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Feifei Yuan
The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the total water yield in the Yellow River basin playing an important role for meeting the downstream water resources requirements. The declining water availability caused by climate change in the source region of the Yellow River is expected to have severe repercussions for the 110 million basin inhabitants in terms of water resources affecting agricultural productivity, municipal, and industrial water supply. Thus, this study investigated the impacts of climate change on surface hydrology in the source region of the Yellow River. The presented results have important implications for water resources management in the Yellow River.

Hydroclimatic trend and periodicity during the last 50 years were investigated to identify significant changes in time and space over the study area. Results showed that mean annual temperature increased for all stations and it had an accelerated increasing trend during the last decade. Mean annual precipitation trends varied depending on station location; however, they were generally slightly decreasing. Annual streamflow decreased markedly especially from the 1990s but showed recovery during recent years. Statistically significant changes in trend occurred for temperature in 1998 and for streamflow in 1990. Based on the streamflow change point, seasonal analysis results showed that precipitation mainly decreased during the summer monsoon period (July-September) and temperature increased throughout the year. Corresponding to the weakened monsoon period the average runoff depth is decreasing by 0.74 mm/year over the whole area. Statistically significant 2 to 4-year periodicities for mean areal precipitation and temperature occurred over the area. For streamflow, an even stronger 8-year periodicity was revealed from the end of the 60s to the beginning of the 90s. Frequency analysis investigated the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency. Hydroclimatic trends and linkages at each sub-basin were investigated to further improve the understanding of observed streamflow changes.

The summer precipitation (June-September) in the source region of the Yellow River accounts for approximately 70% of the annual total playing an important role for water availability, and its decreasing trend will cause water shortage in the whole river basin. Hence, summer precipitation trends and teleconnections with global sea surface temperature (SST) and Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) from 1961 to 2010 were investigated. Results show that the precipitation has a strongly decreasing gradient from southeast to northwest due to the weakening summer monsoon, and a division of the region into three homogeneous precipitation zones shows marked spatial variability. The northwest part (zone 1) had a non-significantly increasing trend, and the middle and southeast parts (zone 2 and 3) that receive the most precipitation displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend. The summer precipitation in the whole region shows statistically significant negative correlations with the central Pacific SST for 0-4 month lags and with the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean SST for 5-8 month lags. Analyses of sub-regions reveal intricate and complex correlations with different SST areas that further explain the summer precipitation variability. The SOI had significant positive correlations mainly for 0-2 month lag with summer precipitation. It is seen that El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events have an influence on the summer precipitation, and the predominant negative correlations indicate that higher SST in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to El Niño coincides with less summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River.

The linkages between the precipitation and global teleconnection patterns were identified, and summer precipitation was predicted based on revealed teleconnections. It was found that precipitation in the study area is positively related to North Atlantic Oscillation, West Pacific Pattern and El Niño Southern Oscillation, and inversely related to Polar Eurasian pattern. Summer precipitation was overall well predicted using these significantly correlated climate indices, and the Pearson correlation coefficient between predicted and observed summer precipitation was in general larger than 0.6.

The performance of the Xinanjiang model for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in the source region of the Yellow River was evaluated. The Blaney–Crumble method was used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration as model input due to data scarcity of this area. The Monte Carlo method was used to optimize the sensitive model parameters. The resulting Pearson correlation coefficient between observed and simulated runoff for the calibration period was up to 0.87, and 0.85 for the validation period. Accordingly, the Xinanjiang model simulated the daily runoff series well in general. Thus, the Xinanjiang model can be a proper tool for further water resources management involving runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River.
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ABSTRACT

The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the total water yield in the Yellow River basin playing an important role for meeting the downstream water resources requirements. The declining water availability caused by climate change in the source region of the Yellow River is expected to have severe repercussions for the 110 million basin inhabitants in terms of water resources affecting agricultural productivity, municipal, and industrial water supply. Thus, this study investigated the impacts of climate change on surface hydrology in the source region of the Yellow River. The presented results have important implications for water resources management in the Yellow River.

Hydroclimatic trend and periodicity during the last 50 years were investigated to identify significant changes in time and space over the study area. Results showed that mean annual temperature increased for all stations and it had an accelerated increasing trend during the last decade. Mean annual precipitation trends varied depending on station location; however, they were generally slightly decreasing. Annual streamflow decreased markedly especially from the 1990s but showed recovery during recent years. Statistically significant changes in trend occurred for temperature in 1998 and for streamflow in 1990. Based on the streamflow change point, seasonal analysis results showed that precipitation mainly decreased during the summer monsoon period (July-September) and temperature increased throughout the year. Corresponding to the weakened monsoon period the average runoff depth is decreasing by 0.74 mm/year over the whole area. Statistically significant 2 to 4-year periodicities for mean areal precipitation and temperature occurred over the area. For streamflow, an even stronger 8-year periodicity was revealed from the end of the 60s to the beginning of the 90s. Frequency analysis investigated the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency. Hydroclimatic trends and linkages at each sub-basin were investigated to further improve the understanding of observed streamflow changes.

The summer precipitation (June-September) in the source region of the Yellow River accounts for approximately 70% of the annual total playing an important role for water availability, and its decreasing trend will cause water shortage in the whole river basin. Hence, summer precipitation trends and teleconnections with global sea surface temperature (SST) and Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) from 1961 to 2010 were investigated. Results show that the precipitation has a strongly decreasing gradient from southeast to northwest due to the weakening summer monsoon, and a division of the region into three homogeneous precipitation zones shows marked spatial variability. The northwest part (zone 1) had a non-significantly increasing trend, and the middle and southeast parts (zone 2 and 3) that receive the most precipitation displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend. The summer precipitation in the whole region shows statistically significant negative correlations with the central Pacific SST for 0-4 month lags and with the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean
SST for 5-8 month lags. Analyses of sub-regions reveal intricate and complex correlations with different SST areas that further explain the summer precipitation variability. The SOI had significant positive correlations mainly for 0-2 month lag with summer precipitation. It is seen that El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events have an influence on the summer precipitation, and the predominant negative correlations indicate that higher SST in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to El Nino coincides with less summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River.

The linkages between the precipitation and global teleconnection patterns were identified, and summer precipitation was predicted based on revealed teleconnections. It was found that precipitation in the study area is positively related to North Atlantic Oscillation, West Pacific Pattern and El Nino Southern Oscillation, and inversely related to Polar Eurasian pattern. Summer precipitation was overall well predicted using these significantly correlated climate indices, and the Pearson correlation coefficient between predicted and observed summer precipitation was in general larger than 0.6.

The performance of the Xinanjiang model for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in the source region of the Yellow River was evaluated. The Blaney–Criddle method was used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration as model input due to data scarcity of this area. The Monte Carlo method was used to optimize the sensitive model parameters. The resulting Pearson correlation coefficient between observed and simulated runoff for the calibration period was up to 0.87, and 0.85 for the validation period. Accordingly, the Xinanjiang model simulated the daily runoff series well in general. Thus, the Xinanjiang model can be a proper tool for further water resources management involving runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River.
摘要

黄河源区产流量占整个黄河流域的 35%，在整个流域的水资源需求中具有重要的角色。气候变化引起的黄河源区水资源供应量的减少对黄河流域内一亿一千万居民的饮用水及工农业用水有重要的影响。为此，本文研究了气候变化对黄河源区地表水文的影响，研究结果对黄河流域水资源的管理具有重要应用价值。

本文研究了黄河源区过去五十年内水文气象的趋势性和周期性。结果显示研究区域内所有观测站点的年平均温度均有所上升，并且过去十年内有更为显著的趋势。年平均降水量的趋势具有时空不均一性，但是总体有轻微的下降。年径流量自上世纪 90 年代初有显著的下降，但是近年有所回升。黄河源区温度和径流的趋势性分别于 1998 年和 1990 年有统计上显著的突变。基于径流的突变点，季节性分析表明黄河源区降水的减少主要发生于夏季六月到九月，而温度则是全年均有所增加。随着夏季降雨的减少，黄河源区的径流量过去五十年内平均每年减少 0.74 毫米。整个区域内的年平均降水和温度具有 2 到 4 年的显著性统计周期，而径流自 60 年代末至 90 年代初具有 8 年的显著性统计周期。通过年平均降水和径流的频率分析计算，得到相对应频率的设计值。此外，本文还进一步研究了黄河源区各子流域水文气象的趋势性和关联性，以便进一步理解径流的变化。

黄河源区夏季六月至九月的降雨占全年的 70% 左右，夏季降雨的减少将会引起整个流域内水资源的短缺。因此，本文进一步研究了黄河源区夏季降雨的趋势性和其和全球海平面温度以及南亚海温指数的关系。结果显示黄河源区的降水由于逐渐减弱的季风影响，由东南向西北部呈递减趋势。由于降水时空分布的差异性，黄河流域被划分为三个同质子区域。西北部的降水成非显著的增加趋势，而降水较多的东部和中部则呈显著的下降趋势。整个源区的夏季降雨和太平洋中部的海平面温度有 0-4 个月滞后的负相关，而与南印度洋及南大西洋的海平面温度具有 5-8 个月滞后的正相关。三个区域的夏季降雨和太平洋中部的海平面温度相关，显示出黄河流域降水空间分布的差异性。黄河流域的夏季降雨和南亚海温指数具有 0-2 个月滞后的正相关。从而进一步证明厄尔尼诺南方涛动现象对黄河源区的夏季降雨具有显著的影响，而海平面温度的负相关性表明太平洋中部较高的海平面温度相对应的厄尔尼诺现象会引起黄河源区较少的夏季降雨。

本研究还进一步揭示了黄河源区的降水和全球遥相关模式的关系，从而利用统计上显著相关的气候因子对夏季降雨进行预测。结果表明黄河源区的降水和北大西洋涛动、西太平洋遥相关型以及尼尔尼诺南方涛动成正相关，而与极地欧洲遥相关模式负相关。利用统计上显著相关的气候因子，夏季降雨的预报结果有较理想的精度。观测值和预测值的皮尔逊相关系数整体大于 0.6。

本文评估了新安江水文模型对黄河源区的径流模拟精度。由于该区域资料的欠缺，采用 Blaney-Criddle 方法计算潜在蒸散发作为模型的输入。采用蒙特卡洛方法优化模型的敏感性参数。结果显示较准阶段模型的径流模拟相关系数达到
0.87，验证阶段径流模拟的相关系数达到 0.85，达到了较好的模拟结果。因此，新安江模型可用于黄河源区的径流模拟和洪水预报，对黄河流域内的水资源管理具有重要的应用价值。
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Artificial Neural Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA</td>
<td>China Meteorological Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>Climate Prediction Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Consortium for Spatial Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Coefficient of Variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Digital Elevation Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Actual Evapotranspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>East Atlantic Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA/WR</td>
<td>East Atlantic/West Russia Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Potential Evapotranspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSO</td>
<td>El Nino Southern Oscillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCMs</td>
<td>General Circulation Models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOD</td>
<td>India Ocean Dipole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO</td>
<td>North Atlantic Oscillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>Principal Component Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDO</td>
<td>Pacific Decadal Oscillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNA</td>
<td>Pacific/North American Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL</td>
<td>Polar/Eurasia Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>Scandinavia Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOI</td>
<td>Southern Oscillation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>Sea Surface Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRTM</td>
<td>Shuttle Radar Topography Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVD</td>
<td>Singular Value Decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>West Pacific Pattern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTENTS

1 Introduction ................................................................. 1
   1.1 Background and problem statement ................. 1
   1.2 Objectives and scope ........................................... 3
   1.3 Thesis structure and appended papers ......... 3

2 Theoretical background .................................................. 5
   2.1 The Asian summer monsoon ......................... 5
   2.2 Teleconnection patterns ................................. 5

3 Experimental area and methods .................................... 9
   3.1 Experimental area and data ........................... 9
   3.2 Statistical methods ........................................ 11
   3.3 Xinanjiang model ........................................ 14

4 Results and discussion ................................................... 19
   4.1 Hydroclimatic trend and periodicity analysis .... 19
      4.1.1 Trend and variability analysis ................ 19
      4.1.2 Hydroclimatic Periodicity Analysis ........ 29
      4.1.3 Correlation analysis .......................... 31
   4.2 Relationship between summer precipitation and global sea surface temperature ................................. 33
   4.3 Teleconnection pattern influence on precipitation .......... 39
   4.4 Rainfall-runoff process .................................. 42
      4.4.1 Summer precipitation prediction .......... 42
      4.4.2 Rainfall-runoff simulation using the Xinanjiang model... 43

5. Conclusions and future study ....................................... 45
   5.1 Conclusions ................................................. 45
   5.2 Future study .............................................. 47

References ........................................................................... 49

Appended Papers
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and problem statement

The Yellow River is of immense importance to China. It is 5,464 km long, has a basin area of 752,440 km², and is the main source of surface water in northwest and northern China. The Yellow River originates in the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau and empties into the Bohai Gulf in the Yellow Sea. The basin has more than 110 million inhabitants and 12.6 million ha cultivated land, representing about 8 and 13% of the national totals, respectively (Wang et al. 2006). The Yellow River is mainly used for irrigation, domestic and industry, electricity generation and supporting ecological health of areas of high conservation value. However, the Yellow River is currently facing several key issues, including water shortage, continued threat of floods, water quality and environment, land degradation in the Loess plateau, and severity of groundwater exhaustion (Liu and Xia 2004, Giordano et al. 2004). For instance, the lower Yellow River has increasingly suffered from low-flow conditions and parts of the lower reaches have often been dry since the 1970s. The situation has been directly linked to the effects of climate change and human activities (Tang et al. 2008).

The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the total water yield in the Yellow River basin (Lan et al. 2010). Consequently, it is an extremely important area in terms of water resources affecting agricultural productivity, municipal, and industrial water supply for the whole basin. The last 50 years have witnessed generally decreasing trends in annual precipitation and streamflow for the Yellow River source region, and it is mainly due to a weakening of the summer monsoon rainfall. Corresponding to the weakened monsoon rainfall the average runoff depth is decreasing by 0.74 mm/year over the entire study area (Yuan et al. 2015a). The precipitation and streamflow decrease may cause further water shortage problems in the downstream of the Yellow River.

Climate change refers to a change in the state of the climate that can be identified (e.g. using statistical tests) by changes in the mean and/or the variability of its properties and that persists for an extended period, typically decades or longer. It refers to any change in climate over time, whether due to natural variability or as a result of human activity (IPCC 2007). Many studies have shown that climate change has strong impact on basin water resources through changes in temperature and hydrologic variables (Westmacott and Burn 1997, Lorenzo et al. 2008, Milly et al. 2005). For example, the frequency and severity of drought events could increase as a result of changes in both precipitation and temperature. Changes in the hydrologic regime that do occur are expected to be spatially and temporally variable (Burn and Elnur 2002). The interannual periodicity in local hydroclimatic variables (temperature, precipitation, and streamflow) could be a reflection for low-frequency climatic
fluctuations. It is important to understand the underlying dynamics of the hydrological cycle for investigated basins.

It has been shown that sea surface temperature (SST) can provide important predictive information about hydrologic variability in many regions of the world (Tootle and Piechota 2006, Yasuda et al. 2009). For example, El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events are closely linked to patterns of flood and drought in different areas of the world and strongly affect local and regional scale climates through teleconnections between the coupled ocean-atmosphere and land systems (Wang et al. 2006). Understanding the linkage between local precipitation and global teleconnection patterns is essential for water resources management, and it could also improve the ability to predict the local precipitation based on physical understanding (Redmond and Koch 1991, Hartmann et al. 2008). Thus, improving the knowledge regarding the relationship between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST and teleconnection patterns would have important implications for water resources management.

Hydro-meteorological processes have a dynamic and complex structure in space and time, and the rainfall-runoff process is a well-known highly complex and nonlinear phenomenon in hydrology with obvious relevance for water resource management (Modarres and Ouarda 2013). Comprehensive hydrological models are expected to be effective tools for flood simulation and forecasting. However, proper runoff simulation is often one of the most challenging tasks in theoretical and operational hydrology due to the lack of hydrologic observations (Yao et al. 2014). Data scarcity or inconsistency for the model input is one of reasons for high uncertainty in hydrological modelling (Sood and Smakhtin 2014). The source region of the Yellow River is an important area for water supply in the entire basin but with limited observational data. It is essential to evaluate suitable hydrological model in view of data scarcity to investigate the impact of climate change on regional water resources. In this respect, the performance of the Xinanjiang model for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in the source region of the Yellow River was evaluated to improve the understanding of the dynamic hydroclimatic features.
1.2 Objectives and scope

In view of above, this research aimed at investigating the impact of climate change on surface hydrology in the source region of the Yellow River. The current understanding of hydroclimatic processes is largely based on time series analysis of observations. The local hydroclimatic variability is closely associated with coupled ocean-atmosphere system, and exploring these relationships is essential for regional water resources management. Thus, the overall objectives of the research were:

To improve the physical understanding of hydroclimatic change in the source region of the Yellow River from the impacts of global sea surface temperature and teleconnection patterns, and to promote the application of hydrological modelling and forecasting techniques for water resources management.

To achieve the overall objective of this thesis, different statistical methods and a partly modified hydrological model were employed and the following research problems were addressed:

- To investigate the hydroclimatic trend and periodicity for the source region of the Yellow River during last 50 years (Paper I).
- To identify the spatial and temporal variation of summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River in relation to global sea surface temperature (Paper II).
- To explore the influence of teleconnection patterns on precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and to predict summer precipitation using significantly correlated climate indices (Paper III).
- To evaluate the performance of the Xinanjiang model for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in the source region of the Yellow River. (Paper IV).

1.3 Thesis structure and appended papers

This thesis is based on the research work presented in the four appended papers. After introduction in Chapter 1, the theoretical background of the appended papers is presented in Chapter 2. In Chapter 3, an overview of study area and data as well as statistical methods and hydrological model are presented. In Chapter 4, the main results from the appended papers are summarized and discussed. Finally, conclusions as well as future studies are presented in Chapter 5.

Paper I investigated trend for temperature, precipitation, and streamflow from 1960 to 2010. Change-point analysis was applied to identify the potential significant hydroclimatic changes in recent years. Based on the change point results, seasonal analysis was examined indicating a new possible hydrometeorological regime. The hydroclimatic periodicity was investigated by wavelet analysis giving insight in periodicity and severity of drought and connections to the climate system. Besides, hydrological frequency analysis
was examined to determine the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency or recurrence interval. This study also explored the hydro-climatic trends and linkages at each sub-basin to further improve the understanding of observed streamflow changes. Based on these analyses the paper is closed with a discussion on practical applications of the results.

**Paper II** further investigated precipitation variability in the source region of the Yellow River and summer precipitation teleconnections with global SST. Cluster analysis was used to separate the precipitation stations in the source region of the Yellow River into homogeneous zones. Linear regression and Mann-Kendall tests for each zone further revealed the spatial variability of summer precipitation. Correlation analysis examined the links between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST and Southern Oscillation Index (SOI). The significantly correlated SST areas identified the influence of climate patterns on precipitation variability in the source region of the Yellow River.

**Paper III** examined the relationships between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns, and predicted the summer precipitation using significantly correlated climate indices. Spatial variability of precipitation was investigated based on three homogeneous sub-regions. Principal component analysis (PCA) and singular value decomposition (SVD) were used to find significant relations between the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns using climate indices. A back-propagation neural network was developed to predict the summer precipitation using significantly correlated climate indices.

**Paper IV** evaluated the performance of the Xinanjiang model for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in the source region of the Yellow River. The Blaney–Criddle method was used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration as model input due to data scarcity of this area. The Monte Carlo method was used to optimize the sensitive model parameters. Rainfall-runoff relationships for the source region of the Yellow River were quantified to understand the dynamic hydro-meteorological processes influence on runoff. The simulation can be used for further investigating water resources management involving runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River.
2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

This chapter provides the background and a literature review about the Asian summer monsoon and teleconnection patterns used in the following chapters. It is not intended to present a full account of the state of the art, but the necessary facts to familiarize the reader with the context in which the investigations were performed.

2.1 The Asian summer monsoon

The Asian summer monsoon is driven by the differential seasonal adiabatic heating of the Asian continent and tropical Indo-Pacific Ocean, and it is associated with changes in the large-scale atmospheric circulation over substantial parts of Asia (Fan et al. 2009). The boreal summer phase of the monsoon is associated with surface convergence of moisture, and hence precipitation, over large parts of South and Southeast Asia, providing water resources over these densely populated regions (Fan et al. 2009). The Asian summer monsoon system can be divided into two subsystems, the south Asian (or India) and the East Asian summer monsoon system that are independent of each other and, at the same time, interact with each other (Yihui 1994). The Asian monsoon region attains the most distinct variation of the annual cycle and the alternation of dry and wet seasons which is in concert with the seasonal reversal of the monsoon circulation features (Webster et al. 1998). However, the duration of dry and wet seasons might be different for different areas of the Asian monsoon influenced region, depending on their climate regions.

