table 3.1.

### Table 3.1:
The population of Mbale municipality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>23,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>28,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>53,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>71,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>92,857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The population of the three divisions in Mbale Municipality in the year 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>40,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>37,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanale</td>
<td>14,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92,857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS), 2006, 2016)

3.3 The Research Philosophy

The study adopts the pragmatic philosophical position which argues that the most important determinant of the nature of knowledge the research is looking for (epistemology), our assumptions of how we view the world i.e., whether it consists mostly of social order or constant change (ontology) and methodology is the research question (Bhattacherjee, 2012). Different research questions may require different approaches (Saunders et al., 2007). Consequently, because of the varied research questions involved in this study, some requiring the positivist approach which employs quantitative methods and others requiring the interpretivist approach that employs qualitative methods (Hatch & Cunliffe, 2006), the pragmatic approach become the most appropriate. The positivist philosophy was derived from natural sciences and is characterized by testing of hypothesis developed from existing theories. It involves deductive or testing of theories through measurement of observable social realities. The positivist philosophy was adopted in this study to help answer the following research questions:

I. Which demographic characteristics and social networks have a significant influence on household resilience capacities when faced with climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale municipality?

II. What is the frequency of occurrence, intensity and duration of extremely wet and dry periods during the years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050 in Mbale municipality?

III. What is the resilience index of Mbale municipality?
IV. What is the contribution of the dimensions of the proposed Municipality Resilience Index towards the resilience of Mbale municipality?

The interpretivist philosophy which refers to “constructed and constantly (overtime) reconstructed through experience resulting in many differing interpretations” normally associated with qualitative data collection and analysis (Flower, 2009) was utilized in this study in trying to answer the following research questions:

V. What does the community perceive as priority components that enhance their resilience?

VI. To what extent has the community achieved the priority components of resilience during the normal climate period and during the last significant shock or stress in climate?

VII. What is the trend of household and community resilience in Mbale municipality?

VIII. Which interventions are perceived to be beneficial in resilience building in Mbale municipality?

3.4 Research Design

The study employed a cross sectional research design, some elements of grounded theory and fixed qualitative research designs as described by Lyberg et al. (1997) and Parahoo (1997) respectively to capture a representative sample of the population. The cross-sectional research design was utilized by quantitative sub studies while grounded theory and the fixed qualitative research designs were utilized by the qualitative sub study. Using cross sectional survey research design, the study was able to derive insights, opinions, perceptions and knowledge on climate change shocks and stresses, household demographic characteristics, social networks, resilience related capacities and the indicators of the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions of resilience to climate change shocks and stresses. On the other hand, using some elements of grounded theory and fixed qualitative research design, the study explored the priority components that the community of Mbale municipality perceived as important in enhancing their resilience, the extent to which they have achieved these priority components, the trend of resilience and the interventions that have been beneficial in enhancing resilience. The community priority components that were derived informed the formulation of the MRI that was proposed and tested. The elements of the research design of the study i.e. the target population and unit of analysis, sample size and sampling procedures are described in sub section 3.4.1 to 3.4.3.
3.4.1 Target Population and Unit of Analysis

Because the study issues were focused on climate change shocks and stresses, household demographic characteristics, household social networks, household resilience capacities and the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions of resilience, the target population of the study constituted of the general population in the study area. The unit of analysis for the study was households and the local government officials since they enabled the study to capture and investigate a range of socio-economic and institutional characteristics at that level.

3.4.2 Sample Size and Sample Selection

The sample size of households considered during household interviews was determined on the basis of household population. To capture an appropriate sample of households, the UBOS census report was used (UBOS, 2016). The total sample size of households was 389 respondents obtained from seven wards in the three divisions (Table 3.2). Although the three divisions of Mbale municipality constitute fourteen wards, only seven wards were selected taking into consideration sensitivity and fragility of the wards to precipitation extremes and the population characteristics (UNDP, 2013; UBOS, 2014). The sample size of the study was statistically determined using Yamane (1967) procedure at 5% level of precision (Equation 3.1).

\[ n = \frac{N}{1+N(e)^2} \]  
(Equation 3.1)

where: \( n \) = sample size,

\( N \) = total population of households in the three divisions of Mbale municipality and 
\( e \) is the level of precision.

The household-based data and the determined sample size collapsed for the seven wards from the three Divisions are given in Table 3.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Number of households</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>Namatala</td>
<td>6640</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malukhu</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Namakwekwe</td>
<td>4082</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nkoma</td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nabuyonga</td>
<td>2573</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanale</td>
<td>Busamaga West</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boma</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of data: UBOS: 2016
Also sampled were eight local government officials i.e. six local council officials from the three divisions, the municipality environmental officer and the municipality urban planner. Furthermore, 97 participants were sampled in nine group interviews. Finally, eight households perceived to have attained partial or full resilience in the community by the participants of the group interview were sampled as key informants. The sample size for the key informants and group interviews were arrived at when the saturation level was reached following Braun and Clerk (2006) procedure.

3.4.3 Sampling Procedures

Multi-stage sampling procedure was undertaken by the study. This was based on its high level of flexibility, cost effectiveness, more than two sampling stages and sampling methods required (Bennett & Iiyangage, 1988). The first stage involved a purposive selection of seven wards out of fourteen wards in the three divisions in Mbale Municipality. The second stage involved random sampling of households while using a structured household interview questionnaire. Random sampling was deemed appropriate at this stage because every household in the selected wards were likely to be affected by climate change shocks and stresses, thus assumed to be uniform and selecting any household would be representative of the total population. The third stage involved purposive sampling of local government officials (Key informants) because of their knowledge, experience and expert judgment on issues relating to climate change shocks and stresses in the municipality. Similarly, the fourth stage of sampling involved purposive sampling of members of the group interviews. This was based on their ability to freely express themselves on study issues. Fifth stage also involved purposive sampling of households perceived to be resilient by members who participated in the group interviews.

3.5 Data Collection Methods

A wealth of quantitative and qualitative data was required to address the objectives of the study. The data was obtained from primary and secondary sources. Details of how the data was collected are given in sub sections 3.5.1 to 3.5.4.

3.5.1 Household Interviews

Structured household interviews were used to collect the socio-economic data of the study. The data collection tool was pre-tested on fifteen households in the study area and consent from the participants was obtained before engaging them in the study.
The data obtained using this method included demographic characteristics of households, social networks of households, perceptions of households on their resilience capacity to prepare, recover and adapt or change when faced with climate change shocks and stresses and indicators of the physical, social, economic and institutional dimension of resilience. Structured household interviews were administered to the target respondents using an interview questionnaire. The questionnaire consisting of 47 closed ended questions was administered to respondents in their homes (Appendix). Closed ended questions were used because they provide an expeditious instrument in data collection, coding, interpretation, quantification and comparison of outcomes across space (Jones & Tanner, 2015). Each interview with the respondent took approximately one hour. This data served to address objective three and five of the study (paper III and V).

