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Overview of presentation

• Our work with doctoral supervisors at Lund University
• Exploring strategies for supervision and doctoral education
• Four emerging strategies
• A tool to evaluate and develop supervision and doctoral education

Our work with doctoral supervisors at Lund University

• Training for doctoral supervisors is mandatory in Sweden since 2002
• Current activities at Lund University:
  – a 7.5-day study module (university-wide)
  – a 7.5-day study module (engineering)
  – a 2-day workshop (medicine)
• Grounded in SoTL
• Beginning in 2010/2011 (university-wide)
  – two more study modules for supervisors
  – a meta-program for doctoral students

Workshops and study modules for supervisors

• Participants:
  – 0 – 30 doctoral students supervised
  – come from engineering, science, medicine, economics, social sciences, arts, law, humanities or engineering
  – value the collegial discussions on supervision and doctoral student learning
Exploring strategies for supervision and doctoral education

• Discussions and assignments in workshops and programs on e.g.:
  – doctoral student learning and intended learning outcomes
  – supervisor roles and strategies
  – practices and traditions for doctoral education
  – problems with student learning and progress
• => Doctoral education and supervision done in different ways, with different outcomes in mind, for different reasons
• Variation is used to develop supervisors’ and departments’ strategies and to generate knowledge
• As a tool for exploration we have used Ann Lee’s (2008) framework

Exploring strategies for supervision and doctoral education (cont’d)

Approaches to supervision (Lee, 2008)

| Functional | Enculturation | Critical thinking | Emancipation | Relationship development |

• From our discussions with supervisors:
  – Accounts of supervision strategies and intentions fit one or more of Lee’s categories
  – Experienced supervisors claim to change approach as their students progress, e.g. from functional to emancipation

Four emerging strategies

• The strategies have emerged from supervisors’ accounts and from theories of learning (e.g. Wenger 1998, Dall’Alba & Sandberg 2006)
• We find qualitative differences in how doctoral education and supervision is enacted that expand Lee’s framework:
  – Participation
  – Reflection on practice
  – Extended understanding for doctorateness
  – Strategic reflexiveness

Participation

• Participation – to take part of a social enterprise and to belong to a community
• The strategy of supervisor or department is to make the student take part in the academic practices and in an academic community, e.g. by:
  – giving tasks and instruction
  – discussing results and interpretations
  – suggesting reading in the field
  – giving feedback on written work
  – co-authoring
  – introducing the student to colleagues and groups
  – opening doors
  – encourage writing (or co-writing) of applications
  – encourage participation in conferences
  – arranging project meetings etc.
Participation (cont’d)

- The role of the supervisor can be
  - a more experienced colleague
  - a project leader, or
  - a manager
- The student’s role can be
  - a junior researcher/academic
  - an apprentice
  - an assistant, or
  - an employee

Reflection on practice

- To help the student to reflect on the practices he or she is engaged in
- Reflection in relation to skills, attitudes, artefacts, and the social platform associated with the doctorate
- Reflection on experiences within the social context of doctoral education
- Examples:
  - discussing actions, deadlines and milestones in relation to the thesis and dissertation;
  - discussing and exemplifying what is understood to be good, or bad, research (or teaching, or conduct etc);
  - helping the student find and correct inconsistencies within his or her work and to understand standards;
  - explaining who is who within the field;
  - discussing the meaning of academic practices (e.g. the seminar) and giving feedback on the student’s performance in such practices;
  - discussing the goals and intended outcomes of the doctorate;
  - discussing communication, roles, responsibilities, intentions, perceptions etc. within the supervisor-student relationship
- The supervisor needs to be not only a competent researcher but also a competent teacher of research

Extended understanding for doctorateness

- To help the student deepen his or her understanding of aspects of doctoral education important for developing doctorateness, e.g.
  - theory of science
  - academic writing
  - rhetoric
  - ethics
  - academic conduct and virtues
  - rights and regulations for doctoral education
  - funding
  - the organisation and politics of institutions
  - teaching and learning

Strategic reflexiveness

- To help the student identify goals in the close or distant future related to personal and professional development and to develop the student’s strategies in relation to these goals
- Reflexiveness means that the student develops strategies through negotiation of relevance and ambitions and understanding of self, and considers implications for professional and private life
- Here independence, self-efficacy, and life-long learning is in focus.
- A strong element of mentorship
A tool to evaluate and develop supervision

For supervisors to evaluate and develop:
- their supervision
- the context and practices for doctoral education

For developers of doctoral education:
- to help supervisors develop
- to develop doctoral education on departmental and institutional level
  - e.g. a university-wide meta-program for doctoral students

Conception of supervision (after Lee, 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Functional</th>
<th>Enculturation</th>
<th>Critical thinking</th>
<th>Emancipation</th>
<th>Relationship development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection on practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended understanding for doctorateness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic reflexivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>