The climate of the source region of the Yellow River is greatly influenced by the southwest monsoon and the East Asian summer monsoon (Ding and Chan 2005). The earliest onset of the East Asian summer monsoon occurs in the central and southern Indochina Peninsula. It displays a distinct stepwise northward and north-eastward movement and then finally penetrates into the upper Yellow River from the south of China (Ding and Chan 2005). The effects of atmospheric circulation are in general different for the upper and lower Yellow River. The monsoon rain belt in the upper part is caused by south-easterly flow while the corresponding monsoon rain belt in the lower parts is influenced by south-westerly flow (Qian et al. 2002). This causes differences in spatial distribution of summer precipitation between the two parts of the Yellow River.

2.2 Teleconnection patterns

The atmospheric circulation exhibits substantial variability, which reflects climate patterns and circulation systems occurring at different time and space scales. Teleconnection patterns are recurring, persistent, and large-scale oscillating circulation systems in the atmosphere,
which are identified as modes of low-frequency pressure variability, typically lasting for weeks or longer (Barnston and Livezey 1987). They affect the temperature, precipitation and jet stream patterns over large areas. Understanding precipitation and temperature anomalies associated with the dominant teleconnection patterns of climate variability is essential to understanding many regional climate anomalies and why these may differ from those at the global scale (Solomon et al. 2007). Here ten prominent teleconnection patterns were used.

**El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO)**

The El Nino-Southern oscillation is a coupled ocean-atmosphere phenomenon in the equatorial Pacific (Chiew et al. 1998). It involves fluctuating sea surface temperature in the equatorial Pacific. El Nino representing the warm phase of the ENSO refers to the above-average sea surface temperatures that periodically develop across the east-central equatorial Pacific. La Nina representing the cold phase of the ENSO refers to the cooling of sea surface temperatures that periodically develop across the east-central equatorial Pacific. The fluctuations in ocean temperatures during El Nino and La Nina are accompanied by even larger-scale fluctuations in air pressure between the western and eastern tropical Pacific known as the Southern Oscillation. Sea Surface Temperature and the Southern Oscillation Index are the most common used indicators to quantify the strength of an ENSO event (Chiew et al. 1998), which is measured by the difference in surface pressure anomalies between Tahiti and Darwin and the SSTs in the central and eastern equatorial Pacific (Solomon et al. 2007).

**North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)**

The North Atlantic Oscillation is one of the dominant modes of Northern Hemisphere climate variability and it is present in all seasons (Barnston and Livezey 1987). The NAO consists of two pressure centres over Greenland/Iceland with low pressure and the Azores with high pressure. The positive phase is defined as a strengthening of the Icelandic low and the Azores high. This results in an enhanced Atlantic storm track and anomalously high transport of moisture and heat by transient eddies, corresponding to changed precipitation and temperature patterns extending from eastern North America to western and central Europe and into Scandinavia, Siberia and Central Russian (Hurrell 1995). The negative phase indicates weakening of the Icelandic low and Azores high that decreases the pressure gradient across the North Atlantic.

**Polar/Eurasia Pattern (POL)**

The positive phase of POL consists of negative height anomalies over the polar region and positive anomalies over northern China and Mongolia. This pattern is associated with fluctuations in the strength of the circumpolar circulation, with the positive phase reflecting an enhanced circumpolar vortex and the negative phase reflecting a weaker than average polar vortex (CPC 2008). The Polar/Eurasian pattern is mainly associated with above-average temperatures in eastern Siberia and below-average temperatures in eastern China.
East Atlantic Pattern (EA)

The East Atlantic pattern is the second prominent mode of low-frequency variability over the North Atlantic. The EA pattern is structurally similar to the NAO, and consists of a north-south dipole of anomaly centres spanning the North Atlantic from east to west, and it is most prominent in winter, nor found at all in the summer months (Barnston and Livezey 1987). The anomaly centres of the EA pattern are displaced south-eastward to the approximate nodal lines of the NAO pattern.

West Pacific Pattern (WP)

The WP pattern is a primary mode of low-frequency variability over the North Pacific in all months. It is characterized by a spatial-anomaly distribution as a north-south dipole during winter and spring, with one centre located over the Kamchatka Peninsula and another broad centre of opposite sign covering portions of south-eastern Asia and the western subtropical North Pacific (Barnston and Livezey 1987). The strong positive and negative WP patterns are related to the east-west and north-south movements of the East Asian jet stream, indicating that the change from cold to warm season results from the northward movement of the East Asian jet stream and thus affects aspects of the East Asian climate such as precipitation and temperature (Choi and Moon 2012, Barnston and Livezey 1987). The positive phase of the WP pattern is associated with above-average temperatures over the lower latitudes of the western North Pacific in both winter and spring, while the negative phase is connected with below-average temperatures over eastern Siberia in all seasons (Choi and Moon 2012). The WP pattern is also associated with above-average precipitation in all seasons over the high latitudes of the North Pacific, and below-average precipitation across the central North Pacific especially during the winter and spring (Choi and Moon 2012).

Pacific/North American Pattern (PNA)

The positive phase of the PNA pattern features above-average heights in the vicinity of Hawaii and over the intermountain region of North America, and below-average heights located south of the Aleutian Islands and over the south-eastern United States (Barnston and Livezey 1987). The PNA pattern is associated with strong fluctuations in the strength and location of the East Asian jet stream. It is also strongly influenced by the El Nino-Southern Oscillation phenomenon. The positive phase of the PNA pattern tends to be associated with Pacific warm episodes (El Nino), and the negative phase tends to be associated with Pacific cold episodes (La Nina).

East Atlantic/West Russia Pattern (EA/WR)

The East Atlantic/ West Russia pattern consists of four main anomaly centres. The positive phase is associated with positive height anomalies located over Europe and northern China, and negative height anomalies located over the central North Atlantic and north of the Caspian Sea (Barnston and Livezey 1987). The main surface temperature anomalies associated with the positive phase of the EA/ WR pattern reflect above-average temperatures
over eastern Asia, and below-average temperatures over large portions of western Russia and north-eastern Africa (CPC 2008). The main precipitation departures reflect generally above-average precipitation in eastern China and below-average precipitation across central Europe.

**India Ocean Dipole (IOD)**

Indian Ocean SST plays an important role in tropical climate variability. India Ocean Dipole is defined by opposite signs of sea surface temperature anomalies in the western tropical Indian Ocean and that in the eastern tropical India ocean (Saji et al. 1999). A positive IOD period is characterised by cooler than normal water in the tropical eastern Indian Ocean and warmer than normal water in the tropical western Indian Ocean, and a negative IOD period is characterised by warmer than normal water in the tropical eastern Indian Ocean and cooler than normal water in the tropical western Indian Ocean (Saji et al. 1999).

**Scandinavia Pattern (SCA)**

Scandinavia pattern has the primary centre of influence around the Scandinavian Peninsula, with two other centres of action with the opposite sign, one over the north-eastern Atlantic and the other over central Siberia to the southwest of Lake Baikal (Bueh and Nakamura 2007). Its positive phase is characterized by prominent anticyclone anomalies around the Scandinavian Peninsula, giving rise in winter to below-normal temperatures across central Russia and western Europe, above-normal precipitation across southern Europe, and dry conditions over the Scandinavian region (CPC 2008).

**Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO)**

The Pacific Decadal Oscillation is a pattern of Pacific climate variability similar to ENSO in character, but which varies over a much longer time scale. The PDO can remain in the same phase for 20 to 30 years, while ENSO cycles typically only last 6 to 18 months (SCO 2015). The PDO consists of a warm and cool phase which alters upper level atmospheric winds. The broad area of above average water temperatures off the coast of North America from Alaska to the equator is a classic feature of the warm phase of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, and the expansive area of below average water temperatures off the coast of North America from Alaska to the equator signals the cold phase of the PDO (SCO 2015).
3 EXPERIMENTAL AREA AND METHODS

This chapter gives an overview of the study area, data collection and methodology used including different statistical analysis and the partly modified hydrological model in this research.

3.1 Experimental area and data

The source region of the Yellow River is located on the northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau between 32°12’-35°48’N and 95°50’-103°28’E and includes the area upstream of the Tangnaihai runoff observation station. The area is $12.2 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, occupying approximately 16% of the Yellow River Basin, and it has a great elevation change from 2,670 m in the east to 6,253 m in the west. Grassland covers 80% of the catchment and it includes typical alpine swamp, steppe, and shrub meadows. In recent years, the alpine grasslands in this area have suffered from severe degradation (Zhou et al. 2005). The grassland degradation is thought to be a joint effect of long-term overgrazing and climate warming. The area of lakes and swamps is about 2,000 km$^2$. There is a permanent snowpack and glaciers in the southern Animaqing, Bayankala, and Northern Qilian mountains. The area has a comparably low population density and a total of approximately half a million inhabitants. The area is therefore regarded as relatively unaffected by human activities (Hu et al. 2011, Zheng et al. 2009). Neither large irrigation projects nor large dams exist in the area even though the population increase of humans and domestic livestock is increasingly affecting the grass cover and soil erosion.

Climatologically the area belongs to the semi humid region of the Tibetan Plateau sub Frigid Zone and about 70% of the annual precipitation in this area fall during the wet summer season (June-September). The upper part of the Yellow River is characterized by low-temperatures, sharp day-night temperature contrasts, long-cold and short-warm seasons, and intense sunlight (Liang et al. 2010). Annual average temperature varies between -4 and 2°C from southeast to northwest. The precipitation in this region is generally of low intensity (<50 mm/day), long duration (10-30 days), and covers a large area (>100,000 km$^2$) (Hu et al. 2011, Zheng et al. 2007). Snowfall is concentrated from November to March, when more than 78% of the total precipitation falls in the form of snow. However, total amount of annual snowfall accounts for less than 10% of the annual precipitation (Hu et al. 2011). The potential evaporation is 1,300-1,400 mm/year (Liang et al. 2010).

Daily precipitation and temperature observations from 1961 to 2010 collected at ten meteorological stations (Fig. 3.1); Xinghai, Tongde, Zeku, Henan, Maduo, Dari, Jiuzhi, Maqu, Ruoergai, and Hongyuan, were obtained from the China Meteorological Administration (CMA), and data quality has previously been checked by the CMA. Daily
streamflow data from 1960 to 2009 at four runoff observation stations, including Huangheyan, Jimai, Maqu, and Tangnaihai, were obtained from the Yellow River Conservancy Commission. Runoff data from 1968 to 1975 at Huangheyan station were not available. The missing data were interpolated based on the linear regression with Jimai station because this station has the highest correlation with the Huangheyan station. The shuttle radar topography mission (SRTM) 90 m digital elevation data were downloaded from the Consortium for Spatial Information (CGIAR-CSI). Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 show a summary of these data.

Table 3.1 Observation stations for precipitation and temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Longitude (ºE)</th>
<th>Latitude (ºN)</th>
<th>Mean annual P (mm/year)</th>
<th>Mean annual T (°C)</th>
<th>Min. annual P (mm/year)</th>
<th>Max. annual P (mm/year)</th>
<th>Min. annual T (°C)</th>
<th>Max. annual T (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xinghai</td>
<td>3323</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>35.58</td>
<td>365.3</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>214.1</td>
<td>530.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongde</td>
<td>3289</td>
<td>100.39</td>
<td>35.16</td>
<td>411.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>199.0</td>
<td>598.7</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeku</td>
<td>3663</td>
<td>101.28</td>
<td>35.02</td>
<td>418.1</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>214.6</td>
<td>651.8</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>101.36</td>
<td>34.44</td>
<td>562.9</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>384.1</td>
<td>835.3</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maduo</td>
<td>4272</td>
<td>98.13</td>
<td>34.55</td>
<td>318.5</td>
<td>-3.63</td>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>485.6</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dari</td>
<td>3967</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>551.8</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>413.1</td>
<td>698.7</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiuzhi</td>
<td>3629</td>
<td>101.48</td>
<td>33.43</td>
<td>744.7</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>562.6</td>
<td>1030.8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>3471</td>
<td>102.08</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>589.2</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>448.4</td>
<td>924.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruoergai</td>
<td>3440</td>
<td>102.97</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>649.7</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>464.8</td>
<td>862.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongyuan</td>
<td>3492</td>
<td>102.55</td>
<td>32.80</td>
<td>747.1</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>508.1</td>
<td>996.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 Observation stations for streamflow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Longitude (ºE)</th>
<th>Latitude (ºN)</th>
<th>Mean discharge (m³/s)</th>
<th>Min. discharge (m³/s)</th>
<th>Max. discharge (m³/s)</th>
<th>Drainage area (km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>4221</td>
<td>98.17</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>20,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>3969</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>33.77</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>263.0</td>
<td>45,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>3471</td>
<td>102.08</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>456.3</td>
<td>228.3</td>
<td>707.0</td>
<td>86,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangnaihai</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>100.15</td>
<td>35.50</td>
<td>641.1</td>
<td>335.4</td>
<td>1040.0</td>
<td>121,972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In **Paper II**, monthly global sea surface temperature (1×1°) version HadISST 1.1 from 1960 to 2010 obtained by courtesy of the British Atmospheric Data Centre was used (Rayner et al. 2003). The monthly Southern Oscillation Index data was from the Climate Prediction Centre (CPC, NOAA) (http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/indices/soi).
In Paper III, global monthly climate indices data representing teleconnection patterns, including North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), East Atlantic Pattern (EA), West Pacific Pattern (WP), Pacific/North American Pattern (PNA), East Atlantic/West Russia Pattern (EA/WR), India Ocean Dipole (IOD), El Nino-Southern Oscillation (NINO3.4), Scandinavia Pattern (SCA), Polar/Eurasia Pattern (POL) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), were obtained from the National Weather Service, Climate Prediction Centre (CPC, NOAA). Further physical explanation for each climate pattern could be seen here (Washington et al. 2000, Barnston and Livezey 1987).

3.2 Statistical methods

Mann-Kendall

Trends in precipitation, temperature, and streamflow time series were examined by the non-parametric Mann-Kendall test (Paper I, II and III). The Kendall statistic was originally devised as a non-parametric test for trend by Mann (1945). Later Kendall (1975) derived the exact distribution of the test statistic. The method has been widely used in hydroclimatic time series analysis for identifying trend (Burn and Elnur 2002, Rana et al. 2012, Westmacott and Burn 1997). The Mann-Kendall test is independent of the statistical distribution of the data. Statistical significance of the trend was evaluated at the 0.05 level of significance against the null hypothesis that there is no trend for the data series. A detailed procedure for this statistical test can be found in Burn and Elnur (2002).

Change-Point Analyzer

Change-Point Analyzer (Taylor 2000) was used to investigate the potential abrupt hydroclimatic changes in the source region of the Yellow River and to detect the change
points (Paper I). Change-Point Analyzer is a software package for analysing time-ordered data to determine whether a change has taken place, and it detects multiple changes and provides confidence levels for each change. It iteratively uses a combination of time varying cumulative sum charts (cusums) and bootstrapping to detect changes (Taylor 2000). It has been widely used for detecting change points in hydroclimatic areas (Collins et al. 2008, Fischer et al. 2012, Mavromatis and Stathis 2011).

Wavelet Analysis

Wavelet analysis is a common tool for signal processing. It is an effective method to identify the dominant modes of periodicity by decomposing time series into time-frequency space (Coulibaly and Burn 2004, Jiang et al. 2013). The wavelet power spectrum is defined as the absolute value squared by the wavelet transform and gives a measure of the time series’ variance at each scale and at each time and there is no need for a predetermined scale that might limit the frequency range (Coulibaly and Burn 2004, Torrence and Webster 1999). For a more detailed description of wavelet analysis in hydrology, see further Torrence and Compo (1998) and Labat et al. (2000). The method applied here was the Morlet wavelet that consists of a complex exponential Gaussian modulation \( \Psi_0(\eta) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{i\omega_0 \eta} e^{-\eta^2/2}, \) where \( \omega_0 \) is the non-dimensional frequency, here taken to be 6. To reduce wrap-around effects each time series was padded with zeros. The cone of influence is the region of the wavelet spectrum in which edge effects become important. The background spectrum was chosen as red noise, which means that power increases with decreasing frequency (Paper I).

Frequency Analysis

Hydrological frequency analysis is examined to determine the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency or recurrence interval (Paper I). The Log-Pearson Type III distribution is a statistical technique for fitting frequency distribution data to predict the design flood that has been widely used in hydrology (Griffis and Stedinger 2007, Vogel and Wilson 1996). It is a three-parameter distribution; the parameters are the mean, standard deviation, and skew coefficient (Costa 1978). A detailed procedure for this statistical technique can be found in Griffis and Stedinger (2007).

Cluster Analysis

Due to the large and diverse topography of the area and marked spatial variability of precipitation, a division into homogeneous precipitation areas was done by cluster analysis using monthly precipitation data (Paper II). The results were used to investigate the spatial dependence and influence of different SST areas on the summer precipitation within the source region. Cluster analysis is primarily an exploratory data analysis tool to separate data into groups whose identities are not known in advance (Wilks 2011). The number of groups is defined by the degree of similarity and difference between individual observations (Wilks 2011). A correlation matrix was calculated to discern the levels of dependence among the precipitation stations. This method produces a dendrogram in which the correlations between all precipitation stations are shown as a tree-like hierarchical diagram. The cluster
analysis is a widely used pre-analysis technique in hydrological and meteorological areas (Gong and Richman 1995, Uvo 2003).

In our case, we have an \( n \times p \) data matrix \( X \), where \( n \) is the number of variables and \( p \) is the number of precipitation stations. Preprocessing of the variables before calculation of the distance measures is necessary since different variables may be measured on different scales and may also contain irrelevant or redundant information (Fovell and Fovell 1993, Parajka et al. 2010, Kingston et al. 2011). The means of the variables were removed, and then the data matrix was standardized. Principal component analysis was applied to create new variables composed of mutually orthogonal linear combinations of the original variables, each accounting for a specific fraction of the original total variance as indicated by the size of its associated eigenvalue. Retention of only the most significant components presenting more than 90% of the total variance accomplished variable reduction. These created new variables were used to generate component scores that were clustered in place of the raw precipitation data for cluster analysis. Euclidean distance was used here for measuring similarity between pairs of the ten precipitation stations. The Ward method was chosen as a clustering technique, which is commonly used and is based on mutually exclusive subsets of the data set and does not assume normality (Bonell and Sumner 1992).

**Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Analysis**

To identify potential teleconnections or covariabilities between global SST and the summer precipitation (June, July, August, and September) in the source region of the Yellow River, 0-11 month lagged Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients \( r \) between monthly SST and precipitation were calculated (Paper II). The summer precipitation normal distribution was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in Matlab. The SST data follow the normal distribution (Rayner et al. 2003, Kodera 2004, Yasuda et al. 2009). Statistical significance is assessed using the Student’s \( t \)-test against the null hypothesis of no correlation (Lloyd-Hughes and Saunders 2002). Correlations \(| r | > 0.36 \) and \(| r | > 0.46 \) correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

The Southern Oscillation Index was used to further reveal the correlated SST influence on summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River (Paper II). The 0-11 month lagged Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients \( r \) between monthly SOI and precipitation were calculated. The statistical significance was assessed at the 0.05 level.

**Principal Component Analysis**

Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to find relationships between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and teleconnection patterns using climate indices (Paper III). PCA is a multivariate data analysis tool that offers a way to present complex data in a simplified way to identify relations between different parameters. It maximizes variance explained by weighted sum of elements in two or more fields and identifies linear transformations of the dataset that concentrate as much of the variance as possible into a small number of variables (Rana et al. 2012, Uvo 2003). The PCA biplot is used to visualize
the magnitude and sign of each variable's contribution to the first two principal components, and how each observation is represented in terms of those components.

