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Abstract

Background & Aims: Primary liver cancer is the second most common cause of death from cancer worldwide. In the western world, the majority of liver malignancies consist of colorectal metastases. Liver resection is the primary treatment for cure in liver tumor disease. Hepatic injuries such as steatosis, steatohepatitis, fibrosis and sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, which could be a consequence of chronic liver disease and preoperative chemotherapy treatment, has negative impact on liver surgery. There is a need for an intraoperative tool for identification and quantification of these forms of liver damage.

The general aim of this thesis was to evaluate methods for intraoperative liver parenchyma characterization and investigate if liver damage could be detected with these methods. In addition, the influence of chemotherapy on liver regeneration and incisional hernia incidence was investigated.

Patients & methods: In study I, intraoperative sidestream dark-field imaging microcirculation measurements were performed on 40 patients before and after liver resection. In study II, intraoperative laser speckle contrast imaging measurements of liver microcirculation were performed on 10 patients. In study III, ex vivo diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements on excised liver tissue from 18 patients were performed. In study IV, intraoperative surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements were performed on 40 liver tumor patients. In study V, radiological liver volume measurements on 74 patients operated with a major liver resection were performed. In study VI, 256 patients’ computed tomography scans were reviewed for the presence of incisional hernia.

Results & Conclusions: Liver resection leads to an increase in red blood cell velocity in the sinusoids. Patients with liver parenchymal damage have higher red blood cell velocity, lesser functional sinusoidal density and larger sinusoidal diameter. Laser speckle contrast imaging can potentially be used to achieve non-contact intraoperative hepatic microcirculation measurements but problems with movement artifacts need to be resolved. Surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements are descriptive for the entire liver and it is possible to perform measurements across the liver capsule. Liver surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements enable intraoperative steatosis grade evaluation with explicit distinction between mild-to-moderate and moderate-to-severe steatosis. Volume regeneration after a major liver resection is negatively affected by preoperative chemotherapy treatment in patients with colorectal liver metastases. The time interval between the ending of chemotherapy and operation is crucial for the power of this impact. Incisional hernia location after an extended right subcostal incision is almost exclusively in the midline. Risk factors for incisional hernia are prolonged preoperative chemotherapy, preoperative bevacizumab, and previous incisional hernia.
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## Thesis at a glance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Patients/Methods</th>
<th>Result/Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Does liver resection have any impact on liver microcirculation? Is liver microcirculation affected by liver damage?</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study. In vivo sidestream dark-field imaging measurements on 40 liver tumor patients.</td>
<td>Liver resection leads to an increase of red blood cell velocity in the sinusoids. Patients with liver parenchymal damage have higher red blood cell velocity, lesser functional sinusoidal density and larger sinusoidal diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Can intraoperative laser speckle contrast imaging be used to assess hepatic microcirculation?</td>
<td>Cross-sectional pilot study. In vivo laser speckle contrast imaging measurements on 10 patients with colorectal liver metastases.</td>
<td>Laser speckle contrast imaging can potentially be used to achieve intraoperative hepatic microcirculation measurements. However, movement artifact interference problems are considerable and need to be resolved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Is it possible to perform liver surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements?</td>
<td>Methodological study. Ex vivo diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements on excised liver tissue from 18 patients.</td>
<td>Surface measurements are a feasible and, to the liver, noninvasive approach of making diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements of the liver. Surface measurements are descriptive for the entire liver and it is possible to perform measurements across the liver capsule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Can intraoperative liver surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements be used to detect steatosis?</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study. In vivo diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements on 38 liver tumor patients.</td>
<td>Liver surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements enable intraoperative steatosis grade evaluation with explicit distinction between mild-to-moderate and moderate-to-severe steatosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Does preoperative chemotherapy influence liver volume regeneration after a major liver resection due to colorectal liver metastases?</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study. Medical chart and radiological volume measurements on 74 patients operated with a major liver resection due to colorectal metastases.</td>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy prior to a major liver resection in patients with colorectal liver metastases negatively affects volume regeneration. The time interval between the ending of chemotherapy and operation has a crucial effect on the power of this impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>What are the incidence, risk factors and localization of incisional hernia after open liver surgery due to colorectal liver metastases?</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study. Medical chart and computed tomography incisional hernia evaluation on 256 patients operated for colorectal liver metastases.</td>
<td>Prolonged preoperative chemotherapy, preoperative bevacizumab and previous incisional hernia are strong risk factors for development of incisional hernia after open resection for colorectal liver metastases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Populärvetenskaplig sammanfattning

Levern är ett stort och oumbärligt organ i människokroppen, vars funktion bland annat är en viktig del i ämnesomsättningen, för produktion av blodstillande ämnen och för gallproduktionen. Leverns blodförsörjning är komplicerad och central för dess funktion. I de minsta blodkärlen (mikrocirkulationen) i levern, som kallas sinusoider, sker många av leverns viktigaste processer.


Vid lindrig eller måttlig funktionsnedsättning i levern kan kirurgi genomföras men en större andel av den ursprungliga levervolymen måste då lämnas kvar. I vissa fall kan man genom att tryga blodflödet till den tumördrabbade delen av levern (portavensembolisation) få en tillväxt av den del av levern som ska lämnas kvar och därmed förbättra prognosen för patienten.

Det kan vara svårt att upptäcka skador på levervävnaden före operation och det hänger att man under operation upptäcker tidigare okända förändringar av levervävnaden. Det finns därför behov av att under operation ges möjlighet att värdera dessa förändringar för att kunna modifiera det planerade ingreppet. Två möjliga vägar att göra detta på skulle kunna vara att studera blodflödet i sinusoiderna eller att använda optiska metoder för karaktareriserande av levervävnaden.

I delarbete II mättes leverens mikrocirkulation under operation på 10 patienter med en kontaktlös metod som kallas laser speckle contrast imaging. Mätningarna visade att det är möjligt att använda denna teknik för mikrocirkulationsmätning på lever men störningar i form av rörelseartefakter var påtagliga och behöver lösas för att kunna arbeta vidare med denna metod.


I delarbete IV utfördes ytmätningar på levern med DRS under operation på 38 patienter. Resultaten visade att det på gruppnivå är möjligt att skilja mellan olika grader av fettinlagring i levern med denna metod.

Levern är ett unikt organ i dess förmåga att återväxa till nästan den ursprungliga volyven efter kirurgisk operation. För att få åtkomst till levern görs vid en öppen leveroperation ett snitt under höger revbensbåge med en förlängning i medellinjen upp mot bröstbenet. En vanlig komplikation vid all typ av kirurgi är brackutveckling (avbrott eller utbuktning av bukväggen) i ärret. Det finns dock inte så mycket kunskap kring hur levervolymsregenerationen (återväxten av levern) eller ärrbrackutvecklingen påverkas av cellgiftsbehandling.

I delarbete V studerades påverkan av cellgiftsbehandling på leverregenerationen efter stora leveroperationer. Analyserna visade att cellgiftsbehandling före leverkirurgi påverkar volymsregenerationen negativt. Tidsintervallet mellan cellgiftsbehandlingens avbrytande och kirurgin är avgörande för hur stor den negativa påverkan blir.

I delarbete VI undersökt förekomst och plats för ärrbrack efter leverkirurgi samt vilken inverkan cellgiftsbehandling har på årrbracksförekomsten. Resultaten visade att förlängd cellgiftsbehandling (mer än 6 behandlingar), tidigare ärrbrack samt
behandling med ett specifikt preparat (bevacizumab) är starka riskfaktorer för ärrbråcksutveckling.

Sammanfattningsvis så har vi tagit oss några steg närmre målet att hitta en pålitlig metod för att karakterisera leverns tillstånd under operation. Våra studier belyser även den negativa inverkan som cellgiftsbehandling för tjocktarmsmetastaser har på leverns volymsregeneration samt för ärrbråcksutveckling.
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALPPS</td>
<td>associating liver partition with portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>American society of anesthesiologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>body surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH</td>
<td>chemotherapy associated steatohepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>colorectal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRLM</td>
<td>colorectal liver metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>coefficient of variability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVP</td>
<td>central venous pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRS</td>
<td>diffuse reflectance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>epidermal growth factor receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDG</td>
<td>fluorine 18 fluorodeoxyglucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR</td>
<td>future liver remnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLV</td>
<td>functional liver volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSD</td>
<td>functional sinusoidal density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBF</td>
<td>hepatic blood flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDF</td>
<td>laser Doppler flowmetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED</td>
<td>light emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSCI</td>
<td>laser speckle contrast imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSPU</td>
<td>laser speckle perfusion units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>leucovorin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>mean arterial blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>nonalcoholic fatty liver disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS</td>
<td>nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>nonalcoholic steatohepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>orthogonal polarization spectral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEP</td>
<td>positive end expiratory pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>position emission tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVE</td>
<td>portal vein embolization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBCV</td>
<td>red blood cell velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>radiofrequency ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>region of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>sinusoidal diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDF</td>
<td>sidestream dark-field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMI</td>
<td>skeletal muscle index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>sinusoidal obstruction syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THS</td>
<td>total hepatic signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>total muscle area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIS</td>
<td>zero inflow signal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

Liver anatomy and physiology

The liver is a large internal organ with a mass that amounts to 2-5% of the body weight, located in the upper right quadrant of the abdomen, and almost entirely protected within the rib cage.\textsuperscript{1, 2} Glisson’s capsule is the sheath covering the liver surface and is made up of elastin and collagen fiber networks.\textsuperscript{3} The liver and some of its ligaments are shown in figure 1. The right liver lobe and the left lateral segment are anteriorly separated by the falciform ligament, which suspends the liver to the anterior abdominal wall. The round ligament or ligamentum teres is a remnant of the fetal umbilical vein. In the superiormost liver area, the liver is attached to the diaphragm through the triangular and coronary ligaments. If necessary, all these ligaments can be divided in order to mobilize the liver in a surgical setting. The hepatoduodenal ligament, containing the portal vein, the hepatic artery and the bile duct, enters and attaches to the liver centrally and just to the left of the gallbladder.

Historically, anatomical segmentation of the liver has been topography based on the belief that the falciform ligament constituted the division between the right and left hemilivers. In the late 1800s Sir James Cantlie correctly suggested that this distinction was further lateral to the falciform ligament, running from the gallbladder to the inferior vena cava. This distinction is now called Cantlie’s line.\textsuperscript{4, 5} In the 1950s, Claude Couinaud came up with a functional classification of liver anatomy based on the principle that each segment has individual vascular inflow, outflow and biliary drainage.\textsuperscript{6} In this widely adopted classification, the eight segments are numbered in a clockwise direction (figure 2).\textsuperscript{7} Consequently, the left lobe is made up of segments 2, 3 and 4 while the right lobe is made up of segments 5, 6, 7 and 8. Segment 1 is the caudate lobe.
The liver is the most vascularized organ in the body, receiving up to 25% of total cardiac output.\textsuperscript{8} It has a unique dual blood supply where the hepatic artery delivers approximately 25% and the remaining 75% comes from the portal vein. In the most common arterial anatomy, which is present in approximately 76% of cases, the common hepatic artery begins from the celiac axis along with the left gastric and splenic arteries and then continues laterally and divides into the proper hepatic artery and the gastroduodenal artery.\textsuperscript{2,8} The portal vein, formed by the confluence of the superior mesenteric vein and splenic vein, drains the splanchnic blood from the stomach, pancreas, spleen, small intestine, and the colon to the liver. The venous drainage consists of the right, middle and left hepatic veins and drains the hepatic blood to the inferior vena cava superiorly of the liver. Intrahepatic bile ducts normally follow the arterial branching system. They finally form the common hepatic duct that courses caudally and joins the cystic duct to form the common bile duct and drains into the duodenum through the ampulla of Vater.