3.5.2 Group Interview

Formal group interviews were conducted to obtain perceptions of the participants on: the components that enhance community resilience, community achievement of priority resilience components, the trends of resilience and interventions in local resilience building. Group interviews were used not only because of their power to employ group dynamics to attain additional knowledge, reduces the distance between the researcher and the social context, but also due to its cost effectiveness (Frey & Fontana, 1991). A structured group interview tool was administered to 9 groups. Each group interview consisted of a minimum of seven and a maximum of twelve participants (Figure 3.3) in order to reduce the complexity of responses and also reduce the time and financial costs. Three categories of people were met separately since mixing participants would make others such as the young and the women not to express themselves freely as noted by Bob (2011) and Cavestro (2003). Thus, a total of nine group interviews were conducted. Three separate meetings were held in each of the three divisions of Mbale municipality. One meeting with men aged above 30 years, another with women aged above 30 years and another with the youth defined as young men and women aged between 18-30 years (Gemma et al., 2013). The local government representatives at village zone level and the research assistants who were from that locality were responsible for mobilizing suitable participants for the group interviews. In every group interview, consent was sought from the participants before beginning the discussion. Each group interview took approximately 2-3 hours. A consistent and easily understood definition of resilience was developed since it is a highly technical concept with no direct interpretation. Thus, resilience was defined as the ability of people or households to withstand hardships related to climate change. Consequently, participants were asked to list at least 15 components which enhance their resilience. Members were then asked to concurrently rank and score three most important components from those listed. Each member was given six beans, where they were to allocate three beans to the most important component, two for the second, and
one for the third most important. Furthermore, members of the group interviews were requested to concurrently rank and score the extent to which the community has achieved the priority components of resilience. Each priority component was scored twice i.e. for the normal period agreed to be the time when group interviews were conducted (July 2017), and for the last significant climate crisis period agreed to be the most recent drought experienced from August 2016 to April 2017. The scores were ranked on a scale of 0-10, with a zero indicating no attainment of resilience component e.g. no one in the community is food secure while 10 indicating full attainment of the characteristic e.g. everyone in the community is food secure (UNDP Drylands Development Centre, 2013). This data served to address objective four of the study (paper IV).

3.5.3 Key Informant Interviews (KII)

A structured KII tool was administered to local government officials and households perceived to have attained partially or fully the resilience characteristics by participants of group interviews. The data collected from households perceived to have attained partially or fully the resilience characteristics included: demographic characteristics, components contributing to resilience and interventions that would best build wider resilience in the community. While data collected from local government officials included the occurrence of climate change shocks and stresses affecting the municipality. Face to face structured KII interviews were administered to the target respondents using an interview questionnaire. The interview questionnaire consisting of 6 open ended questions (Appendix) was administered to respondents in a place agreed by the researcher and the respondent. Each interview with the respondent took approximately 30 minutes. This data served to address objective two and four of the study (paper II and IV).
3.5.4 Climate Data Collection

Historic climatic data for the study area was required to determine the past frequency of occurrence, duration and intensity of extremely wet and dry periods and to facilitate projections of future occurrence of extreme wet and dry periods. The data was obtained from Uganda National Meteorology Authority (UNEMA). The climate data used in the study consisted of precipitation data from one station spanning for a period of 32 years ranging from 1982 to 2014. The obtained precipitation data was on monthly temporal scale. This data contributed to addressing objective two of the study (paper II).

For future climate projections, downscaled monthly precipitation data was obtained from the Rossby Center Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI). Precipitation data from the Coordinated Downscaling Experiments (CORDEX) were extracted from three General Circulation Models (GCMs), EC Earth (European Community Earth system model), Had GEM ES (Hadley Centre Global Environment Model of the Earth System) and Nor ESM1 (Norwegian Earth System Model) (Jones, Giorgi & Asrar, 2011). These models were chosen because they have been used in other studies such as Nimusiima et al. (2014), Ongoma et al. (2016) and Ongoma and Chen (2017) and have been found to represent well the climate in the region. The medium scenario (RCP 4.5) was used for future projections and the downscaled data was at 50 km resolution (Arora et al., 2011). For this study, projections of future extremely wet and dry periods were confined to a medium temporal scale (year 2050) to obviate the uncertainty associated with long timescale projections (Arora et al., 2011). The obtained future precipitation data was on monthly temporal scale. This data contributed to addressing objective two of the study (paper II).

3.5.5 Document Analysis

Literature on relevant articles was collected following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) protocol (Shamseer et al., 2015). While using the PRISMA protocol, details on the search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria used, the screening and eligibility criteria employed to select relevant articles for the synthesis are shown in paper I sub sections 3.1 to 3.3. The literature gathered using this method included literature on how urban resilience is understood in SSA and the determinants of urban resilience in SSA. This data contributed to addressing objective one of this study (paper I).
3.6 Data Analysis

The study obtained a range of both biophysical and socio-economic data which was subjected to a range of statistical and qualitative analysis techniques. To analyze the interview data the following analytical tools were employed:

a) Linear regression was used to investigate which demographic characteristics and social networks are significant predictors of household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt or change when faced with prolonged drought and erratic rainfall. Linear regression was used because the predictor and the outcome variables were linearly related. The outcome variables were households capacities to prepare, recover and adapt or change its source of income or livelihood if needed when a prolonged drought or erratic rainfall event occurred, while the predictor variables were demographic characteristics (i.e. gender and age of the respondent, monthly income of household head, household size, type or gender of household head, the ability of a household to meet its daily expenditure needs and education level of the respondent) and social networks (i.e. networks with relatives, friends, NGOs and government). Significance in relationships were determined at P<0.05 (paper III).

b) To test the robustness of the results of the linear regression analysis, the study added the logistic regression model with a dichotomous variable (unlikely/likely) for all the three resilience capacities. This is because the logistic regression model accounts for the potential restrictions of the linear regression with scales that are not metric.

c) Descriptive statistics were used to explore perceptions on the severity of prolonged drought and erratic rainfall events, resilience capacity to prepare, recover and adapt or change and perceived spatial distributions of resilience related capacities in the three divisions of Mbale municipality. Linear regression analysis and descriptive statistics covered the analysis needed for objective three (paper III).

d) An index was used to compute the resilience of Mbale municipality and investigate the contribution of the four dimensions of the MRI towards household resilience against climate change shocks and stresses. The resilience index was computed using the methodology adopted from Freudenberg (2003), Nardo et al. (2008) and from climate disaster resilience studies (Kamh et al., 2016; Shaw et al., 2009; Mayunga, 2007). First, variables were normalized since different measurement units and scales were used. Normalization enables variables to have a common basis and avoids the problems of mixing measurement units (Freudenberg, 2003; Nardo et al., 2008). This study used a rescaling “Min-max” normalization method that adjusts observed variables to take a value of 0 to 1 as proposed
by Nardo et al. (2008). This method has been used by climate change resilience studies e.g., Kamh et al. (2016), Kotzee and Reyers (2016) as indicated in Equation 3.2.

\[
\text{Normalised value} = \frac{E_i - E_{\text{min}}}{E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}}} \quad (\text{Equation 3.2})
\]

where: \( E_i \) is the observed variable, \( E_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum value of the observed variable, \( E_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum value of the observed variable.

Second, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to assign weights to the normalized variables. This helps to reflect the contribution of each variable to the overall composite (Mayunga, 2007; Nardo et al., 2008). In order to generate the resilience index, all dimensions/indictors/variables are considered to have same weights after normalization and therefore all variables are equally important in the final outcome of the MRI (Kamh, 2016; Freudenberg, 2003). Therefore, Equation 3.3 proposed by Freudenberg (2003), modified and used by Mayunga (2007) and Kamh et al. (2016) was adopted by this study to combine the variables to generate the resilience index for each individual variable/dimension.

\[
y_i = \sum (X_1 W_1 + X_2 W_2 + X_3 W_3 + \cdots X_n W_n) \quad (\text{Equation 3.3})
\]

where: \( y \) is the dimension of the resilience index, \( X \) is any normalized variable, \( W \) is the weight of any variable, \( n \) is the number of variables or weights considered and \( i \) is the number of variables.