**Singular Value Decomposition**

SVD is performed on the cross-covariance matrix of fields of two datasets and isolates the combinations of variables with the fields that tend to be linearly related to one another by maximizing the covariance between them (Rana et al. 2012, Wallace et al. 1992). Here, SVD was conducted on the cross-covariance matrix of the climate indices and precipitation data sets for three homogenous precipitation zones ([Paper III]). The SVD of the cross-covariance matrix of two fields yields two matrices of singular vectors and one set of singular values. A singular vector pair describes spatial patterns for each field which have overall covariance given by the corresponding singular value (Uvo et al. 1998). Heterogeneous correlation maps of the left and right fields from SVD show correlation coefficients between the values of one field and the singular vector of the other field (Uvo et al. 1998). In our case, the patterns shown by the heterogeneous correlation maps for the $k_{th}$ SVD expansion mode indicate how well the pattern of the precipitation anomalies relate to the $k_{th}$ singular vector of climate indices. The correlation coefficients are a good indication of strength of the relationship between the two fields. A detailed procedure for this statistical test can be found here (Bretherton et al. 1992, Wallace et al. 1992).

**Artificial Neural Network (ANN)**

A back propagation neural network was applied for predicting summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River using the significantly correlated global climate indices in [Paper III]. ANN is a powerful tool for prediction of meteorological phenomena involving many complex and nonlinear physical processes (Hartmann et al. 2008, Sahai et al. 2003, Uvo et al. 2000, Yasuda et al. 2009). The significantly correlated global climate indices were used as input variables, and the summer precipitation (June-September) in the source region of the Yellow River will be used as output variable in the ANN. The architecture of the neural network is determined by a ‘trial and error’ approach. Two hidden layers were placed between the input and output layers. The function ‘tansig’ in Matlab was used for this purpose. The four-layer ANN was connected by weights. A training period (1961-1995) and a validation period (1996-2010) were selected for optimization of the weights.

**3.3 Xinanjiang model**

The Xinanjiang model was originally developed by Zhao (1992). The model applied to the source region of the Yellow River consists of two components ([Paper IV]): a three-layer evapotranspiration component and a runoff generating component (Fig. 3.2). The input data are daily precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, and the outputs are discharge and actual evapotranspiration. The model parameters and their ranges are listed in Table 3.3. The parameters are usually defined by specifying lower and upper limits. These limits are chosen
according to physical and mathematical constraints, information about physical characteristics of the system, and from modelling experiences (Cheng et al. 2006).

Table 3.3 Parameters of the Xinanjiang model. Parameters in bold indicate high sensitivty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UM (mm)</td>
<td>Soil moisture storage capacity of the upper layer</td>
<td>0-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM (mm)</td>
<td>Soil moisture storage capacity of the lower layer</td>
<td>0-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM (mm)</td>
<td>Areal mean soil moisture storage capacity</td>
<td>50-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Exponential parameter with a single parabolic curve representing the non-uniformity of the spatial distribution of the soil moisture storage capacity</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM (%)</td>
<td>Percentage of impervious and saturated areas</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Ratio of potential evapotranspiration to pan evaporation</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Evapotranspiration coefficient of deeper layer</td>
<td>0-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM (mm)</td>
<td>Free water capacity of the surface soil layer representing the maximum possible deficit of free water storage</td>
<td>0-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX</td>
<td>Exponent of the free water capacity curve influencing the development of the saturated area</td>
<td>0.5-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG</td>
<td>Outflow coefficient of the free water storage to groundwater flow</td>
<td>0.01-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KI</td>
<td>Outflow coefficient of the free water storage to interflow</td>
<td>0.01-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Recession constant of the groundwater storage</td>
<td>0.5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Recession constant of the lower interflow storage</td>
<td>0.1-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Recession constant in the lag and route method for routing through the channel system</td>
<td>0.01-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (d)</td>
<td>Lag in time for runoff routing period</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The evapotranspiration component acts on three vertical layers identified as upper, lower, and deeper soil layers. The upper layer refers to the vegetation, water surface and the very thin topsoil. The lower layer refers to the soil in which the vegetation roots dominate and the moisture transportation is mainly driven by the potential gradient. The deep layer refers to the soil beneath the lower layer where only the deep-rooted vegetation can absorb water and the potential gradient is very small (Jayawardena and Zhou 2000). Evapotranspiration takes place at the potential rate in the upper layer. On exhaustion of moisture content in the upper layer, evapotranspiration proceeds to the lower layer at a decreased rate that is proportional to the moisture content in that layer. Only when the total evapotranspiration in the upper and lower layers is less than a pre-set threshold, represented as a fraction of the potential evapotranspiration, does it further proceed to the deep layer to keep this pre-set minimum value (Jayawardena and Zhou 2000). Evaporation is first subtracted during the rainfall process, and the runoff generation is computed by considering
the respective soil moisture states and the storage capacities of the three layers. The runoff is separated into three components: surface runoff, interflow, and groundwater flow. A more detailed conceptual hydrological process description is found in Zhao (1992).

Blaney–Criddle Method The Blaney–Criddle method has been widely used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration as model input (Xu and Singh 2002, Kingston et al. 2009, Sperna Weiland et al. 2012). It is a temperature-based method that requires temperature as input variable. The Blaney-Criddle equation is:

\[ ET = KP (0.46T +8.13) \]

where

\[ ET = \text{potential evapotranspiration from a reference crop, in mm, for the period in which } p \text{ is expressed;} \]

\[ T = \text{mean temperature in } ^\circ\text{C;} \]

\[ K = \text{monthly consumptive use coefficient, depending on vegetation type, location and season. Here it was taken to be 1.} \]
\( P = \text{percentage of total daytime hours for the used period out of total daytime hours of the year}; \) The daylight hours here are based on the calculation of sunset hour angles that depend on the calculation of solar declination. It can be calculated as \( N = M \left( \frac{24}{\pi} \right) \), where \( M \) is the sunset hour angle in radians.

**Monte Carlo Method.** The process of model calibration is normally performed either manually or by using computer based automatic procedures. In this study, the Monte Carlo method was used to generate 10000 sets of the 8 sensitive parameters for calibration. The other 7 parameters were pre-set as initial values and adjusted manually during the calibration process. The parameters for best fit between observed and simulated discharge were used for validation.

**Model Performance Measure.** The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients \( r \) between observed and simulated discharge data were used to measure model performance. The normal distribution of observed and simulated discharge data were tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in Matlab.
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Hydroclimatic trend and periodicity analysis

4.1.1 Trend and variability analysis

Annual Trend Analysis

The hydroclimatic annual trend analysis was investigated in Paper I. Figure 4.1 shows the mean areal precipitation and temperature depending on time for the study area. The Thiessen polygon method was used to calculate the mean areal precipitation and temperature over the experimental area. The area as a whole has a slight decreasing non-significant trend in precipitation and a significant increasing trend in temperature. The mean annual precipitation decrease is approximately 0.6 mm/year (30 mm for the entire 50-year period) and the mean annual temperature increase is about 0.03°C/year (1.5°C for the entire 50-year period). Both temperature and precipitation have displayed above average annual values during the last 10-year period.

Figure 4.2 shows linear trends for mean annual precipitation and temperature at each observation station. The trend is quantified in Table 4.1 and as noted 9 out of 10 stations show statistically significant increasing trend for temperature. For precipitation the trend is
less clear. Seven precipitation stations display a decreasing trend while three show an increasing trend. All decreasing stations are located in the eastern and north-eastern part of the Yellow River source area. Since this area is much affected by the summer monsoon it is rather clear that the declining rainfall is related to weakening of the monsoon rainfall.

The linear trend results are corroborated by the Mann-Kendall Test in Table 4.1. Standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated to examine the variation. The coefficient of variation for precipitation ranges from 0.13 to 0.27. It is seen that four stations display a statistically significant trend. The precipitation stations Tongde, Zeku, and Maqu exhibit a significantly decreasing trend at the 0.05 significance level while Maduo station shows an increasing trend at the 0.05 significance level. The precipitation stations with significantly decreasing trends are all confined to the north-eastern parts of the catchment (Tongde, Zeku, and Maqu) and Maduo with increasing trend is located in the north-western part of the catchment. There is, thus, a geographical dependence for the trend and annual data.

Table 4.1 Precipitation and temperature linear trends and Mann-Kendall statistics (Z); Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Prec. trend (mm/year)</th>
<th>Temp. trend (°C/year)</th>
<th>Prec. SD</th>
<th>Temp. SD</th>
<th>Prec. CV</th>
<th>Prec. Z</th>
<th>Prec. P</th>
<th>Temp. Z</th>
<th>Temp. P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xinghai</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>1.35E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongde</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>2.35E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeku</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-2.94</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maduo</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.08E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dari</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>9.50E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiuzhi</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>2.17E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.88E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruoergai</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>4.48E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongyuan</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.36E-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4.2 Precipitation and temperature trends depending on station: (a) Xinghai; (b) Tongde; (c) Zeku; (d) Henan; (e) Maduo; (f) Dari; (g) Jiuzhi; (h) Maqu; (i) Ruoergai; (j) Hongyuan
Figure 4.3 shows the corresponding streamflow at the four runoff stations during 1960-2009. When comparing decadal streamflow at the four investigated runoff stations, the last two decades streamflow has been significantly lower than corresponding streamflow during 1960-90 (Fig. 4.3(b)). The decadal mean streamflow at Tangnaihai station decreased by about 28% from 1980s to 2000s. Consequently, there is a clear decreasing trend for streamflow considering the entire 50-year investigated period (Table 4.2). According to Fig. 4.3(a) there is also a pronounced periodicity in annual streamflow that decreases towards the end of the 1990s. It is noticed that the streamflow showed an increasing trend during the last 10-year period. The decreasing linear trend is statistically significant for three of the four runoff stations as seen from Table 4.2. The coefficient of variation for Huangheyan station is highest, followed in order by Jimai, Tangnaihai and Maqu.
Table 4.2 Streamflow linear trends and Mann-Kendall statistics (Z); Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Streamflow trend (m$^3$/s/year)</th>
<th>Mann-Kendall Z</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td><strong>-2.19</strong></td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td><strong>-2.40</strong></td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangnaihai</td>
<td>-2.95</td>
<td><strong>-2.31</strong></td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seasonal Trend Analysis

Change-Point Analyzer was applied on annual precipitation, temperature and streamflow data series to further explore the potential abrupt hydro-climatic change points in the source region of the Yellow River in Paper I. The analysis results are summarized in Table 4.3. No change point was detected for precipitation. Temperature change occurred in 1998 with 99% confidence level, and streamflow change occurred in 1990 with 96% confidence level. The mean annual temperature was -0.58°C during 1961-1998, and it increased to 0.43°C during 1999-2010. The mean annual runoff depth was 179.2 mm during 1960-1990, and it decreased to 143.9 mm during 1991-2009. Hydrological systems act as spatial and temporal integrators of precipitation and temperature over certain watersheds, and streamflow observations can serve as a pertinent index of interannual hydroclimatic variability at regional scale, hence two periods before and after 1990 were divided to further investigate the change.

Table 4.3 Results and confidence levels of change point analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydroclimatic variables</th>
<th>Change point</th>
<th>Confidence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamflow</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The seasonal analysis corroborates the above annual trend and change-point analysis. Figure 4.4 shows the monthly change for precipitation, temperature, and runoff by comparing the periods 1960-90 and 1991-2010. As seen from the comparison in Fig. 4.4 temperature has increased rather evenly throughout the year and all months except for January. Regarding precipitation it is mainly the monsoon period (July to September) that
Fig. 4.4 Monthly variation of precipitation, temperature, and runoff.

has displayed a decrease. In general, the monsoon period (June-September) accounts for about 62% of the annual total precipitation. September is the month with largest relative
decrease in precipitation. The streamflow displays the largest decrease from July to October as a result from decreasing monsoon rainfall, and there is also a general smaller decrease for the rest months.

Increasing temperature causes more glacier and snow melt, and it increases the portion of snowmelt runoff to the total runoff. However, the clear decrease in streamflow as seen from Fig. 4.4 indicates that the melt water has only limited impact on the total streamflow. The decreasing trend in monsoon precipitation is the major reason for the reduced streamflow in the 1990s and 2000s, but also increasing evapotranspiration due to increasing temperature for other parts of the year is a significant factor. Zheng et al. (2009) reported that the land use change played a more important role than the climate in reducing streamflow in the 1990s. Due to the complexity of temperature influence, topography, land use change, and precipitation variability, further investigations are necessary to gain a deeper understanding of the linkages among hydro-climatic variables and land use for the area.

**Hydroclimatic Variability Analysis**

Figure 4.5 shows the spatial variation of mean annual precipitation over the Yellow River source region (Paper I). The mean areal precipitation over the catchment is 515.1 mm/year. There is a strongly increasing gradient from the northwest parts with approximately 309 - 755 mm/year in the southeast. The topographical gradient of rainfall and the annual rainfall variability are greatly influenced by the south-easterly summer monsoon flow. This makes annual precipitation amount decline with altitude as a general pattern in the region from the southeast to the northwest as seen from Fig. 3.1 and 4.5. The Maduo station receives 319 mm annual mean precipitation only in spite of its high location (4272 m). The reason is partly rain shadow effects due to the high mountain peaks in the central parts of the catchment and partly effects of the spatial extension of the summer monsoon.

![Fig. 4.5 Spatial variation of mean annual precipitation over the Yellow River source region.](image-url)
In order to further identify the precipitation spatial variability in the source region of the Yellow River, cluster analysis was performed to separate the precipitation stations into homogeneous regions using monthly precipitation data (Paper II). Fig. 4.6 shows the outcome of this analysis. The precipitation stations were thus divided into three zones, namely, 1: Xinghai, Tongde, and Maduo; 2: Zeku, Henan, and Dari, and 3: Jiuzhi, Maqu, Ruoergai, and Hongyuan. The summer monsoon rainfall in China is concentrated in about four months from June to September, and the monsoon rain belt in the upper Yellow River is caused by south-easterly flow (Qian et al. 2002). Prevailing south easterlies converge along the east edge of the Tibetan Plateau causing rainfall to generally decrease with topography. Thus, the cluster analysis results are mainly characterized by different average annual precipitation amount. The mean annual precipitations are 365, 518, and 692 mm/year for zone 1, zone 2, and zone 3, respectively. This is shown in Table 4.4 that further quantifies the precipitation trend and variability for the three identified zones.

![Figure 4.6 Cluster analysis of precipitation stations in the source region of the Yellow River.](image)

The precipitation linear trends were quantified using the Mann-Kendall test (Table 4.4, Fig. 4.7) in Paper III. As seen from Table 4.4 and Fig. 4.7, zone 1 had a non-significantly increasing trend during 1961 to 2010. On the contrary, both zone 2 and 3 had significantly decreasing annual precipitation. The decrease is 1.49 and 1.79 mm/year for zone 2 and zone 3, respectively. Previous studies have indicated a small but a statistically non-significant trend for precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River (Tang et al. 2008, Zheng et al. 2007). However, dividing the region into homogeneous zones displays clear differences in precipitation and a clearer picture of the spatially dependent trend. This trend is the strongest for the wettest area of the Yellow River source region and gradually decreases with decreasing annual precipitation. The trend analysis indicates that the summer monsoon is gradually becoming weaker resulting in less summer rainfall. Figure 4.8 shows the monthly
average precipitation for different zones in the source region of the Yellow River. The general monthly distribution pattern is similar for all three zones. Precipitation in July represents the maximum. Table 4.5 shows the contribution of the wet season precipitation to the annual total for the different zones. June to September precipitation represents 68-75% of annual total. There is a general increase in the contribution of summer monsoon rainfall to the total annual amount as the climate becomes dryer. This was also noted for middle part of the Yellow River by Yasuda et al. (2009).

Figure 4.7 Annual precipitation for different zones and the whole area in the source region of the Yellow River.

Table 4.4 Precipitation linear trends and Mann-Kendall statistics (Z); NS: no significant trend; * is statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Mean annual precipitation (mm/year)</th>
<th>Min. Annual precipitation (mm/year)</th>
<th>Max. annual precipitation (mm/year)</th>
<th>Linear Trend (mm/year)</th>
<th>Mann-Kendall Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>365.0</td>
<td>247.9</td>
<td>522.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>517.9</td>
<td>337.3</td>
<td>738.5</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td>692.4</td>
<td>533.9</td>
<td>860.5</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole area</td>
<td>515.3</td>
<td>406.0</td>
<td>645.8</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.5 Contribution of the wet season (June to September) to annual rainfall (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4.8 Monthly average precipitation for different zones in the source region of the Yellow River
4.1.2 Hydroclimatic Periodicity Analysis

To further investigate the observed annual periodicity in streamflow according to Fig. 4.3, a wavelet analysis was performed on annual areal precipitation, temperature, and streamflow (Paper I). The results are shown in Fig. 4.9 and 4.10. From Fig. 4.9 it can be seen that there are statistically significant 2 to 4-year periodicities especially for precipitation in the beginning of the experimental period (1960-80). For temperature there are significant 2 to 4-year periodicities during 1961-70 and 1980-2010, and an intense 8-year periodicity was found during 1975-1990. The precipitation also showed an intense 8-year periodicity during 1965-85.

![Wavelet power spectrum for annual areal precipitation](image1)

![Wavelet power spectrum for annual areal temperature](image2)

Fig. 4.9 Wavelet power spectrum for annual areal (a) precipitation and (b) temperature; power units are mm$^2$ and °C$^2$; the black line shows statistical significance at the 0.05 level

Dominant periodicities for the annual streamflow at each station are shown in Fig. 4.10. As seen from the figure there is a strong statistically significant 8-year periodicity for all stations between the ends of the 1960s until the beginning of the 1990s. The significant change point for streamflow in 1990 (Table 4.3) confirmed the time scale of strong 8-year periodicity. Less strong but still significant is also the 4-year periodicity for mainly Jimai, Maqu, and Tangnaihai stations. When comparing Fig. 4.9 and 4.10 we note that the annual periodicity of precipitation and streamflow is overlapping especially for the 8-year periodicity during the early part of the experimental period. However, the wavelet power spectrum of 8-year periodicity for the streamflow is much stronger than that for the precipitation and temperature during the same period.
Fig. 4.10 Wavelet power spectrum of annual streamflow for each station; power unit is mm²; the black line shows statistical significance at the 0.05 level: (a) Huangheyan station; (b) Jimai station; (c) Maqu station; (d) Tangnaihai station.
Frequency analysis for mean annual precipitation and discharge in the source region of the Yellow River were analysed using Log-Pearson type III probability distribution as seen in Fig. 4.11. It is seen that Log-Pearson type III probability distribution is a suitable pattern due to the general good fitting. The probabilities for mean annual precipitation at 1, 5, 10, and 20% are corresponding to 670, 616, 590 and 561 mm, respectively. The probabilities for mean annual discharge at 1, 5, 10, and 20% are corresponding to 1250, 982, 872 and 763 m³/s, respectively.

![Fig. 4.11 Frequency analysis for mean annual precipitation and discharge in the source region of the Yellow River](image)

**4.1.3 Correlation analysis**

The streamflow in the Yellow River source region is greatly affected by the changing climate. Due to the vast area and spatial variation in the source region of the Yellow River, water balances were first analysed at sub-basin level (Paper I). Annual areal precipitation and temperature trend analysis for each sub-basin is shown in Table 4.6. Temperature significantly increased corresponding to the station analysis, and precipitation in the Huangheyan and Jimai sub-basins also showed increasing trend having an opposite trend with the whole basin. Correlations between annual streamflow at the four discharge stations and annual areal precipitation and temperature for each sub-basin are shown in Table 4.7. Streamflow at all four hydrologic stations are positively correlated with precipitation and negatively correlated with temperature. The significance of the correlations indicates that the streamflow is much more sensitive to precipitation and that the dependence increases from upstream to downstream. Similarly, the highest correlation between temperature and streamflow is for the most upstream station (Huangheyan). Thus, it suggested that temperature effects on runoff are more important for upstream stations due to glacier melting.

To assess the relative contribution of precipitation and temperature to streamflow, a multivariate linear regression analysis was investigated here: \( R = 0.66 \ P - 15.1 \ T - 177.1 \), where \( R \) represents annual runoff depth in the Yellow River source region, \( P \) and \( T \) represent mean annual areal precipitation and temperature, respectively. The \( R^2 \) is equal to 0.76. The bias was insignificant with a value of -2.5E-13, and root mean square error is 21.9 mm. All
coefficients were statistically tested for significance at the 0.05 level (Table 4.8). Both the increasing temperature and the decreasing precipitation are reasons for decrease in natural streamflow. The globally averaged surface temperature showed a warming of 0.85 (0.65 to 1.06) °C over the period 1880 to 2012, and 1983-2012 was likely the warmest 30-year period of the last 1400 years (IPCC 2013). The temperature change for the source region of the Yellow River for the last 50 years is even larger based on our analysis. It is estimated that the global mean surface temperature change for the period 2016-2035 relative to 1986-2005 will likely be in the range of 0.3 to 0.7°C (IPCC 2013). Immerzeel et al. (2010) predicted that the rainfall in the Yellow River upstream will increase about 14% over the period 2046 to 2065. However, we should treat regional precipitation predictions with caution, because most climate models experience difficulties in simulating mean monsoon and interannual precipitation variation. The changing climate will have significant effects on the downstream water availability in the Yellow River.