\textbf{Figure 1.} Frontal view of the liver with its ligaments suspending the liver to the diaphragm and anterior abdominal wall. Reproduced by permission from Kenhub (www.kenhub.com); Illustrator: Irina Münstermann.
At a cellular level, the liver parenchyma is dominated by hepatocytes but consists also of endothelial cells, stellate cells and Kupffer cells. The cells are organized to form a hepatic lobule with a central vein, sinusoids and the portal triad consisting of a hepatic artery, a portal venule and a bile duct (figure 3). The sinusoids are the smallest vessels in the liver and consist of a type of highly fenestrated capillaries. Hepatocytes are responsible for most functions in the liver e.g. synthesis and storage functions and filtration of the nutrient rich portal venous blood. The macrophagic Kupffer cells lie within the sinusoid walls and remove debris such as bacteria and worn out blood cells from the passing blood.
The liver is a vital, multifunctional organ where the key functions can be divided into metabolic, detoxificative and excretory.$^2,10$

The liver has an essential role in glucose metabolism acting as a glycogen buffer and being involved in gluconeogenesis where glucose is created. Hepatocytes are engaged in the lipid metabolism where they can supply energy through fatty acid oxidation but also convert carbohydrate metabolism products to lipids that can be stored as adipose tissue for later usage. Protein metabolism also takes place in the liver where all non-essential amino acids are synthesized. Aside from immunoglobulins, all plasma proteins are synthesized here. Moreover, the ammonia caused from the protein catabolism is disposed of through the liver as part of the urea cycle. Finally, ethanol oxidation takes place in the liver, which inhibits oxidation of other substrates.

The major solutes in bile are bile acids, phosphatidylcholine and cholesterol. Bile formation takes place in the hepatocytes and it also serves as a medium to get rid of waste products. Via the enterohepatic circulation, the bile acids are reabsorbed and are then transported through the portal blood back to the liver to be reused.

The liver plays an important role in detoxification and converting toxic substances to chemical forms that can be excreted. Drugs in particular are such substances that are metabolized in the liver.

In addition to the above, the liver is also involved in storage and transformation of vitamins, immunological functions and renewal of heavy metals.
Liver malignancies

Treatment of liver malignancies is complex and best managed by a multidisciplinary team that includes surgeons, radiologists, pathologists and oncologists. Malignant liver tumors are classified as primary or metastatic.

Primary cancer

Primary liver malignancies consist of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder cancer. Worldwide, HCC is the fifth most common cancer in men and the ninth in women. It is mainly a problem in less developed countries where 83% of new cancer cases occurred in 2012 and where the regions of highest incidence are eastern and southeastern Asia. The main risk factors for HCC are viral hepatitis, alcoholic cirrhosis, hemochromatosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Eighty percent of HCC cases arise in eastern Asia and sub Saharan Africa where chronic infection with hepatitis B virus is the dominant risk factor. Additionally, diabetes has been found to be an independent risk factor for HCC. Cirrhosis is estimated to be present in 80 to 90% of patients with HCC. One third of patients with cirrhosis will develop HCC during their lifetime. Patients are classified according to the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer strategy including the Child-Pugh assessment. Potentially curative therapies are liver resection, transplantation and ablation. Patients without cirrhosis are often well suited for resection while transplantation is the best treatment in selected candidates among patients with underlying cirrhosis. Image-guided tumor ablation is now an established alternative for patients with early stage HCC where radiofrequency ablation has shown the best effect and is currently the standard method for ablative local tumor treatment. Non-curative treatment is limited to transarterial chemoembolization and sorafenib, which is an orally administered multikinase inhibitor.

Even though cholangiocarcinoma is the second most common primary liver malignancy, representing 10-25% of primary liver tumors in the world, it is still relatively uncommon, especially in the western world. Cholangiocarcinoma is an adenocarcinoma of the bile ducts that has a poor prognosis with similar mortality and incidence rates. Cholangiocarcinoma is classified as intrahepatic or extrahepatic. Proximal extrahepatic is the most common localization and is then termed hilar cholangiocarcinoma or Klatskin’s tumor. Established risk factors for cholangiocarcinoma include parasitic infections, primary sclerosing cholangitis, biliary-duct cyst, hepatolithiasis and toxins. The only potentially curative treatment
for cholangiocarcinoma is surgery. However, the majority of patients are diagnosed at a late stage and many are found to be unresectable during surgery.23, 24

Gallbladder cancer is the most common biliary tract malignancy in the world although it is relatively rare in the western world.25, 26 The incidence is particularly high in Chile, Japan and northern India.26 Gallbladder cancer is commonly diagnosed incidentally, often during laparoscopic cholecystectomy.25 Women are affected 2-6 times more often than men and approximately 85% of the patients have gallstones.27 The prognosis is very poor with an overall mean survival rate of 6 months and a 5-year survival rate of 5%.26, 27 However, a curative resection can be made if the diagnosis is early. If the tumor invasion is limited to the mucosa or submucosa, the 5-year survival rates are over 95% and it is about 70% if the subserosa is involved.26

Metastatic cancer

Liver metastases are more common than primary liver cancer.28 Practically all malignancies can metastasize to the liver where they most commonly come from gastrointestinal, breast and lung cancers.28, 29 The great propensity for metastasis to the liver is attributed to (1) the dual blood supply of the liver and in the case of gastrointestinal metastases, the enterohepatic circulation where the blood reaches the liver first and (2) the fact that liver vasculature is highly fenestrated, which enables penetration of metastatic cells into the liver parenchyma.28, 30

Colorectal liver metastases

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer after lung and breast cancer.11 70% of all CRC are sporadic due to somatic mutations. Another 10-30% have familial predisposition and 3-4% are due to hereditary diseases (mainly hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer and familial adenomatous polyposis).31, 32 About 25% of CRC patients will develop colorectal liver metastases (CRLM).33-35 Consequently, CRLM are very common, especially in the western world. It has been found that 15-20% of patients present with synchronous liver metastases, i.e. liver metastases are already present at the time of the primary diagnosis.33-35 The rest of the patients with CRLM will develop metachronous liver metastases, i.e. liver metastases discovered during follow-up.

The only potentially curative treatment that allows long-term survival is liver resection.36 However, due to extrahepatic disease or adverse intrahepatic metastases distribution, the resection rate is only 20% among patients with CRLM.37, 38 In resected patients, 5-year survival rates up to 60% are being reported.39-41 In a recent population-based study from Norway where 538 resected patients were analyzed, 3- and 4-year survival rates were 73 and 55% respectively.37 In the past, resection criteria were limited by the number of metastases, the size of the tumors, a mandatory one
cm margin and the presence of extrahepatic disease. Nowadays, the resection criteria are more focused on preservation of a sufficient future liver remnant (FLR) and the preservation of adequate vascular inflow, outflow and biliary drainage. Patients with limited extrahepatic disease such as portal lymph nodes or a limited amount of resectable lung metastases can still benefit from hepatic surgery. Since CRLM are often asymptomatic and 80% of all CRLM are detected within the first three years following the primary diagnosis, a frequent radiological follow-up program is recommended. Consequently, accurate imaging is essential not only to detect, but also to reliably discriminate resectable from non-resectable CRLM.

Current imaging methods for CRLM are ultrasound (US), computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), fluorine 18 fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) position emission tomography (PET) and FDG PET/CT. Transabdominal US examination of the liver is fast, inexpensive and has no side effects. However, its use is limited due to its low sensitivity for small lesions, its user dependence and limited image capture availability. However, in the intraoperative setting, US remains an important modality, often used with intravenous contrast which then constitutes the best chance for CRLM detection. Contrast-enhanced multidetector CT is the most commonly used modality due to its availability, high resolution and relatively low cost. Another benefit is that it can be used to examine the chest, abdomen and pelvis in the same session. Thin slices (2-4 mm) are recommended to improve lesion detection. Still, subcentimeter lesions cannot be detected with CT. On CT, CRLM are generally hypovascular and more prominent in the portovenous phase compared to normal hepatic parenchyma. MRI offers better characterization of liver lesions compared to CT, especially for small lesions and in a fatty liver. CRLM appear with a low signal intensity on T1 weighted images and with moderately high signal intensity on T2 weighted images. Intravenous contrast is routinely given for the evaluation of CRLM. Extracellular fluid contrast agents composed of gadolinium have been in clinical use for the longest period of time. In the last decades, several hepatobiliary specific agents have been developed that are taken up by the hepatocytes and are excreted in the bile. Gadoflexit acid is a hepatobiliary specific contrast agent that makes MRI diagnostic superior compared to other modalities. In addition, diffusion-weighted MRI has proven to be useful in CRML detection. In diffusion-weighted MRI, image contrast is based on differences in the mobility of water between tissues. Although MRI is nowadays regarded as the best preoperative imaging modality, it is often seen as a complementary method because of its longer scan time, higher cost and consequently its lower availability. FDG PET is a nuclear medicine imaging modality used to detect metabolic active tumor cells. By combining it with a concurrent CT a glucose uptake map of the body can be observed. Sensitivity and specificity have been found to be equal or superior to CT or MRI and PET is considered particular useful in extrahepatic tumor detection. A limitation of this modality is its reduced
sensitivity after chemotherapy treatment, probably secondary to reduced tumor metabolism. Like MRI, availability and cost are other limitations.

Treatment strategies differ depending on CRLM presentation. A majority of patients receive preoperative chemotherapy. Current chemotherapy regimens base consists of oxaliplatin or irinotecan in addition to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and leucovorin (LV). Since 5-FU was introduced in 1957 it has been the base of CRC therapy. Irinotecan is a topoisomerase-I inhibitor that became available in 1996 and the platinum-based agent oxaliplatin was introduced in 2002. 5-FU/LV in combination with irinotecan is now known as FOLFIRI, and 5-FU/LV in combination with oxaliplatin is known as FOLFOX.

In a metachronous presentation of resectable metastases, neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatment is the standard of care. This is mainly based on a randomized controlled trial by Nordlinger et al. where patients were randomized to receiving six cycles of preoperative FOLFOX and six cycles of postoperative FOLFOX or to surgery alone and showed better progression free survival at three years in the chemotherapy group. However, chemotherapy is a debated topic and a follow-up study by Nordlinger et al. after 8.5 years showed no significant difference in overall survival between groups.

In patients with extensive liver metastases presentation, CT-based volumetric FLR measurements can identify patients with inadequate FLR volume and consequently the risk of postoperative liver failure. In otherwise normal livers, FLR volume as low as 20-25% can be safe. Portal vein embolization (PVE) is a method used in order to increase FLR volume before extended hepatectomy. By embolizing a part of the liver, hypertrophy of the non-embolized part is induced while an atrophy of the embolized tumor-including part occurs. The non-embolized parenchymal volume increase has been shown to be 8-27% in a meta-analysis and PVE can thus increase the number of patients undergoing curative surgery.

Another strategy to deal with small FLR is the two-stage hepatectomy approach where the most possible metastases are resected in a first operation and the remaining metastases are resected in a second operation after the liver has been given the opportunity to regenerate, often along with PVE or portal vein ligation. Yet another approach that has been implemented by many to deal with small FLR is a novel technique called ALPPS, standing for “Associating Liver Partition with Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy”. In this two-stage procedure the liver parenchyma is transected and a portal vein ligation to the liver part that is later to be removed is performed in a first step. After the patient has recovered and allowing hypertrophy of the FLR, typically 1-2 weeks, a second procedure is performed where the tumor-containing part of the liver is removed. Studies with the ALPPS technique have shown promising results with a more rapid FLR volume increase as compared to PVE and a better chance for resection in patients with small FLR.
Synchronous presentation of CRLM, i.e. CRLM are already present at the time for diagnosis of the primary, are present in 15-20% of patients. In this situation there are several strategies. In the classical strategy (colorectal-first) the primary is first resected and the CRLM are resected in a second procedure. In patients with asymptomatic primary and CRLM requiring major hepatectomy the reverse strategy (liver-first) may be relevant. In this option, after preoperative chemotherapy, CRLM are resected first followed by the primary in a second stage. A third alternative is the simultaneous strategy where both CRLM and the primary are resected in the same procedure. This approach has the benefit of avoiding time delay to resection in any of the tumor sites. However, this strategy is limited to a highly selected patient group and both morbidity and mortality have been shown to be higher.

When patients present with unresectable CRLM, effective downsizing chemotherapy may result in resectable patients in 10-20% of cases. These patients often receive prolonged chemotherapy treatment and undergo complicated resections resulting in variable reports of survival rates. With the aim of maximal tumor response, the addition of targeted agents can be made. Bevacizumab is an vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) monoclonal antibody used as a supplement to traditional chemotherapy treatment that can result in increased pathologic response. Another targeted therapy agent is cetuximab, which is an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitor which has proved to be effective in patients with KRAS wild-type tumors.

The majority of patients operated for CRLM will develop recurrent disease in the remnant liver. A repeat resection is the only chance for cure in these patients. Despite increased technical difficulty in repeated resections, it can be performed in a safe way with acceptable short-term morbidity and mortality and comparable long-term survival to patients undergoing index resections.