Thirdly, to generate the overall Municipality Resilience Index of Mbale Municipality, the Equation 3.4 proposed by Mayunga (2007) was used.

\[
\text{MRI} = \frac{\sum (W_1 PD_i + W_2 SD_i + W_3 ED_i + W_4 ID_i)}{n} \quad (\text{Equation 3.4})
\]

where: Where: \( PD_i \) is the index of the physical dimension, \( SD_i \) is the index of the social dimension, \( ED_i \) is the index of the economic dimension, \( ID_i \) is the index of the institutional dimension, \( W \) is the weight, \( n \) is the number of variables in the dimensions and \( i \) is the variable number. This constituted the analysis required by objective five (paper V).

To analyze historic and future precipitation data, the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) was used to assess the frequency, intensity and duration of occurrence of extremely wet and dry periods during the years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050. SPI is the number of standard deviations that the observed cumulative precipitation at a given time scale and location could deviate from the long-term mean (McKee et al., 1993). According to McKee and his colleagues, SPI values between 1 to -1 is considered a normal period, Negative SPI values represent rainfall scarcity (drought) i.e., SPI values lower than -2 are often classified as extremely dry period,
between -2 to -1.5 is considered moderately dry and between -1.49 to -1 is dry while positive SPI values indicate wet periods i.e., SPI between 1 to 1.49 is considered a wet period, 1.5 to 2 is moderately wet, while SPI of more than 2 indicates an extremely wet period (Figure 3.4).

The SPI estimation is based on long term precipitation record for a specific period (Hayes et al., 2000). The SPI allows precipitation deficit to be quantified over different time scales (e.g. 3-, 6-, 12-, 24-, 36- and 48-month precipitation). Depending on the time scale, it is possible to understand the impact of wet and dry periods on different water resource needs. In this study, the standardized precipitation index was calculated for annual (12-months scale), medium term (6-months) and seasonal (3-month scale) time series to identify the relative tendency towards above or below normal annual and seasonal precipitation. The 3-Months SPI provides a comparison between a specific 3-months period with the same period recorded in all the other years included in the historical or future records. For instance, a 3-month SPI estimated at the end of June 2014 is the comparison between the precipitation total recorded during the months April-May-June 2014 and the precipitation total recorded during the same set of months in all prior years in the record of observation. A 3-months SPI measures the short-term moisture conditions and provides seasonal estimations of precipitation. While the 6-months and 12-months SPI indicates medium term and long-term moisture conditions respectively. Information retrieved from the-6 months and 12-months SPI can be used to capture anomalous stream flows and reservoir levels (Hayes & Svoboda, 2000). Moreover,
it can provide information about the precipitation fallen during a 6-months and 12-months period. It is very important to compare short term SPI with medium- and longer-term scales. The rationale of this is the fact that a relatively normal or even a wet 3-month period can occur in the middle of a long-term drought that in turn can be captured only by a long-term SPI. This constituted the analysis required by objective two of this study (paper II).

To analyse data from group interviews, KII and the reviewed articles, summative thematic content analysis was used to: (a) derive how urban resilience is being understood and the determinants of urban resilience in SSA. (b) Derive the major climate change shocks and stresses, the priority components that enhance community resilience, community’s level of achievement of priority components, factors that have contributed to household resilience among resilient households and interventions that would best build wider resilience in the community. This involved sorting the data and generating initial codes from the data using open coding and vivo coding (Gioia, Corley & Hamilton, 2013). This was followed by categorization of texts into emerging patterns grouped into relevant resilience themes (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This constituted the analysis required for objective one, two and four of this study (paper I and IV).

3.8 Limitations of the Study

The linear regression model has restriction with scales that are not metric. However, to test the robustness of the linear regression results, a logistic regression model was estimated with a dichotomous variable to circumvent the potential restrictions of the linear regression model.

Precipitation model results are often inconsistent even in the same region due to model limitations and complexities in the natural processes more so in Africa with a high natural variability (Masih, 2014). However, modelled precipitation data was calibrated using historic data.

Measuring resilience requires complex indicators and variables and it is always a challenge for a single index such as the MRI to capture all variables (Rader & Cole, 2013). Despite the limitation, the study presents an assessment index that can help in understanding of urban resilience in a developing country.
Chapter Four: Results and Discussion

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the findings of this study are presented and linked to the existing theory. The study findings were on (a) urban resilience to natural and human-induced shocks and stresses in SSA, (b) the frequency of occurrence and duration of extremely wet and dry periods for the years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050 in Mbale municipality, (c) sociodemographic factors that could influence urban resilience to climate change shocks and stresses, (d) the multidimensional components that the community of Mbale municipality perceived as important in enhancing their resilience to climate change shocks and stresses and (e) the proposed MRI, the resilience index of Mbale municipality and the contribution of the dimensions of the MRI towards the resilience index of Mbale municipality against climate change shocks and stresses.

4.2 Urban Resilience to Natural and Human-Induced Shocks and Stresses in SSA

A synthesis of studies on how urban resilience is being understood in SSA shows that some studies understood urban resilience as a social construct, emphasizing that resilience of urban communities is a result of social and organizational factors. Notwithstanding, Kotzee and Reyers (2016) indicated that this understanding of resilience has a potential limitation of ignoring the biophysical component which has been shown to play an important role in determining resilience (Joerin et al., 2014; Kamh et al., 2016). The other category of studies understood urban resilience as a socioecological construct (Kotzee & Reyers, 2016; D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018). In addition, most studies take on the current popular understanding of resilience as a dynamic process (Dhar & Khirfan, 2017; Kamh et al., 2016; Abdrabo & Hassaan, 2015), while a few, mostly from the engineering perspective understand it as maintenance of the pre-disaster status (Mugume et al., 2015; Mugume & Butler, 2017) (paper I).
Furthermore, findings revealed that the most important determinants of urban resilience in SSA include: access to basic services, social networks, employment, ownership of productive assets and building flood barriers (Figure 4.1). This finding corroborate with several reports (The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2014; Dobson et al., 2015; Sadri et al., 2017). Access to robust and inclusive basic services such as water, electricity, health care, education, housing and transportation can aid the effective operation of an urban area and thus can facilitate the resilience of urban residents when faced with natural and human induced shocks and stresses (The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2014; FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014). FAO-UNICEF-WFP (2014) noted that access to basic services such as water, electricity, waste disposal, education, means of transport and markets had a significant influence on resilience as compared to other dimensions of resilience in Dolow district in Somalia (paper I).

Further still, the importance of social networks such as networks with relatives, friends, NGOs, government and charity organisations in enhancing resilience has been highlighted by several reports (Albrecht, 2018; Aldrich, 2014; Sadri et al., 2017; Bizikova et al., 2016). To illustrate these, Joerin et al. (2014) and Sadri et al. (2017) reported that stronger social networks were very important in enhancing community resilience to climate related shocks and stresses in China and Indonesia respectively. Moreover, a strong social network of urban households with the rural community was shown to have a significant contribution towards the resilience of urban households to food insecurity in Harare (Tawodzera, 2012). Dobson (2017) noted that one of the factors that will drive urban resilience in SSA is networks with organized communities such as Slum Dwellers International (SDI), Rockefeller Foundation International, Cities Alliance and Slum Dwellers Federation (SDF). SDI and SDF for instance have improved over 13,000 housing facilities in South Africa, they have also given households access to solar energy in the East, West and Southern regions of Africa (paper I).