Table 4.6 Annual areal precipitation and temperature for each sub-basin Mann-Kendall statistics (Z); Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Huangheyan</th>
<th>Jimai</th>
<th>Maqu</th>
<th>Tangnaihai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.08E-06</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.71E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7 Correlation coefficients between annual streamflow and annual areal precipitation and temperature for each sub-basin. Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Huangheyan</th>
<th>Jimai</th>
<th>Maqu</th>
<th>Tangnaihai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>-0.286</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>-0.178</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.8 Summary for multiple linear regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t Stat</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-177.1</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>-6.17</td>
<td>1.63E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.29E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>-2.89</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Relationship between summer precipitation and global sea surface temperature

The relationship between summer precipitation and global SST was identified in Paper II. Figure 4.12 shows the 0-11 month lagged cross-correlation between global SST and the summer precipitation (June to September) for the source region of the Yellow River as a whole. The figure shows statistically significant negative correlations with different SST areas of various lags. For lag 0-4 month it is the large area in the central Pacific that is dominating. However, with increasing lag new areas in the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean become a dominant influence. The predominant negative correlations mean that lower SST in these areas coincides with more summer rainfall over the source region of the Yellow River. El Nino events occur in the eastern Pacific Pattern and the central Pacific pattern according to the location of the onset of warm SST anomalies (Lin and Yu 1993, Feng et al. 2011). Xu et al. (2007) found that the La Nina phase (lower SST of the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean) corresponds to a relatively rainier season in the Yellow River basin. The results here reveal the correlated SST areas and confirm the importance of ENSO events for the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. The results also suggest significant relationships with southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean especially for lags between 5 to 8 months. Feng et al. (2013) examined that the SST anomalies over the southern Indian Ocean could induce consistent atmosphere circulation and precipitation anomalies over China, and the warm SST anomalies could decrease the rainfall that is generally in agreement with the negative correlations we found above.

Figure 4.13 shows correlation between summer precipitation in zone 1 and global SST. Different correlated SST areas emerge when dividing the Yellow River source region into homogeneous precipitation areas. Zone 1 rainfall is correlated with SST in mainly central and only partly eastern Pacific. Significant negative correlation remains for a lagged period up to 9 months in this area. It is also seen that a small area with positive correlations (blue area in Fig. 4.13) appears in the central Pacific Ocean for a lag up to about 8 months. The significant positive correlation corroborates with non-significantly increasing precipitation trend in zone 1 during the last 50-year period. It is quite clear that rainfall in zone 1 (western and northwestern parts of the source region of the Yellow River) is mainly governed by central and eastern Pacific SST.
Fig. 4.12 Correlation between summer precipitation in Yellow River source region and global SST for the period 1961–2010 (|r| > 0.36 and |r| > 0.46 correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01 and 0.001, respectively).
Fig. 4.13 Correlation between summer precipitation in zone 1 and global SST for the period 1961–2010 (|r| > 0.36 and |r| > 0.46 correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01 and 0.001, respectively).
Fig. 4.14 Correlation between summer precipitation in zone 2 and global SST for the period 1961–2010 (|r| > 0.36 and |r| > 0.46 correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01 and 0.001, respectively).
Fig. 4.15 Correlation between summer precipitation in zone 3 and global SST for the period 1961–2010 (|r| > 0.36 and |r| > 0.46 correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01 and 0.001, respectively).
Figure 4.14 shows correlation between summer precipitation in zone 2 (central parts of source region of the Yellow River) and global SST. It has great similarity with the pattern for the whole region as shown in Fig. 4.12 but with more clear signals in the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean, mainly due to the similar summer precipitation time series between the whole region and zone 2 (Fig. 4.7). For short time lags of 0-4 month it is mainly central Pacific that explains rainfall. However, for lag 5-8 month it is southern Atlantic and Indian Ocean and western Pacific that is of importance. The clearest signals appeared at Lag 6 month and Lag 7 month for the southern Atlantic and India Ocean, and western Pacific, respectively.

Figure 4.15 shows correlation between summer precipitation in zone 3 (eastern parts of source region of the Yellow River) and global SST. Lag 0-3 month shows scattered and small areas. From lag 4 month the central Pacific emerges with strong correlation. When increasing the lag period western Pacific and the southern Atlantic also display strong correlation. Eventually, for the long lag periods of 6-11 month the central and western Pacific dominate the correlation.

It is clearly seen that SST has an influence on the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. The ENSO activity is typically monitored by observing the sea level pressures and SST in the equatorial Pacific. Thus, the Southern Oscillation Index was selected to further reveal the ENSO influence on summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River (Paper II). Table 4.9 shows the correlation coefficients between summer precipitation and SOI for different lags. Significant positive correlations were found for the 0-2 month lag for the entire region. In addition, zone 1 had a lag 4, 9-11 month significant positive correlations. Sustained negative values of the SOI often indicate El Nino episodes, and these negative values are usually accompanied by sustained warming of the central and eastern tropical Pacific Ocean, a decrease in the strength of the Pacific trade winds and a reduction in rainfall over China (Fu et al. 2013). The significant negative correlations with SST in equatorial Pacific Ocean and positive correlations with SOI for summer precipitation clearly shows the influence of ENSO activities on the source region of the Yellow River.

Table 4.9 Correlation coefficients between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and SOI with different lag month. The values in bold are statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Lag 0</th>
<th>Lag 1</th>
<th>Lag 2</th>
<th>Lag 3</th>
<th>Lag 4</th>
<th>Lag 5</th>
<th>Lag 6</th>
<th>Lag 7</th>
<th>Lag 8</th>
<th>Lag 9</th>
<th>Lag 10</th>
<th>Lag 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole area</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is clear that ENSO events have an influence on the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. Fu et al. (2013) examined the trend and variability of extreme rainfall events in China and found that it is mainly influenced by ENSO, the magnitude of East Asian monsoon, global warming as well as wind circulations like the cyclonic and anti-cyclonic circulation anomalies dominating over Northwest and North China. Cuo et al. (2013) have shown that precipitation change in winter at northern Tibetan Plateau can be attributed to changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation, ENSO, the Arctic Oscillation and East Asian westerly jet, and in summer the changes in precipitation is only weakly related to these indices. Xu et al. (2013) defined an eastern Pacific southern oscillation index and a central Pacific southern oscillation index to measure the different responses of the atmospheric circulation to the two types of El Nino, and it showed that both indices exhibited positive correlations with rainfall anomalies in the upstream of the Yellow River. Zhang et al. (2013) found the warm phase of the North Atlantic SST is related to North Atlantic Oscillation that leads to less precipitation or more frequent droughts in the semi-arid subarea in the upper reaches of the Yellow River. Liu et al. (2012) investigated the variation of water discharge that is more likely to be correlated with large scale climatic process over the long time scales and is also influenced by both ENSO and human activities, and the ENSO impacts on the water discharge were exerted by influencing the precipitation through its effects on East Asian monsoon. Li et al. (2010) found that the recent warming of the tropics, especially the warming associated with the tropical interdecadal variability centred over the central and eastern Pacific is a primary cause for the weakening the EASM (East Asian Summer Monsoon) since the late 1970s. Two external sources of forcing, including Pacific and Indian Ocean SSTs and the snow cover in the Eurasia and the Tibetan Plateau, are believed to be primary contributing factors to physical processes and mechanisms related to the EASM (Ding and Chan 2005). The warming in the tropical Pacific and Indian Ocean leads to an abnormal Subtropical Pacific High that reduces water vapour transport to North China from South China Sea and thus contributes to precipitation decrease (Hu 1997). In view of the above it is clear that ENSO can exert an important impact on the Asian monsoon (Weng et al. 1999, Zhou et al. 2010).

4.3 Teleconnection pattern influence on precipitation

The precipitation for three identified homogeneous zones in the source region of the Yellow River was tested for relation against different climate indices using PCA and SVD (Paper III). Monthly precipitation and monthly climate indices for the same period from 1961 to 2010 were used for analysis. PCA revealed a close relationship that is direct or inverse between the precipitation for three zones and climate indices. The first two modes of PCA were analysed as they represent a major variance. Figure 4.16 gives the PCA biplot, and it is seen that the precipitation for the three zones is in general represented in the first PCA mode. It is positively related to NAO, WP and NINO3.4, and it is negatively related to POL. The PCA analysis shows strong evidences that the precipitation in different zones is closely related to different teleconnection patterns.
SVD was applied to the cross-variance matrix between monthly precipitation for the three zones and monthly climate indices for the same period from 1961 to 2010 in Paper III. Figure 4.17 presents the time series of precipitation for the different zones and climate indices in the first mode of SVD with explained variance of 84.5%. A similar variation shows that precipitation for different zones is closely related to the teleconnection patterns. Table 4.10 shows the heterogeneous correlation for the different indices. It is noteworthy that the precipitation for the three zones is positively related to NAO, WP and NINO3.4, and negatively related to POL at 0.05 significance level. The result of SVD confirms the relationship from the PCA results between precipitation for the three zones and climate indices.

Table 4.10 Heterogeneous correlation table of summer precipitation and climatic indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone1</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Zone3</th>
<th>NAO</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>WP</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>EA/WR</th>
<th>SCA</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>PDO</th>
<th>NINO3.4</th>
<th>IOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode 1</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level

The results in this study showed that ENSO, NAO, WP and POL events have an influence on the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. Xu et al. (2007) found that the La Nina phase corresponds to a relatively rainier season in the Yellow River basin. Fu et al. (2013) examined the trend and variability of extreme rainfall events in China and found that it is mainly influenced by ENSO and the magnitude of East Asian monsoon. Yuan et al. (2015b) examined the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River teleconnections with global sea surface temperatures, and found that higher sea surface
temperature in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to El Nino coincides with less summer precipitation. Cuo et al. (2012) showed that precipitation change in winter at northern Tibetan Plateau can be attributed to changes in the East Asian westerly jet, North Atlantic Oscillation and ENSO. Zhang et al. (2013) also examined that the warm phase of the North Atlantic SST is related to North Atlantic Oscillation that leads to less precipitation or more frequent droughts in the semi-arid subarea in the upper reaches of the Yellow River. Liu et al. (2015) found that NAO greatly controls the variability of summer precipitation between the north-eastern and the south-eastern Tibetan Plateau by modifying the atmospheric circulation over and around the Tibetan Plateau. During the positive phase of the NAO, warm moist air from the oceans around Asian is transported by the south-eastern flank of the anticyclone anomaly over East Asian to the north-eastern Tibetan Plateau, and this northward-moving warm moist air encounters cold air masses transported by the north-western flank of the cyclonic anomaly over the north-eastern Tibetan Plateau (Liu et al. 2015). This confluence of the cold and warm air masses subsequently strengthens cumulus convective activities and ultimately results in excessive precipitation over the north-eastern Tibetan Plateau. Research has showed that the strong positive and negative West Pacific patterns are related to the east-west and north-south movements of the East Asian jet stream, indicating that the change from cold to warm season results from the northward movement of the East Asian jet stream and thus affects aspects of the East Asian climate such as precipitation and temperature (Choi and Moon 2012, Barnston and Livezey 1987). Yan (2002) found that POL was positively associated with winter precipitation in China, indicating the significance of the winter monsoon in producing rainfall pattern. Lin (2014) showed that the POL has negative correlation with precipitation in North China.
4.4 Rainfall-runoff process

4.4.1 Summer precipitation prediction

Based on the revealed relationships between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns (Paper III), a back propagation neural network was developed to predict the summer precipitation (June-September) using significantly correlated climate indices as input layer, including NAO, WP, POL and NINO3.4. One limitation of ANN analysis is that the model results vary depending on the random setting of the initial weights. Therefore, the neural network model run for one experiment was repeated ten times. The predicted summer precipitation refers to the most accurate results of ten model runs. Here, a ‘trial and error’ process was used to choose different nodes for the two hidden layers. Table 4.11 shows the Pearson correlation coefficients between observed and predicted summer precipitations for training and validation period. The correlation coefficients for the validation period are generally larger than 0.6. Figure 4.18 shows the observed and predicted summer precipitation for the validation period and the different zones and the whole area.

![Graphs showing observed and predicted summer precipitation for different zones and the whole area](image_url)

Fig. 4.18 The observed and predicted summer precipitation at the training period for different zones and the whole area
Table 4.11 ANN results for the three different zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Zone 1</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Zone 3</th>
<th>Whole area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2 Rainfall-runoff simulation using the Xinanjiang model

Interannual variability in streamflow mainly reflects catchment response to precipitation variability and is an important aspect of the hydrological regime for a catchment. Figure 4.19 shows the monthly precipitation and runoff depth in the source region of the Yellow River. It is seen that precipitation in the summer monsoon period (June-September) accounts for about 72% of the annual total. The monthly runoff depth shows dual peaks in July and September. Calibration and validation of the Xinanjiang model for the source region of the Yellow River were carried out using daily rainfall-runoff data. The Thiessen polygon method was used to calculate the daily mean areal precipitation and temperature over the experimental area. The daily discharge at Tangnaihai station was used to evaluate the model results since Tangnaihai station is the outlet of the source region of the Yellow River. The data from 1961 to 1995 were used for calibrating the model and the data from 1996 to 2009 was used for validation. Table 4.12 shows the results of the calibrated model parameters. According to the table all parameter values are in a reasonable range.

![Figure 4.19 Monthly precipitation and runoff depth in the source region of the Yellow River from 1961 to 2009](image)

Figure 4.20 and 4.21 show the observed and simulated discharge for the catchment during calibration and validation period, respectively. It is seen that the Pearson correlation
coefficient for calibration period is 0.87 and 0.85 for validation period. Consequently, in general, the Xinanjiang model simulates the daily runoff series well.

Table 4.12 Calibrated model parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>KG</th>
<th>KI</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>IM</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>UM</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>WM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4.20 Observed and simulated discharge for the source region of the Yellow River during calibration period.

Fig. 4.21 Observed and simulated discharge for the source region of the Yellow River during the validation period.
5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE STUDY

5.1 Conclusions

This study improved the physical understanding of hydroclimatic change in the source region of the Yellow River from the impacts of global sea surface temperature and teleconnection patterns, and promoted the application of hydrological modelling and forecasting techniques for water resources management. The trend and periodicity for temperature, precipitation, and streamflow from the early 1960s to 2010 for the Yellow River source region were investigated in Paper I. The analysis revealed a statistically significant increase in temperature for 9 out of 10 investigated stations. The temperature increased 1.5°C during the last 50-year period and had an accelerated increasing trend during last decade in the source region of the Yellow River. For precipitation the trend is less obvious. The mean annual precipitation decrease was about 30 mm over the entire 50-year period. In total 3 stations out of 10 displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend and one displayed increasing trend. The precipitation stations with significantly decreasing trends are all confined to the north-eastern parts of the catchment (Tongde, Zeku, and Maqu) and Maduo with increasing trend is located in the north-western part of the catchment. Thus, the varying trends depend on spatial location. The major part of annual rainfall comes from the monsoon, and the change in monsoon climate is likely to greatly affect the annual precipitation amount. There was a statistically significant decreasing trend for three out of four runoff stations during the period 1960-2009. The decadal mean discharge at Tangnaihai hydrologic station decreased significantly by about 28% from 1980s to 2000s. Significant change points were detected in 1998 for temperature and in 1990 for streamflow indicating a new hydrometeorological regime. The mean annual temperature was -0.58°C during 1961-1998, however, it increased to 0.43°C during 1999-2010. The mean annual runoff depth was 179.2mm during 1960-1990, and it decreased to 143.9mm during 1991-2009. Based on the streamflow change point analysis, seasonal analysis shows that the decreasing precipitation in the summer monsoon period is responsible for the annual precipitation decrease. Temperature increase is rather even throughout the year. The runoff depth decreased by 0.74 mm/year as an average for the entire study area. This change will have essential impact on the reservoir operation in the middle and down-stream areas of the Yellow River.

Wavelet analysis was used to identify and describe periodicity of runoff and hydroclimatic variables, and it gives us an insight in frequency and severity of drought and connections to the climate system. A statistically significant 2 to 4-year periodicity for mean areal precipitation and temperature could be seen during different periods over the area. An intense 8-year statistically significant periodicity for streamflow was revealed for the main discharge stations between the end of the 1960s to the beginning of the 1990s, and significant
change point in 1990 corroborated the time scale of strong 8-year periodicity. The annual periodicity of streamflow is partly overlapped by the statistically significant precipitation periodicity. Hydrological frequency analysis is examined to determine the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency or recurrence interval. The periodicity analysis examined the dynamical link between the streamflows and the climate variability in the source region of the Yellow River. Hydro-climatic trends and linkages at each sub-basin were investigated to further improve the understanding of observed streamflow changes. Precipitation in the Huangheyan and Jimai sub-basins showed increasing trend having an opposite trend with the whole basin. The significance of the correlations indicates that the streamflow is much more sensitive to precipitation and that the dependence increases from upstream to downstream. Both the increasing temperature and the decreasing precipitation are reasons for decrease in natural streamflow. The hydroclimatic change in the study area will have significant effects on the downstream water availability in the Yellow River.

The source region of the Yellow River was divided into three homogeneous zones based on precipitation variability, and its summer precipitation trends was explored (Paper II). The relationships between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST and SOI were investigated in Paper II. The northwest part (zone 1) exhibited a non-significantly increasing trend during 1961 to 2010. The middle and southeast parts (zone 2 and 3) that receive the most precipitation displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend over the same period. This is due to a weakening of the summer monsoon and decreasing rainfall amounts during the summer months. The summer precipitation in the whole area shows statistically significant negative correlations with the central Pacific SST for 0-4 month lags and with the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean SST for 5-8 month lags. The predominant negative correlations mean that lower SST in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to La Nina coincides with more summer rainfall over the source region of the Yellow River. The summer precipitation in zone 1 is correlated with SST in mainly central and partly eastern Pacific. However, a small area with positive correlations appears in the central Pacific Ocean for a lag up to about 8 months. This explains that the precipitation in zone 1 had a non-significantly increasing trend during the last 50-year period. Zone 2 has similar influencing SST areas with the whole area but with a clearer signal. The precipitation in zone 3 is influenced by the central Pacific but also affected by southern Atlantic Oceans and western Pacific. SOI had significant positive correlations mainly for 0-2 month lag with summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River.

PCA and SVD were used to find relationships between the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns using climate indices in Paper III. The summer precipitation was predicted based on the revealed relationships using an ANN. The precipitation trend varies at different stations due to the temporal and spatial variation. The PCA analysis revealed relationships between some of these climate indices and precipitation. The first two modes of PCA were analysed since they can readily be associated to teleconnection patterns. The results showed that precipitation is positively
related to the North Atlantic Oscillation, West Pacific and El Nino Southern Oscillation and negatively related to the Polar Eurasian teleconnection. SVD was applied to the cross-covariance matrix between precipitation and climate indices. The results of SVD confirmed the relationship from the PCA. An ANN model was used to predict the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. The Pearson correlation coefficients between the predicted summer precipitation and observed summer precipitation are generally larger than 0.6. Thus, it is shown that significantly correlated climate indices can be used to predict the summer precipitation.

A partly modified Xinanjiang model was applied to the source region of the Yellow River for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in Paper IV. The magnitude and variation of evaporative losses is required for efficient water resources management. It is, however, difficult to select the most appropriate evaporation calculation method due to the wide range of data types needed in the various equations. The Blaney–Criddle method was chosen to calculate the potential evapotranspiration for the model input due to data scarcity of this area. The process of model calibration is normally performed either manually or by using computer-based automatic procedures. The process of manual calibration is generally tedious and time consuming. Hence, the Monte Carlo method was used for automatic calibration. The good fit showed that the Xinanjiang model can be used for daily runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River.

This study improves the understanding of dynamics of hydrological cycle and leads to better hydrologic system modelling. The revealed results could be useful in improving steamflow forecast and operating the reservoirs for power generation, water supply and flood control in the middle and downstream of the Yellow River, and hence improving water resources management.