In combination with or addition to hepatic resection, locally ablative modalities can be used to offer curative treatment. Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is the most common method, in which the tumors are destructed by heating them and surrounding liver tissue. The procedure can be performed either percutaneously or during surgery. Disparate from HCC, RFA has been seen as inferior for local control and survival of CRLM compared to resection. Traditionally, RFA has played a role in CRLM treatment in highly selected patients with small tumors positioned away from vascular and biliary structures. In a recent study by Imai et al. however, resection plus RFA reached long-term results comparable to resection alone.
Liver damage

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a multifaceted metabolic spectrum of liver diseases with an incidence that is increasing rapidly, mainly due to the ongoing epidemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes.\textsuperscript{98, 99} Furthermore, there are complications to chemotherapy that may induce NAFLD; thus, influencing the result of a liver resection.

Hepatic steatosis is characterized by fatty accumulation in the liver. Steatosis is classified according to D’Alessandro et al. depending on the percentage of hepatocytes containing fat resulting in a grading of none (0-5%), mild (5-30%), moderate (30-60%) or severe (>60%) steatosis.\textsuperscript{100} Steatosis can be induced by 5-FU.\textsuperscript{101, 102} Many groups have identified steatosis as an independent risk factor for higher morbidity in the setting of liver resection.\textsuperscript{103-105} Although McCormack et al. revealed a trend toward increased mortality for steatotic patients, no impact on mortality has been found.\textsuperscript{106}

A more severe form of NAFLD is steatohepatitis, which has the potential to develop into cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{107} Steatohepatitis is characterized by steatosis, lobular inflammation and ballooning of hepatocytes (figure 4). Steatohepatitis in the absence of alcohol overconsumption is called nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and when it is observed in association with chemotherapy treatment it is called chemotherapy-associated steatohepatitis (CASH). Chemotherapy treatment with irinotecan has been associated with higher risk of steatohepatitis.\textsuperscript{108, 109} Steatohepatitis is generally a worse condition compared to steatosis and in a surgical setting it has been related to increased morbidity and mortality after CRLM resection.\textsuperscript{108-110}

![Figure 4. Histologic steatosis and steatohepatitis showing (A) simple steatosis with fat containing vacuoles, (B) steatohepatitis with ballooning degeneration (arrow) and (C) steatohepatitis with perisinusoidal fibrosis. Reproduced from Patel et al.\textsuperscript{111}, by permission of Elsevier.](image-url)
Sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS) is the result of severe toxic injury affecting endothelial cells in the sinusoids.\textsuperscript{112} It is characterized by sinusoidal fibrosis and dilatation, pericentral hepatocyte necrosis and narrowing of the central veins (figure 5).\textsuperscript{107} The vascular influences cause a macroscopically bluish discoloration of the liver and therefore the condition is also called “blue liver syndrome” (figure 6)\textsuperscript{113, 114}. SOS seems to be strongly correlated to the use of oxaliplatin.\textsuperscript{108, 109, 115-117} Interestingly, oxaliplatin-related SOS is less common in patients concomitantly treated with bevacizumab.\textsuperscript{118, 119} Other causes of SOS can be hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, liver transplantation and use of herbal remedies.\textsuperscript{120} The reported incidence of SOS varies, but several reports indicate incidence over 50% when treated with chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{118, 121} Furthermore, the risk of SOS does not seem to be correlated to the duration of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{109} Although SOS has been associated with increased intraoperative transfusions, some studies show no relationship with increased morbidity or mortality.\textsuperscript{107, 109, 115} Narita et al. concluded however, that SOS has a negative impact on liver regeneration after PVE and that it is associated with increased risk of postoperative liver failure after a major liver resection.\textsuperscript{122}


\textbf{Figure 5.} Histologic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS) showing sinusoidal congestion. Fibrotic perisinusoidal centrilobular spaces and the hepatic vein is occluded by fibrous tissue. Reproduced from Rubbia-Brandt et al.\textsuperscript{123}, by permission of the American Association for Cancer Research.
The gold standard for assessment of liver damage is histological analysis of a liver biopsy. MRI has proven to be an accurate diagnostic tool for assessment of steatosis, and fibrosis can be evaluated with elastography. However, clinical implementation of these modalities has been limited by technical feasibility and the time required to complete the tests. Methods for detection of SOS include increased indocyanine green retention rate, elevated systemic hyaluronic acid, increased spleen size on CT, and elevated aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index. However, all these indirect markers have modest sensitivity and specificity. Consequently, liver biopsy is still required for diagnosis of these forms of damage. However, biopsy is an invasive method with the risk of sampling error and inter-observer variability. Furthermore, liver biopsy can lead to tumor dissemination and is therefore not used routinely in a setting intended to be curative.

In a surgical setting, previously unknown liver damage may be found or suspected. Since this damage can affect the surgical strategy, there is a need for methods for intraoperative identification and quantification of such damage.
Liver surgery

Technique of liver resection

Liver surgery has seen rapid development over the last few decades, mainly due to technological advances and increased knowledge of hepatic anatomy and function. The first elective liver resection was performed by Dr. Langenbuch in 1887. However, the liver is a highly vascularized organ with a great potential to cause excessive bleeding. Consequently, liver surgery has been associated with high morbidity and mortality.

Even though the International Hepato-Pancreato Biliary Association introduced a common nomenclature of liver resections at a meeting in Brisbane in 2000, the literature is still full of different terminology for liver resections. Resection types can be divided into two fundamental types, non-anatomical and anatomical. Non-anatomical or atypical resections are suitable for small peripheral tumors while anatomical resections, which normally involve two or more liver segments, are generally chosen when there is greater tumor burden. Other aspects to consider when choosing resection strategy are FLR size, pre-existing liver disease, preoperative chemotherapy and the risk of postoperative liver failure.

Different types of anatomical resection types are shown in figure 7. No differences in survival or recurrence have been shown when comparing non-anatomic and anatomic resections for CRLM. However, in an HCC setting, the anatomical resection type has been shown to have better overall survival and disease-free survival when compared to non-anatomical resection. Of course, it is possible to combine anatomical and non-anatomical resections as well.

As described earlier, an FLR of 20-25% is generally considered sufficient in patients with normal liver parenchyma. In the presence of risk factors for postoperative liver failure, e.g. cirrhosis, fibrosis, steatosis or preoperative chemotherapy, a considerably larger FLR is required. Possible approaches to make an unresectable patient with small FLR resectable are downsizing chemotherapy, PVE and two-stage hepatectomy, as stated earlier.

To access the abdominal cavity and the liver, a right subcostal incision is normally made. Depending on the patient’s configuration and liver anatomy, an extension across the midline resulting in a bilateral subcostal incision might be warranted. The round and falciform ligaments are divided and depending on the type of resection, the liver is mobilized by dividing the triangular and the coronary ligaments. The liver can then be examined with bimanual palpation and intraoperative US to locate known tumors and search for any additional tumors.
High perioperative blood loss is associated with increased mortality.\textsuperscript{104, 151, 152} Since the liver is a highly vascularized organ, using techniques to minimize bleeding is essential. Dr. James Hogart Pringle published a report on liver hemorrhage due to trauma 1908, which lead to the “Pringle maneuver” that is used worldwide to control liver bleeding.\textsuperscript{153, 154} In this procedure, the hepatic artery and the portal vein are clamped temporarily. In order to avoid ischemia/reperfusion injuries the Pringle maneuver is normally performed intermittently with occlusion for fifteen min followed by five min of non-occlusion during liver transection if needed.\textsuperscript{155, 156} However, inflow occlusion to the liver has only a modest impact on bleeding from the hepatic veins.\textsuperscript{56} Central venous pressure (CVP) has been found to correlate to bleeding during liver resection and keeping CVP to less than 5 cm H\textsubscript{2}O reduces blood loss during hepatic resection.\textsuperscript{157} Full bleeding control can only be achieved by total vascular exclusion but this procedure is associated with hemodynamic intolerance and increased postoperative complications.\textsuperscript{158-160}

Many techniques and devices for liver parenchyma transection are available. The simplest technique is to crush the hepatic parenchyma between the fingers and thereby expose the small vessels that need to be divided.\textsuperscript{142} The clamp crush technique is a further development where basic surgical clamps are used to crush the parenchyma. This method has been found superior to the finger clamp technique and serves as a reference for all other liver parenchyma transection technique studies.\textsuperscript{142} One of the most commonly used devices for liver parenchyma transection is the Cavitron Ultrasonic Surgical Aspirator (CUSA).\textsuperscript{143} The CUSA breaks up the liver tissue by ultrasonic energy and then aspirates the tissue fragments leaving solid structures to be divided.\textsuperscript{137} The Harmonic Scalpel works in a similar way but uses ultrasonic shears to divide and seal small blood vessels.\textsuperscript{142} The hydro-jet is another technique with a similar result, but uses a high pressure water jet instead to dissect the parenchyma. Also, there are sealing devices, radiofrequency techniques and the vascular stapler technique.\textsuperscript{142} With the stapling technique the parenchyma transection can be made quickly and with promising results, but a major disadvantage is the cost of multiple stapler cartridges.\textsuperscript{2, 161} Interestingly, none of the technique’s has proved to have significant advantages over the clamp crush technique.\textsuperscript{162, 163} Additionally, there are topical agents or coagulation pads available that can be applied directly to an area to provide hemostasis. These typically consist of collagen or fibrin sheets.\textsuperscript{143, 164}
**Figure 7.** Common anatomical liver resections. Reproduced from Hughes et al.\textsuperscript{143}, by permission of Elsevier.
Laparoscopic liver resections

The first report of a laparoscopic liver resection was made in 1991 and since then there has been an exponential growth in the number of reports on the subject.\textsuperscript{165} Initially, it was primarily performed on easy accessible benign lesions, but with technological advancements and increased experience, increasing numbers of more advanced hepatic malignancies are being operated with the laparoscopic technique.\textsuperscript{166} Although atypical resections and left lateral segmentectomy are the most common laparoscopically performed liver resections, laparoscopic major hepatectomy can be performed safely.\textsuperscript{167, 168} Several advantages of laparoscopic liver resections have been shown in both HCC and CRLM surgery. The operative blood loss is less, postoperative pain is reduced, hospital stay is shorter, and costs are lower.\textsuperscript{166, 169, 170} Oncological results are comparable in terms of negative resection margins, recurrence, and long-term survival.\textsuperscript{171, 172} However, the conclusions are limited to retrospective evidence.

Complications of liver surgery

Technical advances and increased experience concerning liver surgery have led to great improvements regarding mortality and morbidity.\textsuperscript{104, 173} However, liver resection is still complex and has the potential for several postoperative complications. Liver failure is a severe postoperative complication after liver resection and is the leading cause of death after liver surgery.\textsuperscript{174} Independent risk factors for liver failure are the amount of liver resected, extended preoperative chemotherapy and the need for a blood transfusion.\textsuperscript{174} A prothrombin time < 50\% (INR $\geq$ 1.7) and serum bilirubin $>$ 50 µmol/L on postoperative day 5 (the 50-50 criteria) has been shown to be an early indicator of postoperative liver failure.\textsuperscript{175} Postoperative intraperitoneal hemorrhage is a complication that can arise from bleeding from the resection surface or incomplete intraoperative hemostasis.\textsuperscript{151, 176} Other common causes of complications after liver resections are bile leakage and surgical site infections.\textsuperscript{177-179} Postoperative complications are often graded according to the Clavien-Dindo classification (table 1).\textsuperscript{180}

In addition to the complications associated specifically with liver surgery, there are general complications for all types of surgery. One of the most frequent complications after laparotomy is incisional hernia. Reported risk factors for incisional hernia include age, gender, obesity and surgical site infection.\textsuperscript{181-184} Also preoperative chemotherapy has been shown to be an independent risk factor for incisional hernia after abdominal surgery.\textsuperscript{185} Only a few studies on incisional hernia after liver resection have been made and no study limited to CRLM only has previously been made.\textsuperscript{182, 186, 187}
Table 1. Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Any deviation from the normal postoperative course without the need for pharmacological treatment or surgical, endoscopic, and radiological interventions. Allowed therapeutic regimens are: drugs such as antiemetics, antipyretics, analgesics, diuretics, electrolytes, and physiotherapy. This grade also includes wound infections opened at the bedside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Requiring pharmacological treatment with drugs other than those allowed for grade I complications. Blood transfusions and total parenteral nutrition are also included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Requiring surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>Intervention not under general anesthesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>Intervention under general anesthesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Life-threatening complication (including CNS complications) requiring IC/ICU management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVa</td>
<td>Single organ dysfunction (including dialysis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVb</td>
<td>Multiorgan dysfunction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Death of patient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNS, central nervous system; IC, intermediate care; ICU, intensive care unit.