Additionally, employment has been proved to enhance the resilience of urban people in SSA (D’Errico & Di Giuseppe, 2018; Tutu, 2012). Tutu (2012) found out that employment significantly improved the resilience of young youth in Accra in Ghana. Similarly, D’Errico and Di Giuseppe (2018) found out that employment significantly contributed to household resilience to food insecurity in Northern, Eastern and Central Uganda. The importance of employment in enhancing resilience could be because it provides people with a source of income which is important in providing access to basic needs of life thus, enhancing people’s capacity to thrive during shocks and stresses.

More still, ownership of productive assets such as land, livestock and durables are important determinants of resilience because they are key elements of livelihood that facilitate production of consumable and tradeable commodities (FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014; The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2014). Households who
had more productive assets were more resilient than those with limited productive assets in Dolow in Somalia (FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014) (paper I).

Figure 4.1:
Determinants of urban resilience to natural and human induced shocks and stresses reported by reviewed studies in cities of SSA for the period 2000-2018.

Findings on shocks and stresses assessed by studies (Figure 4.2) revealed that 38.5% of the studies were on determinants of urban resilience to climate change-induced shocks and stresses, 38.5% were on resilience to other natural and human-induced shocks and stresses, 15.4% were on resilience to food insecurity and 7.7% were on resilience to psychological stress. The large number of studies on urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses confirms reports that climate change is one of the key challenge that cities in the world are contending with (Bozza et al., 2015; Forino et al., 2016; Joerin et al., 2014). In an attempt to search for better ways to enable urban areas prepare, recover and adapt when faced with shocks and stresses, scholars are becoming more interested in the subject of urban resilience (paper I).
4.3 The Frequency and Duration of Occurrence of Extremely Wet and Dry Periods for the Years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050 in Mbale Municipality

Based on the finding that climate change shocks and stresses are the most common hazards facing cities in SSA, the study proceeded to investigate the past and future frequency of occurrence and duration of extremely wet and dry periods in Mbale municipality. Findings from the 3-, 6- and 12-months SPI (Figure 4.3) revealed that on average, Mbale municipality experienced two extremely wet periods between the years 1982 and 1992, one extremely wet period between 1993 and 2003 and three extremely wet periods between 2004 and 2014. This suggests that Mbale municipality experienced more extremely wet periods during the most recent period. These extremely wet periods were concentrated in the months of September, October, November, December and January. Similarly, key informant perceptions confirmed that heavy precipitation events have become more frequent in the recent decade as compared to the previous decades. One of the participants in the KII stated that “These days, the weather patterns have changed, the rainy season delays and when it comes, it is so heavy causing flash floods in many areas, making roads impassable, filling up pit latrines and increasing the spread of waterborne diseases. Heavy rainfall occurrences have also become more common than it was in the past”. Other wet periods were categorized as normal and moderately wet. Details of all the years that experienced extremely wet periods can be seen in Figures 4.3. The occurrence of extremely wet periods in Uganda is associated to climate variability mainly through the ENSO phenomena (IPCC, 2015; Nimusiima et al.,
2013; Shongwe *et al.*, 2011). This study finding relates to the findings of Nsubuga *et al.* (2014) and Lindsey *et al.* (2012) who reported an increase in Uganda’s annual rainfall in the short rainy season (October-November-December) (paper II).

In terms of dry periods, findings from the 3-, 6- and 12-months SPI (Figure 4.3) revealed that on average, Mbale municipality did not experience any extremely dry period between 1982 and 1992 but rather only dry to moderately dry periods. In 1993-2003 there was 1 extremely dry period and from 2004-2014, there were 2 extremely dry periods detected. This also suggests an increase in the frequency of occurrence in extremely dry periods in the later decade as compared to the previous decades. This finding was further supported by perceptions from key informants that dry periods have become more frequent, hotter and longer in the current decade as compared to the previous decades. One of the participants in the key informant interviews stated that “Mbale used to be cool even during the dry seasons i.e. in January, February and March; and in July but this days Mbale is like a desert during the dry season”. Other dry periods were categorized as normal and moderately dry. Details of all the years that experienced extremely dry periods can be seen in Figures 4.3. Globally, some studies report that the increase in dry periods since 1970’s is connected to the recent drying over South Africa, Southern Europe, East and South Asia and Eastern Australia. This recent drying is attributed to ENSO phenomenon, tropical Atlantic sea surface temperatures and Asian monsoons (Dai, 2011). Extremely dry periods tend to worsen problems of water access, water quality, health and affecting crop yields leading to increase in food prices. This study finding corroborate with the findings of a review on drought literature from 1900-2013 by Masih *et al.* (2014) who reported that droughts have become more frequent, intense and widespread over the African continent. This finding is also in agreement with reports NEMA (2010) and OXFAM (2017) that indicated that several droughts have affected Uganda for instance in 1993/1994, 2011/2012, 2013 and 2016/2017 (paper II).

In terms of duration of extremely wet periods, the longest duration using the 3-months SPI lasted for three months recorded between January and March 1998. For the 6-months SPI, it lasted for four months between May and September 1992. And for the 12-months SPI, it also lasted for four months between May and September 1988 (Figure 4.3 and Table 4.1). The longest durations of wet extremes comes along with several challenges on communities such as flash floods, destruction of crops, houses, roads and worsening health problems (Hyland & Russ, 2019; Fang & Chhetri, 2015). This can affect several socio-economic activities in the region. The longer duration of extremely wet periods in Uganda have been associated with sea surface temperature gradients in the tropical Indian Ocean, the influence of trade winds and the sub-tropical high and jet streams (Manatsa *et al.*, 2014; Masarirambani *et al.*, 2010) (paper II).

In terms of duration of extremely dry periods, the longest duration using the 3-months SPI lasted for four months recorded between May and August 2004. For the
6-months SPI, it lasted for three months between July and September 2004. And for the 12-months SPI, it lasted for three months between January and March 1994 (Figure 4.3 and Table 4.1). To sum up, it is the 3-months SPI and the 6-months SPI that showed more dry and wet extreme periods for Mbale municipality (paper II).

**Figure 4.3:**
The 3-, 6- and 12-months SPI during the period 1982-2014 for Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda.

**Table 4.1:**
The durations (month), years, and peak intensities for the 3-, 6-, and 12-months SPI intervals for extremely wet and dry periods for Mbale municipality during 1982-2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extremely wet periods for the 3-months SPI interval</th>
<th>Extremely wet periods for the 6-months SPI interval</th>
<th>Extremely wet periods for the 12-months SPI interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration (months)</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Duration (months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-June</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-March</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>September-October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September-October</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>January-March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-April</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October-November</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extremely dry periods for the 3-months SPI interval</th>
<th>Extremely dry periods for the 6-months SPI interval</th>
<th>Extremely dry periods for the 12-months SPI interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May-August</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-September</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>July-September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-April</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>October-December</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a result of the detection of more extremely wet and dry periods in the more recent decade in Mbale municipality, the study explored what is likely to happen in the near future (2021-2050). Results revealed that, more extremely wet periods are likely to be experienced during 2021-2030 and 2031-2040 as compared to 2041-2050 while, more drought are likely to be experienced during 2030-2040 and 2041-2050 as compared to 2021-2030 (Figure 4.4). This finding confirms a report by Shongwe et al. (2011) who indicated that Africa is likely to suffer from more severe droughts in the twenty first century. Similarly, Panteli et al. (2017) and Spinoni et al. (2018) reported that climate extreme events are likely to become more severe in the future. This implies that urban households and their livelihoods particularly in developing countries are under threat from increasing climate related extreme events. This is not only due to the inadequate level of preparedness, recovery and adaptation (Pharoah et al., 2016), but also due to large concentrations of population and socio-economic infrastructure which degrade the surrounding ecosystems (Dobson, 2017; Solecki et al., 2015). This finding presented a need to assess the factors that could be important in enhancing the resilience of urban households and the community at large in Mbale municipality to climate change shocks and stresses since this could be important in informing resilience strategies by households, practitioners and government (paper II).