5.2 Future study

Global atmospheric general circulation models (GCMs) outputs are often used to investigate hydrological impacts of climate change. While GCMs demonstrate significant skill at the continental and hemispheric spatial scales and incorporate a large proportion of the complexity of the global system, however, the spatial resolution of GCMs is too coarse to represent regional climate variations at the scales required for water availability assessments, and they are inherently unable to represent local subgrid-scale features and dynamics (Wigley et al. 1990, Wilby et al. 2000, Sperna Weiland et al. 2010, Xu 1999). When considering the impacts of global climate change the focus is primarily on societal responses to the local and regional consequences of large-scale changes. The conflict between GCM performance at regional spatial scales and the needs of regional-scale impact assessment is largely related to model resolution in such a way that, while GCM accuracy decreases at increasingly finer spatial scales, the needs of impacts researchers conversely increase with higher resolution (Schulze 1997, Dibike and Coulibaly 2005). Hence, statistical
downscaling approaches are necessary to convert the GCMs output into local meteorological variables required for reliable hydrological modelling.

Changes in global climate will have significant impact on local and regional hydrological regimes, which will in turn affect ecological, social and economic systems (Dibike and Coulibaly 2005). To further evaluate the streamflow variability in the source region of the Yellow River, future studies could focus on downscaling of large scale atmospheric variables from GCMs projections to climate variables at regional and local scale, which could be used as input for the Xinanjiang model in order to predict the future streamflow. The application of hydrological model and downscaling GCMs projections are essential to assess the potential effects of climate change on regional water resources.
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Case Study

Hydro Climatic Trend and Periodicity for the Source Region of the Yellow River
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Abstract: The hydrology of the Yellow River source region is expected to be affected by coming climate change. This will have repercussions for the 110 million basin inhabitants. Consequently, precipitation, temperature, and streamflow trends and periodicities during the last 50 years were investigated to identify significant changes in time and space over the study area. Results showed that mean annual precipitation for all stations and it had an accelerated increasing trend during the last decade. Monthly precipitation trends varied depending on the station; however, they were generally slightly decreasing. Annual streamflow decreased markedly, especially from the 1990s, but showed recovery during recent years. Statistically significant changes in trend occurred for temperature in 1998 and for streamflow in 1990. Based on the streamflow change point, seasonal analysis results showed that precipitation mainly decreased during the summer monsoon period (July–September) and temperature increased throughout the year. Corresponding to the weakened monsoon period the average runoff depth is decreasing by 0.74 mm/year over the whole area. Statistically significant 2- to 4-year periodicities for mean areal precipitation and temperature occurred over the area. For streamflow, an even stronger 8-year periodicity was revealed from the end of the 1960s to the beginning of the 1990s. Frequency analysis investigated the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency. Hydroclimatic trends and linkages at each subbasin were investigated to further the understanding of observed streamflow changes. The investigated results have important implications for future water availability in the Yellow River source region. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001182. © 2015 American Society of Civil Engineers.
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Introduction

The Yellow River is of immense importance to China. It is 5,464 km long, has a basin area of 752,440 km², and is the main source of surface water in northwest and northern China (Tang et al. 2008a, b; Yang et al. 2008). The basin has more than 110 million inhabitants and 12.6 million ha cultivated land, representing approximately 8 and 13% of the national totals, respectively. The lower Yellow River has increasingly suffered from low-flow conditions and parts of the lower reaches have often been dry during the last 30 years. The situation has been exacerbated during recent years (Liu and Zheng 2004; Sato et al. 2008; Tang et al. 2008a, b). The source region of the Yellow River contributes approximately 35% of the total water yield in the Yellow River basin (Lan et al. 2010). Consequently, it is an extremely important area in terms of water resources affecting agricultural productivity and municipal and industrial water supply for the whole basin.

Climate change will have major impacts on regional water resources (Yang et al. 2010). Many studies have shown that climate change has a strong impact on basin water resources through changes in temperature and hydrologic variables (Westmacott and Burn 1997). For example, the frequency and severity of drought events could increase as a result of changes in both precipitation and temperature. Changes in the hydrologic regime that do occur are expected to be spatially and temporally variable (Burn and Elnur 2002). The interannual periodicity in local hydroclimatic variables (temperature, precipitation, and streamflow) could be a reflection for low-frequency climatic fluctuations. For this reason, it is important to understand the underlying dynamics of the hydrological cycle for investigated basins (Coulibały and Burn 2004). Thus, it becomes increasingly important to study trend and periodicity in temperature and hydrologic variables, which are essential for efficient integrated water resources planning and management.

Several studies have dealt with the issue of change in hydroclimatic variables in the source region of the Yellow River. Hu et al. (2011) investigated streamflow trends and climate linkages in the source region of the Yellow River during the last 50 years (1959–2008). Liu and Zheng (2004) attempted to detect trends associated with hydrological cycle components in the Yellow River basin using data from 1952 to 1997. Zheng et al. (2007) investigated changes in the streamflow regime of four headwater catchments using data from 1956 to 2000. Tang et al. (2008a, b) presented an analysis of changes in the spatial patterns of climatic variables...
in the Yellow River basin from 1960 to 2000. Cong et al. (2009) used a distributed hydrological model to analyze hydrological trends in the Yellow River basin. Several of these studies have reported dwindling streamflow in the Yellow River during the latest years. Zhang et al. (2013) reported substantially decreased annual flow of the Yellow River during recent years. The source area of the Yellow River is little influenced by human activities. Thus, it is important to separate this part from other parts of the Yellow River because the source region can be seen as an indicator of main climatic effects. Most of the trend analyses from previous studies have used hydrological and meteorological data up to about 2000. There are, however, indications that the hydrological regime may have changed significantly during the last decadal period. This change has not yet been quantified and analyzed in detail in relation to results from previous studies. Consequently, this paper investigates the trend for temperature, precipitation, and streamflow from 1960 to 2010. Change-point analysis was applied to identify the potential significant hydroclimatic changes in recent years. Based on the change-point results, seasonal analysis was examined indicating a new possible hydrometeorological regime. The hydroclimatic periodicity was investigated by wavelet analysis giving an insight in periodicity and severity of drought and connections to the climate system. Besides, hydrological frequency analysis was examined to determine the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency or recurrence interval. This study also explored the hydroclimatic trends and linkages at each subbasin to further improve the understanding of observed streamflow changes. Based on these analyses the paper is closed with a discussion on practical applications of the results.

**Experimental Area and Methods**

**Experimental Area and Data**

The source region of the Yellow River is located on the northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau between 32°12′−35°48′N and 95°50′−103°28′E and includes the area upstream of the Tangnaihai runoff observation station. The area is 12.2 × 10⁶ km², occupying approximately 16% of the Yellow River Basin, and it has a great elevation change from 2,670 m in the east to 6,253 m in the west. Grassland covers 80% of the catchment and it includes typical alpine swamp, steppe, and shrub meadows. In recent years, the alpine grasslands in this area have suffered from severe degradation (Zhou et al. 2005). The grassland degradation is thought to be a joint effect of long-term overgrazing and climate warming. The area of lakes and swamps is approximately 2,000 km². There is a permanent snowpack and glaciers in the southern Animaqing, Bayankala, and northern Qilian mountains. The area has a comparatively low population density and a total of approximately half a million inhabitants. The area is therefore regarded as relatively unaffected by human activities (Hu et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2009). Neither large irrigation projects nor large dams exist in the area even though the population increase of humans and domestic livestock is increasingly affecting the grass cover and soil erosion.

Climatologically the area belongs to the semihumid region of the Tibetan Plateau subfrigid zone and 75–90% of the annual precipitation in this area falls during the wet summer season (June–September) due to the southwest monsoon from the Bay of Bengal (Hu et al. 2011). Thus, the climate of the source region of the Yellow River is greatly influenced by the southwest monsoon and the East Asian summer monsoon (Ding and Chan 2005). The earliest onset of the East Asian summer monsoon occurs in the central and southern Indochina Peninsula. It displays a distinct stepwise northward and northeastward movement and then finally penetrates in to the upper Yellow River from the south of China (Ding and Chan 2005). The effects of atmospheric circulation are in general different for the upper and lower Yellow River. The monsoon rain belt in the upper part is caused by southeasterly flow, while the corresponding monsoon rain belt in the lower parts is influenced by southwesterly flow (Qian et al. 2002). This causes differences in spatial distribution of summer precipitation between the two parts of the Yellow River. The upper part of the Yellow River is characterized by low temperatures, sharp day–night temperature contrasts, long cold and short warm seasons, and intense sunlight (Liang et al. 2010). Annual average temperature varies between −4 and 2°C from southeast to northwest. The precipitation in this region is generally of low intensity (<50 mm/day), long duration (10–30 days), and covers a large area (>100,000 km²) (Hu et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2007). Snowfall is concentrated from November to March, when more than 78% of the total precipitation falls in the form of snow. However, the total amount of annual snowfall accounts for less than 10% of the annual precipitation (Hu et al. 2011). The potential evaporation is 1,300–1,400 mm/year (Liang et al. 2010).

Daily precipitation and temperature observations from 1961 to 2010 collected from 10 meteorological stations (Fig. 1)—Xinghai, Tongde, Zeku, Henan, Maduo, Dari, Jiužhi, Maqü, Ruörgai, and Hongyuan—were obtained from the China Meteorological Administration. Daily streamflow data from 1960 to 2009 at four runoff observation stations, including Huangheyan, Jimai, Maqü, and Tangnaihai, were obtained from the Yellow River Conservancy...
A statistical test can be found in Burn and Elnur (2002). There is no trend for the data series. A detailed procedure for this was chosen as red noise, which means that power increases with increasing to a given frequency or recurrence interval. The log-Pearson Type III distribution data to predict the design flood that has been widely used in hydrology (Griffis and Stedinger 2007; Vogel and Wilson 1996). It is a three-parameter distribution; the parameters are the mean, standard deviation, and skew coefficient (Costa 1978). A detection point is used to identify the potential abrupt hydroclimatic changes in the source region of the Yellow River (Taylor 2000) and to detect the change points. Change-Point Analyzer is a software package for analyzing time-ordered data to determine whether a change has taken place, and it detects multiple changes and provides confidence levels for each change. It iteratively uses a combination of time-varying cumulative sum charts (cusums) and bootstrapping to detect changes (Taylor 2000). It has been widely used for detecting change points in hydroclimatic areas (Collins et al. 2008; Fischer et al. 2012; Mavromatis and Stathis 2011).

**Methods**

Mann-Kendall trends in precipitation, temperature, and streamflow time series were examined by the nonparametric Mann-Kendall test. The Kendall statistic was originally devised as a nonparametric test for trend by Mann (1945). Later Kendall (1975) derived the Kendall statistic. The Mann-Kendall test is independent of the statistical distribution data to predict the design flood that has been widely used in hydrology (Griffis and Stedinger 2007; Vogel and Wilson 1996). It is a three-parameter distribution; the parameters are the mean, standard deviation, and skew coefficient (Costa 1978). A detailed procedure for this statistical technique can be found in Griffis and Stedinger (2007).

Wavelet Analysis

Wavelet analysis is a common tool for signal processing. It is an effective method to identify the dominant modes of periodicity by decomposing time series into time-frequency space

\[ \eta_\theta(t) = \eta_0 e^{-\eta_0^2 \theta^{-1/4}} \]

where \( \eta_0 = \) nondimensional frequency, here taken to be 6. To reduce wraparound effects each time series was padded with zeros. The cone of influence is the region of the wavelet spectrum in which edge effects become important. The background spectrum was chosen as red noise, which means that power increases with decreasing frequency.

**Frequency Analysis**

Hydrological frequency analysis is examined to determine the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency or recurrence interval. The log-Pearson Type III distribution is a statistical technique for fitting frequency distribution data to predict the design flood that has been widely used in hydrology (Griffis and Stedinger 2007; Vogel and Wilson 1996). It is a three-parameter distribution; the parameters are the mean, standard deviation, and skew coefficient (Costa 1978). A detailed procedure for this statistical technique can be found in Griffis and Stedinger (2007).

**Results**

**Annual Trend Analysis**

Fig. 2 shows the spatial variation of mean annual precipitation over the Yellow River source region. The mean area precipitation over the catchment is 515.1 mm/year. There is a strongly increasing gradient from the northwest parts with approximately
300–755 mm/year in the southeast. The topographical gradient of rainfall and the annual rainfall variability are greatly influenced by the southeasterly summer monsoon flow. This makes annual precipitation amounts decline with altitude as a general pattern by the southeasterly summer monsoon flow. This makes annual rainfall and the annual rainfall variability are greatly influenced by the extension of the summer monsoon. The central parts of the catchment and partly effects of the spatial precipitation only in spite of its high location (4,272 m). The reason is partly rain shadow effects due to the high mountain peaks in the central parts of the catchment and partly effects of the spatial extension of the summer monsoon.

Fig. 3 shows the mean areal precipitation and temperature depending on time for the study area. The Thiessen polygon method was used to calculate the mean areal precipitation and temperature over the experimental area. The area as a whole has a slight decreasing nonsignificant trend in precipitation and a significant increasing trend in temperature. The mean annual precipitation decrease is approximately 0.6 mm/year (30 mm for the entire 50-year period) and the mean annual temperature increase is approximately 0.03°C/year (1.5°C for the entire 50-year period). Both temperature and precipitation have displayed above-average annual values during the last 10-year period.

Fig. 4 shows linear trends for mean annual precipitation and temperature at each observation station. The trend is quantified in Table 3 and as noted 9 out of 10 stations show statistically significant increasing trend for temperature. For precipitation the trend is less clear. Seven precipitation stations display a decreasing trend while three show an increasing trend. All decreasing stations are located in the eastern and northeastern part of the Yellow River source area. Because this area is much affected by the summer monsoon it is rather clear that the declining rainfall is related to weakening of the monsoon rainfall.

The linear trend results are corroborated by the Mann-Kendall test in Table 3. Standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (COV) are calculated to examine the variation. The coefficient of variation for precipitation ranges from 0.13 to 0.27. It is seen that four stations display a statistically significant trend. The precipitation stations Tongde, Zeku, and Maqu exhibit a significantly decreasing trend at the 0.05 significance level, while the Maduo station shows an increasing trend at the 0.05 significance level. The precipitation stations with significantly decreasing trends are all confined to the northeastern parts of the catchment (Tongde, Zeku, and Maqu) and Maduo with an increasing trend is located in the northwestern part of the catchment. There is, thus, a geographical dependence for the trend and annual data.

Fig. 5 shows the corresponding streamflow at the four runoff stations during 1960–2009. When comparing decadal streamflow at the four investigated runoff stations, the last two decades’ streamflow has been significantly lower than corresponding streamflow during 1960–1990 [Fig. 5(b)]. The decadal mean streamflow at the Tangnaihai station decreased by approximately 28% from 1980 to 2000s. Consequently, there is also an increasing trend for streamflow considering the entire 50-year investigated period (Table 4). According to Fig. 5(a), there is also a pronounced periodicity in annual streamflow that decreases towards the end of the 1990s. The streamflow showed an increasing trend during the last 10-year period. The decreasing linear trend is statistically significant for three of the four runoff stations as seen from Table 4. The coefficient of variation for the Huangheyuan station is highest, followed in order by Jimai, Tangnaihai, and Maqu.

### Seasonal Trend Analysis

Change-Point Analyzer was applied to annual precipitation, temperature, and streamflow data series to further explore the potential abrupt hydroclimatic change points in the source region of the Yellow River. The analysis results are summarized in Table 5. No change point was detected for precipitation. Temperature change occurred in 1998 with 99% confidence level, and streamflow change occurred in 1990 with 96% confidence level. The mean annual temperature was −0.58°C during 1961–1998, and it increased to 0.43°C during 1999–2010. The mean annual runoff depth was 179.2 mm during 1960–1990 and it decreased to 143.9 mm during 1991–2009. Hydrological systems act as spatial and temporal integrators of precipitation and temperature over certain watersheds and streamflow observations can serve as a pertinent index of interannual hydroclimatic variability at the regional scale, hence the two periods before and after 1990 were divided to further investigate the change.

The seasonal analysis corroborates the preceding annual trend and change-point analysis. Fig. 6 shows the monthly change for precipitation, temperature, and runoff by comparing the periods 1960–1990 and 1991–2010. As seen from the comparison in Fig. 6, temperature has increased rather evenly throughout the year and all months except for January. Regarding precipitation it is mainly the monsoon period (July–September) that has displayed a decrease. In general, the monsoon period (June–September) accounts for approximately 62% of the annual total precipitation. September is the month with largest relative change in streamflow displays the largest decrease from July to October as a result from decreasing monsoon rainfall, and there is also a general smaller decrease for the rest of the months.

The increasing temperature causes more glacier and snow melt, and it increases the proportion of snowmelt runoff to the total runoff. However, the clear decrease in streamflow as seen from Fig. 6 indicates that the melt water has only limited impact on the total
Fig. 4. Precipitation and temperature trends depending on station: (a) Xinghai; (b) Tongde; (c) Zeku; (d) Henan; (e) Maduo; (f) Dari; (g) Jiuzhi; (h) Maqu; (i) Ruoergai; (j) Hongyuan
The decreasing trend in monsoon precipitation is the major reason for the reduced streamflow in the 1990s and 2000s, but also increasing evapotranspiration due to increasing temperature for other parts of the year is a significant factor. Zheng et al. (2009) reported that the land use change played a more important role than the climate in reducing streamflow in the 1990s. Due to the complexity of temperature influence, topography, land use change, and precipitation variability, further investigations are necessary to gain a deeper understanding of the linkages among hydroclimatic variables and land use for the area.

**Periodicity Analysis**

To further investigate the observed annual periodicity in streamflow according to Fig. 5, a wavelet analysis was performed on annual areal precipitation, temperature, and streamflow. The results are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. From Fig. 7, it can be seen that there are statistically significant 2- to 4-year periodicities, especially for precipitation in the beginning of the experimental period (1960–1980). For temperature there are significant 2- to 4-year periodicities during 1961–1970 and 1980–2010, and an intense 8-year periodicity was found during 1975–1990. The precipitation also showed an intense 8-year periodicity during 1965–1985. Dominant periodicities for the annual streamflow at each station are shown in Fig. 8. As seen from the figure there is a strong statistically significant 8-year periodicity for all stations from the ends of the 1960s to the beginning of the 1990s. The significant change point for streamflow in 1990 (Table 5) confirmed the time scale of strong 8-year periodicity. Less strong but still significant is also the 4-year periodicity for mainly Jimai, Maqu, and Tangnaihai stations. When comparing Figs. 7 and 8 it can be noted that the annual periodicity of precipitation and streamflow overlap, especially for the 8-year periodicity during the early part of the experimental period. However, the wavelet power spectrum of 8-year periodicity for the streamflow is much stronger than that for the precipitation and temperature during the same period. Frequency analysis for mean annual precipitation and discharge in the source region of the Yellow River were analyzed using log-Pearson Type III probability distribution as seen in Fig. 9. It is seen that log-Pearson Type III probability distribution is a suitable pattern due to the general good fitting. The probabilities for mean annual precipitation at 1, 5, 10, and 20% correspond to 670, 616, 590, and 561 mm.

**Table 3. Precipitation and Temperature Linear Trends and Mann-Kendall Statistics (Z)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Precipitation trend (mm/year)</th>
<th>Precipitation standard deviation</th>
<th>Temperature trend (°C/year)</th>
<th>Temperature standard deviation</th>
<th>Precipitation coefficient of variation</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Precipitation Z</th>
<th>Precipitation P</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Temperature Z</th>
<th>Temperature P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xinghai</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>1.35 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongde</td>
<td>−1.74</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>−1.99</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>2.35 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeku</td>
<td>−3.28</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>−2.94</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanan</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−1.47</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maduo</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.08 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dari</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>9.50 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiuzhi</td>
<td>−1.56</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>−1.44</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>2.17 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>−3.36</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>−2.44</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.88 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruoergai</td>
<td>−1.21</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−1.49</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>4.48 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongyuan</td>
<td>−1.01</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.75</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.36 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

**Table 4. Streamflow Linear Trends and Mann-Kendall Statistics (Z)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Streamflow trend (m³/s/year)</th>
<th>Mann-Kendall</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>COV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>−0.75</td>
<td>−2.19</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>−1.47</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>−2.40</td>
<td>−2.40</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangnaihai</td>
<td>−2.95</td>
<td>−2.31</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

**Table 5. Results and Confidence Levels of Change Point Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydroclimatic variables</th>
<th>Change point</th>
<th>Confidence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamflow</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. (a) Annual and (b) decadal mean discharge at the four discharge stations.
respectively. The probabilities for mean annual discharge at 1, 5, 10, and 20% correspond to 1,250, 982, 872, and 763 m$^3$/s, respectively.