Liver regeneration

Unlike all other organs in the body, the liver has a regenerative ability so that the size of the organ is always maintained to about its original size. In a liver resection setting, the regeneration is fulfilled by inducing hypertrophy and hyperplasia in the FLR. Consequently, the anatomical appearance after a liver resection will be different from the original liver. After a major liver resection, the replication of hepatocytes normally starts within one day after surgery. The regeneration process has been well-studied and described in different model systems such as mouse and rat models and more recently a zebrafish model. In short, the regeneration process involves cytokines, growth factors and metabolic networks.

As described previously, preoperative chemotherapy treatment may influence postoperative outcome and long-term prognosis. Also, it has been shown that prolonged chemotherapy negatively influences liver regeneration after PVE. However, the impact of preoperative chemotherapy on post-resectional liver volume has only been studied to a very limited degree. No studies including only major liver resections have been made.
Sidestream dark-field imaging

Sidestream dark-field (SDF) imaging is a videomicroscopy technique that can be used for direct visualization of microcirculation. The technique was developed many years ago and was first used in the precursor orthogonal polarization spectral (OPS) imaging.\(^{192}\) By holding a hand-held microscope directly onto the tissue, its microcirculation can be visualized.\(^{193, 194}\) The tissue is illuminated by light with a wavelength of 530 nm (green light) that is absorbed by hemoglobin in the red blood cells. This results in a reflected image of the illuminated area where red blood cells look dark, consequently forming an image of the microcirculation (figure 8). SDF imaging is a further development of OPS imaging that enables sharper images due to reduced blurring.\(^{193}\) SDF imaging allows measurement of sinusoidal red blood cell velocity (RBCV), sinusoidal diameter (SD) and functional sinusoidal density (FSD).\(^{195, 196}\) OPS imaging has been used to assess hepatic microcirculation in liver transplants and SDF imaging has been used to measure hepatic microcirculation in rats.\(^{197, 198}\) Limitations of the method are mainly its sensitivity to motion and pressure artifacts.\(^{193, 199}\)

![Figure 8. Schematic illustration of sidestream dark-field (SDF) imaging technique. LED, light emitting diodes. Reproduced by permission from MicroVision Medical (www.microvisionmedical.com).](image)
Laser speckle contrast imaging

Another technique to visualize tissue blood perfusion is laser speckle contrast imaging (LSCI). When biological tissue is illuminated by coherent laser light, the backscattered light will form what is called a speckle pattern. When there is movement in the tissue, e.g. microcirculation, the pattern will be blurry. Speckle contrast quantifies the level of blurring and it has been found to correlate with blood flow. LSCI allows fast, non-contact measurements over large areas with good reproducibility. The results from LSCI measurements are not quantitative, but expressed as a raw flux value in laser speckle perfusion units (LSPU). Skin microcirculation has been successfully measured with LSCI and the method has been validated for assessment of liver microcirculation in rats.

Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy

Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) is an optical measurement method where light is emitted into and interacts with the tissue after which changes in the spectral distribution of the light are recorded. DRS is a fast and relatively well-established method used in many different areas e.g. skin, lung, breast and gut. Tissue interaction consists of either absorption or scattering of the light depending on the optical properties of the tissue.

For different wavelengths, chromophores in the tissue absorb different amounts of light. In liver tissue, absorption is dominated by hemoglobin and bile in the visible wavelength range (500-900 nm) and by water, fat and collagen in the near-infrared wavelength range (900-1600 nm). Normalized absorption coefficients of different tissue parameters are shown in figure 9.

When the tissue is illuminated the light will scatter as it propagates through it. The scattering is caused by irregularities and inhomogeneity of the tissue and is also wavelength-dependent. The scattering consists of both Mie- and Rayleigh scattering where Mie scattering is caused by particles that are similar to or larger than the wavelength of light and Rayleigh scattering is caused by particles much smaller than the wavelength of light. The scattered light that is reflected and exits from the tissue can be recorded through an optical fiber, thus resulting in a reflectance spectrum that is wavelength-dependent.

To estimate optical properties and chromophore concentrations, the measured spectrum then has to be modeled to a spectrum with known volume fractions. One method to accomplish these estimations is by an analytical diffusion theory model given by Farrell et al. This analytical model has previously been used by others for
Nachabé et al. and Evers et al. have presented interesting DRS liver results in both ex vivo and in vivo clinical studies where they have shown that it is possible to discriminate liver from tumor parenchyma and, most interestingly, have shown the possibility of steatosis quantification. However, the equipment used in these studies consisted of a fiber-optic needle that was inserted into the liver parenchyma.

Figure 9. Normalized absorption of different tissue parameters. Reproduced from Nachabé et al., by permission of Spie.
Aims

The main objective of this thesis was to evaluate and develop new techniques for intraoperative evaluation of liver parenchyma characteristics and detection of chemotherapy-induced liver damage. Additionally, the influence of chemotherapy on liver surgery was studied.

The specific aims of each study were:

I. To determine whether SDF imaging could be used for intraoperative hepatic microcirculation measurements and whether liver resection has any impact on its microcirculation. We also wanted to study whether histological liver damage could be detected with microcirculation measurements.

II. To accomplish a pilot study in which the feasibility of intraoperative hepatic LSCI measurements for assessment of liver microcirculation was studied.

III. To evaluate a hand-held, custom-made DRS probe for liver surface measurements. We wanted to investigate whether the liver capsule affects measurements and whether surface measurements are representative of the whole liver.

IV. To investigate whether human liver steatosis could be detected with liver surface DRS measurements during surgery.

V. To retrospectively assess the influence of preoperative chemotherapy on liver volume regeneration after a major liver resection due to CRLM.

VI. To retrospectively assess the incidence, location and risk factors for incisional hernia after open liver surgery due to CRLM. In particular, the impact of perioperative chemotherapy and targeted therapy was evaluated.
Patients and methods

Study design

Table 2 shows an overview of thesis study design.

Table 2. Summary of the included studies’ research design, subjects, method and period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>40 liver tumor patients</td>
<td>In vivo SDF imaging measurements</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Cross-sectional pilot study</td>
<td>10 CRLM patients</td>
<td>In vivo LSCI measurements</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Methodological ex vivo study</td>
<td>Excised liver tissue from 18 patients</td>
<td>Ex vivo DRS measurements</td>
<td>2015-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>38 liver tumor patients</td>
<td>In vivo DRS measurements</td>
<td>2015-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>74 CRLM patients</td>
<td>Journal review, radiological volume measurements</td>
<td>2005-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>256 CRLM patients</td>
<td>Journal review, CT hernia review</td>
<td>2010-2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRLM, colorectal liver metastases; DRS, diffuse reflectance spectroscopy; CT, computed tomography; LSCI, laser speckle contrast imaging; SDF, sidestream dark-field.

Study population

All patients included in this thesis underwent surgery for hepatic tumors at Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden. All elective liver resections in the region of Skåne, Blekinge and part of Småland and Halland are carried out at this center, resulting in around 125 liver operations per year, of which approximately 80% are due to CRLM. In study V, the patient inclusion was limited to residents of the region of Skåne and in studies II, V and VI, the inclusion diagnosis was limited to CRLM. Patient data other than intraoperative measurements were obtained from patient records and radiological imaging assessments according to the normal patient follow-up program. In the case of missing data for non-Skåne patients (different record system), these data were requested from the domicile hospital; alternatively, a journey was made there to collect the data.
Microcirculation measurements

SDF and LSCI Systems

In study I, a hand-held SDF imaging microscope (MicroScan Video Microscope System, MicroScan BV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) was used to measure sinusoidal blood flow. By connecting the microscope to an analog-digital capture device (ADVC110, Grass Valley USA, LLC, San Francisco, USA) the SDF imaging microscope image was converted to a digital signal and then recorded (25 frames per sec) to a standard laptop computer using a video capture and vascular analysis software package (AVA 3.0, MicroScan BV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

In study II, a commercially available LSCI instrument (MoorFLPI Speckle Contrast Imager, Moor Instruments Ltd, Axminster, UK) was used to acquire liver blood flow data. To be able to place the instrument steadily over the operation area, the instrument was mounted on an adjustable stand and coated with a sterile drape (3M™ Steri-Drape™; 3M Health Care, St Paul, MN, USA).

Clinical Design

All patients scheduled for open liver resection owing to liver tumors (only CRLM in study II) were considered for inclusion. Propofol and fentanyl was used to induce anesthesia and it was sustained using desflurane, isoflurane or sevoflurane, with or without nitrous oxide and fentanyl. Positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) was set to 5 mmHg. A right subcostal incision with or without a cranial extension to the xiphoid process was used for liver admission. During liver parenchymal transection PEEP was generally set to zero and the aim was to keep CVP to 5 mmHg or lower. A sling was located around the hepatoduodenal ligament admitting the portal vein and hepatic artery to be blocked by temporarily tightening the sling (Pringle’s maneuver) when indicated.153

In study I, the tip of the instrument was covered with a sterile 10 mm diameter, disposable lens cap (MicroScan Lens, MicroVision Medical, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and the rest of the probe and about 2 m of the cable system was encased in sterile foil (Video camera laser drape; Microtek Medical BV, Zutphen, The Netherlands). Intraoperative measurements were made, first after the liver had been exposed and mobilized from its diaphragmatic attachments and second straight after the liver resection had been completed. Preceding the measurements, the liver capsule was removed from an area of approximately two times two cm so as to get the probe closer to the liver parenchyma and achieve sharper pictures. Every measurement involved measuring on three places (region of interest, ROI) on a liver area not to be
resected, usually the center of Couinaud’s segment three or five.\textsuperscript{213} Each ROI was recorded for 20 s during apnea, with the SDF imaging probe applied as softly as possible to the liver parenchyma to minimize compression and allow stable pictures (figure 10).\textsuperscript{214}

\textbf{Figure 10.} Intraoperative microcirculation measurement with the SDF imaging probe gently applied on the liver parenchyma.

In study II, intraoperative LSCI measurements were performed with the instrument at a distance of 20 cm above the exposed liver surface, allowing a capture of approximately 12×16 cm illuminated with 785 nm laser light from the instrument. Hepatic circulation was represented by the generated speckle contrast captured by the camera. In order to filter source reflections interfering with the blood flow signal, a polarization filter was used. Measurements were made for 10 sec with and without apnea, first with normal liver blood inflow and successively after three minutes of blood inflow occlusion (Pringle’s maneuver).
Data Analysis

In study I, video sequence analysis was conducted using the same software package used for video capture (AVA 3.0). Images were digitally stabilized and quality enhanced by altering the contrast and background gray level. After manual identification of three randomly allocated vessels in each recorded sequence, analysis of RBCV was conducted using automatically made space-time diagrams.\textsuperscript{215} SD was determined and FSD was calculated as length of perfused vessels per observation unit area.\textsuperscript{216} A mean for every patient was made and differences between before and after resection were calculated for the three variables.

In study II, computer software (moorFLPI Review V3.0, Moor Instruments Ltd) was used for blood flow analysis. A normal video sequence accompanied the speckle contrast images enabling an ROI definition representing the part of the liver surface visible to the laser speckle instrument. Hepatic perfusion was given in the raw flux value LSPU. Total hepatic signal (THS) was calculated as a mean flux of the chosen ROI over 10 seconds under apnea. Figure 11 shows an example of LSCI images of the liver without and with blood inflow occlusion. Zero inflow signal (ZIS) was expressed as measurements during blood inflow occlusion and apnea. Hepatic blood flow (HBF) was obtained by subtracting ZIS from THS.

![Figure 11. LSCI images of the liver. Hepatic blood flow is represented by the color, (a) with normal blood inflow and (b) with blood inflow occlusion. The ROI is marked with dotted red lines.](image-url)
DRS measurements

DRS System

The instrumentation setup consisted of a probe cylinder connected to a light source and two spectrometers further connected to a laptop computer (figure 12). Light was emitted from around 360 to 2000 nm using a tungsten halogen light source (Ocean Optics HL-2000-HP; Ocean Optics, Dunedin, Fla., USA). A custom-designed, 10 mm diameter, trifurcated fiber bundle probe was used and at the top a 25 mm probe cylinder was attached in order to minimize pressure effects, foreclose encompassed light and stabilize the probe. The fiber bundle contained a single 400 µm diameter lighting fiber in the center and ten gathering 200 µm diameter fibers placed in a ring with a distance of 2.5 mm from the center. Figure 13 shows a detail image of the probe and the probe cylinder. By using two spectrometers concurrently, spectra were obtained in the range 400 – 1600 nm. Every other gathering fiber was connected to a spectrometer in the visible wavelength range (Ocean Optics QE6500-VIS-NIR) and the others were connected to a spectrometer in the near-infrared range (Ocean Optics NIRQuest512). To acquire data and control the spectrometers, a computer software (Ocean Optics Ocean-View) was used on a standard laptop computer.