**Figure 4.4:**
Prediction of wet and dry periods using 3-months and 6-months SPI during the period 2021 to 2050 for Mbale municipality using EC Earth modelled data.
4.4 Sociodemographic Factors that Influence Household Resilience to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses in Mbale Municipality

The third objective of the study first took a social perspective and thus, investigated the sociodemographic factors that could be influential in enhancing household resilience capacities when faced with climate change shocks and stresses. Linear regression results (Table 4.2) (model 4) revealed that household size had a significant negative effect on household capacity to prepare (-0.0319**). Implying that households with many members are less likely to prepare as compared to those with few members. This finding corroborates with the report by D’Errico and Di Giuseppe (2018), who found out that large household size negatively affects household resilience to food insecurity in Northern, Eastern and Central Uganda (paper III).

Furthermore, the study tested the interaction effects between income and household’s ability to meet its daily expenditure needs in influencing household resilience capacities (Table 4.2 model 1, 2 and 3). Interaction effects was interpreted through marginal effects, in this case the effect of a household’s ability to meet its daily expenditure needs on resilience capacities for the different categories of income. Figure 4.5 illustrates how the marginal effect of a household’s ability to meet the daily expenditure needs changes with increasing income. The effect is significantly different from zero for low household incomes for the capacity to prepare and recover. This implies that the lowest income households are substantially more likely to prepare for and recover from prolonged droughts and erratic rainfall events if the household is able to meet the daily expenditure needs. It is possible that low income households may be engaged in alternative none cash activities like subsistence livestock raring, subsistence crop farming and other activities which do not directly translate to income but help the household to satisfactorily meet its daily expenditure needs. This gained knowledge is particularly relevant in this context as the poorest population is generally most vulnerable (Eriksen & O’Brien, 2007). Thus, this finding offers a more nuanced approach to arguments that would assume income alone decides over the degree of resilience (paper III).

Findings also revealed that gender has a significant effect on household ability to adapt or change (0.242**) its source of income or livelihood if needed when faced with prolonged drought or erratic rainfall events (Table 4.2, model 6). This finding implies that the male gender is more capable to adapt or change as compared to their female counterparts who are in most cases more vulnerable due to their socio-economic and political confines (Shabib & Khan, 2014). In many African traditions the females have less access to socio-economic resources which constrains their ability to adapt. Gender studies by Meinzen-Dick et al. (2010) reported unequal
distribution of assets between males and females in developing countries which was in favour of males. This makes women less capable to adapt or change (Resurrección, 2013). This study finding corresponds with those of Nabikolo et al. (2012) in Eastern Uganda who found out a significant influence of gender on adaptation to climate change shocks and stresses (paper III).

Furthermore, results show that social networks with relatives have a significant positive effect on household capacity to prepare (0.178**) and recover (0.248**) (Table 4.2, model 4-5). Aldrich and Meyer (2014) reported that relatives can speed up or improve recovery with financial and non-financial support. Additionally, social networks with NGOs (Table 4.2, model 6) have a significant positive effect on the capacity to adapt or change the source of income or livelihood if needed when faced with climate change shocks and stresses (0.346**). The significant positive effect of networks with NGOs on household capacity to adapt or change in Mbale Municipality is likely to be a result of NGO activity in the municipality who have reached out to help households with climate change adaptation and this assistance has been appreciated. Several NGOs exist in Mbale Municipality such as World Vision which builds schools, toilets, water sources and provides food and education for the vulnerable. Compassion International which provides school fees, shelter and other basic needs of life. Child Restoration Outreach which helps the vulnerable with basic needs of life, lends money to women for business purposes, provides art and craft trainings to women and promotes games and sports. Hence, this finding indicates that international aid through NGOs is an important factor that can increase household resilience. This finding relates to the finding by Sadri et al. (2017) in Indonesia who indicated that stronger personal networks with NGOs and relatives positively influences people’s resilience capacity.\(^1\) Networks with friends and government did not have a significant effect on household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt. In summary, this finding implies that tight horizontal networks (with relatives) are a very important resource for households, while looser horizontal networks (with friends) do not appear to affect resilience significantly in Mbale municipality. Vertical ties that link households to NGOs can contribute positively to household resilience particularly when it comes to assisting households to adapt to climate related hazards, as they may not be capable to do so by themselves. In contrast, networks with the government appear to have not been beneficial to household resilience in the present case. This could be due to an overall lack of ties to the government, but cannot be fully explained with the quantitative survey results alone (paper III).

---

\(^1\) Logistic regression of demographic characteristics and social networks that influence resilience capacities showed similar findings except for the significance of gender in household capacity to adapt or change and networks with relatives for household capacity to recover.
Table 4.2: Linear regression of demographic characteristics and social networks that influence household resilience capacities when faced with prolonged droughts or erratic rainfall events in Mbale Municipality (n=389).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>Model 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prepare</td>
<td>Recover</td>
<td>Adapt/change</td>
<td>Prepare</td>
<td>Recover</td>
<td>Adapt/change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.0477</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.265**</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>0.0950</td>
<td>0.242**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0877)</td>
<td>(0.0993)</td>
<td>(0.112)</td>
<td>(0.0882)</td>
<td>(0.100)</td>
<td>(0.113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.00293</td>
<td>-0.00530</td>
<td>-0.00419</td>
<td>-0.00210</td>
<td>-0.00413</td>
<td>-0.00260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00322)</td>
<td>(0.00361)</td>
<td>(0.00412)</td>
<td>(0.00324)</td>
<td>(0.00363)</td>
<td>(0.00413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>-0.0342**</td>
<td>-0.0304*</td>
<td>-0.00884</td>
<td>-0.0319**</td>
<td>-0.0270*</td>
<td>-0.00211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0140)</td>
<td>(0.0159)</td>
<td>(0.0179)</td>
<td>(0.0141)</td>
<td>(0.0159)</td>
<td>(0.0180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household head</td>
<td>-0.0593</td>
<td>-0.0283</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>-0.0578</td>
<td>-0.0146</td>
<td>-0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0954)</td>
<td>(0.108)</td>
<td>(0.122)</td>
<td>(0.0953)</td>
<td>(0.108)</td>
<td>(0.121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.0374</td>
<td>0.00928</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
<td>0.0255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0422)</td>
<td>(0.0477)</td>
<td>(0.0544)</td>
<td>(0.0423)</td>
<td>(0.0478)</td>
<td>(0.0543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td>0.584***</td>
<td>0.323**</td>
<td>0.320*</td>
<td>0.552***</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.144)</td>
<td>(0.161)</td>
<td>(0.185)</td>
<td>(0.145)</td>
<td>(0.163)</td>
<td>(0.186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income=1</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.0481</td>
<td>0.0589</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.0501</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.124)</td>
<td>(0.140)</td>
<td>(0.159)</td>
<td>(0.125)</td>
<td>(0.140)</td>
<td>(0.159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income=2</td>
<td>0.337*</td>
<td>0.0644</td>
<td>-0.00166</td>
<td>0.336*</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
<td>-0.0758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.202)</td>
<td>(0.224)</td>
<td>(0.256)</td>
<td>(0.203)</td>
<td>(0.226)</td>
<td>(0.256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income=3</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.312)</td>
<td>(0.348)</td>
<td>(0.424)</td>
<td>(0.311)</td>
<td>(0.347)</td>
<td>(0.421)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp*income=1</td>
<td>-0.386**</td>
<td>-0.0362</td>
<td>-0.308</td>
<td>-0.406**</td>
<td>-0.0320</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.193)</td>
<td>(0.216)</td>
<td>(0.247)</td>
<td>(0.193)</td>
<td>(0.216)</td>
<td>(0.247)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp*income=2</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.367</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>-0.0594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.263)</td>
<td>(0.297)</td>
<td>(0.336)</td>
<td>(0.267)</td>
<td>(0.300)</td>
<td>(0.339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp*income=3</td>
<td>-0.499</td>
<td>-0.148</td>
<td>-0.802**</td>
<td>-0.472</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>-0.790*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.344)</td>
<td>(0.385)</td>
<td>(0.464)</td>
<td>(0.344)</td>
<td>(0.384)</td>
<td>(0.463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nets_Friends</td>
<td>-0.0104</td>
<td>0.0419</td>
<td>0.0810</td>
<td>(0.0878)</td>
<td>(0.0983)</td>
<td>(0.112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nets_Relative</td>
<td>0.178**</td>
<td>0.248**</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>(0.0884)</td>
<td>(0.0994)</td>
<td>(0.113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nets_NGO</td>
<td>-0.0106</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.346**</td>
<td>(0.119)</td>
<td>(0.134)</td>
<td>(0.153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>-0.0348</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>(0.121)</td>
<td>(0.137)</td>
<td>(0.157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1.327***</td>
<td>1.457***</td>
<td>1.362***</td>
<td>1.177***</td>
<td>1.265***</td>
<td>1.143***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.185)</td>
<td>(0.209)</td>
<td>(0.237)</td>
<td>(0.198)</td>
<td>(0.225)</td>
<td>(0.253)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Unstandardized coefficients are reported with standard errors in brackets; ***p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1.
4.5 The Multidimensional Components that the Community of Mbale Municipality Perceives as Important in Enhancing their Resilience to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses

Scholars seem to suggest that in order to better understand household or community resilience, it may be better to undertake a multidimensional approach which looks at several components of resilience (Kotzee & Reyers, 2016; The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2016). These aspects may range from the social, physical, economic, natural and institutional dimensions. Thus, the fourth objective of this study investigated the multidimensional components that the community of Mbale municipality perceives as important in enhancing their resilience. Findings revealed that education, healthcare, employment, peace and security were ranked as the most important priority components perceived by the community of Mbale municipality to determine their resilience. This could be the core components that development partners need to focus on in this municipality. Statements on education and health care emphasized better quality education and health care services. Statements on employment emphasized better-paying jobs for all people. In terms of SLF categories, the human capital scored the highest number of bean scores (205), followed by financial capital (112) and physical (44) (Figure 4.6). While the lowest number of bean scores were attained by the natural capital (24) and the social capital.
The highest scoring component in the human capital was education followed by health. This shows the importance of education and health in human development. People who are educated can easily get employment which improves people’s capacity to earn income and access basic needs of life. Furthermore, education as an important factor in enhancing resilience has been reported by UNDP (2014) in the dry lands of Northern Kenya and North Eastern Uganda. Further still, health care is important in supporting citizens in recovery during shocks and stresses particularly in this context where most of the population lacks health insurance. Several studies have reported the importance of health care in enhancing community resilience (FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014; Joerin et al., 2014; The Rockefeller Foundation/Arup, 2016; Twigg, 2009; Woolf et al., 2016). In addition, the less importance accrued to the natural factors could be because of the humanized nature of the urban environment where the physical factors are less recognized and also that people are likely to perceive these as issues that one cannot change (paper IV).

Figure 4.6:
Community bean scores for the components that enhance resilience in Mbale municipality categorized according to the SLF (n=97)

When members of group interviews were asked the extent to which they have achieved the components of resilience, findings showed that the top ranked resilience components were not uniform in all the three divisions but rather tend to
vary as follows (Table 4.3). Access to credit, had work and productive farms were the highest achieved components in Industrial division. Hard work, natural resource management (NRM) and peace and security were ranked high in Northern division while access to credit, productive farms and good roads were ranked high in Wanale division (Figure 4.7). In contrast, the following were ranked as lowest achieved components: diversified income generating activities (DIGA’s), roads and food security in Industrial division. Insurance, employment and health care in Wanale division and better housing, good governance and employment in Northern division. Industrial division for example is faced with the problem of food insecurity and incomes could be because it is where the biggest slum of the municipality lies with most of the inhabitants being immigrants from the semi-arid region of north eastern Uganda. This population is characterized by low socio-economic status as compared to populations of other divisions of the municipality. The non-uniformity in attainment of resilience components for the different divisions is likely to be due to differences in the division’s local government priorities, natural resource endowment and human development aspects. This implies variation in the level of achievement of resilience components in time and space even within a small geographic confine. This finding is in agreement with the finding of Cutter & Derakhshan (2018) who found out that resilience varied over time and space. In summary, access to credit, hard work, productive farms, natural resource management (NRM) and peace and security were ranked the highest achieved components of resilience while, DIGA’s, food security, employment and health care were ranked the lowest achieved components. The blank cells in the table indicate that the component is not mentioned in that location and period. The scores on the components of resilience represent context specific perceptions of the members in the group interviews. They indicate where the different divisions are prospering and where they still struggle and points to where government and other actors should target in order to enhance resilience. Furthermore, there seems to be “second order disaster” that affect the community of Mbale municipality e.g., when a climate crisis occurs, it begins by affecting production in farms and work on these farms, by implication it creates food insecurity. This is the “first order disaster. Food insecurity situations will in turn lead to a reduction in peace and security. This is a “second order disaster”. One of the participants indicated that “After a long dry season has occurred, there is often an increase in theft as many people are unable to meet their food needs and the only way to meet their needs is going to steal” (paper IV).
Table 4.3:
Community attainment of resilience components—(three highest and three lowest ranked) in the three divisions of Mbale Municipality during the normal climate periods and during the climate crisis periods (n=97)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Industrial Normal period</th>
<th>Crisis period</th>
<th>Wanale Normal period</th>
<th>Crisis period</th>
<th>Northern Normal period</th>
<th>Crisis period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall average rank</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three highest ranking characteristics scored</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to credit</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard work</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productive farms</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good roads</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three lowest scoring characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIGA’s</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods roads</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food security</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better housing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good governance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.7:
The location of the three divisions in Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda based on UBOS shapefiles of 2014
The most important priority components of resilience perceived by the community were important in understanding local contextual factors that drive resilience and thus, bear relevance to policy and practitioners. Furthermore, these components perceived by the community informed the formulation of the proposed multidimensional MRI in objective five of this study.

4.6 The Proposed MRI and the Resilience Index of Mbale Municipality to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses

The proposed MRI includes 46 variables describing the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions. When the MRI was tested, findings revealed that the overall resilience index of Mbale Municipality is very low (0.2). The low resilience index of Mbale municipality confirms reports that the municipality is located in a less socio-economically developed regions of Uganda, characterized by poor population, high level of vulnerability to flash floods, droughts and other hazards (Kansiime, Wambugu, & Shisanya, 2013) (paper V).