**Water Balances**

The streamflow in the Yellow River source region is greatly affected by the changing climate. Due to the vast area and spatial variation in the source region of the Yellow River, water balances were first analyzed at the subbasin level. Annual areal precipitation and temperature trend analysis for each subbasin is shown in Table 6. Temperature significantly increased corresponding to the station analysis, and precipitation in the Huangheyan and Jimai subbasins also showed increasing trend having an opposite trend with the whole basin. Correlations between annual streamflow at the four discharge stations and annual areal precipitation and temperature for each subbasin are shown in Table 7. Streamflow at all four hydrologic stations are positively correlated with precipitation and negatively correlated with temperature. The significance of the correlations indicates that the streamflow is much more sensitive to precipitation and that the dependence increases from upstream to downstream. Similarly, the highest correlation between temperature and streamflow is for the most upstream station (Huangheyan).
Thus, it suggested that temperature effects on runoff are more important for upstream stations due to glacier melting.

To assess the relative contribution of precipitation and temperature to streamflow, a multivariate linear regression analysis is investigated here: $R = 0.66P - 15.1T - 177.1$, where $R$ represents annual runoff depth in the Yellow River source region and $P$ and $T$ represent mean annual areal precipitation and temperature, respectively. The $R^2$ is equal to 0.76. The bias was insignificant with a value of $-2.5 \times 10^{-13}$, and the root-mean-square error is 21.9 mm. All coefficients were statistically tested for significance at the 0.05 level (Table 8). Both the increasing temperature and the decreasing precipitation are reasons for decrease in natural streamflow. The globally averaged surface temperature showed a warming of 0.85 (0.65–1.06)°C over the period 1880–2012, and 1983–2012 was likely the warmest 30-year period of the last 1,400 years [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2013]. The temperature change for the source region of the Yellow River for the last 50 years is even larger based on this paper’s analysis. It is estimated that the global mean surface temperature change for the period 2016–2035 relative to 1986–2005 will likely be in the range of 0.3–0.7°C (IPCC 2013). Immerzeel et al. (2010) predicted that the rainfall in the Yellow River upstream will increase approximately 14% over the period 2046–2065. However, regional precipitation predictions should be treated with caution because most climate models experience difficulties in simulating mean monsoon and interannual precipitation variation. The changing climate will have significant effects on the downstream water availability in the Yellow River.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

The present study investigated trend and periodicity for temperature, precipitation, and streamflow from the early 1960s to 2010 for the Yellow River source region. The analysis revealed a statistically significant increase in temperature for 9 out of 10 investigated stations. The temperature increased 1.5°C during the last 50-year period and had an accelerated increasing trend during the last decade in the source region of the Yellow River. For precipitation the trend is less obvious. The mean annual precipitation decrease was approximately 30 mm over the entire 50-year period. In total 3 stations out of 10 displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend and one displayed an increasing trend. The precipitation stations with significantly decreasing trends are all confined to the northeastern parts of the catchment (Tongde, Zeku, and Maqu), and Maduo with increasing trend is located in the northwestern part of the catchment. Thus, the varying trends depend on spatial location. The major part of annual rainfall comes from the monsoon, and the change in monsoon climate is likely to greatly affect the annual precipitation amount. Ding and Chan (2005) suggested that the Pacific and Indian Ocean Sea surface temperature and the internal variability of the atmospheric circulation are main factors affecting the Asian summer monsoon. However, the duration of dry and wet seasons caused by the monsoon could be different, depending on the geographical location. There was a statistically significant decreasing trend for three out of four runoff stations during the period 1960–2009. The decadal mean discharge at Tangnaihai hydrologic station decreased significantly by approximately 28% from 1980 to 2006. Significant change points were detected in 1998 for temperature and in 1990 for streamflow.

**Table 6. Annual Areal Precipitation and Temperature for Each Subbasin’s Mann-Kendall Statistics (Z)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Huangheyan</th>
<th>Jimai</th>
<th>Maqu</th>
<th>Tangnaihai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>$2.27$</td>
<td>$0.023$</td>
<td>$1.34$</td>
<td>$0.182$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$4.88$</td>
<td>$1.08 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.58$</td>
<td>$4.71 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7. Correlation Coefficients between Annual Streamflow and Annual Areal Precipitation and Temperature for Each Subbasin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>$0.343$</td>
<td>$-0.286$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>$0.576$</td>
<td>$-0.066$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqu</td>
<td>$0.821$</td>
<td>$-0.178$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangnaihai</td>
<td>$0.849$</td>
<td>$-0.190$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8. Summary for Multivariate Linear Regression**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t stat</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>$-177.1$</td>
<td>$28.7$</td>
<td>$-6.17$</td>
<td>$1.63 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>$0.66$</td>
<td>$0.055$</td>
<td>$11.9$</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$-15.1$</td>
<td>$5.23$</td>
<td>$-2.89$</td>
<td>$0.0059$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level.
indicating a new hydrometeorological regime. The mean annual temperature was −0.58°C during 1961–1998, however, it increased to 0.43°C during 1999–2010. The mean annual runoff depth was 179.2 mm during 1960–1990, and it decreased to 143.9 mm during 1991–2009. Based on the streamflow change-point analysis, seasonal analysis shows that the decreasing precipitation in the summer monsoon period is responsible for the annual precipitation decrease. Temperature increase is rather even throughout the year. The runoff depth decreased by 0.74 mm/year as an average for the entire study area. This change will have an essential impact on the reservoir operation in the middle and downstream areas of the Yellow River.

Wavelet analysis was used to identify and describe periodicity of runoff and hydroclimatic variables, and it gives an insight in frequency and severity of drought and connections to the climate system. A statistically significant 2- to 4-year periodicity for mean areal precipitation and temperature could be seen during different periods over the area. An intense 8-year statistically significant periodicity for streamflow was revealed for the main discharge stations from the end of the 1960s to the beginning of the 1990s, and a significant change point in 1990 corroborated the time scale of strong 8-year periodicity. The annual periodicity of streamflow is partly overlapped by the statistically significant precipitation periodicity. Hydroclimatic periodicity analysis is examined to determine the magnitudes of mean annual precipitation and discharge corresponding to a given frequency or recurrence interval. The periodicity analysis examined the dynamic link between the streamflows and the climate variability in the source region of the Yellow River. It improves the understanding of dynamics of hydrological cycle and leads to better hydrologic system modeling. The revealed results could be useful in improving streamflow forecast and operating the reservoirs for power generation, water supply, and flood control in the middle and downstream of the Yellow River, hence improving water resources management. Hydroclimatic trends and linkages at each subbasin were investigated to further improve the understanding of observed streamflow changes. Precipitation in the Huangheyan and Jinmai subbasins showed increasing trends, having an opposite trend from the whole basin. The significance of the correlations indicates that the streamflow is much more sensitive to precipitation and that the dependence increases from upstream to downstream. Both the increasing temperature and the decreasing precipitation are reasons for decrease in natural streamflow. The hydroclimatic change in the study area will have significant effects on the downstream water availability in the Yellow River.
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Abstract The summer precipitation (June-September) in the source region of the Yellow River accounts for about 70% of the annual total, playing an important role in water availability. This study divided the source region of the Yellow River into homogeneous zones based on precipitation variability using cluster analysis. Summer precipitation trends and teleconnections with global sea surface temperatures (SST) and Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) from 1961 to 2010 were investigated by Mann-Kendall test and Pearson product-moment correlation analysis. The results show that the northwest part (zone 1) had a non-significantly increasing trend, and the middle and southeast parts (zones 2 and 3) that receive the most precipitation displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend for summer precipitation. The summer precipitation in the whole region shows statistically significant negative correlations with the central Pacific SST for 0-4 month lags and with the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean SST for 5-8 month lags. Analyses of sub-regions reveal intricate and complex correlations with different SST areas that further explain the summer precipitation variability. The SOI had significant positive correlations mainly for 0-2 month lag with summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. It is seen that El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events have an influence on the summer precipitation, and the predominant negative correlations indicate that higher SST in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to El Niño coincides with less summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River.
Key words summer precipitation; the source region of the Yellow River; Sea Surface Temperature; ENSO

1 INTRODUCTION

The Yellow River is of immense importance to China since it supplies fresh water for 107 million people and 13% of China’s totally irrigated farming lands in 2006 (Wang et al. 2006, Yang et al. 2008). The river basin population is expected to increase by about 20 million people until 2030, and consequently water availability has a major relevance for food security for the growing population (Marx 2012). The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the basin’s total streamflow playing an important role in meeting downstream water resources requirements (Zheng et al. 2007). The last 50 years have witnessed decreasing trends in annual precipitation and streamflow for the Yellow River source region, mainly due to a weakening of the summer monsoon rainfall (Yuan et al. 2015). Corresponding to the weakened monsoon rainfall the average runoff depth has been decreasing by 0.74 mm/year over the entire study area (Yuan et al. 2015). The precipitation and streamflow decrease may cause further water shortage problems in the downstream of the Yellow River.

It has been shown that sea surface temperature (SST) can provide important predictive information about hydrologic variability in many regions of the world (Tootle and Piechota 2006, Yasuda et al. 2009). For example, ENSO events are closely linked to patterns of flood and drought in different areas as they strongly affect local and regional scale climates through teleconnections between the coupled ocean-atmosphere and land systems (Wang et al. 2006, Jiang et al. 2013). Thus, it is essential to improve the knowledge regarding the relationship between summer (June to September) precipitation
in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST. This would have important implications for water resources management.

Research in recent decades has tried to link global atmospheric circulation with more localized hydrological response (Tootle and Piechota 2006, Uvo et al. 1998, Yasuda et al. 2009, Peng et al. 2013). Several studies have shown teleconnections between SST and the hydroclimatology of certain areas in China during the recent years. Fu et al. (2007) examined the precipitation variability between El Nino and La Nina years in the Yellow River basin, and found that the average annual precipitation in La Nina years is 18.8% higher than the one in El Nino years. Lau and Weng (2001) studied the influence of SST variability across the globe on the flood and drought occurrences over China in the summers of 1997 and 1998 using coherent modes of rainfall and SST. They found that the severe flood in south China in 1998 was associated with biennial tendency of basin-scale SST anomaly during the transition from El Nino to La Nina in 1997-1998. Lü et al. (2011) explored the rainfall-ENSO relationship using Southern Oscillation Index for the source region of the Yellow River. Xu et al. (2007) studied the long-term trend of precipitation in China and its association with ENSO and found that drought in the Yellow River basin may easily occur during El Nino episodes. Wang et al. (2000) found that ENSO events can affect the East Asian climate through a Pacific-East Asian teleconnection, with an anomalous anti-cyclone east of the Philippines during El Nino events often observed over West-Pacific and the southward shift of the seasonal rain belt. To the authors’ knowledge, there are still no studies regarding the precipitation variability in the source region of the Yellow River and summer precipitation teleconnections with global SST. It is important to quantify the above relationship for the source region of the Yellow River and develop the possible quantitative summer
precipitation prediction techniques. In this study, cluster analysis was used to separate the precipitation stations in the source region of the Yellow River into homogeneous zones. Linear regression and Mann-Kendall test for each zone revealed the spatial variability of summer precipitation. Correlation analysis examined the links between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST and Southern Oscillation Index (SOI). The significantly correlated SST areas identified the influence of climate patterns on summer precipitation variability in the source region of the Yellow River.

2 STUDY AREA, DATA AND METHODS

2.1 Study area and data

The source region of the Yellow River is located on the northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau between 32°12′-35°48′N and 95°50′-103°28′E and includes the area above the Tangnaihai hydrologic station. The area is 12.2×10^4 km^2 occupying about 16% of the Yellow River Basin and it has a great elevation change from 6253 m in the west to 2670 m in the east (Fig. 1). The climate in the source region of the Yellow River is greatly influenced by the southwest monsoon and the East Asian summer monsoon (Ding and Chan 2005, Qian et al. 2002). The earliest onset of the East Asian summer monsoon occurs in the central and southern Indochina Peninsula. It displays a distinct stepwise northward and northeastward movement and then finally penetrates into the upper Yellow River from the south of China (Ding and Chan 2005, Qian et al. 2002). The effects of atmospheric circulation are in general different for the upper and lower Yellow River. The monsoon rain belt in the upper part is caused by southeasterly flow while the
corresponding monsoon rain belt in the lower parts is influenced by southwesterly flow
(Qian et al. 2002). This causes differences in spatial distribution of summer precipitation
between the two parts of the Yellow River. The precipitation is generally of low intensity
(<50 mm/d), long duration (10-30 d), and covers a large area (>100,000 km²) (Hu et al.

Monthly precipitation observations from 1961 to 2010 collected from ten
meteorological stations (Fig. 1) were obtained from the Chinese Meteorological
Administration (CMA), and data quality has been checked by CMA. Monthly global sea
surface temperature (1×1°) version HadISST 1.1 from 1960 to 2010 obtained from
courtesy of the British Atmospheric Data Centre was used here (Rayner et al. 2003). The
monthly Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) data from 1960 to 2010 was from the Climate
Prediction Centre (CPC, NOAA) (http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/indices/soi). The
Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM) 90 m digital elevation data were
downloaded from the Consortium for Spatial Information (CGIAR-CSI).

Figure 1

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Cluster analysis
Due to the large and diverse topography of the area and marked spatial variability of
precipitation, a division into homogeneous precipitation areas was done by cluster
analysis using monthly precipitation data. The results were used to investigate the spatial
dependence and influence of different SST areas on the summer precipitation within the
source region. Cluster analysis is primarily an exploratory data analysis tool to separate
data into groups whose identities are not known in advance (Wilks 2011). The number of
groups is defined by the degree of similarity and difference between individual observations (Wilks 2011). The cluster analysis is a widely used pre-analysis technique in hydrological and meteorological areas (Gong and Richman 1995, Uvo 2003). A correlation matrix was calculated to discern the levels of dependence among the precipitation stations. This method produces a dendrogram in which the correlations between all precipitation stations are shown as a tree-like hierarchical diagram.

In our case, we have an \( n \times p \) data matrix \( X \), where \( n \) is the number of variables and \( p \) is the number of precipitation stations. Preprocessing of the variables before calculation of the distance measures is necessary since different variables may be measured on different scales and may also contain irrelevant or redundant information (Fovell and Fovell 1993, Parajka et al. 2010, Kingston et al. 2011). The means of the variables have been removed, and then the data matrix is standardized. Principal component analysis was applied to create new variables composed of mutually orthogonal linear combinations of the original variables, each accounting for a specific fraction of the original total variance as indicated by the size of its associated eigenvalue. Retention of only the most significant components presenting more than 90% of the total variance accomplishes variable reduction. These created new variables were used to generate component scores that were clustered in place of the raw precipitation data for cluster analysis. Euclidean distance is used here for measuring similarity between pairs of ten precipitation stations. Ward method is chosen as a clustering technique, which is based on mutually exclusive subsets of the data set and does not assume normality (Bonell and Sumner 1992).
2.2.2 Mann-Kendall Test
To investigate summer precipitation trend and variability in the source region of the
Yellow River, annual summer precipitation time series from 1961 to 2010 at different
zones were examined by the non-parametric Mann-Kendall test, which is independent of
the statistical distribution of the data. Statistical significance of the trend was evaluated
at the 0.05 level of significance against the null hypothesis that there is no trend for the
data series. A detailed procedure for this statistical test can be found in Burn and Elnur
(2002).

2.2.3 Correlation analysis
To identify potential teleconnections or covariabilities between global SST and the
summer precipitation (June, July, August, and September) in the source region of the
Yellow River, 0-11 month lagged Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients $r$
between monthly SST and precipitation were calculated. The summer precipitation
normal distribution was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in Matlab, and SST data
followed the normal distribution that could be seen here (Rayner et al. 2003, Kodera
2004, Yasuda et al. 2009). Statistical significance was assessed using the Student’s $t$-test
against the null hypothesis of no correlation(Lloyd-Hughes and Saunders 2002). Correlations $|r|>0.36$ and $|r|>0.46$ correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01
and 0.001, respectively.

The Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) was used to further reveal the correlated SST
influence on summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. The 0-11
month lagged Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients $r$ between monthly SOI
and precipitation were calculated. The statistical significance was assessed at the 0.05
level.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Summer precipitation trend and variability

Fig. 2 shows the outcome of the cluster analysis that the precipitation stations in this region can be divided into three homogeneous zones, namely, 1: Xinghai, Tongde, and Maduo; 2: Zeku, Henan, and Dari, and 3: Jiuzhi, Maqu, Ruoergai, and Hongyuan. Fig. 3 shows the corresponding mean annual precipitation over the Yellow River source region. As seen from the figure, there is a strongly increasing gradient from the northwest parts with about 309 mm/year to about 755 mm/year in the southeast due to the influence of the summer monsoon from the southeast. Consequently, there is a general inverse relationship between annual precipitation and topography. The summer monsoon rainfall in China is concentrated in about four months from June to September, and the monsoon rain belt in the upper Yellow River is caused by southeasterly flow (Qian et al. 2002). Prevailing southeasterlies converge along the east edge of the Tibetan Plateau causing rainfall to generally decrease with topography. Thus, the cluster analysis results are mainly characterized by different average annual precipitation amount. The mean annual precipitation totals are 365.5, 517.9, and 692.4 mm/year for zone 1, zone 2, and zone 3, respectively.

Figure 2

Figure 3

Summer precipitation trends for the whole region and three identified homogeneous zones were investigated. As can be seen in Table 1 and Fig. 4, the summer precipitation for the whole region had a decreasing trend for the period 1961–2010. Zone 1 had a non-significantly increasing trend during 1961 to 2010. On the contrary, both
zone 2 and 3 had significantly decreasing summer precipitation. The decrease is 1.33 and 1.60 mm/year for zone 2 and zone 3, respectively. Dividing the region into homogeneous zones displays clear differences in summer precipitation and a clearer picture of the spatially dependent trend. The trend is the strongest for the wettest area of the Yellow River source region and gradually decreases with decreasing annual precipitation. The trend analysis indicates that the summer monsoon is gradually becoming weaker resulting in less summer rainfall. Table 1 also shows the contribution of the wet season precipitation to the annual total for the different zones. June to September precipitation represents 68-75% of annual total. There is a general increase in the contribution of summer monsoon rainfall to the total annual amount as the climate becomes dryer (Fig. 3 and Table 1). This was also noted in the middle stream of the Yellow River by Yasuda et al. (2009). Fig. 5 shows the monthly average precipitation for different zones in the source region of the Yellow River. The general monthly distribution pattern is similar for all three zones. Precipitation in July represents the maximum.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>June to September Precipitation Contribution</th>
<th>Annual Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Correlation analysis

Figure 6 shows the 0-11 month lagged cross-correlation between global SST and the summer precipitation (June to September) for the source region of the Yellow River as a whole. The figure shows statistically significant negative correlations with different SST areas of various lags. During lag 0-4 month it is the large area in the central Pacific that is dominating. However, with increasing lag new areas in the southern Indian and
Atlantic Ocean become a dominant influence. The predominant negative correlations mean that lower SST in these areas coincides with more summer rainfall over the source region of the Yellow River. El Nino events occur in the eastern Pacific Pattern and the central Pacific pattern according to the location of the onset of warm SST anomalies (Lin and Yu 1993, Feng et al. 2011). The results also suggest significant relationships with southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean especially for lags between 5 to 8 months. Feng et al. (2013) examined that the SST anomalies over the southern Indian Ocean could induce consistent atmosphere circulation and precipitation anomalies over China, and the warm SST anomalies could decrease the rainfall that is generally in agreement with the negative correlations we found above.

Figure 6

Figure 7 shows correlation between summer precipitation in zone 1 and global SST. Different correlated SST areas emerge when dividing the Yellow River source region into homogeneous precipitation areas. Zone 1 rainfall is correlated with SST in mainly central and only partly eastern Pacific. Significant negative correlation remains for a lagged period up to 9 months in this area. It is also seen that a small area with positive correlations (blue area in Fig. 7) appears in the central Pacific Ocean for a lag up to about 8 months. The significant positive correlation corroborates with non-significantly increasing summer precipitation trend in zone 1 during the last 50-year period. It is quite clear that rainfall in zone 1 (western and northwestern parts of the source region of the Yellow River) is mainly governed by central and eastern Pacific SST.

Figure 7
Figure 8 shows correlation between summer precipitation in zone 2 (central parts of source region of the Yellow River) and global SST. It has great similarity with the pattern for the whole region as shown in Fig. 6 but with more clear signals in the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean, mainly due to the similar summer precipitation time series between the whole region and zone 2 (Fig. 4). For short time lags of 0-4 month it is mainly central Pacific that explains summer precipitation. However, for lag 5-8 month it is southern Atlantic and Indian Ocean and western Pacific that is of importance. The clearest signals appeared at Lag 6 month and Lag 7 month for the southern Atlantic and India Ocean, and western Pacific, respectively.