Figure 12. Schematic picture of DRS instrumentation.
Mathematical Model

The reduced scattering amplitude at a specific wavelength set to 800 nm (\(\lambda_0\)) was described as 
\[
\mu_s'(\lambda) = \mu_s'(\lambda_0)(\rho(\lambda/\lambda_0)^{-b} + (1 - \rho)(\lambda/\lambda_0)^{-4})
\]
where the scaling factor \(\mu_s'(\lambda_0)\) corresponds to the reduced scattering amplitude at this specific wavelength, \(b\) the Mie scattering slope and \(\rho\) denotes the Mie-to-Rayleigh fraction of scattering and thus constituting the wavelength dependence of scattering in terms of the individual influences of Rayleigh and Mie scattering.\(^{217}\) The absorption coefficient within liver tissue was assumed to be approximated by the linear combination 
\[
\mu_a^{\text{Tissue}}(\lambda) = \mu_a^{\text{Blood}}(\lambda) + \mu_a^{\text{WL}}(\lambda) + \mu_a^{\text{Other}}(\lambda)
\]
when using available absorption spectra from the literature.\(^{209, 212, 218-221}\) \(\mu_a^{\text{Blood}}(\lambda)\) is made up of absorption of fully oxygenated and deoxygenated blood, \(\mu_a^{\text{WL}}(\lambda)\) is composed of absorption coefficients of water and lipid and \(\mu_a^{\text{Other}}(\lambda)\) is represented by the absorption of bile and collagen.
Clinical Design

Patients scheduled for open liver resection owing to liver tumors were considered for inclusion. Propofol and fentanyl were used to induce anesthesia and this was sustained using desflurane, isoflurane or sevoflurane, with or without nitrous oxide and fentanyl. PEEP was set to 5 mmHg. A right subcostal incision with or without a cranial extension to the xiphoid process was used for liver admission.

A standard calibration procedure was performed using a spectrally flat, white reflectance standard (Spectralon SRS-99-010; Labsphere Inc., North Sutton, N.H., USA). By using the same integration time in the calibration and measurement procedures, fixed integration times were enabled. To warm up the light source and the spectrometers, they were left on for at least 15 min prior to the measurements. The probe and approximately 2 m of the cable system were covered in sterile foil (Video camera laser drape; Microtek Medical BV, Zutphen, The Netherlands).

In study III, DRS measurements were made on the excised liver within ten min after resection. Firstly, measurements were made with an intact capsule on the liver parenchyma followed by measurements with the capsule removed on about the same location. Secondly, measurements were made alongside a newly cut surface through the macroscopically normal tissue in 5 mm sections starting from 0 mm up to a maximum of 30 mm depending on tissue thickness. Finally, measurements were made directly on tumor tissue.

In study IV, intraoperative measurements were made directly after the liver had been exposed and mobilized from its diaphragmatic attachments and consisted of measurements with the custom-made probe gently applied to multiple locations spread over the liver surface (figure 14). The total time for the measuring procedure was about five min.
Data Analysis

Both raw tissue spectra $S(\lambda_i)$ and calibration spectra $C(\lambda_i)$ were background corrected by subtracting the acquired background spectra $B(\lambda_i)$ and the diffuse reflectance spectra $R(\lambda_i)$ was then calculated via standard intensity normalization $R(\lambda_i) = (S(\lambda_i) - B(\lambda_i))/(C(\lambda_i) - B(\lambda_i))$. A matching factor was calculated in order to form continuous spectra from 400 to 1600 nm. The measured diffuse reflectance spectra were fitted to an analytical model first used by Farrell et al. over the wavelength range from 550 to 1450 nm in order to estimate scattering parameters and chromophore volumes.\textsuperscript{210} This is a single-source analytical light propagation model requiring the known wavelength-dependent absorption coefficients and the distance between the emitting and the collecting fibers of the probe as input arguments.\textsuperscript{210, 219, 222, 223} A standard nonlinear least squares fitting algorithm available in the MATLAB software package (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA) was used for calculations.
Liver regeneration

All consecutive patients domiciled in the region of Skåne with CRLM who underwent a major hepatectomy during a six-year period were included. Major hepatectomy was defined as resection of three or more of Couinaud’s segments. Data were obtained retrospectively from patient records and radiological examinations from the normal patient follow-up program were reviewed.

Pre- and postoperative liver volumes were estimated using CT or MRI transversal plane images. The liver contour on all image slices was manually outlined and the area was automatically calculated (figure 15). Every image slice was multiplied with the section thickness (normally 5 mm) and these were then accumulated to obtain the liver volume. The most recent available images prior to surgery were selected as preoperative images and in the case of PVE, the most recent available images prior to PVE were chosen instead. Postoperative images were based on images from the closest to one year after surgery. The functional liver volume (FLV) was calculated by subtracting the metastasis volume from the liver volume. The %FLV_{post/pre-op} was defined as the ratio of post- and preoperative FLV.

Body surface area (BSA) was calculated as BSA (cm$^2$) = weight$^{0.425}$ (kg) $\times$ height$^{0.725}$ (cm) $\times$ 71.84.$^{224}$ Postoperative liver insufficiency was defined as a peak postoperative bilirubin $>50$ µmol/l and a peak postoperative INR $\geq$1.7.

Figure 15. Picture of CT transversal plane images showing (a) preoperative liver area measurement and (b) post right hepatectomy liver area measurement about one year after surgery.
Incisional hernia

All consecutive patients operated with laparotomy due to CRLM during a four-year period were included. Patient records and CT imaging examinations were retrospectively reviewed for data collection. The latest preoperative CT examination and all CT examinations from the normal patient follow-up program were evaluated. Incisional hernia was defined as a discontinuity in the abdominal fascia observed on a CT scan. The localization of a subcostal hernia was defined as lateral, mid-subcostal or midline. Figure 16 shows a typical midline incisional hernia CT image.

Preoperative total muscle area (TMA) was automatically calculated after a manual trace on the third lumbar level CT transversal plane image. By correcting for height, skeletal muscle index (SMI) was then calculated. Skeletal muscle depletion was defined as SMI <43.75 cm²/m² for men and <41.1 cm²/m² for women. Body fat percentage was calculated as body weight (kg) – (0.3 × TMA (cm²))/body weight (kg).

Preoperative chemotherapy was defined as chemotherapy administration within 90 days before surgery and postoperative chemotherapy was defined as chemotherapy administration within 90 days after surgery. The 30-day morbidity was classified according to Clavien-Dindo.

The liver was normally accessed through an extended right subcostal incision, i.e. a right subcostal incision normally 4-5 cm caudal of the costal margin with a midline cranial extension to the xiphoid process normally measuring 4-8 cm. If necessary, the incision was prolonged to the left, resulting in a Mercedes incision. A major resection was defined as resection of ≥ 3 Couinaud’s segments. A running no. 0 PDS suture (Johnsson & Johnsson, Diegem, Belgium) was used to close the abdominal wall fascia in two layers.
Figure 16. CT transversal plane image showing a typical midline incisional hernia.

Histological analysis

The excised liver was immediately after resection fixed in 4% formalin for later histological analysis, except in study III were ex-vivo DRS measurements were first made. All histological analyses were made by one liver pathologist, who had no information on the patient’s clinical data. Analyses were made using hematoxylin and eosin stain or trichrome stain and the classification was made as a joint estimate from analyses of multiple sites from the resected part of the liver. The analyses were made as far from the tumor as possible, and trying to avoid anatomical structures. Steatosis was graded as none, mild, moderate or severe according to D’Alessandro et al. depending on the percentage of hepatocytes containing fat. Fibrosis was graded according to Kleiner et al. The Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease Activity Score (NAS) was used to grade steatohepatitis. SOS was defined as a sinusoidal dilatation according to Rubbia-Brandt et al. In study I, a fibrosis grade \( \geq 2 \) was considered significant fibrosis and liver parenchyma damage was defined as any of steatosis, steatohepatitis, SOS or significant fibrosis.
Statistical analysis

Results are in general expressed as median (range or interquartile range) or mean ± standard error of the mean. In study V differences between groups regarding %FLV\textsubscript{post/pre-op} were tested with two-tailed independent sample $t$-tests. Otherwise, a Mann-Whitney $U$-test was used to compare continuous data and Fisher’s exact test or \chi$^2$ test was used for categorical data. Tests on related samples were achieved using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Linear regression analysis was performed to make correlations and a Pearson correlation coefficient, $r$ for parametric variables was computed, while a Spearman correlation coefficient, $r_s$ for non-parametric variables was computed.

In study II, the coefficient of variability (CV) was used to analyze intraindividual heterogeneity of HBF. In study IV, differences across steatosis grades were tested with a Kruskal-Wallis test and when significant a Mann-Whitney $U$-test was made with a post hoc Bonferroni correction. In study VI, incisional hernia incidence was estimated using Kaplan-Meier analysis and the log-rank test was used to compare risk factors. Cox regression analysis was used to calculate hazard ratios and 95 per cent confidence intervals in order to analyze the effect of risk factors on incisional hernia incidence. Factors with a $P < 0.1$ on univariable Cox regression analysis were included in further multivariable analysis.

A $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant throughout all studies. IBM SPSS Statistics versions 19-22 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform all statistical analyses.

Ethics

The Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund approved all included studies. At the intraoperative studies (I, II and IV), the instrument was encased in sterile foil and gently held against the surface of the liver. The methods used were safe for the patient and entailed an extended surgery time of only five to ten minutes. Oral and written informed consent was obtained from all patients in studies I-IV. The surgery treatment was never affected by the intervention of the conducted studies. Participating individuals will consequently have no benefit or disadvantage in participating in the studies. Thus, it is the future liver surgical patients who may potentially benefit from these studies.
Results

Liver tissue characterization

**Hepatic microcirculation (study I and II)**

In study I, 40 patients scheduled for liver resection were included and they were grouped according to whether they were operated with a major \((n = 12)\) or minor \((n = 28)\) resection. Hepatic microcirculation measurements were made in all 40 patients resulting in analyzable SDF imaging film sequences in which flowing red blood cells could be clearly seen in the sinusoids. The intraoperative length of the measuring process was about five min per patient and the following partly manual computer analysis took approximately 30 min per patient.

Table 3 shows patient characteristics and table 4 shows SDF imaging results regarding sinusoidal blood flow velocity and, sinusoidal diameter and sinusoidal density for the two groups major and minor resection. Results considering patients with liver parenchymal damage versus patients with no damage are shown in table 5. No correlation was found between RBCV and CVP or mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) \((r = 0.139, P = 0.393 \text{ and } r = 0.022, P = 0.895)\).
Table 3. Patient characteristics for the major and minor resection groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major resection</th>
<th>Minor resection</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67.5 (61-74)</td>
<td>66.5 (42-83)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.8 (17.7-34.8)</td>
<td>26.2 (20.2-38.1)</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with diabetes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary cancer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal metastases</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other malignancy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative serum bilirubin (µmol/l)</td>
<td>6 (3-15)</td>
<td>7.5 (3-24)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative INR</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9-1.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9-1.4)</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative bleeding (ml)</td>
<td>575 (200-3800)</td>
<td>225 (25-3200)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum bilirubin POD3 (µmol/l)</td>
<td>31 (14-69)</td>
<td>11.5 (4-31)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR POD3</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9-1.8)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver parenchyma damage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steatosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steatohepatitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median (range). BMI, body mass index; POD, postoperative day; SOS, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome.