In terms of the three divisions, (Figure 4.8), results revealed that Industrial division had the highest average resilience index (1.7), followed by Northern division (0.9), while Wanale division was the least resilient (-1.9). The relatively higher resilience score by Industrial division is surprising because this is a division with the biggest slum dwellers and with low income population as indicated in the description of the sampled population in section 3.5 of paper IV and also in paper III. However, as found out in sub study III, low income earners who are able to meet their daily expenditure needs from none income generating activities can still be resilient (Oriangi et al., 2019). Although Wanale division is known to be the division with high income earners, this finding indicate that it is the least resilience. This gives an implication that resilience may not be well understood by using one or two dimensions but rather by using several dimensions. This confirms studies that argue that resilience can better be understood by exploring its multidimensional aspects (Kamh et al., 2016; Hung et al., 2016).
Furthermore, findings revealed that, the physical dimension scored the highest resilience index (1.3), followed by the economic (1.2), institutional (1.1) and the social (0.7) (Figure 4.9). The highest score from the physical dimension and the lowest score from the social dimension implies that household in Mbale municipality have currently achieved more from the variables of the physical dimension and less from the social dimension. The low contribution of the social dimension towards the resilience score implies that livelihoods in the urban environment may be less defined by the social variables. This corroborates with the report by FAO-UNICEF-WFP (2014) who reported that the social dimension contributed less towards the resilience score of the urban livelihoods in Dolow district in Somalia but rather, it was the physical dimension that had a high contribution towards the resilience score. Moreover, the finding of this study contradicts with a report by Kotzee and Reyers (2016) in Eden district in South Africa who reported that it was the social factors which had a high resilience score. These variations could be resulting from how much the different governments and stakeholders have invested towards the development of the different sectors and thus points towards where more efforts need to be vested in order to achieve a holistic and multidimensional resilience (paper V).
Additionally, findings revealed that the following variables under the four dimensions of the MRI contribute more than rest (Figure 4.10a to 4.10d): In the physical dimension: access to water, ownership of toilets, diversified solid waste management and housing. In the social dimension: networks with NGO’s, relatives, friends and government. In the economic dimension: monthly income, savings and access to credit while, in the institutional dimension: existence of an authority dealing in climate change, stakeholders’ involvement, climate awareness programs, existence of emergency teams and early weather warnings. However, the following are the low contributors of resilience. In the physical dimension: water safety and alternative capacity, electricity supply and reliability, connection to reliable sewage network, means of communication and adequate nutritious food. Among the social variables: level and quality of education and access to health. In the economic dimension: alternative income sources, employment, pension and insurance cover while, in the institutional dimension: ecosystem protection, preparedness plans, representation of marginalized groups, vulnerability assessment and emergency facilities. The performance of the variables under the four dimensions gives a picture on the contribution of each variable as shown by their factor loading. Factor loading indicate the relative statistical contribution of the observed variables within each of the four dimensions (FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014). A high factor loading suggests that the variance of the latent variable is highly correlated to the absolute value of the observed variable. “A variable with a high factor loading within a dimension that has a high factor loading in the resilience index is a variable which, if improved by one standard deviation unit would affect the resilience index most positively” (FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014). While a low factor loading suggests a low correlation between the observed variable and the latent variable at given point in
time. These indicate weak contributors to the current resilience index and may indicate variables which have consistently low values; however, the impact of an improvement on resilience could be important. For example, very few people in the sample having reliable electricity, safe water, access to quality education and access to employment would not be correct to conclude that these variables are irrelevant (FAO-UNICEF-WFP, 2014) (paper V).
Figure 4.10a: Factor loading for variables in the physical dimension

Figure 4.10b: Factor loading for variables in the social dimension

Figure 4.10c: Factor loading for variables in the economic dimension

Figure 4.10d: Factor loading for variables in the institutional dimension

Figure 4.10a to 4.10d: The performance of the variables in the four dimensions of the MRI in Mbale municipality in Eastern Uganda for the period 2017 (n=389).
Chapter Five:
Summary of Key Findings, Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Introduction

This study set out to understand how urban resilience is being understood and what determines it in SSA, assess the characteristics of extremely wet and dry periods and investigate the sociodemographic factors that influence urban resilience. It also determined the multidimensional priority components that the community of Mbale municipality perceives as important in enhancing their resilience and propose and test the MRI that measures resilience against climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale municipality. The summary of key findings, the conclusion and the recommendations of the study are given below.

5.2 Summary of Key Findings

Question 1: How is urban resilience being understood and what determines urban resilience in SSA?

- Urban resilience is being understood as either a social and organizational construct or as a social, organizational and ecological construct.
- The most important determinants of urban resilience in SSA include: access to basic services, social networks, employment, ownership of productive assets and building flood barriers.

Question 2: What is the frequency of occurrence of extremely wet and dry periods in Mbale Municipality for the years 1982-2014 and 2021-2050?

- More extremely wet and dry periods have been experienced during the most recent period (2004-2014) as compared to 1982-1992 and 1993-2003. Key informant perceptions confirmed this statistical finding. Extremely wet
periods were concentrated in September, October, November, December and January.

- More extreme wet periods are likely to be experienced in the near future (2021-2040) as compared to 2041-2050 while more droughts are likely to be experienced during 2031-2050 as compared to 2021-2030.

Question 3: Which sociodemographic characteristics influence household capacity to prepare, recover and adapt when faced with prolonged droughts and erratic rainfall events?

- Household ability to meet its daily expenditure needs, household size and networks with relatives and NGOs had significant effects on resilience capacities to prepare, recover and adapt to prolonged droughts and erratic rainfall events.
- Even the lowest income households are substantially more likely to prepare for and recover from prolonged droughts or erratic rainfall events if they can meet their daily expenditure needs.

Question 4: What priority components are perceived to enhance community resilience in Mbale municipality?

- Access to education, health care, employment and peace and security were perceived to be the most important components of resilience to climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale municipality.

Question 5: What are the most achieved and least achieved resilience components in Mbale municipality?

- The most achieved resilience components include: access to credit, building productive farms, contributing with hard work and sustaining peace and security, while the least achieved include: diverse income generating activities, access to insurance, food security, employment and health care.

Question 6: What constitutes the MRI proposed in this study in the context of Mbale municipality?

- The MRI consists of 46 variables explaining the physical, social, economic and institutional dimensions.

Question 7: What is the resilience index of Mbale municipality and what is the contribution of the dimensions of MRI

- Mbale Municipality has a low resilience index of 0.2.
The physical dimension contributed more than the other dimensions towards the resilience index of Mbale municipality. This is followed by the economic and the institutional dimensions. The least scoring dimension was the social dimension.

Most variables in the four dimensions of the MRI show a low factor loading.

5.3 Conclusion

Knowledge that even the lowest income households are substantially more likely to prepare for and recover from climate change shocks and stresses if the household can meet its daily expenditure is valuable particularly for the poorest population who are generally most vulnerable to hazards.

Future studies need to continue utilizing a multidimensional approach in understanding the overall aspects that influence household or community resilience to climate related shocks and stresses.

To understand municipality resilience in Uganda, a study covering all the municipalities in the country is necessary.

5.4 Recommendations

The study recommends that policy makers and practitioners need to encourage small household size and household activities that can boost their ability to meet daily expenditure needs to increase resilience capacities. Furthermore, the importance of social networks implies that disaster risk reduction policies and practitioners may need to promote social networks that shape household resilience to enhance preparedness, recovery and adaptation.

The proposed MRI constituting of 46 variables and 4 dimensions can be applied in any municipality in developing countries with similar climate related challenges and with limited availability of robust socio-economic and bio-physical data.

Government needs to continue focusing on redirecting more efforts and resources on providing quality education and health care, creating more employment opportunities and sustaining peace and security in order to build the resilience capacities of communities for preparedness, recovery and adaptation to climate related shocks and stresses.
There is also a need for researchers to focus more on assessing location-specific dynamics of the determinants of urban resilience to climate related shocks and stresses in secondary cities of SSA, given the growing role that secondary cities will play in the strong urban growth trajectories projected over the next decades. This can facilitate the achievement of household resilience strategies in particular urban areas.
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Appendix

Questions for the Structured Household Interviews, Group Interviews and Key Informant Interviews.