Figure 8

Figure 9 shows correlation between summer precipitation in zone 3 (eastern parts of source region of the Yellow River) and global SST. Lag 0-3 month shows scattered and small areas. From lag 4 month the central Pacific emerges with strong correlation. When increasing the lag period western Pacific and the southern Atlantic also display strong correlation. Eventually, for the long lag periods of 6-11 month the central and western Pacific dominate the correlation.

Figure 9

It is clearly seen that SST has an influence on the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. The ENSO activity is typically monitored by observing the sea level pressures and SST in the equatorial Pacific. Thus, the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) was selected to further reveal the ENSO influence on summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. Table 2 shows the correlation coefficients between summer precipitation and SOI for different lags. Significant positive correlations were found for the 0-2 month lag for the entire region. In addition, zone 1 had lag 4, 9-11 month
significant positive correlations. Sustained negative values of the SOI often indicate El Niño episodes, and these negative values are usually accompanied by sustained warming of the central and eastern tropical Pacific Ocean, a decrease in the strength of the Pacific trade winds and a reduction in rainfall over China (Fu et al. 2013). The significant negative correlations with SST in equatorial Pacific Ocean and positive correlations with SOI for summer precipitation clearly shows the influence of ENSO activities on the source region of the Yellow River.

Table 2

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study divided the source region of the Yellow River into three homogeneous zones based on precipitation variability and investigated the summer precipitation trends. The relationships between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST and SOI were investigated. The northwest part (zone 1) exhibited a non-significantly increasing trend during 1961 to 2010. The middle and southeast parts (zone 2 and 3) that receive the most precipitation displayed a statistically significant decreasing trend over the same period. This is due to a weakening of the summer monsoon and decreasing rainfall amounts during the summer months. The summer precipitation in the whole area shows statistically significant negative correlations with the central Pacific SST during 0-4 month lags and with the southern Indian and Atlantic Ocean SST during 5-8 month lags. The predominant negative correlations mean that lower SST in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to La Nina coincides with more summer rainfall over the source region of the Yellow River. The summer precipitation in zone 1 is correlated with SST in mainly central and partly eastern Pacific. However, a small area
with positive correlations appears in the central Pacific Ocean for a lag up to about 8 months. This explains that the precipitation in zone 1 had a non-significantly increasing trend during the last 50-year period. Zone 2 has similar influencing SST areas with the whole area but with a clearer signal. The precipitation in zone 3 is influenced by the central Pacific but also affected by southern Atlantic Oceans and western Pacific. SOI had significant positive correlations mainly during 0-2 month lag with summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River.

It is clear to see that ENSO events have an influence on the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. Xu et al. (2007) found that the La Nina phase (lower SST of the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean) corresponds to a relatively rainier season in the Yellow River basin. The results here reveal the correlated SST areas and confirm the importance of ENSO events for the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. Fu et al. (2013) examined the trend and variability of extreme rainfall events in China and found that it is mainly influenced by ENSO, the magnitude of East Asian monsoon, global warming as well as wind circulations like the cyclonic and anti-cyclonic circulation anomalies dominating over Northwest and North China. Cuo et al. (2013) have shown that precipitation change in winter at northern Tibetan Plateau can be attributed to changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation, ENSO, the Arctic Oscillation and East Asian westerly jet, and in summer the changes in precipitation is only weakly related to these indices. Xu et al. (2013) defined an eastern Pacific southern oscillation index and a central Pacific southern oscillation index to measure the different responses of the atmospheric circulation to the two types of El Nino, and it showed that both indices exhibited positive correlations with rainfall anomalies in the upstream of the Yellow River. Zhang et al. (2013) examined that the
warm phase of the North Atlantic SST is related to North Atlantic Oscillation that leads to less precipitation or more frequent droughts in the semi-arid subarea in the upper reaches of the Yellow River. Liu et al. (2012) investigated the variation of water discharge that is more likely to be correlated with large scale climatic process over the long time scales and is also influenced by both ENSO and human activities, and the ENSO impacts on the water discharge were exerted by influencing the precipitation through its effects on East Asian monsoon.

The precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River is greatly influenced by the southwest monsoon and the East Asian summer monsoon. The Asian monsoon region assumes the most distinct variation of the annual cycle and the alternation of dry and wet seasons which is in concert with the seasonal reversal of the monsoon circulation features (Webster et al. 1998). However, the different parts of the Asian monsoon region, the durations of dry and wet seasons may be different, depending on their climate regions and the degree of effects of the Asian monsoon (Ding and Chan 2005). The monsoon variability greatly affects the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River (Feng et al. 2011). Li et al. (2010) found that the recent warming of the tropics, especially the warming associated with the tropical interdecadal variability centered over the central and eastern Pacific is a primary cause for the weakening the East Asian Summer Monsoon (EASM) since the late 1970s. Two external sources of forcing, including Pacific and Indian Ocean SSTs and the snow cover in the Eurasia and the Tibetan Plateau, are believed to be primary contributing factors to physical processes and mechanisms related to the EASM (Ding and Chan 2005). The warming in the tropical Pacific and Indian Ocean leads to an abnormal Subtropical Pacific High that reduces water vapor transport to North China from South China Sea and thus contributes
to precipitation decrease (Hu 1997). In view of the above it is clear that ENSO can exert an important impact on the Asian monsoon (Weng et al. 1999, Zhou et al. 2010). This study examined the relationship between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global SST. The summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River is closely related to ENSO phenomenon of which the SSTs in the equatorial Pacific are a major component. The significant lagged correlations can be used for precipitation forecasting.

The summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River is of importance for food production and water supply in the middle and downstream of the river basin. This study is an essential part of the development of optimal reservoir planning and operation policies for power generation, water supply, irrigation, and flood control for the mid and down-stream areas of the Yellow River.
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**Table 1** Summer precipitation (SP) from June to September linear trends and Mann-Kendall statistics (Z); NS: no significant trend; * is statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Mean annual SP (mm/year)</th>
<th>Min. annual SP (mm/year)</th>
<th>Max. annual SP (mm/year)</th>
<th>Linear Trend (mm/year)</th>
<th>Mann-Kendall Trend</th>
<th>Contribution of SP to annual total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole region</td>
<td>372.7</td>
<td>281.4</td>
<td>492.9</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>273.4</td>
<td>184.9</td>
<td>395.4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>375.9</td>
<td>229.2</td>
<td>551.2</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td>468.7</td>
<td>343.4</td>
<td>636.6</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients between summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and SOI with different time lags (months). The values in bold are statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Lag 0</th>
<th>Lag 1</th>
<th>Lag 2</th>
<th>Lag 3</th>
<th>Lag 4</th>
<th>Lag 5</th>
<th>Lag 6</th>
<th>Lag 7</th>
<th>Lag 8</th>
<th>Lag 9</th>
<th>Lag 10</th>
<th>Lag 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole region</td>
<td><strong>0.197</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.234</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.144</strong></td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td><strong>0.153</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.169</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.140</strong></td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td><strong>0.136</strong></td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td><strong>0.150</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.140</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.190</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td><strong>0.178</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.216</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.164</strong></td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td><strong>0.166</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.201</strong></td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Topography, river network and precipitation stations in the source region of the Yellow River.

Fig. 2 Results from cluster analysis using monthly precipitation from 1961 to 2010 in the source region of the Yellow River.
Fig. 3 Mean annual precipitation over the source region of the Yellow River for the period 1961–2010.

Fig. 4 Annual summer precipitation for the whole region and zones 1–3 from 1961 to 2010 in the source region of the Yellow River.
**Fig. 5** Monthly average precipitation for zones 1–3 for 1961–2010 in the source region of the Yellow River.
Fig. 6 Correlation between summer precipitation in the Yellow River source region and global SST for the period 1961–2010 ($|r| > 0.36$ and $|r| > 0.46$ correspond to a statistical significance level of 0.01 and 0.001, respectively).
Fig. 7 Correlation between summer precipitation in Zone 1 and global SST, 1961–2010 (see Fig. 6).
Fig. 8 Correlation between summer precipitation in Zone 2 and global SST, 1961–2010 (see Fig. 6).
Fig. 9 Correlation between summer precipitation in Zone 3 and global SST, 1961–2010 (see Fig. 6).
Summer precipitation prediction in the source region of the Yellow River using climate indices
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Abstract: The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the total water yield in the Yellow River basin playing an important role in meeting downstream water resources requirements. The summer precipitation from June to September in the source region of the Yellow River accounts for about 70% of the annual total, and its decrease would cause further water shortage problems. Consequently, the objectives of this study are to improve the understanding of the linkages between the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns, and to predict the summer precipitation based on revealed teleconnections. Spatial variability of precipitation was investigated based on three homogeneous sub-regions. Principal component analysis and singular value decomposition were used to find significant relations between the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns using climate indices. A back-propagation neural network was developed to predict the summer precipitation using significantly correlated climate indices. It was found that precipitation in the study area is positively related to North Atlantic Oscillation, West Pacific Pattern and El Nino Southern Oscillation, and inversely related to Polar Eurasian pattern. Summer precipitation was overall
well predicted using these significantly correlated climate indices, and the Pearson correlation coefficient between predicted and observed summer precipitation was in general larger than 0.6. The results are useful for integrated water resources management in the Yellow River basin.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The Yellow River is extremely important to China since it supplies fresh water for 110 million people and 13% of China’s totally irrigated farming lands (Wang et al. 2006). The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the basin’s total streamflow playing an important role in meeting downstream water resources requirements (Zheng et al. 2007). Consequently, it is an important area affecting agricultural productivity, municipal, and industrial water supply for the whole basin. The last 50 years have witnessed a general increasing trend in temperature and decreasing trends in annual precipitation and streamflow for the Yellow River source region. Decreasing precipitation during the monsoon period (June-September) is much responsible for the annual precipitation decrease (Yuan et al. 2015a). Continued precipitation and streamflow decrease may cause further water shortage problems in the downstream of the Yellow River.

Climate variability is closely linked to patterns of flood and drought in different areas of the world and strongly affecting local and regional scale climate through teleconnections. Teleconnections are statistical associations among climate variables separated by large distances, and they are a consequence of the large-scale dynamics of the ocean and atmosphere linking disparate regional climates into one unified, global climatic system (Leathers et al. 1991, Jiang et al. 2013). Numerous studies have shown that climate variability has strong impact on basin water resources through changes in hydrologic variables (Lorenzo
et al. 2008, Uvo 2003, Rana et al. 2012, Peng et al. 2013). The interannual variability in local hydroclimatic variables (temperature, precipitation, and streamflow) could be a reflection of low-frequency climatic fluctuations. Understanding this linkage between local precipitation and global teleconnection patterns is essential for water resources management, and it could also improve the ability to predict the local precipitation based on physical reasoning (Redmond and Koch 1991, Hartmann et al. 2008).

Much research has been devoted to the issue of precipitation change in the source region of the Yellow River (Cong et al. 2009, Tang et al. 2008, Hu et al. 2012). Research during recent decades have also tried to link global atmospheric circulation (e.g. ENSO and global SST) with more localized hydrological response in the Yellow River basin (Yasuda et al. 2009, Fu et al. 2007, Lau and Weng 2001, Xu et al. 2007). Wang et al. (2000) found that ENSO events can affect the East Asian climate through a Pacific-East Asian teleconnection, with an anomalous anti-cyclone east of the Philippines during El Nino events. Lü et al. (2011) explored the rainfall-ENSO relationship using Southern Oscillation Index for the source region of the Yellow River. Feng et al. (2013) investigated the influence of Indian Ocean subtropical dipole on spring rainfall over China, and found that it has a significant correlation with the boreal spring rainfall over the Yellow River valley. Lorenzo et al. (2008) examined the links between circulation weather types and teleconnection patterns and their influence on precipitation patterns in Galicia. Hartmann et al. (2008) predicted summer precipitation in the Yangtze River basin with neural networks using climate indices. Chan and Shi (1999) predicted the summer monsoon rainfall over south China using climate indices. The Indian summer monsoon rainfall was shown to be well predicted by neural networks using different climate indices (Venkatesan et al. 1997, Sahai et al. 2003). In view of the above, the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River could be predicted by exploring its relationship with global teleconnection patterns.
Thus, in this paper we aim at improving the knowledge by investigating the relationships between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global atmospheric fluctuations, and possibly predicting the summer precipitation using related climate indices. It is important to quantify the above relationships for the source region of the Yellow River and to develop quantitative prediction techniques. The objectives of this paper were thus to identify the linkages between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns and predict the summer precipitation using an artificial neural network (ANN). Establishing such links would improve the physical understanding of rainfall variability with important implications for water resources management. Also, the predicted precipitation is of utmost importance for food production and flood mitigation.

**STUDY AREA AND METHODS**

**Study Area and Data**

The source region of the Yellow River is located on the northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau between 32°12´-35°48´N and 95°50´-103°28´E and includes the area above the Tangnaihai runoff observation station. The area is 12.2×10^4 km^2 accounting for 16% of the Yellow River basin, and it has a great elevation change from 2,670 m in the east to 6,253 m in the west (Fig. 1). Grassland covers 80% of the catchment and it includes typical alpine swamp, steppe, and shrub meadows. The area of lakes and swamps is about 2,000 km^2. There is a permanent snowpack and glaciers in the southern Animaqing, Bayankala, and Northern Qilian mountains. The area has a comparably low population density with a total of about half a million inhabitants. The region is therefore regarded as relatively unaffected by human activities (Hu et al. 2011, Zheng et al. 2009). Neither large irrigation projects nor large dams exist in the area even though the population increase of humans and domestic livestock is increasingly affecting the grass cover and soil erosion.
Climatologically the area belongs to the semi-humid region of the Tibetan Plateau subfrigid zone and around 70% of the annual precipitation in this area fall during the wet summer season (June-September) due to the southwest monsoon from the Bay of Bengal (Hu et al. 2011). Thus, the climate of the source region of the Yellow River is greatly influenced by the southwest monsoon and the East Asian summer monsoon (Ding and Chan 2005). The earliest onset of the East Asian summer monsoon occurs in the central and southern Indochina Peninsula. It displays a distinct stepwise northward and northeastward movement and then finally penetrates into the upper Yellow River from the south of China (Ding and Chan 2005). The effects of atmospheric circulation are in general different for the upper and lower Yellow River. The monsoon rain belt in the upper part is caused by southeasterly flow while the corresponding monsoon rain belt in the lower parts is influenced by southwesterly flow (Qian et al. 2002). This causes differences in spatial distribution of summer precipitation between the two parts of the Yellow River. The upper part is characterized by low-temperatures, sharp day-night temperature contrasts, long-cold and short-warm seasons, and intense sunlight (Liang et al. 2010). The precipitation in the region is generally of low intensity (<50 mm/day), long duration (10-30 days), and covers a large area (>100 000 km²) (Hu et al. 2011, Zheng et al. 2007). Snowfall is concentrated from November to March, when more than 78% of the total precipitation falls as snow. However, total amount of annual snowfall accounts for less than 10% of the annual (Hu et al. 2011). The potential evaporation is 1300-1400 mm/year (Liang et al. 2010).

Monthly precipitation data from 1961 to 2010 collected from ten meteorological stations (Fig. 1); Xinghai, Tongde, Zeku, Henan, Maduo, Dari, Jiuzhi, Maqu, Ruoergai, and Hongyuan, were obtained from the China Meteorological Administration (CMA). The data quality has previously been checked by the CMA. The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission
(SRTM) 90 m digital elevation data were downloaded from the Consortium for Spatial Information (CGIAR-CSI). Global monthly climate indices data representing teleconnection patterns, including North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), East Atlantic Pattern (EA), West Pacific Pattern (WP), Pacific/North American Pattern (PNA), East Atlantic/West Russia Pattern (EA/WR), India Ocean Dipole (IOD), EL Nino-Southern Oscillation (NINO3.4), Scandinavia Pattern (SCA), Polar/Eurasia Pattern (POL) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), were obtained from the National Weather Service, Climate Prediction Centre (NOAA). Further explanation of each teleconnection pattern is described here (Washington et al. 2000, Barnston and Livezey 1987).

**Methods**

Principal component analysis (PCA) and singular value decomposition (SVD) were used to find relationships between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and teleconnection patterns using climate indices. PCA is a multivariate data analysis tool that offers a way to present complex data in a simplified way to identify relations between different parameters. It maximizes variance explained by weighted sum of elements in two or more fields and identifies linear transformations of the dataset that concentrate as much of the variance as possible into a small number of variables (Rana et al. 2012, Uvo 2003). The PCA biplot is used to visualize the magnitude and sign of each variable's contribution to the first two principal components, and how each observation is represented in terms of those components.

SVD is performed on the cross-covariance matrix of fields of two datasets and isolates the combinations of variables with the fields that tend to be linearly related to one another by maximizing the covariance between them (Rana et al. 2012, Wallace et al. 1992). Here, SVD was conducted on the cross-covariance matrix of the climate indices and precipitation data sets for three homogenous precipitation zones. The SVD of the cross-covariance matrix of
two fields yields two matrices of singular vectors and one set of singular values. A singular vector pair describes spatial patterns for each field which have overall covariance given by the corresponding singular value (Uvo et al. 1998). Heterogeneous correlation maps of the left and right fields from SVD show correlation coefficients between the values of one field and the singular vector of the other field (Uvo et al. 1998). In our case, the patterns shown by the heterogeneous correlation maps for the kth SVD expansion mode indicate how well the pattern of the precipitation anomalies relate to the kth singular vector of climate indices. The correlation coefficients are a good indication of strength of the relationship between the two fields. A detailed procedure for this statistical test can be found here (Bretherton et al. 1992, Wallace et al. 1992).

A back propagation neural network was applied for predicting summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River using the significantly correlated global climate indices. ANN is a powerful tool for prediction of meteorological phenomena involving many complex and nonlinear physical processes (Hartmann et al. 2008, Sahai et al. 2003, Uvo et al. 2000, Yasuda et al. 2009). The significantly correlated global climate indices were used as input variables, and the summer precipitation (June-September) in the source region of the Yellow River will be used as output variable in the ANN. The architecture of the neural network is determined by a ‘trial and error’ approach. Two hidden layers were placed between the input and output layers. The function 'tansig' in Matlab was used for this purpose. The four-layer ANN was connected by weights. A training period (1961-1995) and a validation period (1996-2010) were selected for optimization of the weights.
RESULTS

Precipitation characteristics

Due to the large and diverse topography of the area, the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River shows great spatial and temporal variability. It has a strongly increasing gradient from the northwest part with approximately 309 to 755 mm/year in the southeast, and the topographical gradient of rainfall and the annual rainfall variability are greatly influenced by the southeasterly summer monsoon flow (Yuan et al. 2015a). In order to identify the precipitation spatial variability in the source region of the Yellow River, cluster analysis was performed to separate the precipitation stations into homogeneous regions using monthly precipitation data from 1961 to 2010 (Yuan et al. 2015b). Fig. 2 shows the outcome of this analysis and that the region can be divided into three homogeneous areas. The precipitation stations were thus divided into three zones, namely, 1: Xinghai, Tongde, and Maduo; 2: Zeku, Henan, and Dari, and 3: Jiuzhi, Maqu, Ruoergai, and Hongyuan.

Figure 2

The linear trends for annual precipitation were quantified using the Mann-Kendall test (Table 1, Fig. 3). As seen from Table 1 and Fig. 3, mean annual precipitations for the three different zones are 365, 517.9, and 692.4 mm/year for zone 1, zone 2, and zone 3, respectively. Zone 1 had a non-significantly increasing trend with 0.24 mm/year during 1961 to 2010. On the contrary, both zone 2 and 3 had significantly decreasing annual precipitation. The decrease was 1.49 and 1.79 mm/year for zone 2 and zone 3, respectively. The mean annual precipitation decrease for the whole area is approximately 0.6 mm/year. Previous studies have indicated a small but a statistically non-significant trend for precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River (Liu and Zheng 2004, Tang et al. 2008, Zheng et al. 2007). However, dividing the area into homogeneous zones displays clear differences in precipitation characteristics and a clearer picture of the spatially dependent trend. This trend
is the strongest for the wettest area of the source region and then gradually decreases with
decreasing annual precipitation.