Table 4. SDF imaging results for the two groups major and minor resection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major resection</th>
<th>Minor resection</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cell velocity (µm/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before resection</td>
<td>196 (136-464)</td>
<td>178 (118-329)</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After resection</td>
<td>338 (231-483)</td>
<td>217 (104-505)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>121 (19-253)</td>
<td>44 (-113-221)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusoidal diameter (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before resection</td>
<td>12.3 (11.7-14.7)</td>
<td>12.3 (10.7-15.7)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After resection</td>
<td>11.5 (11.0-15.0)</td>
<td>11.8 (10.0-16.0)</td>
<td>0.896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>-0.33 (-1.3-0)</td>
<td>-0.5 (-4.3-3.0)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional sinusoidal density (mm/mm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before resection</td>
<td>21.8 (16.2-25.6)</td>
<td>21.4 (14.6-26.4)</td>
<td>0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After resection</td>
<td>22.8 (17.2-26.4)</td>
<td>23.2 (15.8-27.6)</td>
<td>0.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>1.0 (-1.2-3.9)</td>
<td>1.5 (-5.9-6.8)</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median (range). SDF, sidestream dark-field.
Table 5. SDF imaging results for patients with liver parenchymal damage versus patient with no damage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Damage (n = 11)</th>
<th>No damage (n = 27)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cell velocity (µm/s)</td>
<td>225 (148-464)</td>
<td>161 (118-329)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusoidal diameter (µm)</td>
<td>12.7 (11.7-15.7)</td>
<td>12.0 (10.7-14.7)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional sinusoidal density (mm/mm²)</td>
<td>20.4 (14.6 -22.3)</td>
<td>22.2 (17.9 -26.4)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median (range). SDF, sidestream dark-field.

In study II, ten consecutive patients (eight men and two women) undergoing liver resection for CRLM were included. The median age was 68 (range 52-77) years and BMI was 27.5 ± 1.2 kg/m². A preoperative hepatic function test assessed by indocyanine green clearance was normal in all patients. All patients’ hepatic microcirculation measurements successfully resulted in applicatory speckle contrast images. The LSCI raw flux signal for the chosen ROI for one typical patient in four different stages is shown in figure 17. Table 6 shows THS and CV for all patients. Mean intraindividual CV was 25%. Mean HBF was 410 ± 36 LSPU and the interindividual CV was 28%. Figure 18 shows HBF for all patients. The THS (682 ± 37 LSPU) was made up of the sum of ZIS (272 ± 25 LSPU) and HBF. ZIS constituted 40 ± 4% of THS. No significant correlation between ZIS and BMI could be found (r = -0.58, P = 0.081).
Figure 17. Laser speckle contrast imaging measurements for one patient during (a) no interventions, (b) apnea (THS), (c) vascular inflow occlusion and (d) apnea and vascular inflow occlusion (ZIS). LSPU, laser speckle perfusion units; THS, total hepatic signal; ZIS, zero inflow signal.

Table 6. Laser speckle measurement variability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>THS (LSPU)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>26,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>25,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>29,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>26,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>22,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>20,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>21,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>24,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>29,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>26,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THS, total hepatic signal; CV, coefficient of variability; LSPU, laser speckle perfusion units.
Figure 18. Hepatic blood flow (HBF) for each patient. LSPU, laser speckle perfusion units.

**DRS measurements (study III and IV)**

In study III, measurements were made on 18 patients’ resected liver tissue resulting in 960 DRS spectra from 192 points. Depth measurements were made on 11 patients, capsular measurements on 15 patients and tumor measurements on 15 patients. Out of the 192 measurement points, 54 were made on tumor tissue. Eleven patients were male and seven were female, with a median age of 69.5 years (range 45 – 78 years). Diagnosis was CRLM in 14 patients, HCC in three patients, and duodenal cancer metastases in one patient. Figure 19 shows typical DRS spectra as a function of wavelength for with/without liver capsule, surface/cross-section and tumor/healthy liver tissue. DRS factors for tumor versus healthy liver tissue are shown in figure 20.

In table 7, relevant model analysis results regarding capsule versus no capsule and surface versus cross-sectional measurements are shown. When measuring through the liver capsule the blood volume fraction was $8.4 \pm 3.5\%$, the lipid volume fraction was $9.9 \pm 4.7\%$ and the bile volume fraction was $8.2 \pm 4.6\%$. 
Figure 19. Typical DRS spectra of (a) liver tissue with and without liver capsule, (b) liver tissue surface and cross-section and (c) liver tissue with capsule and tumor without capsule.

Figure 20. Boxplots of liver tissue parameters versus tumor tissue parameters.
Table 7. DRS results for with/without capsule and surface/cross-section measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Capsule Difference with/without</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Depth Difference</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced scattering coefficient at 800 nm (cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>-0.33 (-1.28 – 0.46)</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>-0.51 (-1.14 – 0.63)</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mie to total scattering fraction (%)</td>
<td>0.83 (-12.97 – 9.78)</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>-0.02 (-11.48 – 3.19)</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood volume fraction (%)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.75 – 2.77)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.58 (-1.78 – 1.20)</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid volume fraction (%)</td>
<td>-0.54 (-2.97 – 0.32)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>-1.04 (-2.82 – 1.47)</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile volume fraction (%)</td>
<td>-0.15 (-1.06 – 1.24)</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>-0.31 (-0.49 – 2.48)</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) calculated from the average differences in each patient. DRS, diffuse reflectance spectroscopy.

In study IV, 38 patients were included resulting in 1210 DRS spectra from 242 measurement points that were analyzed. Twenty-three patients were male and 15 were female, with a median age of 67.5 years (range 41 – 82 years). Diagnosis was CRLM in 28 patients, HCC in four patients, other malignant tumors in three patients and benign tumors in three patients. Twenty patients had received preoperative chemotherapy. There were 590 DRS spectra (18 patients), which originated from histologically non-steatotic livers, 475 (15 patients) originated from mildly steatotic liver, 80 (three patients) originated from moderately steatotic livers and 65 (two patients) originated from severely steatotic livers. Mean DRS spectra from all measurements categorized by steatosis grade are shown in figure 21. In table 8, relevant model analysis results are shown for steatosis grade none-to-mild versus steatosis grade moderate-to-severe. Figure 22 shows a boxplot of the volumetric DRS ratio factor lipid/(lipid+water) for the different steatosis grades.
**Figure 21.** Mean diffuse reflectance spectroscopy spectra of all measurements categorized according to steatosis grade. It is the curve shape around 1200 nm that is most influenced by lipid.

**Table 8.** Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy results for steatosis grade none-to-mild versus steatosis grade moderate-to-severe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None and mild</th>
<th>Moderate and severe</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced scattering coeff.</td>
<td>13.7 (12.0 – 15.9)</td>
<td>18.2 (14.3 – 50.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mie to total scattering</td>
<td>71.0 (60.7 – 77.5)</td>
<td>89.3 (84.3 – 96.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mie slope</td>
<td>0.35 (0.00 – 1.04)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.00 – 0.24)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid / (Lipid + Water)</td>
<td>10.1 (7.6 – 12.7)</td>
<td>28.9 (19.4 – 43.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood volume (%)</td>
<td>8.5 (6.3 – 10.7)</td>
<td>7.2 (5.5 – 8.6)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile volume (%)</td>
<td>13.1 (10.7 – 17.2)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.4 – 6.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median (interquartile range).
Figure 22. Boxplot of the volumetric diffuse reflectance spectroscopy ratio factor lipid/(lipid+water) for the different steatosis grades. Post hoc Bonferroni P-values presented.
Influence of chemotherapy in liver surgery

Liver regeneration (study V)

In this study, 74 consecutive patients with CRLM who were operated with major hepatectomy were included. Patients were grouped according to whether they had \((n = 34)\) or had not \((n = 40)\) obtained chemotherapy within three months prior to surgery. Table 9 shows patient characteristics and perioperative data. Chemotherapy regimen combinations are presented in table 10. Liver volumes before and after surgery for the two groups are shown in table 11. As shown in figure 23, a linear correlation was found for regenerated volume and the time interval between the end of chemotherapy and surgery. Figure 24 shows correlations between regenerated volume and patient age for the two groups. No differences regarding the ratio of preoperative FLV and BSA between groups could be found \((P = 0.80)\).

Patients treated with versus without bevacizumab presented no difference in \(\%FLV_{\text{post/pre-op}}\) \((88 \pm 6\% \text{ versus } 83 \pm 3, \text{ } P = 0.43)\). Nor did patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy show any different liver regeneration compared to patients who received no adjuvant management \((88 \pm 2\% \text{ versus } 87 \pm 4, \text{ } P = 0.76)\). No significant disparity in \(\%FLV_{\text{post/pre-op}}\) among patients who underwent PVE and received preoperative chemotherapy versus patients receiving preoperative chemotherapy alone could be found \((89 \pm 4\% \text{ versus } 82 \pm 4, \text{ } P = 0.22)\).

Patients who passed through postoperative liver insufficiency \((n = 13, \text{ of whom five received preoperative chemotherapy})\) had a significantly lower \(\%FLV_{\text{post/pre-op}}\) than patients with no liver insufficiency \((79 \pm 3\% \text{ versus } 89 \pm 2, \text{ } P = 0.013)\). No disparities considering morbidity between groups could be found \((P = 0.35)\).
Table 9. Patient characteristics and perioperative data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No chemotherapy</th>
<th>Chemotherapy</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male:Female)</td>
<td>21:19</td>
<td>19:15</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66 (46-86)</td>
<td>62 (42-74)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.5±0.8</td>
<td>24.0±0.4</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of diabetes patients</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis volume (ml)</td>
<td>66±18</td>
<td>29±9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of metastases</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>2 (0-7)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of largest metastasis (mm)</td>
<td>48 (0-120)</td>
<td>25 (12-99)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with PVE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of chemotherapy cycles</td>
<td>7 (2-28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to surgery after chemotherapy (days)</td>
<td>40 (20-88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of resection (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right-sided hepatectomy ± atypical resection</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extended right-sided hepatectomy ± atypical resection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left-sided hepatectomy ± atypical resection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative bleeding [ml]</td>
<td>700 (100-15000)</td>
<td>1000(250-4000)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>8 (5-79)</td>
<td>9 (5-19)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak postoperative bilirubin (µmol/l)</td>
<td>32 (12-202)</td>
<td>35 (13-127)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak postoperative INR</td>
<td>1.6 (0.9-2.1)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1-2.6)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from operation to postoperative image (days)</td>
<td>326 (127-822)</td>
<td>315 (188-593)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) or median (range). BMI, body mass index; PVE, portal vein embolization.
Table 10. Chemotherapy regimen combinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemotherapy regimen</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-FU + oxaliplatin</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FU + oxaliplatin + bevacizumab</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FU + oxaliplatin + panitumumab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FU + oxaliplatin followed by 5-FU + irinotecan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FU + irinotecan</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FU + irinotecan + cetuximab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other combination</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-FU, 5-fluorouracil.

Table 11. Liver volumes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No chemotherapy</th>
<th>Chemotherapy</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLV before resection (ml)</td>
<td>1521±50</td>
<td>1556±47</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆FLV (ml)</td>
<td>-135±35</td>
<td>-278±32</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FLV post/pre-op (%)</td>
<td>91±2</td>
<td>83±2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SEM. FLV signifies functional liver volume. ∆FLV denotes the paired volume difference in FLV between after and before resection. %FLV post/pre-op is defined as the ratio of post- and preoperative FLV.

Figure 23. Correlation between regenerated volume and the time interval between cessation of chemotherapy and surgery. A linear correlation was found (r = 0.37, P = 0.031).
Figure 24. Correlation between regenerated volume and the patient’s age for (a) patients without chemotherapy and (b) patients with chemotherapy. Negative linear correlations were found ($r = -0.36$, $P = 0.023$ and $r = -0.558$, $P = 0.0001$, respectively).

**Incisional hernia (study VI)**

In this study, 256 patients operated with laparotomy for CRLM between 2010 and 2013 were included. Incisional hernia was found in 78 patients (30.5%) and a Kaplan-Maier estimated rate was found to be 34.9% at 60 months. The median follow-up time was 13 (range 2 – 59) months. Table 12 shows patient characteristics and perioperative data for the two groups with and without incisional hernia. Incisions were extended right subcostal (198 patients, 77.3%), Mercedes (52 patients, 20.3%) and midline (3 patients, 1.2%). Hernia locations were midline solitary in 66 patients (84.6%), midline plus mid-subcostal or lateral in eight patients (10.3%) and lateral in three patients (3.8%). The median length of follow-up until a hernia was identified on CT was 7 (range 1 – 27) months. Among patients with incisional hernia prior to current liver surgery (n = 36), 24 had hernia after colorectal resection and 12 had hernia after previous liver resection, 23 developed incisional hernia (log-rank $P < 0.0001$, figure 25).