Structured Household Interviews
Dear respondent,
This questionnaire is designed to generate information for a study on urban resilience to climate variations and extremes in Mbale Municipality. It is used strictly for research purposes and the information gathered from the respondent will be kept confidential. The study is being supported by the Directorate of Research and Graduate Training, Makerere University. We request for your time to answer some questions and thank you for the cooperation

Spatial Information
Division………………………, Parish………………………,
Ward………………………..
4. GPS coordinates: Northing………………………,
Easting……………………………
Elevation…………………………
Section A: Household Characteristics

5. Household type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male headed</th>
<th>Female headed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. Is the household able to meet all the daily expenditure its members?

| Yes | No |

7. Household structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of household members</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Marital status</th>
<th>Education level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section B: Household Resilience to Prolonged Droughts and Erratic Rainfall Events

8. If a prolonged drought and/or erratic rainfall events occurred, how likely is it that your household would be well prepared in advance?

1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Not very likely
4. Not at all likely

9. If a prolonged drought and/or erratic rainfall event occurred, how likely is it that your household could recover fully within 6 Months?

1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Not very likely
4. Not at all likely
10. If a prolonged droughts and/or erratic rainfall events became more frequent, how likely is it that your household could change its source of income and/or livelihood if needed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extremely likely</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Very likely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Not very likely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Not at all likely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. In the past 5 years, how serious a problem has prolonged droughts and/or erratic rainfall events been to your household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extremely likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Very likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Not very likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Not at all likely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section C. Dimensions of Household/Urban Resilience

The Physical dimension

-Water
12. Do you have access to water throughout the year? (a) Yes (b) No
13. Is the quality of water safe for drinking at all times without boiling or treatment especially during heavy precipitation events? (a) Yes (b) No
14. Do you have alternative sources of water during times of drought? (a) Yes (b) No

-Electricity
15. Do you have access to electricity? (a) Yes (b) No
16. Is electricity supply reliable and no experiences of load shading on a frequent basis? (a) Yes (b) No
17. Is there diversity in energy supply serving the city, such that alternative supplies exist should one of them fail? (a) Yes (b) No

-Sanitation
18. Which of these statements explains your household (hh) sanitation situation?  
   (a) hh has a flash toilet  
   (b) hh has a pit latrine  
   (c) hh uses simple buckets  
   (d) open defecation  
19. Is your households connected to reliable sewerage network? (a) Yes (b) No  
20. Does Mbale Municipality have sufficient diversity of solid waste collection and disposal methods for all types of waste generated within the Municipality, such that
a failure in one method will not result in loss of service across the Municipality? (a) Yes (b) No

-Housing
21. Do you own a house? (a) Yes (b) No
22. Describe the roof of the house you stay in? (a) Grass thatched (b) Iron sheets (c) Tiles (d) others
23. Characterize the wall of the house you stay in? (a) Bricks (b) Mud and wattle (c) Others
24. Characterize the floor of your house? (a) Concrete (b) non-concrete (c) others
25. Has the house you stay in been able to withstand storms and heavy rainfall events for the last five years? (a) Yes (b) No

-Transportation
26. Are all roads in your division accessible during heavy rainfall events? (a) Yes (b) No
27. Do the roads in your division have road side covered drains? (a) Yes (b) No

-Physical assets
28. Which of the following do you own? (a) Land (b) crops (c) Stock of adequate nutritious food (d) Livestock (f) Television (g) Radio (h) Telephone

The Social Dimension

-Education
29. What level of education did you attain (a) none (b) Primary (c) Secondary (d) Tertiary (e) University
30. Describe the quality of education that your household gets (a) the quality if good (b) the quality of education is not good.

-Health
31. Which of the following statements best describes the nature of health services to households?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality health services are affordable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government programmes that control the spread of diseases (e.g., infectious, water-borne or vector-borne) exist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination programs exist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are effective screening programs to monitor at risk groups e.g. cancer, sexual health, heart disease and cognitive screening,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
32. Are there existence of stockpiles for emergencies during storms and heavy rainfall events? (a) Yes (b) No
33. Does any of your household member safer from any chronical illness? (a) Yes (b) No

-Social networks
34. Which of the following are sources of help to your household during times of hardship caused by climate change shocks such as prolonged drought or heavy rainfall events?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGO's</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networks with those in position of influence in government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Economic Dimension**

-Finance
35. Which monthly income category do you fall in UGX?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101,000–300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301–500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36. Do you have any alternative sources of income? (a) Yes (b) No
37. Do you have any form of savings? (a) Yes (b) No
38. Do you have access to credit? (a) Yes (b) No
39. Do you have access to remittances? (a) Yes (b) No
40. What is your occupation? (a) none (b) Farmer (c) public servant (d) Trader.

-Economic assets
41. Do you have access to pension? (a) Yes (b) No
42. Do you have access to insurance cover? (a) Yes (b) No

**Section D: Resilience Governance and Disaster Preparedness Practices**

43. Please indicate the degree to which the following resilience governance practices are available in your Municipality to manage variations and changes in climate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good governance</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that identification of vulnerable groups exists</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that the ecosystems such as wetlands, forests and open spaces within the municipality are protected</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that there is involvement of stakeholders in decision-making on issues related to climate change shocks and stresses in Mbale Municipality?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that preparedness plans against climate related shocks and stresses exist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that there is representation of marginalized groups in Mbale municipality?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what level do you agree that Municipal authority dealing with climate change shocks and stresses exists?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population awareness</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what level do you agree that weather early warnings exist in Mbale Municipality?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that climate change awareness programs exist?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crisis management</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that trained emergency teams dealing with climate related shocks and stresses exist in Mbale municipality?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree that emergency facilities that can help the people to recover from the effects of climate change shocks and stresses exist?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Questions for the Group Interviews with Households in each of the Three Divisions**

1. What are the main climate change shocks facing your division?
2. What are the characteristics of a resilient household (what does a resilient household look like)?
3. What are the three most important characteristics of household resilience ranked by importance?
4. To what extent have households achieved each of the priority characteristics during the normal period and the last significant shock in climate (i.e. prolonged drought or erratic rainfall)? Rate household progress in achieving the priority resilience characteristics on a scale of 0-10
5. Is resilience of households in your community increasing, decreasing or stable? And explain why?
6. Describe interventions/services that have helped to enhanced household level of resilience in the last five years?
7. From the interventions identified, list three current and previous interventions that had been most beneficial in building resilience and explain why?
8. What additional/future interventions would help to enhance resilience to variations and changes in climate in your household?
9. Identify household in your division that have achieved fully or partially resilience characteristics and list their common features and attributes

Questions for Key Informant Interviews with Households Perceived to be Resilient during the Group Interviews or through Discussions with Senior Local Residents

1. What factors have contributed to your household resilience to climate change shocks and stresses?
2. Why do you think your family coped better with challenges related to climate change shocks and stresses affecting the community?
3. What interventions do you think would best build wider resilience to climate change shocks and stresses in this community?

Key Informant Interview Questions for Municipal Local Government Officials

1. What elements of climate change shocks and stresses have been identified in Mbale Municipality?
2. Do you think there have any changes in rainfall in the last 10 years as compared to the previous decades? If yes, what are these changes?
3. Do you think there have been changes in dry seasons in the last 10 years as compared to the previous decades? If yes what are the changes?