Table 1

Figure 3

**Relationships between precipitation and teleconnection patterns**

The precipitation for three identified homogeneous zones in the source region of the Yellow
River was tested for relation against different climate indices using PCA and SVD. Monthly
precipitation and monthly climate indices for the same period from 1961 to 2010 were used
for analysis. PCA revealed a close relationship that is direct or inverse between the
precipitation for three zones and climate indices. The first two modes of PCA were analysed
as they represent a major variance. Fig. 4 gives the PCA biplot, and it is seen that the
precipitation for the three zones is in general represented in the first PCA mode. It is
positively related to NAO, WP and NINO3.4, and it is negatively related to POL. The PCA
analysis shows strong evidences that the precipitation in different zones is closely related to
different teleconnection patterns.

Figure 4

SVD was applied to the cross-variance matrix between monthly precipitation for the
three zones and monthly climate indices for the same period from 1961 to 2010. Fig.5
presents the time series of precipitation for the different zones and climate indices in the first
mode of SVD with explained variance of 84.5%. A similar variation shows that precipitation
for different zones is closely related to the teleconnection patterns. Table 2 shows the
heterogeneous correlation for the different indices. It is noteworthy that the precipitation for
the three zones is positively related to NAO, WP and NINO3.4, and negatively related to
POL at 0.05 significance level. The result of SVD confirms the relationship from the PCA results between precipitation for the three zones and climate indices.

Table 2

Figure 5

Summer precipitation prediction

Based on the revealed relationships between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns, a back propagation neural network was developed to predict the summer precipitation (June-September) using significantly correlated climate indices as input layer, including NAO, WP, POL and NINO3.4. One limitation of ANN analysis is that the model results vary depending on the random setting of the initial weights. Therefore, the neural network model run for one experiment was repeated ten times. The predicted summer precipitation refers to the most accurate results of ten model runs. Here, a ‘trial and error’ process was used to choose different nodes for the two hidden layers. Table 3 shows the Pearson correlation coefficients between observed and predicted summer precipitations for training and validation period. The correlation coefficients for the validation period are generally larger than 0.6. Fig.6 shows the observed and predicted summer precipitation for the validation period and the different zones and the whole area.

Table 3

Figure 6

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the spatial variability of precipitation was investigated based on the results from cluster analysis using monthly precipitation data from 1961 to 2010. Subdivision of the source region of the Yellow River into three homogeneous zones was made to investigate
spatial variability of trends. PCA and SVD were used to find relationships between the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns using climate indices. The summer precipitation was predicted based on the revealed relationships using an ANN. The precipitation trend varies at different stations due to the temporal and spatial variation. The PCA analysis revealed relationships between some of these climate indices and precipitation. The first two modes of PCA were analysed since they can readily be associated to teleconnection patterns. The results showed that precipitation is positively related to the North Atlantic Oscillation, West Pacific and El Nino Southern Oscillation and negatively related to the Polar Eurasian teleconnection. SVD was applied to the cross-covariance matrix between precipitation and climate indices. The results of SVD confirmed the relationship from the PCA. An ANN model was used to predict the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. The Pearson correlation coefficients between the predicted summer precipitation and observed summer precipitation are generally larger than 0.6. Thus, it is shown that significantly correlated climate indices can be used to predict the summer precipitation.

The revealed results in this study showed that ENSO, NAO, WP and POL events have an influence on the precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River. Xu et al. (2007) found that the La Nina phase corresponds to a relatively rainier season in the Yellow River basin. Fu et al. (2013) examined the trend and variability of extreme rainfall events in China and found that it is mainly influenced by ENSO and the magnitude of East Asian monsoon. Yuan et al. (2015b) examined the summer precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River teleconnections with global sea surface temperatures, and found that higher sea surface temperature in equatorial Pacific areas corresponding to El Nino coincides with less summer precipitation. Cuo et al. (2012) showed that precipitation change in winter at northern Tibetan Plateau can be attributed to changes in the East Asian westerly jet, North Atlantic Oscillation
and ENSO. Zhang et al. (2013) also examined that the warm phase of the North Atlantic SST is related to North Atlantic Oscillation that leads to less precipitation or more frequent droughts in the semi-arid subarea in the upper reaches of the Yellow River. Liu et al. (2015) found that NAO greatly controls the variability of summer precipitation between the northeastern and the southeastern Tibetan Plateau by modifying the atmospheric circulation over and around the Tibetan Plateau. During the positive phase of the NAO, warm moist air from the oceans around Asia is transported by the southeastern flank of the anticyclone anomaly over East Asia to the northeastern Tibetan Plateau, and this northward-moving warm moist air encounters cold air masses transported by the northwestern flank of the cyclonic anomaly over the northeastern Tibetan Plateau (Liu et al. 2015). This confluence of the cold and warm air masses subsequently strengthens cumulus convective activities and ultimately results in excessive precipitation over the northeastern Tibetan Plateau. Research showed that the strong positive and negative West Pacific patterns are related to the east-west and north-south movements of the East Asian jet stream, indicating that the change from cold to warm season results from the northward movement of the East Asian jet stream and thus affects aspects of the East Asian climate such as precipitation and temperature (Choi and Moon 2012, Barnston and Livezey 1987). Yan (2002) found that POL was positively associated with winter precipitation in China, indicating the significance of the winter monsoon in producing rainfall pattern. Lin (2014) showed that the POL has negative correlation with precipitation in North China.

Improving the knowledge regarding the relationship between precipitation in the source region of the Yellow River and global teleconnection patterns have important implications for water management. We also conclude that there is sufficient evidence to support the suitability of significantly correlated climate indices as predictors of summer precipitation in
the source region of the Yellow River. The results are useful for integrated water resources
management in the Yellow River basin.
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Table 1: Linear precipitation trends and Mann-Kendall statistics (Z); NS: no significant trend; * is statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Mean annual precipitation (mm/year)</th>
<th>Min. annual precipitation (mm/year)</th>
<th>Max. annual precipitation (mm/year)</th>
<th>Linear trend (mm/year)</th>
<th>Mann-Kendall trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>365.0</td>
<td>247.9</td>
<td>522.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>517.9</td>
<td>337.3</td>
<td>738.5</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td>692.4</td>
<td>533.9</td>
<td>860.5</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole area</td>
<td>515.3</td>
<td>406.0</td>
<td>645.8</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Heterogeneous correlation between precipitation and climate indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode 1</th>
<th>Zone 1</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Zone 3</th>
<th>NAO</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>WP</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>EA/WR</th>
<th>SCA</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>PDO</th>
<th>NINO3.4</th>
<th>IOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td><strong>0.10</strong></td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td><strong>-0.11</strong></td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td><strong>0.10</strong></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3 ANN results for different areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Zone 1</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Zone 3</th>
<th>Whole area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. The Yellow River source region topography, river network and precipitation stations.
Fig. 2 Results from cluster analysis in the source region of the Yellow River (Yuan et al. 2015b).
Fig. 3 Annual precipitation from 1961 to 2010 for the three different zones and the whole area in the source region of the Yellow River.
Fig. 4 Biplot from the first two modes of PCA
Fig. 5 Time series of predictor (monthly precipitation) and predictant (monthly climate indices) in the first mode of SVD.
Fig. 6 Observed and predicted summer precipitation for the validation period for the different zones and the whole area
Modelling daily rainfall-runoff in the source region of the Yellow River using the Xinanjiang model
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Abstract The declining water availability caused by climate change in the source region of the Yellow is expected to have severe repercussions for the 110 million basin inhabitants. Thus, there is an urgent need to improve the understanding of the hydrological processes in the basin for integrated water resources management. In this respect, the performance of the Xinanjiang model for daily rainfall-runoff simulation in the source region of the Yellow River was evaluated. The Blaney–Criddle method was used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration as model input due to data scarcity of this area. The Monte Carlo method was used to optimize the sensitive model parameters. The resulting Pearson correlation coefficient between observed and simulated runoff for the calibration period was up to 0.87, and 0.85 for the validation period. Accordingly, the Xinanjiang model simulated the daily runoff series well in general. Thus, the Xinanjiang model can be a proper tool for further water resources management involving runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Yellow River is of immense importance to China. It is 5,464 km long, has a basin area of 752,440 km², and is the main source of surface water in northwest and northern China (Tang et al. 2008, Yang et al. 2008). The basin has more than 110 million inhabitants and 12.6 million ha cultivated land, representing about 8 and 13% of the national totals, respectively. The lower Yellow River has increasingly suffered from low-flow conditions and parts of the lower reaches have often been dry during the last 30 years. The situation has been exacerbated during recent years (Liu and Zheng 2004, Sato et al. 2008). The source region of the Yellow River contributes about 35% of the total water yield in the Yellow River basin (Lan et al. 2010). Consequently, it is an extremely important area in terms of water resources affecting agricultural productivity, municipal, and industrial water supply for the whole basin.

Comprehensive hydrological models are expected to be effective tools for flood simulation and forecasting. However, proper runoff simulation is often one of the most challenging tasks in theoretical and operational hydrology due to the lack of hydrologic observations (Yao et al. 2014). Data scarcity or inconsistency for the model input is one of reasons for high uncertainty in hydrological modelling (Sood and Smakhtin 2014). The source region of the Yellow River is an important area for water supply in the entire basin but with limited observational data. Hence, it is essential to evaluate suitable hydrological models in view of data scarcity to investigate the impact of climate change on regional water resources.

Several studies have dealt with the issue of the contribution of climate change to hydrological process in the source region of the Yellow River. Hu et al. (2011) investigated streamflow trends and climate linkages in the source region of the Yellow River during the last 50 years (1959-2008). Liu and Zheng (2004) attempted to detect trends associated with hydrological cycle components in the Yellow River basin using data from 1952 to 1997. Tang et al. (2008) presented an analysis of changes in the spatial patterns of climatic variables in the Yellow River basin from 1960 to 2000. Cong et al. (2009) used a distributed hydrological model to analyze hydrological trends in the Yellow River basin. Several of these studies have reported dwindling streamflow in the Yellow River during the latest years. Yuan et al. (2015) investigated the hydroclimatic trend and periodicity for the source region of the Yellow River. Zhang et al. (2013) reported substantially decreased annual flow of the Yellow River during recent years. However, daily rainfall-runoff relationships for the source region of the Yellow River has not yet been explored. It is important to quantify this relationship and develop
possible quantitative runoff prediction techniques using proper hydrological models. The Xinanjiang model had been widely used and modified to improve runoff prediction (Jayawardena and Zhou 2000, Ju et al. 2009, Li et al. 2009, Lin et al. 2014, Liu et al. 2009, Lu et al. 2013, Mao et al. 2013, Shi et al. 2011, Yang et al. 2011, Yao et al. 2012). In this study, a partly modified Xinanjiang model was applied to the source region of the Yellow River to simulate the rainfall-runoff relationships. The Blaney–Criddle method was used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration for the model input due to data scarcity in this area. The Monte Carlo method was used to optimize the sensitive model parameters.

2 STUDY AREA, DATA AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study area and data

The source region of the Yellow River is located on the northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau between 32º12´-35º48´N and 95º50´-103º28´E and includes the area upstream the Tangnaihai runoff observation station. The area is 12.2×104 km², occupying about 16% of the Yellow River Basin, and it has a great elevation change from 2670 m in the east to 6253 m in the west (Fig. 1). Grassland covers 80% of the catchment and it includes typical alpine swamp, steppe, and shrub meadows. In recent years, the alpine grasslands in this area have suffered from severe degradation (Zhou et al. 2005). The grassland degradation is thought to be a joint effect of long-term overgrazing and climate warming. The area of lakes and swamps is about 2,000 km². There is a permanent snowpack and glaciers in the southern Animaqing, Bayankala, and Northern Qilian mountains. The area has a comparably low population density with a total of about half a million inhabitants. The area is therefore regarded as relatively unaffected by human activities (Hu et al. 2011). Neither large irrigation projects nor large dams exist in the area even though the population increase of humans and domestic livestock is increasingly affecting the grass cover and soil erosion.

Climatologically the area belongs to the semi-humid region of the Tibetan Plateau sub-frigid zone and around 70% of the annual precipitation in this area fall during the wet summer season (June-September). The climate of the source region of the Yellow River is greatly influenced by the southwest monsoon and the East Asian summer monsoon (Ding and Chan 2005). The earliest onset of the East Asian summer monsoon occurs in the central and southern Indochina Peninsula. It displays a distinct stepwise northward and northeast-ward movement and then finally penetrates in to the upper Yellow River from the south of China.
The effects of atmospheric circulation are in general different for the upper and lower Yellow River. The monsoon rain belt in the upper part is caused by south-easterly flow while the corresponding monsoon rain belt in the lower parts is influenced by south-westerly flow (Qian et al. 2002). This causes differences in spatial distribution of summer precipitation between the two parts of the Yellow River. The upper part of the Yellow River is characterized by low-temperatures, sharp day-night temperature contrasts, long-cold and short-warm seasons, and intense sunlight (Liang et al. 2010). Annual average temperature varies between -4 and 2°C from southeast to northwest. The precipitation in this region is generally of low intensity (<50 mm/day), long duration (10-30 days), and covers a large area (>100,000 km²) (Hu et al. 2011, Zheng et al. 2007). Snowfall is concentrated from November to March, when more than 78% of the total precipitation falls in the form of snow. However, total amount of annual snowfall accounts for less than 10% of the annual precipitation (Hu et al. 2011). The potential evaporation is 1300-1400 mm/year (Liang et al. 2010).

Fig. 1 The Yellow River source region topography, river network and hydroclimatic stations.

Daily precipitation observations from 1961 to 2009 collected at ten meteorological stations (Fig. 1); Xinghai, Tongde, Zeku, Henan, Maduo, Dari, Jiuzhi, Maqu, Ruoergai, and Hongyuan, were obtained from the China Meteorological Administration (CMA). The data quality has previously been checked by the CMA. Daily streamflow data from 1961 to 2009 at Tangnaihai runoff observation station were obtained from the Yellow River Conservancy Commission. The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 90 m digital elevation data were downloaded from the Consortium for Spatial Information (CGIAR-CSI).
2.2 Methodology

Xinanjiang Model. The Xinanjiang model was originally developed by Zhao (1992). The model applied to the source region of the Yellow River consists of two components: a three-layer evapotranspiration component and a runoff generating component (Fig. 2). The input data are daily precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, and the outputs are discharge and actual evapotranspiration. The model parameters and their ranges are listed in Table 1. The parameters are usually defined by specifying lower and upper limits. These limits are chosen according to physical and mathematical constraints, information about physical characteristics of the system, and from modelling experiences (Cheng et al. 2006).

The evapotranspiration component acts on three vertical layers identified as upper, lower, and deeper soil layers. The upper layer refers to the vegetation, water surface and the very thin topsoil. The lower layer refers to the soil in which the vegetation roots dominate and the moisture transportation is mainly driven by the potential gradient. The deep layer refers to the soil beneath the lower layer where only the deep-rooted vegetation can absorb water and the potential gradient is very small (Jayawardena and Zhou 2000). Evapotranspiration takes place at the potential rate in the upper layer. On exhaustion of moisture content in the upper layer, evapotranspiration proceeds to the lower layer at a decreased rate that is proportional to the moisture content in that layer. Only when the total evapotranspiration in the upper and lower layers is less than a pre-set threshold, represented as a fraction of the potential evapotranspiration, does it further proceed to the deep layer to keep this pre-set minimum value (Jayawardena and Zhou 2000). Evaporation is first subtracted during the rainfall process, and the runoff generation is computed by considering the respective soil moisture states and the storage capacities of the three layers. The runoff is separated into three components: surface runoff, interflow, and groundwater flow. A more detailed conceptual hydrological process description is found in Zhao (1992).

Blaney–Criddle Method. The Blaney–Criddle method has been widely used to calculate the potential evapotranspiration as model input (Xu and Singh 2002, Kingston et al. 2009, Sperna Weiland et al. 2012). It is a temperature-based method that requires temperature as input variable. The Blaney-Criddle equation is:

\[ ET = KP \cdot (0.46T + 8.13) \]

where
ET = potential evapotranspiration from a reference crop, in mm, for the period in which
p is expressed;

T = mean temperature in °C;

K = monthly consumptive use coefficient, depending on vegetation type, location and
season. Here it was taken to be 1.

Table 1. Parameters of the Xinanjiang model. Parameters in bold indicate high sensitivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UM (mm)</td>
<td>Soil moisture storage capacity of the upper layer</td>
<td>0-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM (mm)</td>
<td>Soil moisture storage capacity of the lower layer</td>
<td>0-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM (mm)</td>
<td>Areal mean soil moisture storage capacity</td>
<td>50-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Exponential parameter with a single parabolic curve representing the non-uniformity of the spatial distribution of the soil moisture storage capacity</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM (%)</td>
<td>Percentage of impervious and saturated areas</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Ratio of potential evapotranspiration to pan evaporation</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Evapotranspiration coefficient of deeper layer</td>
<td>0-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM (mm)</td>
<td>Free water capacity of the surface soil layer representing the maximum possible deficit of free water storage</td>
<td>0-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX</td>
<td>Exponent of the free water capacity curve influencing the development of the saturated area</td>
<td>0.5-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG</td>
<td>Outflow coefficient of the free water storage to groundwater flow</td>
<td>0.01-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KI</td>
<td>Outflow coefficient of the free water storage to interflow</td>
<td>0.01-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Recession constant of the groundwater storage</td>
<td>0.5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Recession constant of the lower interflow storage</td>
<td>0.1-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Recession constant in the lag and route method for routing through the channel system</td>
<td>0.01-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (d)</td>
<td>Lag time for runoff routing period</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P= percentage of total daytime hours for the used period out of total daytime hours of the year; The daylight hours here are based on the calculation of sunset hour angels that depend on the calculation of solar declination. It can be calculated as \( N = M \left( \frac{24}{\pi} \right) \), where \( M \) is the sunset hour angle in radians.

**Monte Carlo Method.** The process of model calibration is normally performed either manually or by using computer based automatic procedures. In this study, Monte Carlo method was used to generate 10000 sets of the 8 sensitive parameters for calibration. The other 7 parameters were pre-set as initial values and adjusted manually during the calibration process. The parameters for best fit between observed and simulated discharge were used for validation.

**Model performance measure.** The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients \( r \) between observed and simulated discharge data were used to measure model performance. The normal distribution of observed and simulated discharge data were tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in Matlab.

![Flowchart for the Xinanjiang model.](image)

**3 RESULTS**

Interannual variability in streamflow mainly reflects catchment response to precipitation variability and is an important aspect of the hydrological regime for a catchment. Figure 3 shows the monthly precipitation and runoff depth in the source region of the Yellow River. It
is seen that precipitation in the summer monsoon period (June-September) accounts for about 72% of the annual total. The monthly runoff depth shows dual peaks in July and September. Calibration and validation of the Xinanjiang model for the source region of the Yellow River were carried out using daily rainfall-runoff data. The Thiessen polygon method was used to calculate the daily mean areal precipitation and temperature over the experimental area. The daily discharge at Tangnaihai station was used to evaluate the model results since Tangnaihai station is the outlet of the source region of the Yellow River. The data from 1961 to 1995 were used for calibrating the model and the data from 1996 to 2009 was used for validation. Table 2 shows the results of the calibrated model parameters. According to the table all parameter values are in a reasonable range.

![Figure 3. Monthly precipitation and runoff depth in the source region of the Yellow River from 1961 to 2009](image)

Figure 4 and 5 show the observed and simulated discharge for the catchment during calibration and validation period, respectively. It is seen that the Pearson correlation coefficient for calibration period is 0.87 and 0.85 for validation period. Consequently, in general, the Xinanjiang model simulates the daily runoff series well.

Table 2. Calibrated model parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>KG</th>
<th>KI</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>IM</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>UM</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>WM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

A partly modified Xinanjiang model was applied to the source region of the Yellow River for daily rainfall-runoff simulation. The Blaney–Criddle method was chosen to calculate the potential evapotranspiration for the model input due to data scarcity of this area. Monte Carlo method was used to optimize the sensitive model parameters. The good fit showed that the Xinanjiang model can be used for daily runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River. The magnitude and variation of evaporative losses is required for efficient water resources management. It is, however, difficult to select the most appropriate
evaporation calculation method due to the wide range of data types needed in the various equations (Xu and Singh 2001). The Blaney-Criddle method only requires temperature to estimate the potential evaporation. For data scarce areas this may still be appropriate. The process of model calibration is normally performed either manually or by using computer-based automatic procedures. The process of manual calibration is generally tedious and time consuming (Cheng et al. 2006). Hence, the Monte Carlo method was used for automatic calibration. The investigated results showed that the Xinanjiang model could be a reasonable tool for daily runoff simulation and flood forecasting in the source region of the Yellow River. This has important implications for future water resource management in the Yellow River source region.
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