Preoperative chemotherapy was oxaliplatin-based in 75 (54%) patients and irinotecan-based in 34 (24%) patients. Twenty-four (17%) patients were treated with multiple chemotherapy regimens. Patients with prolonged preoperative chemotherapy (> 6 cycles) were more inclined to develop incisional hernia (log-rank $P = 0.025$, figure 26). Eleven out of 19 (58%) patients receiving preoperative bevacizumab developed incisional hernia (log-rank $P < 0.0001$, figure 27). Eight out of 23 patients with surgical site infection developed incisional hernia without a statistical difference as compared to patients without infection (log-rank $P = 0.313$). Obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m2) (n = 32) was not found to have any impact on incisional hernia incidence (log-rank $P = 0.340$). Table 13 shows univariable and multivariable hazard ratio analysis of risk factors for incisional hernia development.
Table 12. Patient characteristics categorized as with and without incisional hernia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incisional hernia</th>
<th>No incisional hernia</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male:female)</td>
<td>48:30</td>
<td>110:68</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68 (37-82)</td>
<td>68 (35-85)</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.0 (18.4-41.1)</td>
<td>25.0 (17.7-38.2)</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total muscle area (mm²)</td>
<td>13360 (6391-20599)</td>
<td>13350 (5460-21906)</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal muscle depletion</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat (mm)</td>
<td>12 (3-34)</td>
<td>12 (2-35)</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage (%)</td>
<td>39.1 (19.2-57.2)</td>
<td>38.0 (8.6-66.6)</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of chemotherapy cycles</td>
<td>5 (1-16)</td>
<td>5 (1-13)</td>
<td>0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy &gt; 6 cycles</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative bevacizumab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous liver resection</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional hernia before surgery</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade (1/2:3/4)</td>
<td>54:24</td>
<td>129:49</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>38 (25-46)</td>
<td>38 (24-47)</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative creatinine (µmol/l)</td>
<td>73.5 (36-132)</td>
<td>73.0 (31-150)</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time (hours)</td>
<td>4.76 (1.0-9.8)</td>
<td>4.75 (1.1-13.0)</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative bleeding (ml)</td>
<td>350 (25-2000)</td>
<td>300 (25-8000)</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incision type (ERSI:Mercedes)</td>
<td>60:16</td>
<td>138:36</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major resection</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>7 (3-34)</td>
<td>7 (2-76)</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional surgical site infection</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote infection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbidity (Clavien-Dindo ≥ 3)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative chemotherapy</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as number or median (range). ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; ERSI, extended right subcostal incision.
Figure 25. Kaplan-Maier plot of incisional hernia incidence for patients with (red curve) and without (blue curve) incisional hernia before surgery. P <0.0001 (log-rank test).

Figure 26. Kaplan-Maier plot of incisional hernia incidence for patients with more than six cycles of preoperative chemotherapy (red curve) and patients receiving six or fewer cycles of preoperative chemotherapy (blue curve). P =0.025 (log-rank test).
Figure 27. Kaplan-Maier plot of incisional hernia incidence for patients receiving (red curve) preoperative bevacizumab or not (blue curve). $P < 0.0001$ (log-rank test).
Table 13. Cox proportional hazard analysis of risk factors for incisional hernia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Univariable</th>
<th>Multivariable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male:female)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.68-1.71)</td>
<td>0.736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 70</td>
<td>1.20 (0.76-1.90)</td>
<td>0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>0.82 (0.45-1.49)</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.23 (0.65-2.33)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index &gt; 26kg/m²</td>
<td>1.55 (0.99-2.41)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat &gt; 20 mm</td>
<td>1.34 (0.74-2.43)</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1.74 (0.96-3.15)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal muscle depletion</td>
<td>0.76 (0.48-1.21)</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy</td>
<td>1.23 (0.78-1.93)</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy &gt; 6 cycles</td>
<td>1.96 (1.08-3.57)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative bevacizumab</td>
<td>3.55 (1.85-6.69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous liver resection</td>
<td>2.11 (1.20-3.71)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional hernia before surgery</td>
<td>3.58 (2.20-5.84)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade (1/2:3/4)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.72-1.90)</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incision type (ERSI:Mercedes)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.66-1.90)</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional surgical site infection</td>
<td>1.45 (0.70-3.02)</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection size (minor:major)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.81-2.07)</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbidity (Clavien-Dindo ≥ 3)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.55-2.20)</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative chemotherapy</td>
<td>0.87 (0.54-1.42)</td>
<td>0.583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; ERSI, extended right subcostal incision.
Discussion

The first part of this thesis deals with different methods of intraoperative liver tissue characterization and the second part covers different clinical aspects of chemotherapy impact on liver surgery. The liver tissue characterization studies were continuous cross-sectional studies while the studies of chemotherapy impact on liver surgery were retrospective cohort studies.

Liver tissue characterization

Many patients have reduced liver functionality. Patients with HCC often have an underlying fibrosis or cirrhosis. However, the majority of patients who undergo liver surgery in the Western world have CRLM, most of which has been treated with preoperative chemotherapy with the risk of steatosis, steatohepatitis and SOS. These types of liver damage may be challenging to determine prior to surgery, and previously unknown liver damage may be found or suspected during surgery. Our aim is to find a method that can provide a reliable assessment of these types of liver damage in order to give the surgeon the ability to modify the surgical procedure or strategy.

Microcirculation measurements

It is well known that liver damage negatively influences postoperative outcome with increased morbidity and even increased mortality. However, the pathophysiological way in which liver damage affects the postoperative process is not known. One possibility is that hepatic microcirculation becomes affected. It has been shown that the liver regeneration cascade after liver resection in rats is triggered by an alteration in sinusoidal blood flow.

In study I, we used SDF imaging to assess liver microcirculation. Our measurements showed that liver resection caused an increase in RBCV, where major resections had a greater increase than minor resections. This conforms with previous rat studies. Furthermore, we found that both the major and minor resection groups had a
decrease in SD and a small increase in FSD after liver resection. This is also in line with previous animal studies results. However, one explanation for the reduced SD could be that only the diameter of the red blood cell column is assessed with SDF imaging since SDF imaging does not visualize the sinusoidal wall but only contrasts between red blood cells and other tissue. Consequently, a higher RBCV could possibly lead to closer alignment of the red blood cells within the sinusoid, making the cell-free plasma layer to increase in size.

Our measurements show that SDF imaging could potentially be used to assess histological liver damage intraoperatively. Although in our study, patients with histological parenchyma damage were too few to be individually analyzed and had to be grouped together as a heterogeneous group consisting of patients with steatosis, steatohepatitis, SOS and fibrosis, patients with histological liver parenchymal damage were found to have increased RBCV, decreased FSD and greater SD compared to patients without liver damage. Increased RBCV has previously been observed in cirrhotic rats but steatosis and steatohepatitis have been associated with decreased sinusoidal blood flow. The decrease in FSD may be caused by increased fat and fibrosis content, resulting in less space being available to contain vessels. This is consistent with the findings in different animal models. We also found SD to be increased in livers with parenchymal damage. We speculate that this could be the result of irregular vessel walls (the irregularity due to inflammation and fibrosis) causing non-laminar blood flow.

We found RBCV to be generally lower in comparison with previous studies using OPS imaging on human liver. Still, the result is consistent with studies on rat liver. We also found larger SD and more minor FSD than others but we used a newer measuring technique and we also removed the obscuring liver capsule.

This is the first time histologically damaged liver in humans has been identified using in vivo microcirculation measurements. To our knowledge, no previously studies have been made in humans showing alterations in hepatic microcirculation after a liver resection.

The primary limitation of the study is the limited number of patients and in particular the number of patients with single histological parenchyma damages. Only 11 patients were found to have liver parenchyma damage, which were far too few to be statistically analyzed individually. Another limitation of the study is that there was no uniform anesthesia protocol. It has been shown that different anesthetic agents can affect liver microcirculation. However, these studies compared halothane, which was not used in our study, with isoflurane and enflurane. In our study the anesthetic agents desflurane, isoflurane and sevoflurane were used. No difference in hepatic blood flow was found when comparing isoflurane and desflurane. Liver microcirculation could also be affected by other anesthesia factors such as the amount
of intravenous fluids and hemodynamic stability. However, we believe these factors to be represented by CVP and MAP, and we found no correlation of these to RBCV.

We had to remove a 2×2 cm part of the liver capsule to be able to get a sharp view of the liver microcirculation. Pre-study tests through the capsule only showed a blurred parenchyma which was inadequate for use for evaluation purposes. Only Rauchfuss et al. describe the same experience from OPS measurements on human liver while others do not seem to have this problem. Yet the primary limitation of the method is its sensitivity to motion and pressure artifacts. Respiratory movements can be managed by temporarily stopping ventilation during image recording but propagating heart movements poses some problems. Image stabilization in the analysis software can help to limit this interference to an acceptable level though. Although we applied the SDF-probe only gently to the liver parenchyma with as little pressure as possible, we cannot exclude the possibility that the microcirculation was affected by compression of tissue vessels.

Driven by the fact that microcirculation may be affected by a contact method, we wanted to evaluate a non-contact method of microcirculation measurements. LSCI allows fast, non-contact microcirculatory measurements over wide areas. LSCI has previously been validated for measurement of hepatic microcirculation in an animal model.

In study II, we used LSCI to perform intraoperative liver microcirculation measurements in a pilot study on ten patients. Using this technique, the results are not given in a quantitative unit but are expressed as a raw flux value (LSPU). This differs from clinical microcirculation measurements with SDF imaging. Our measurements showed a relatively large intraindividual heterogeneity for hepatic blood flow with an intraindividual CV of 25%. Similar results have been found in the rat, using laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF) with several measuring points. Accordingly, it is an advantage for LSCI as a method, which uses a larger measuring area, thereby making it less sensitive to single point deviations. The greatest benefit of the method however, is the non-contact feasibility that allows measurements without interfering with the microcirculation due to pressure effects.

Although the preoperative liver function tests showed no abnormalities and all patients had a normal liver on intraoperative visual inspection, there were substantial differences in hepatic blood flow between patients with an interindividual CV of 28%. Still, hepatic microcirculation measurements using LDF and OPS have resulted in high values as well. Also other methods for liver blood perfusion estimation such as MRI and liver blood inflow measurements have shown large interindividual variations. Hence, there seem to be a high intersubject variability in hepatic blood flow.
The main limitations of this study consist of the small number of included patients and the fact that we did not compare the LSCI results with any other microcirculatory measurement method. A major limitation of the method is its sensitivity to movement artifacts. All motions in the recorded surface are registered as microcirculation. Respiratory movements are easily handled by stopping ventilation in the same way as in SDF imaging measurements. However, the heartbeats propagated through the diaphragm to the liver seem to constitute a large part of ZIS. These movements were also obvious to the eye. The ZIS in the present study was significantly larger than a previous study on rat liver and human forearm skin.\(^{198, 247}\)

In studies on the skin, tests have been made using an opaque reference area in order to carry out point-by-point subtraction of artifact signals.\(^{248, 249}\) It should be possible to develop similar solutions in a liver measurement setting as well.

**Optical measurements**

Pronounced liver steatosis is known to form a typical yellow appearance and SOS is characterized by a blue liver discoloration.\(^{113}\) Hence, these hepatic parenchyma changes should be well suited for detection with optical measuring methods. DRS is a fast and portable optical measuring method used in many different areas to detect different pathologies. In collaboration with colleagues from the Faculty of Engineering, LTH, we have developed equipment for clinical DRS measurements.

In study III, we evaluated DRS for surface measurements on 18 patients’ resected liver specimens in an ex vivo setting. Although surface DRS measurements have been performed previously in several settings, it has never been used on human internal organs before.\(^{206, 250}\) Evers and Nachabé et al. have performed DRS measurements on several different human organs including the liver, but they use an invasive technique with a fiber-optic needle.\(^{202, 203, 207, 209, 211, 212}\) We believe that this could be problematic to implement in a clinical setting due to the risk of hemorrhage, in the same way as in needle biopsy.\(^{251}\)

The study objectives were to confirm that we could characterize tumor and liver parenchyma, investigate whether hepatic surface measurements are representative of the whole liver, and investigate the influence of the liver capsule on DRS measurements. An analytical model that has been widely used was used to analyze the measurement data.\(^ {209, 210, 219, 252}\)

Because of our previous problems with the liver capsule when making measurements with SDF imaging, the impact of the capsule on DRS measurements was particularly interesting to us. Measurements through the liver capsule showed a liminal diminished diffuse reflectance in the visible wavelength range and no impact in the near-infrared range (figure 19). There was no influence on the shape of the spectra. When applying the data to the model, the only affected parameter was blood volume.
fraction, which was found to be somewhat greater with the capsule intact. We speculate that this could be due to the process of removing the capsule, since some subcapsular blood could be removed with it.

Surface DRS measurements are limited to the most superficial part of the parenchyma with a penetration depth of 7 mm at most. According to our measurements, liver parenchyma composition was the same at the surface as in cross-section and we found nothing implying that the parenchyma structure was inhomogeneously distributed. Therefore, spread surface measurements should be representative of the whole normal liver.

In our study, tumor could easily be separated from liver parenchyma by observing DRS spectra (figure 19). The difference was especially clear in the wavelength range where hemoglobin and bile are the dominant chromophores between 500 and 900 nm.\textsuperscript{209} Previous studies on human liver parenchyma have revealed that liver parenchyma contains more blood and fat than tumor tissue, which could be verified in this study.\textsuperscript{207, 209} This is most certainly due to the extremely high vascularization of the liver parenchyma.

A limitation of this study is the small number of included patients. However, the total number of collected DRS spectra was high, which strengthens the data trustworthiness. Also, there is a possibility that measurements could result in marginally altered values in an in vivo setting since absorption coefficients vary slightly with temperature. To minimize this risk, measurements were performed in the operating theater directly after the excised liver section had been removed from the patient.

In study IV, intraoperative surface DRS measurements were performed in the interest of hepatic steatosis evaluation. Liver steatosis has a rising prevalence and in addition, preoperative chemotherapy treatment is known to induce steatosis further.\textsuperscript{109, 253} In the present study, 1210 DRS spectra including all histological steatosis grades were analyzed. No prior DRS liver studies have included severe steatosis.

The volumetric ratio parameter of the absorption of fat and water lipid/(lipid+water) turned out to be the most significant parameter to classify grades of steatosis after applying the data to the analytical model described earlier. Lipid/(lipid+water) revealed an obvious discrepancy between mild to moderate and between moderate to severe steatosis (figure 22). No distinction between no steatosis and mild steatosis could be found. Moderate-to-severe steatosis has been shown to impair liver regeneration after liver resection, and moderate or severe steatosis is associated with worse clinical outcome in patients after transplantation.\textsuperscript{254, 255} Moderate steatosis may be tolerable under certain circumstances but severely steatotic livers are generally not acceptable in liver transplantation.\textsuperscript{256} Thus, there is a need to be able to distinguish among none-to-mild, moderate and severe steatosis.
By comparison, between none-to-mild and moderate-to-severe steatosis grade, there were significant differences for all declared parameters (table 8). A higher steatosis grade resulted in a greater lipid/(lipid+water) ratio, which was expected. Bile volume fraction and blood volume fraction were less in moderate-to-severe compared to none-to-mild steatosis grades. We believe that this could be explained by suppression of blood by mechanical compression of sinusoids by fat vacuoles.

In fatty liver disease, homogeneously fatty liver deposition is the most common form but a geographic pattern also occurs as well as more unusual distribution forms such as focal and perivascular. Consequently, multiple site surface measurements should be performed to allow accurate steatosis grading.

The primary weakness of this study was the limited number of patients with moderate-to-severe steatosis. However, multiple site measurements resulted in 145 analyzed spectra in these patients, which should assure high reliability of the data. Also, the histological analysis was not based on the exact area of the measurement points but on the resected region of the liver. No analysis on an individual basis could be made due to the small number of patients with moderate-to-severe steatosis, thus the present study is limited to group level analysis.

The advantage of the method using surface DRS measurements is that multiple measurements may be made, which provides reliable data, and means there is less sensitivity to sampling error than biopsy and single point measurements. In contrast to previous published liver DRS studies, surface measurements allow, to the liver, non-invasive analysis and thus avoiding the risk of hemorrhage.

The primary limitation of the method is the potential impact of probe pressure on the liver parenchyma composition. To minimize this risk, a probe cylinder surrounding the probe was developed in order to distribute tissue pressure more consistently (figure 13). Also, the probe was applied with as little pressure as possible.

Influence of chemotherapy in liver surgery

Study V is a retrospective one in which we investigated the impact of preoperative chemotherapy treatment on liver volume regeneration. The impact of chemotherapy on liver regeneration has mostly been studied in the setting of PVE and the results from these studies are not unequivocal. Hence, the preoperative chemotherapy impact on liver volume regeneration has previously been studied only to a limited extent. In a study by Tanaka et al. the authors found that hepatic volume regeneration after primarily minor liver resections was unaffected by preoperative chemotherapy.
In the present study we included major resections only for the purpose of increasing the probability of finding differences in regeneration due to greater total volume gain.\(^\text{261}\) We found that the regenerated liver volume was lower in patients treated with preoperative chemotherapy. The $\%\text{FLV}_{\text{post/pre-op}}$ was 91% in patients who received no treatment and 83% in patients who had received preoperative chemotherapy treatment. Our regeneration results are in the upper range compared to previous studies on volume regeneration.\(^\text{262-264}\) Although most of the regeneration will take place soon after a resection the process is not completely finished until six months to one year after a hepatectomy.\(^\text{262, 265, 266}\) Therefore, we chose to compare preoperative volumes to the volumes attained approximately one year after surgery.

Theoretically, patients treated with preoperative chemotherapy could have increased preoperative liver volumes due to chemotherapy-induced steatosis since the liver volume has been shown to be proportional to the liver fat content.\(^\text{267}\) However, preoperative chemotherapy did not seem to change the liver volume in our study since the ratio of preoperative liver volume and BSA was the same for the two groups. Furthermore, no difference in liver regeneration was found when comparing patients treated with PVE compared to patients who were not. This is consistent with previous reports.\(^\text{268}\)

Patients with postoperative liver insufficiency, in the form of postoperative peak bilirubin $>50\,\mu\text{mol/l}$ and INR $\geq 1.7$, had significantly lower volume regeneration. This possibly means that liver regeneration is determined shortly after surgery.

The main limitation of this study is due to its retrospective design, since we inevitably may have missed or misjudged some form of bias. Also, the total number of included patients was relatively small. As liver parenchymal histology was not studied, there exists a possibility that patients who received preoperative chemotherapy had impaired liver regeneration due to chemotherapy-induced liver damage such as steatosis, steatohepatitis and SOS. Moreover, although the majority of chemotherapy-treated patients received oxaliplatin-based regimens the total group was inhomogeneous and different regimens may have a different influence on regeneration.

Major liver resection, defined as resection of three or more of Couinaud’s segments, was used as an inclusion criterion, but the type of resection varied slightly between groups. However, no difference has been shown in liver regeneration by comparison between right-sided and left-sided hemihepatectomies.\(^\text{269}\)

It has been shown that patients with incisional hernia experience a lower health-related quality of life on physical components and worse body image.\(^\text{270, 271}\) In study VI, an incisional hernia incidence of 30.5% was found after analyzing 256 patients operated for CRLM. Incisional hernia incidence after liver resection has previously been reported to be between five and 31.5%.\(^\text{182, 186, 187, 272}\) The large spread in reported
incidence is almost certainly due to different diagnostic methods. Studies using CT for diagnosis have similar incidence rates as the present study.\textsuperscript{186, 272}

The abdominal wall closure was made according to guidelines in two layers with a running, slowly absorbable monofilament suture, although not standardized among operating surgeons with regard to the size of the bites for abdominal closure. The vast majority of incisional hernias were where the subcostal incision met the midline or in the midline cranial extension. It has been shown that midline incisions are more disposed to incisional hernias than transverse incisions.\textsuperscript{183} Surgical site infection and obesity are two known risk factors for incisional hernia.\textsuperscript{185} None of these were identified as risk factors in the present study, although BMI $>$26 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} resulted in an almost significant P-value of 0.054 in univariable analysis. However, to detect such a difference, a larger study is probably needed. Also, as this was a retrospective study, there was a risk of underestimating infection incidence.

Multivariable analysis identified three independent risk factors for incisional hernia after open liver resection for CRLM. Preoperative chemotherapy $>$6 cycles resulted in a significant hazard ratio of 2.12. Increased risk for incisional hernia after preoperative chemotherapy has been shown in one previous study, although the authors in that study included different types of abdominal procedures.\textsuperscript{185} The number of chemotherapy cycles is normally limited to six in a neoadjuvant setting.\textsuperscript{59} The most powerful risk factor for incisional hernia was preoperative bevacizumab treatment with a hazard ratio of 3.63. Bevacizumab has previously been suggested to affect wound healing but no previous correlation to incisional hernia has been shown.\textsuperscript{273} Another strong risk factor for incisional hernia was the presence of incisional hernia before liver surgery. The majority of these patients had an incisional hernia after previous colorectal surgery.

The primary limitation of this study is the retrospective design and the relatively few patients with the detected risk factors for incisional hernia. For example, only 19 patients were treated with bevacizumab. Also, the incisional hernia incidence reflects radiological hernias, i.e. no evaluation of the clinical relevance was made.
Conclusions

I. When measuring hepatic microcirculation with sidestream dark-field imaging, liver resection leads to an increase of red blood cell velocity in the sinusoids. Patients with liver parenchymal damage, defined as any of steatosis, steatohepatitis, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome or significant fibrosis, have higher red blood cell velocity, lesser functional sinusoidal density and larger sinusoidal diameter.

II. Laser speckle contrast imaging can potentially be used to achieve intraoperative hepatic microcirculation measurements. However, movement artifact interference problems are considerable and need to be resolved.

III. Surface measurements are a feasible and, to the liver, noninvasive approach to making diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements of the liver. Surface measurements are descriptive for the entire liver and it is possible to perform measurements across the liver capsule.

IV. Liver surface diffuse reflectance spectroscopy measurements enable intraoperative steatosis grade evaluation with explicit distinction between mild-to-moderate and moderate-to-severe steatosis.

V. Preoperative chemotherapy prior to a major liver resection in patients with colorectal liver metastases negatively affects volume regeneration. The time interval between the ending of chemotherapy and operation has a crucial effect on the power of this impact.

VI. Prolonged preoperative chemotherapy, preoperative bevacizumab and previous incisional hernia are strong risk factors for the development of incisional hernia after open resection for colorectal liver metastases. The hernia location is almost exclusively in the midline.
Future perspectives

Liver tumor disease is today a comprehensive disorder where liver resection is the standard of care. However, many patients have liver injuries that can affect the choice of surgical strategy. The incidence of NAFLD is growing and preoperative chemotherapy is known to induce further liver damage. Our goal is to find a method that will provide an intraoperative and reliable estimate of the liver’s condition to allow the surgeon to adjust the surgical procedure if necessary. The findings in this thesis show several steps toward this goal. Still, there is some research required to achieve the goal.

To use hepatic microcirculation measurements for parenchyma characterization is one interesting path to consider. However, the identified drawbacks of the methods for conducting microcirculatory measurements need to be addressed further. A larger study should be performed using SDF imaging for microcirculatory analysis. By including more patients, especially patients with the different forms of histological damage, more in-depth analysis of the impact of the various forms of liver damage on the microcirculation could be carried out. Also, the time-consuming semi-automatic off-line analysis have improved considerably since we performed our study. The off-line analysis contained some manual interventions which could be a possible source of error, and it would be interesting to test this new software version. It would also be interesting to perform a larger LSCI study including histological analysis to investigate the impact of liver damage on LSCI result. First, however, a method of filtering out the movement artifacts must be developed.

It is the optical measurements with DRS that we believe have the greatest potential and which we have chosen to continue to study in our projects. We have shown that it is possible to discriminate between different steatosis grades using DRS surface measurements on a group level. The next step will be to include additional patients, with a focus on patients with risk factors for steatosis, and also start evaluating other types of liver damage such as fibrosis and SOS. Moreover, we are working to improve the discrimination process. One way of doing this is by combining many different model parameters instead of just one as we have done so far. Another way could be to use principal component analysis to distinguish different conditions instead of using the analytical model that we are using today. By including more patients and improving the discrimination, we hope to be able to analyze data on an individual level with sensitivity and specificity evaluations. Provided that our subsequent studies
in the subject go well, we are also planning to construct a DRS probe for laparoscopic use. It would be an advantage to be able to start with minimally invasive surgery before a decision to conduct more extensive open surgery is made. To make multiple surface DRS measurements laparoscopically could also be a future, more accurate, alternative to liver biopsy in a wider context.

We showed that the incisional hernia location was almost exclusively in the midline after open surgery for CRLM. Whenever possible, the midline cranial extension of the subcostal incision should therefore be minimized or abandoned completely. Tests are being conducted at our institution where the cranial extension is excluded completely, which seems to be possible in the vast majority of cases. A new study has recently been started in our research group where a quality of life health survey regarding hernia symptoms has been sent to all patients who underwent open liver resection at our institution. Depending on the result of this survey, it may be relevant to perform a randomized interventional study to assess the impact of the midline cranial extension on incisional hernia incidence.
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